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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ABSTRACT. In this article, by using variational method, we study the existence } \\
& \text { of a positive ground state solution for the Schrödinger-Poisson system } \\
& \qquad-\Delta u+V(x) u+K(x) \phi u=f(x, u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, \\
& \qquad-\Delta \phi=K(x) u^{2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, \\
& \text { where } V(x), K(x) \text { and } f(x, u) \text { are asymptotically periodic functions in } x \text { at } \\
& \text { infinity. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 1. Introduction and statement of results

For past decades, much attention has been paid to the nonlinear SchrödingerPoisson system

$$
\begin{align*}
i \hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \Delta \Psi+ & U(x) \Psi+\phi(x) \Psi-|\Psi|^{q-1} \Psi, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, t \in \mathbb{R}  \tag{1.1}\\
& -\Delta \phi=|\Psi|^{2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hbar$ is the Planck constant. Equation (1.1) derived from quantum mechanics. For this equation, the existence of stationary wave solutions is often sought, that is, the following form of solution

$$
\Psi(x, t)=e^{i t} u(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Therefore, the existence of the standing wave solution of the equation (1.1) is equivalent to finding the solution of the following system $\left(m=\frac{1}{2}, \hbar=1, V(x)=\right.$ $U(x)+1)$

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta u+V(x) u+\phi u=|u|^{q-1} u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \\
-\Delta \phi=u^{2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} . \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

As far as we know, the first result on Schrödinger-Poisson system was obtained in [6]. Thereafter, using the variational method, there is a series of work to discuss the existence, non existence, radially symmetric solutions, non-radially symmetric

[^0]solutions and ground state to Schrödinger-Poisson system (1.2) (1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9 , 10, 11, 12, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 21, 32, 33, 37, 40, 41, 44, 45, 47, 48, 49,

To the best of our knowledge, Azzollini and Pomponio [5] firstly obtained the ground state solution to the Schrödinger-Poisson system (1.2). The conclusion they got was that if $V$ is a positive constant and $2<q<5$, or $V$ is non-constant, possibly unbounded below and $3<q<5$, system $\sqrt{1.2}$ has a ground state solution.

Alves, Souto and Soares [1] studied Schrödinger-Poisson system

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta u+V(x) u+\phi u=f(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \\
-\Delta \phi=u^{2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $V$ is bounded locally Hölder continuous and satisfies:
(1) $V(x) \geq \alpha>0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$;
(2) $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty}\left|V(x)-V_{0}(x)\right|=0$, where $V_{0}$ satisfy $V_{0}(x)=V_{0}(x+y)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and all $y \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}$;
(3) $V(x) \leq V_{0}(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, and there exists an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ with $m(\Omega)>0$ such that $V(x)<V_{0}(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$.
Alves et al. studied the ground state solutions to system 1.3 in case the asymptotically periodic condition under conditions (1)-(3).

In case $p \in(3,5)$, Cerami and Vaira [9] studied the existence of positive solutions for the following non-autonomous Schrödinger-Poisson system

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta u+u+K(x) \phi(x) u=a(x)|u|^{p-1} u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, \\
-\Delta \phi=K(x) u^{2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \tag{1.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $a, K$ are nonnegative functions such that $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} a(x)=a_{\infty}>0$, and $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} K(x)=0$.

Zhang, Xu and Zhang [48] considered existence of positive ground state solution for the Schrödinger-Poisson system

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta u+V(x) u+K(x) \phi u=f(x, u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \\
-\Delta \phi=K(x) u^{2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \tag{1.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

In their paper, $V$ and $K$ satisfy:

