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# REGULARITY OF TRANSMISSION PROBLEMS FOR UNIFORMLY ELLIPTIC FULLY NONLINEAR EQUATIONS 

DANIELA DE SILVA, FAUSTO FERRARI, SANDRO SALSA<br>Dedicated to our loved Anna Aloe


#### Abstract

We investigate the regularity of transmission problems for a general class of uniformly elliptic fully non linear equations. We prove that, if the forcing term is Lipschitz, then viscosity solution are $C^{1, \gamma}$.


## 1. Introduction and main results

In this article we consider viscosity solutions to the transmission problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{F}^{+}\left(D^{2} u\right)=f^{+} \quad \text { in } B_{1}^{+}:=B_{1}(0) \cap\left\{x_{n}>0\right\} \\
\mathcal{F}^{-}\left(D^{2} u\right)=f^{-} \quad \text { in } B_{1}^{-}:=B_{1}(0) \cap\left\{x_{n}<0\right\}  \tag{1.1}\\
a\left(u_{n}\right)^{+}-b\left(u_{n}\right)^{-}=0 \quad \text { on } B_{1}^{\prime}:=B_{1}(0) \cap\left\{x_{n}=0\right\},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\left(u_{n}\right)^{+}$and $\left(u_{n}\right)^{-}$denote the derivatives in the $e_{n}$ direction of $u$ restricted to the upper and lower half ball, respectively. Here $a>0, b \geq 0$ and $\mathcal{F}^{ \pm}$are fully nonlinear uniformly elliptic operators, with ellipticity constants $\Lambda \geq \lambda>0$ and $\mathcal{F}^{ \pm}(0)=0$. That is, for every $M, N \in \mathcal{S}^{n}$,

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^{-}(N) \leq \mathcal{F}^{ \pm}(M+N)-\mathcal{F}^{ \pm}(M) \leq \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^{+}(N)
$$

where $\mathcal{S}^{n}$ denotes the set of square symmetric $n \times n$ matrices and $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^{-}, \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^{+}$are the extremal Pucci operators defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^{-}(N)=\inf _{A \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda, \Lambda}} \operatorname{Tr}(A N), \quad \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^{+}(N)=\sup _{A \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda, \Lambda}} \operatorname{Tr}(A N), \\
\\
\mathcal{A}_{\lambda, \Lambda}=\left\{A \in \mathcal{S}^{n}: \lambda I \leq A \leq \Lambda I\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

In the sequel we write $\mathcal{F}^{ \pm}\left(D^{2} u\right)=f^{ \pm}$, in $B_{1}^{ \pm}$to denote both interior equations in (1.1). Now we define viscosity solution for problem (1.1).

Definition 1.1. We say that $u \in C\left(B_{1}\right)$ is a viscosity subsolution (supersolution) to (1.1) if
(i) $\mathcal{F}^{ \pm}\left(D^{2} u\right) \geq f^{ \pm}\left(\leq f^{ \pm}\right)$in $B_{1}^{ \pm}$in the viscosity sense;

[^0](ii) Let $x_{0} \in\left\{x_{n}=0\right\}, \delta>0$ small, and $\varphi \in C^{2}\left(\bar{B}_{\delta}^{+}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \cap C\left(\bar{B}_{\delta}^{-}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$. If $\varphi$ touches $u$ from above (below) at $x_{0}$, then
$$
a\left(\varphi_{n}\right)^{+}\left(x_{0}\right)-b\left(\varphi_{n}\right)^{-}\left(x_{0}\right) \geq 0 \quad(\leq 0)
$$

We say that $u$ is a viscosity solution if it is both a viscosity subsolution and supersolution.

It is easily seen that condition (ii) in Definition 1.1 can be replaced by the following condition
(ii') Let

$$
\psi(x)=P\left(x^{\prime}\right)+p x_{n}^{+}-q x_{n}^{-}
$$

where $P$ is a quadratic polynomial. If $\psi$ touches from above (below) $u$ at $x_{0} \in\left\{x_{n}=0\right\}$, then

$$
a p-b q \geq 0 \quad(\leq 0)
$$

Transmission problems as (1.1) play a key role for example in the regularity theory for two-phase free boundary problems developed by the authors in [2, 3, 4]. Our purpose here is to review and extend to the case of distributed sources the regularity results about transmission problems provided in [4] for the homogeneous case. Our main result is the following one.
Theorem 1.2. Let $u$ be a viscosity solution to (1.1) in $B_{1}$. Assume that $f^{ \pm} \in$ $C^{0,1}\left(B_{1}^{ \pm}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(B_{1}\right)$. Then, for any $\rho<1, u \in C^{1, \alpha}\left(\bar{B}_{\rho}^{ \pm}\right)$with norm bounded by a constant depending on $n, \lambda, \Lambda, \rho,\|u\|_{\infty},\|f\|_{\infty}$ and $\left\|f^{ \pm}\right\|_{C^{0,1}}$. In particular the transmission condition is satisfied in the classical sense.

## 2. HÖLDER CONTINUITY

In this section we prove the Hölder continuity of a solution $u$ to problem (1.1). Here we only need $f^{ \pm} \in C\left(B_{1}^{ \pm}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(B_{1}\right)$. We introduce a special class of functions, based on the extremal Pucci operators, in the spirit of [1]. Since $a>0$ and $b \geq 0$ are defined up to a multiplicative constant and the problem is invariant under reflection with respect to $\left\{x_{n}=0\right\}$, we can assume that $a=1,0 \leq b \leq 1$.

We denote by $\underline{\mathcal{S}}_{\lambda, \Lambda}\left(f^{ \pm}\right)$the class of continuous functions $u$ in $B_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^{+}\left(D^{2} u\right) \geq f^{ \pm} \quad \text { in } B_{1}^{ \pm}, \quad \text { and } \\
\left(u_{n}\right)^{+}-b\left(u_{n}\right)^{-} \geq 0 \quad \text { on } B_{1}^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

in the sense of Definition 1.1 with comparison functions touching $u$ from above.
Analogously, we denote by $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\lambda, \Lambda}\left(f^{ \pm}\right)$the class of continuous functions $u$ in $B_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^{-}\left(D^{2} u\right) \leq f^{ \pm} \quad \text { in } B_{1}^{ \pm}, \quad \text { and } \\
\left(u_{n}\right)^{+}-b\left(u_{n}\right)^{-} \leq 0 \quad \text { on } B_{1}^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

in the sense of Definition 1.1 with comparison functions touching $u$ from below. Finally we set

$$
\mathcal{S}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^{*}\left(f^{ \pm}\right)=\underline{\mathcal{S}}_{\lambda, \Lambda}\left(-\left|f^{ \pm}\right|\right) \cap \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\lambda, \Lambda}\left(\left|f^{ \pm}\right|\right)
$$

Theorem 2.1. Let $u \in \mathcal{S}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^{*}\left(f^{ \pm}\right)$with $f^{ \pm} \in C\left(B_{1}^{ \pm}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(B_{1}\right)$. Then $u \in C^{\alpha}\left(B_{1 / 2}\right)$ with $\alpha$ and $\|u\|_{C^{\alpha}\left(B_{1 / 2}\right)}$ depending on $n, \lambda, \Lambda,\left\|f^{ \pm}\right\|_{\infty}$ and $\|u\|_{\infty}$.

It is sufficient to show that $u \in C^{\alpha}\left(B_{\rho_{0}}\right)$ with $\rho_{0}$ small depending only on $\left\|f^{ \pm}\right\|_{\infty}$. Then after the rescaling $u(x) \rightarrow u(r x)$, the theorem follows easily from the iteration of the following lemma. Here constants depending on $n, \lambda, \Lambda$ are called universal.

Lemma 2.2. Let $u \in \mathcal{S}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^{*}\left(f^{ \pm}\right)$with $\|u\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ and $\left\|f^{ \pm}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \varepsilon_{0}$ in $B_{1}$, $\epsilon_{0}$ small universal. Assume that at $\bar{x}=\frac{1}{5} e_{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\bar{x})>0 . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $u \geq-1+c$ in $B_{1 / 3}$ with $0<c<1$ universal.
Proof. By Harnack inequality, if $\varepsilon_{0}$ is small enough depending on the Harnack constant, and by assumption 2.1), we deduce that ( $c_{0}$ universal)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \geq-1+c_{0} \quad \text { in } B_{1 / 20}(\bar{x}) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $r=|x-\bar{x}|$ and

$$
w=\eta\left(\Gamma^{\gamma}(r)+\delta x_{n}^{+}\right)+\frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{2 \lambda} x_{n}^{2}, \Gamma^{\gamma}(r)=r^{-\gamma}-(2 / 3)^{-\gamma}, \quad \delta=-\frac{1}{2} \Gamma^{\gamma}\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)
$$

be defined in the ring $D=B_{3 / 4}(\bar{x}) \backslash B_{1 / 20}(\bar{x})$, with $\gamma>\max \left\{0, \frac{\Lambda}{\lambda}(n-1)-1\right\}$ and $\eta$ to be chosen later. Since $\Gamma^{\gamma}$ is a radial function, in an appropriate system of coordinates

$$
D^{2} w=\eta \gamma r^{-\gamma-2} \operatorname{diag}\{(\gamma+1),-1, \ldots,-1\} .
$$