- $V, K \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right), \inf _{\mathbb{R}^{3}} V>0, \inf _{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K>0$, and $V-V_{p}, K-K_{p} \in \mathcal{F}$, where $V_{p}$ and $K_{p}$ satisfy $V_{p}(x+z)=V_{p}(x), K_{p}(x+z)=K_{p}(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $z \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}$, here $\mathcal{F}=\left\{g \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right): \forall \varepsilon>0\right\}$, the set $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}:|g(x)| \geq\right.$ $\varepsilon\}$ has finite Lebesgue measure $\}$.
On the other hand, when $K=0$ the Schrödinger-Poisson system 1.5 becomes the standard Schrödinger equation (replace $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+V(x) u=f(x, u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Schrödinger equation (1.6) has been widely investigated by many authors, see [2, 7, 13, 14, 18, 23, 29, 24, 34, 35, 36, 42, 43, 46] and reference their. Especially, in [23, 29, 34, 42, 43], they studied the nontrivial solution and ground state solution for problem 1.6 in which $V$ or $f$ satisfy the asymptotically periodic condition. In the other context about asymptotically periodic condition, we refer the reader to [22, 25, 26, 39] and reference their.

Motivated by above results, in this paper we study positive ground state solutions to system 1.5 under reformative condition about asymptotically periodic case of $V, K$ and $f$ at infinity.

To state our main results, we assume that:
(A1) $V, V_{p} \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right), 0 \leq V(x) \leq V_{p}(x)$ and $V(x)-V_{p}(x) \in A_{0}$, where $A_{0}:=$ $\left\{k(x):\right.$ for any $\varepsilon>0, m\left\{x \in B_{1}(y):|k(x)| \geq \varepsilon\right\} \rightarrow 0$ as $\left.|y| \rightarrow \infty\right\}$ and $V_{p}$ satisfies $V_{0}:=\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}} V_{p}>0$ and $V_{p}(x+z)=V_{p}(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $z \in \mathbb{Z}^{3} . K, K_{p} \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right), 0<K(x) \leq K_{p}(x), K(x)-K_{p}(x) \in A_{0}$ and $K_{p}$ satisfies $K_{0}:=\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}} K_{p}>0$ and $K_{p}(x+z)=K_{p}(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $z \in \mathbb{Z}^{3} ;$
and $f \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ satisfies
(A2) $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{f(x, s)}{s}=0$ uniformly for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$,
(A3) $\lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{f(x, s)}{s^{5}}=0$ uniformly for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$,
(A4) $\frac{f(x, s)}{s^{3}}$ is nondecreasing on $(0,+\infty)$,
(A5) there exists $f_{p} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ such that
(i) $f(x, s) \geq f_{p}(x, s)$ for all $(x, s) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$and $f(x, s)-f_{p}(x, s) \in A$, where $A:=\left\{h(x, s):\right.$ for any $\varepsilon>0, m\left\{x \in B_{1}(y):|h(x, s)| \geq \varepsilon\right\} \rightarrow$ 0as $|y| \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly for $|s|$ bounded $\}$,
(ii) $f_{p}(x+z, s)=f_{p}(x, s)$ for all $(x, s) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$and $z \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}$,
(iii) $\frac{f_{p}(x, s)}{s^{3}}$ is nondecreasing on $(0,+\infty)$,
(iv) $\lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{F_{p}(x, s)}{s^{4}}=+\infty$ uniformly for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, where $F_{p}(x, s)=\int_{0}^{s} f_{p}(x, t) d t$.
Remark 1.1. (i) Functional sets $A_{0}$ in (A1) and $A$ in (A5) were introduced by [29] in which Liu, Liao and Tang studied positive ground state solution to Schrödinger equation 1.6.
(ii) Since $\mathcal{F} \subset A_{0}$, our assumptions on $V$ and $K$ are weaker than in 48. Furthermore, in our paper $V(x) \geq 0$ but in 48 they assumed $V(x)>0$.
(iii) In [48, to obtain the positive ground state to system 1.5 , they firstly consider the periodic system

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta u+V_{p}(x) u+K_{p}(x) \phi u=f_{p}(x, u) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \\
-\Delta \phi=K_{p}(x) u^{2} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \tag{1.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then a solution of system $\sqrt{1.5}$ was obtained by applying inequality between the energy of periodic system 1.7 ) and that of system (1.5). In this paper, we do not using methods that of 48 and we proof the Theorem 1.2 directly.