Hence, in $D$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^{-}\left(D^{2} w\right) \geq \eta\left(\gamma r^{-\gamma-2}(\lambda(\gamma+1)-\Lambda(n-1))\right)+\varepsilon_{0} \geq\left\|f^{ \pm}\right\|_{\infty} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\partial_{n} \Gamma^{\gamma}>0$ on $\left\{x_{n}=0\right\}$, the transmission condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(w_{n}\right)^{+}-b\left(w_{n}\right)^{-}>0 \quad \text { on }\left\{x_{n}=0\right\} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied. Now choose $\eta, \epsilon_{0}$ small universal so that

$$
w \leq c_{0} \quad \text { on } \partial B_{1 / 20}(\bar{x})
$$

Then, by choosing $\epsilon_{0}$ possibly smaller, we also have $w \leq 0$ on $\partial B_{3 / 4}(\bar{x})$. Thus, in view of 2.2 we obtain that

$$
w \leq u+1 \quad \text { on } \partial D
$$

From (2.3), (2.4) $w$ is a strict classical subsolution of the transmission problem for the operator $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^{-}$with right hand sides $\left|f^{ \pm}\right|$. By the definition of viscosity solution, we conclude that it must be

$$
w \leq u+1 \quad \text { in } D
$$

Since $w \geq c$ in $B_{1 / 3}$ the proof is complete.

## 3. Upper and lower envelopes

Given a continuous function $u$ in $B_{1}(0)$ and $\bar{B}_{\rho} \subset B_{1}(0)$ we define for $\varepsilon>0$ the upper $\varepsilon$-envelope of $u$ in the $x^{\prime}$-direction,

$$
u^{\varepsilon}\left(y^{\prime}, y_{n}\right)=\sup _{x \in \bar{B}_{\rho} \cap\left\{x_{n}=y_{n}\right\}}\left\{u\left(x^{\prime}, y_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left|x^{\prime}-y^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right\} \quad y=\left(y^{\prime}, y_{n}\right) \in B_{\rho}
$$

Note that there is $y_{\varepsilon} \in \bar{B}_{\rho} \cap\left\{x_{n}=y_{n}\right\}$ such that

$$
u^{\varepsilon}(y)=u\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left|y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}-y^{\prime}\right|^{2}
$$

with $\left|y^{\prime}-y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right| \leq \sqrt{2 \varepsilon\|u\|_{\infty}}$, since $u^{\varepsilon}(y) \geq u(y)$ and

$$
\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left|y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}-y^{\prime}\right|^{2}=u\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)-u^{\varepsilon}(y) \leq u\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)-u(y)
$$

Lemma 3.1. The following properties hold:
(1) $u^{\varepsilon} \in C\left(B_{\rho}\right)$ and $u^{\varepsilon} \downarrow u$ uniformly in $B_{\rho}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
(2) $u^{\varepsilon}$ is $C^{1,1}$ in the $x^{\prime}$-direction by below in $B_{\rho}$. Thus $u^{\varepsilon}$ is pointwise second order differentiable in the $x^{\prime}$-direction al almost every point of $B_{\rho}$.
(3) If $u$ is a viscosity solution to (1.1), then, in a smaller ball $B_{r}, r \leq \rho-$ $2 \sqrt{\varepsilon\|u\|_{\infty}}, u^{\varepsilon}$ is a viscosity subsolution to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{F}^{ \pm}\left(D^{2} u^{\epsilon}\right)=f^{ \pm}-\omega_{f \pm}\left(\sqrt{2 \varepsilon\|u\|_{\infty}}\right) \quad \text { in } B_{r}^{ \pm}  \tag{3.1}\\
\left(u_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{+}-b\left(u_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{-}=0 \quad \text { on } B_{r}^{\prime}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\omega_{f^{ \pm}}$denotes the modulus of continuity of $f^{ \pm}$.
Proof. (1) follows from

$$
\left|u^{\varepsilon}\left(y_{0}\right)-u^{\varepsilon}\left(y_{1}\right)\right| \leq \frac{6 \rho}{\varepsilon}\left|y_{0}^{\prime}-y_{1}^{\prime}\right|
$$