Since we are looking for a positive solution, we may assume that $f(x, s)=$ $f_{p}(x, s)=0$ for all $(x, s) \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{-}\right)$. The next theorems are the main results of the present paper.

Theorem 1.2. Suppose that (A1)-(A5) are satisfied. Then system 1.5 has a positive ground state solution.

Theorem 1.3. Suppose that $V(x) \equiv V_{p}(x), K(x) \equiv K_{p}(x)$ satisfy (A1), and $f(x, s) \equiv f_{p}(x, s)$ satisfies (A2)-(A5). Then system 1.5) has a positive ground state solution.

## 2. Variational framework and preliminary Results

The letter $C$ and $C_{i}$ will be repeatedly used to denote various positive constants whose exact values are irrelevant. $B_{R}(z)$ denotes the open ball centered at $z$ with
radius $R$. We denote the standard norm of $L^{p}$ by $|u|_{p}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|u|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}$ and $|u|_{\infty}=$ ess $\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}}|u|$.

The Sobolev space $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ is endowed with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{H}^{2}:=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+u^{2}\right) d x
$$

The space $D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ is endowed with the standard norm

$$
\|u\|_{D^{1,2}}^{2}:=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x
$$

Let $E:=\left\{u \in L^{6}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right):|\nabla u| \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right.$ and $\left.\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} V(x) u^{2} d x<\infty\right\}$ be the Sobolev space endowed with the norm

$$
\|u\|^{2}:=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+V(x) u^{2}\right) d x
$$

Lemma 2.1. 29] Suppose (A1) holds. Then there exists two positive constants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ such that $C_{1}\|u\|_{H}^{2} \leq\|u\| \leq C_{2}\|u\|_{H}^{2}$ for all $u \in E$. Moreover, $E \hookrightarrow L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ for any $p \in[2,6]$ is continuous.

System 1.5 can be transformed into a Schrödinger equation with a nonlocal term. In fact, for all $u \in E$ (then $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ ), considering the linear functional $L_{u}$ defined in $D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ by

$$
L_{u}(v)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K(x) u^{2} v d x
$$

According to the Hölder inequality and lemma 2.1), one has that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|L_{u}(v)\right| \leq|K|_{\infty}|u|_{12 / 5}^{2}|v|_{6} \leq C\|u\|^{2}\|v\|_{D^{1,2}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, by the Lax-Milgram theorem exists an unique $\phi_{u} \in D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \nabla \phi_{u} \cdot \nabla v d x=\left(\phi_{u}, v\right)_{D^{1,2}}=L_{u}(v)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K(x) u^{2} v d x
$$

for any $v \in D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and $\left\|\phi_{u}\right\|_{D^{1,2}} \leq C\|u\|^{2}$. Namely, $\phi_{u}$ is the unique solution of

$$
-\Delta \phi=K(x) u^{2}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$

Moreover, $\phi_{u}$ can be expressed as

$$
\phi_{u}=C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{K(y) u^{2}(y)}{|x-y|} d y
$$

Substituting $\phi_{u}$ into the system 1.5 , we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+V(x) u+K(x) \phi_{u} u=f(x, u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K(x) \phi_{u} u^{2} d x\right| \leq C\|u\|^{4} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

So the energy functional $I: H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ corresponding to 2.2 is given by

$$
I(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+V(x) u^{2}\right) d x+\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K(x) \phi_{u} u^{2} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} F(x, u) d x
$$

where $F(x, s)=\int_{0}^{s} f(x, t) d t$.

Moreover, under our condition, $I$ belongs to $C^{1}$, so the Fréchet derivative of $I$ is

$$
\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(\nabla u \cdot \nabla v+V(x) u v) d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K(x) \phi_{u} u v d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} f(x, u) v d x
$$

and $(u, \phi) \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \times D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ is a solution of system 1.5 if and only if $u \in$ $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ is a critical point of $I$ and $\phi=\phi_{u}$.