and

$$
0 \leq u^{\varepsilon}(y)-u(y)=u\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left|y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}-y^{\prime}\right|^{2}-u(y) \leq \omega_{u}\left(\sqrt{2 \varepsilon\|u\|_{\infty}}\right)
$$

where $\omega_{u}$ is the modulus of continuity of $u$.
(2) follows from Alexandrov Theorem on concave/convex functions, since

$$
u^{\varepsilon}\left(y^{\prime}, y_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left|y^{\prime}\right|^{2}=\sup _{x \in \bar{B}_{\rho} \cap\left\{x_{n}=y_{n}\right\}}\left\{u\left(x^{\prime}, y_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{2}{\varepsilon}\left\langle x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right\rangle\right\}
$$

is convex, being the supremum of a family of affine functions of $y^{\prime}$.
(3) Let $\varphi \in C^{2}\left(B_{r}\right)$ touch from above $u^{\varepsilon}$ at a point $\bar{x} \in B_{r}^{+}$. Then ( $\varepsilon$ small)

$$
u^{\varepsilon}(\bar{x})=u\left(\bar{x}_{\varepsilon}\right)-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left|\bar{x}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}-\bar{x}^{\prime}\right|^{2}
$$

with $\left|\bar{x}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}-\bar{x}^{\prime}\right|^{2} \leq 2 \varepsilon\|u\|_{\infty}$. Consider the function

$$
\Phi(y)=\varphi\left(y+\bar{x}-\bar{x}_{\varepsilon}\right)+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left|\bar{x}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}-\bar{x}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}
$$

With our choice of $r$ and $y \in B_{\rho}^{+}$close enough to $\bar{x}_{\epsilon}$, the point $y+\bar{x}-\bar{x}_{\varepsilon} \in B_{\rho}^{+}$. Thus, by the definition of $u^{\varepsilon}$,

$$
u(y) \leq u^{\varepsilon}\left(y+\bar{x}-\bar{x}_{\varepsilon}\right)+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left|\bar{x}^{\prime}-\bar{x}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}
$$

and therefore

$$
u(y) \leq \varphi\left(y+\bar{x}-\bar{x}_{\varepsilon}\right)+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left|\bar{x}^{\prime}-\bar{x}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2} .
$$

with equality at $y=\bar{x}_{\varepsilon}$, since $\varphi(\bar{x})=u^{\varepsilon}(\bar{x})$. Thus the function $\Phi$ touches from above $u$ at $\bar{x}_{\varepsilon}$. Therefore

$$
\mathcal{F}^{+}\left(D^{2} \varphi(\bar{x})\right)=\mathcal{F}^{+}\left(D^{2} \Phi\left(\bar{x}_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \geq f^{+}\left(x_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq f^{+}(\bar{x})-\omega_{f^{+}} \operatorname{big}\left(\sqrt{2 \varepsilon\|u\|_{\infty}}\right)
$$

Similarly, we can check the transmission condition

$$
\left(u_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{+}-b\left(u_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{-} \geq 0 \quad \text { on } B_{r}^{\prime} .
$$

Let

$$
\varphi(x)=P\left(x^{\prime}\right)+p x_{n}^{+}-q x_{n}^{-}
$$

touch from above $u^{\varepsilon}$ at a point $\bar{x}=\left(\bar{x}^{\prime}, 0\right) \in B_{r}^{\prime}$, with $P$ quadratic polynomial. Then

$$
P\left(x^{\prime}\right)+p x_{n}^{+}-q x_{n}^{-} \geq u^{\varepsilon}(x)
$$

near $\bar{x}$ and

$$
P\left(\bar{x}^{\prime}\right)=u^{\varepsilon}(\bar{x})=u\left(\bar{x}_{\varepsilon}\right)-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left|\bar{x}^{\prime}-\bar{x}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2} .
$$

Then, for $r$ small and $y$ close enough to $\bar{x}_{\epsilon}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(y) & \leq u^{\varepsilon}\left(y+\bar{x}-\bar{x}_{\varepsilon}\right)+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left|\bar{x}^{\prime}-\bar{x}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2} \\
& \leq \varphi\left(y+\bar{x}-\bar{x}_{\varepsilon}\right)+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left|\bar{x}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}-\bar{x}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

with equality at $y=\bar{x}_{\varepsilon}$ since $P\left(\bar{x}^{\prime}\right)=u^{\varepsilon}(\bar{x})$. Hence $\varphi\left(y+\bar{x}-\bar{x}_{\varepsilon}\right)+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left|\bar{x}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}-\bar{x}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}$ touches from above $u$ at $y=\bar{x}_{\varepsilon}$ and therefore $p-b q \geq 0$, as desired.

Analogously we can define

$$
u_{\varepsilon}\left(y^{\prime}, y_{n}\right)=\inf _{x \in \bar{B}_{\rho} \cap\left\{x_{n}=y_{n}\right\}}\left\{u\left(x^{\prime}, y_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left|x^{\prime}-y^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right\} \quad y=\left(y^{\prime}, y_{n}\right) \in B_{\rho}
$$

the lower $\varepsilon$-envelope of $u$ in the $x^{\prime}$-direction. Properties (1)-(3) hold with obvious changes:
(1') $u_{\varepsilon} \in C\left(B_{\rho}\right)$ and $u_{\epsilon} \uparrow u$ uniformly in $B_{\rho}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
(2') $u_{\varepsilon}$ is $C^{1,1}$ in the $x^{\prime}$-direction by above in $B_{\rho}$. Thus $u_{\varepsilon}$ is pointwise second order differentiable in the $x^{\prime}$-direction al almost every point of $B_{\rho}$.
(3') If $u$ is a viscosity solution to (1.1), then, in a smaller ball $B_{r}, r \leq \rho-$ $2 \sqrt{\varepsilon\|u\|_{\infty}}, u_{\varepsilon}$ is a viscosity supersolution to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{F}^{ \pm}\left(D^{2} u_{\epsilon}\right)=f^{ \pm}+\omega_{f^{ \pm}}\left(\sqrt{2 \varepsilon\|u\|_{\infty}}\right) \quad \text { in } B_{r}^{ \pm}  \tag{3.2}\\
\left(\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)_{n}\right)^{+}-b\left(\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)_{n}\right)^{-}=0 \quad \text { on } B_{r}^{\prime} .
\end{gather*}
$$

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

For the proof of Theorem 1.2 we use the following pointwise regularity result (see [5]).

Theorem 4.1. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a uniformly elliptic operator and $u$ be a viscosity solution to the Dirichlet problem

$$
\mathcal{F}\left(D^{2} u\right)=f \text { in } B_{1}^{+}, \quad u\left(x^{\prime}, 0\right)=g\left(x^{\prime}\right) \text { on } B_{1}^{\prime} .
$$

Assume that $g$ is pointwise $C^{1, \alpha}$ at 0 and $f \in L^{\infty}\left(B_{1}^{+}\right)$. Then $u$ is poinwise $C^{1, \alpha}$ at 0 , that is there exists a linear function $L_{u}$ such that for all $r$ small

$$
\left|u-L_{u}\right| \leq C r^{1+\alpha} \quad \text { in } \bar{B}_{r}^{+}
$$

with $C$ depending only on $n, \lambda, \Lambda,\|f\|_{\infty}$ and the pointwise $C^{1, \alpha}$ bound of $g$ at 0 .
Our main lemma reads as follows.
Lemma 4.2. Let $f^{ \pm} \in C^{0,1}\left(B_{1}^{ \pm}\right)$and $u$ be a viscosity solution to (1.1) in $B_{1}$. Then, for any $\sigma>0$ small, and any unit vector $e^{\prime}$ in the $x^{\prime}$ direction,

$$
u\left(x+\sigma e^{\prime}\right)-u(x) \in S_{\lambda, \Lambda}^{*}\left(\omega_{f^{ \pm}}(\sigma)\right)
$$

Proof. Let $v=u\left(x+\sigma e^{\prime}\right), w=v-u$. Following the proof of [1, Theorem 5.3], with minor modification, it follows that

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^{+}\left(D^{2} w\right) \geq-\omega_{f^{ \pm}}(\sigma) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^{-}\left(D^{2} w\right) \leq \omega_{f \pm}(\sigma)
$$

in $B_{1}^{ \pm}$.
To show that the free boundary condition is satisfied in the viscosity sense, we slightly modify the technique in 4 for the homogeneous case.

$$
\varphi(x)=P\left(x^{\prime}\right)+p x_{n}^{+}-q x_{n}^{-}-C x_{n}^{2}
$$

touch $w$ from above at $x_{0}=\left(x_{0}^{\prime}, 0\right)$, where $P$ is a quadratic polynomial. Choosing suitably $C$ and possibly restricting the neighborhood around $x_{0}$, we can assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^{+}\left(D^{2} \varphi\right) \leq-2 \omega_{f^{ \pm}}(\sigma)-2 \omega_{f^{ \pm}}\left(\sqrt{2\|u\|_{\infty}}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume by contradiction that

$$
p-b q<0
$$

Let $\delta>0$ small, and consider the ring $A_{\delta}\left(x_{0}\right)=\bar{B}_{2 \delta}\left(x_{0}\right) \backslash B_{\delta}\left(x_{0}\right)$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\varphi$ touches $w$ strictly from above and therefore that $\varphi-w \geq \eta>0$ on $A_{\delta}\left(x_{0}\right)$. Since $w_{\varepsilon}=u^{\varepsilon}-v_{\varepsilon}$ converges uniformly to $u-v$, for $\varepsilon$ small enough (up to adding a small constant), we have that $\varphi$ touches $w_{\varepsilon}$ from above at some point $x_{\varepsilon}$ and $\varphi-w_{\varepsilon} \geq \eta / 2$ on $\partial B_{\delta}\left(x_{\varepsilon}\right)$. In view of 4.1, we have

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^{+}\left(D^{2} w_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq-\omega_{f^{ \pm}}(\sigma)-2 \omega_{f^{ \pm}}\left(\sqrt{2 \varepsilon\|u\|_{\infty}}\right)>\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^{+}\left(D^{2} \varphi\right)
$$

and therefore $x_{\varepsilon} \in\left\{x_{n}=0\right\}$. Call

$$
\psi=\varphi-w_{\varepsilon}-\eta / 2
$$

Since $\psi \geq 0$ on $\partial B_{\delta}\left(x_{\varepsilon}\right), \psi\left(x_{\varepsilon}\right)<0$ and $\varphi$ touches $w_{\varepsilon}$ from above at $x_{\varepsilon}$, from ABP estimates ([1, Lemma 3.5]) it follows that the set of points in $B_{\delta}\left(x_{\varepsilon}\right) \cap\left\{x_{n}=0\right\}$ where $\psi$ admits a touching plane $l\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ from below, in the $x^{\prime}$ direction, of slope less than some arbitrary small number has positive measure. We choose the slope of $l$ small enough to have that $\bar{\varphi}=\varphi-l-\eta / 2 \geq w_{\varepsilon}$ on $\partial B_{\delta}\left(x_{\varepsilon}\right)$ and hence in the interior. By property (2) in Lemma 3.1 we can deduce that $\bar{\varphi}\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)=w_{\varepsilon}\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)$ for some $y_{\varepsilon}=\left(y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}, 0\right)$ where both $v^{\varepsilon}$ and $u_{\varepsilon}$ are twice pointwise differentiable in the $x^{\prime}$ direction.

Now we call $\bar{u}^{\varepsilon}$ the solution to

$$
\mathcal{F}^{ \pm}\left(D^{2} \bar{u}^{\epsilon}(x)\right)=f^{ \pm}(x)-\omega_{f^{ \pm}}\left(\sqrt{2 \varepsilon\|u\|_{\infty}}\right) \quad \text { in } B_{\delta}^{ \pm}\left(x_{\varepsilon}\right)
$$

with $w=u^{\varepsilon}$ on $\partial B_{\delta}\left(x_{\varepsilon}\right)$, and similarly let $\bar{v}_{\epsilon}$ the solution to

$$
\mathcal{F}^{ \pm}\left(D^{2} \bar{v}_{\epsilon}(x)\right)=f^{ \pm}\left(x+\sigma e^{\prime}\right)+\omega_{f \pm}\left(\sqrt{2 \varepsilon\|u\|_{\infty}}\right) \quad \text { in } B_{\delta}^{ \pm}\left(x_{\varepsilon}\right)
$$

with $w=v_{\varepsilon}$ on $\partial B_{\delta}\left(x_{\varepsilon}\right)$, Set

$$
\bar{w}_{\varepsilon}=\bar{u}^{\varepsilon}-\bar{v}_{\varepsilon} .
$$

Then $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^{+}\left(D^{2} \bar{w}_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq-\omega_{f^{ \pm}}(\sigma)-2 \omega_{f^{ \pm}}\left(\sqrt{2 \varepsilon\|u\|_{\infty}}\right)>\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^{+}\left(D^{2} \bar{\varphi}\right)$ in $B_{\delta}\left(x_{\varepsilon}\right)$ with $\bar{\varphi} \geq w_{\varepsilon}$ on the boundary. Thus, by comparison, we deduce that $\bar{\varphi} \geq \bar{w}_{\varepsilon} \geq w_{\varepsilon}$ also in the interior with contact from above at $y_{\varepsilon}$.

Also note that, by comparison, the replacements $\bar{u}^{\varepsilon}$ and $\bar{v}_{\varepsilon}$ are sub and super solution of the transmission condition on $\left\{x_{n}=0\right\}$, respectively.

By the pointwise $C^{1, \alpha}$ differentiability at $y_{\varepsilon}$ of the boundary data, we conclude the $C^{1, \alpha}$ differentiability of $\bar{v}^{\varepsilon}$ and $\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}$ up to $y_{\varepsilon}$. Thus there are linear functions $L_{v}, L_{u}$ such that, for all small $r$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\bar{v}_{\varepsilon}-L_{v}\right| \leq C r^{1+\alpha} \\
& \left|\bar{u}^{\varepsilon}-L_{u}\right| \leq C r^{1+\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

in $B_{r}^{+}\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)$. Since $\bar{\varphi}$ touches $\bar{w}_{\varepsilon}$ from above, we get $p \geq p_{u}^{+}-p_{v}^{+}$, where

$$
p_{u}^{+}=\left(\bar{u}^{\varepsilon}\right)_{n}^{+}\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right), \quad p_{v}^{+}=\left(\bar{v}_{\varepsilon}\right)_{n}^{+}\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)
$$

Arguing similarly in $B_{r}^{-}\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)$ we infer $q \leq q_{u}^{-}-q_{v}^{-}$, where

$$
q_{u}^{-}=\left(\bar{u}^{\varepsilon}\right)_{n}^{-}\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right), \quad q_{v}^{-}=\left(\bar{v}_{\varepsilon}\right)_{n}^{-}\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right) .
$$

In the next lemma we show that

$$
p_{u}^{+}-p_{v}^{+}-b\left(q_{u}^{-}-q_{v}^{-}\right) \geq 0
$$

thus reaching a contradiction.
Lemma 4.3. Let $g^{ \pm} \in C\left(B_{1}^{ \pm}\right)$and $u$ be a viscosity solution to $(0 \leq b \leq 1)$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{F}^{ \pm}\left(D^{2} u\right)=g^{ \pm} \quad \text { in } B_{1}^{ \pm}  \tag{4.2}\\
\left(u_{n}\right)^{+}-b\left(u_{n}\right)^{-} \geq 0 \quad(\leq 0) \quad \text { on } B_{1}^{\prime}
\end{gather*}
$$

Assume that $u$ is twice differentiable at $x=0$ in the $x^{\prime}$-direction. Then $u$ is differentiable at 0 and

$$
u_{n}^{+}(0)-b u_{n}^{-}(0) \geq 0 \quad(\leq 0)
$$

Proof. From Theorem 4.1 there exists a linear function $L_{u}$ such that, for all small $r$,

$$
\left|u-L_{u}\right| \leq C r^{1+\alpha} \quad \text { in } \bar{B}_{r}^{+}
$$

Without loss of generality, by subtracting a linear function, we may assume that

$$
L_{u}=\left(u_{n}\right)^{+}(0) x_{n}:=d^{+} x_{n}
$$

Let $w$ be the solution to

$$
\mathcal{F}^{+}\left(D^{2} w\right)=g^{+} \text {in } B_{r}^{+}, \quad w=\varphi_{r} \text { on } \partial B_{r}^{+}
$$

where

$$
\varphi_{r}= \begin{cases}2 C|x|^{1+\alpha} & \text { on } \partial B_{r}^{+} \cap\left\{x_{n}>0\right\} \\ 2 C r^{\alpha-1}\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2} & \text { on } B_{r}^{\prime}\end{cases}
$$

Since $u$ is twice pointwise differentiable at 0 , we get, for $r$ small enough,

$$
u-d^{+} x_{n} \leq \varphi_{r} \quad \text { on } \partial B_{r}^{+}
$$

and, by comparison,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u-d^{+} x_{n} \leq w \quad \text { in } B_{r}^{+} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, the rescaling $W_{r}(x)=r^{-1-\alpha} w(r x)$ solves

$$
\mathcal{G}\left(D^{2} W_{r}\right)=r^{1-\alpha} g^{+}(r x) \quad \text { in } B_{1}^{+}, \quad W_{r}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=2 C\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2} \text { on } B_{1}^{\prime}
$$

where $\mathcal{G}(M)=r^{1-\alpha} \mathcal{F}^{+}\left(r^{\alpha-1} M\right)$ has the same ellipticity constants of $\mathcal{F}^{+}$.
By boundary $C^{1, \alpha}$ estimates we obtain that

$$
\left\|W_{r}\right\|_{C^{1, \alpha}\left(B_{1 / 2}^{+}\right)} \leq \bar{C}
$$

for a universal $\bar{C}$. In particular

$$
W_{r}(x) \leq 2 C\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\bar{C} x_{n} \quad \text { in } \bar{B}_{1 / 2}^{+}
$$

Rescaling back we get

$$
w(x) \leq 2 C r^{\alpha-1}\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\bar{C} r^{\alpha} x_{n} \quad \text { in } \bar{B}_{r / 2}^{+}
$$

From 4.3),

$$
u \leq 2 C r^{\alpha-1}\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\bar{C} r^{\alpha} x_{n}+d^{+} x_{n} \quad \text { in } B_{r / 2}^{+}
$$

Arguing similarly in $B_{r}^{-}$we find

$$
u \leq 2 C r^{\alpha-1}\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\bar{C} r^{\alpha} x_{n}+d^{-} x_{n} \quad \text { in } B_{r / 2}^{-}
$$

with $d^{-}=\left(u_{n}\right)^{+}(0)$. Thus

$$
\varphi(x)=2 C r^{\alpha-1}\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\left(\bar{C} r^{\alpha}+d^{+}\right) x_{n}^{+}-\left(-\bar{C} r^{\alpha}+d^{-}\right) x_{n}^{-}
$$

touches $u$ by above at zero. Therefore

$$
\left(\bar{C} r^{\alpha}+d^{+}\right)-b\left(-\bar{C} r^{\alpha}+d^{-}\right) \geq 0
$$

for all small $r$ so that $d^{+}-b d^{-} \geq 0$.
From Theorem 4.1 and the arguments in Chapter 5 in [1] we deduce the following result.
Corollary 4.4. Let $u$ be a viscosity solution to 1.1. Then $u \in C^{1, \alpha}$ in the $x^{\prime}$ direction in $B_{3 / 4}$ with norm bounded by a constant depending on $n, \lambda, \Lambda,\|u\|_{\infty}$ and $\left\|f^{ \pm}\right\|_{C^{0,1}}$.

We are now ready to give the proof of our main Theorem 1.2 ,
Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let, say $\rho=1 / 2$. The $C^{1, \alpha}$ regularity and the bounds on $\|u\|_{C^{1, \alpha}\left(B_{1 / 2}\right)}$ follow from Corollary 4.4 and the regularity theory for fully nonlinear uniformly elliptic equations in [5] or [6]. It remains to show that the transmission condition is satisfied in the classical sense. Let us prove that at $x=0, a p-b q \leq 0$ where $p=\left(u_{n}\right)^{+}(0), q=\left(u_{n}\right)^{-}(0)$. By the $C^{1, \alpha}$ regularity of $u$, after possibly subtracting the linear function $u(0)+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} u(0) \cdot x^{\prime}$, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u(x)-\left(p x_{n}^{+}-q x_{n}^{-}\right)\right| \leq C r^{1+\alpha} \quad|x| \leq r . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $r$ small, define

$$
w_{r}(x)=C r^{\alpha-1}\left(-|x|^{2}+K x_{n}^{2}\right)-2 r^{\alpha} C K\left|x_{n}\right|+p x_{n}^{+}-q x_{n}^{-}
$$

Choose $K$ large to have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^{-}\left(D^{2} w_{r}\right) \geq C(2 \lambda(K-1)-2 \Lambda n)>\left\|f^{ \pm}\right\|_{\infty} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (4.4), we get $w_{r}<u$ on $\partial B_{r}$. Let

$$
m=\min _{\bar{B}_{r}}\left(u-w_{r}\right)=\left(u-w_{r}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

Since $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^{-}\left(D^{2} u\right) \leq \mathcal{F}^{ \pm}\left(D^{2} u\right)=f^{ \pm}$, from 4.5 we deduce that $x_{0} \notin B_{r}^{ \pm}$. Also, since $\left(u-w_{r}\right)(0)=0$ it follows that $m \leq 0$, hence $x_{0} \notin \partial B_{r}$. Thus $x_{0} \in B_{r}^{\prime}$ and $w_{r}+m$ touches $u$ at $x_{0}$ from below. By definition it follows that

$$
a\left(p-2 r^{\alpha} C K\right)-b\left(q+2 r^{\alpha} C K\right) \leq 0
$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 0$ we get $a p-b q \leq 0$.
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