For all $u \in E$, let $\tilde{\phi}_{u} \in D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ is unique solution of the following equation

$$
-\Delta \phi=K_{p}(x) u^{2}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$

Moreover, $\widetilde{\phi}_{u}$ can be expressed as

$$
\widetilde{\phi}_{u}=C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{K_{p}(y) u^{2}(y)}{|x-y|} d y
$$

Let

$$
I_{p}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+V_{p}(x) u^{2}\right) d x+\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K_{p}(x) \widetilde{\phi}_{u} u^{2} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} F_{p}(x, u) d x
$$

where $F_{p}(x, s)=\int_{0}^{s} f_{p}(x, t) d t$. Then $I_{p}$ is the energy functional corresponding to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+V_{p}(x) u+K_{p}(x) \widetilde{\phi}_{u} u=f_{p}(x, u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that $(u, \phi) \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \times D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ is a solution of periodic system 1.7) if and only if $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ is a critical point of $I_{p}$ and $\phi=\widetilde{\phi}_{u}$.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose (A1) holds. Then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K_{p}(x) \widetilde{\phi}_{u(\cdot+z)} u^{2}(\cdot+z) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K_{p}(x) \widetilde{\phi}_{u} u^{2} d x, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}, u \in E
$$

Lemma 2.3. Suppose that (A2), (A4), (A5) hold. Then
(i) $\frac{1}{4} f(x, s) s \geq F(x, s) \geq 0$ for all $(x, s) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}$,
(ii) $\frac{1}{4} f_{p}(x, s) s \geq F_{p}(x, s) \geq 0$ for all $(x, s) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}$.

The proof of the above lemma is similar to that in [31], so we omitted here.
Lemma 2.4. Operator $I^{\prime}$ is weakly sequentially continuous. Namely if $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $E, I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightharpoonup I^{\prime}(u)$ in $E^{-1}$.

The proof of the above lemma is similar to that of in 48, so we omitted here.
Lemma 2.5 ([29]). Suppose that (A2), (A3), (A5)(i) hold. Assume that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $E$ and $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, for any $s \in[2,6)$. Then up to a subsequence, one has

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(F\left(x, u_{n}\right)-F_{p}\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) d x=o_{n}(1) .
$$

Lemma 2.6 ([29]). Suppose that (A1), (A2), (A3) (A5)(i) hold. Assume that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $E$ and $\left|z_{n}\right| \rightarrow \infty$. Then any $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, one has

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(V_{p}(x)-V(x)\right) u_{n} \varphi\left(\cdot-z_{n}\right) d x=o_{n}(1), \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(f\left(x, u_{n}\right)-f_{p}\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) \varphi\left(\cdot-z_{n}\right) d x=o_{n}(1) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 2.7. Suppose that (A1), (A2), (A3), (A5)(i) hold. Assume that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup 0$ in $E$. Then up to a subsequence, one has

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(K(x) \phi_{u_{n}} u_{n} \varphi\left(\cdot-z_{n}\right)-K_{p}(x) \widetilde{\phi}_{u_{n}} u_{n} \varphi\left(\cdot-z_{n}\right)\right) d x=o_{n}(1)
$$

where $\left|z_{n}\right| \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.
Proof. Set $h(x):=K(x)-K_{p}(x)$. By (A1), we have $h(x) \in A_{0}$. Then for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $R_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
m\left\{x \in B_{1}(y):|h(x)| \geq \varepsilon\right\}<\varepsilon, \quad \text { for any }|y| \geq R_{\varepsilon}
$$

We cover $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ by balls $B_{1}\left(y_{i}\right), i \in \mathbb{N}$. In such a way that each point of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ is contained in at most $N+1$ balls. Without any loss of generality, we suppose that $\left|y_{i}\right|<R_{\varepsilon}, i=1,2, \ldots, n_{\varepsilon}$ and $\left|y_{i}\right| \geq R_{\varepsilon}, i=n_{\varepsilon}+1, n_{\varepsilon}+2, n_{\varepsilon}+3, \ldots,+\infty$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(K(x) \phi_{u_{n}} u_{n} \varphi\left(\cdot-z_{n}\right)-K_{p}(x) \tilde{\phi}_{u_{n}} u_{n} \varphi\left(\cdot-z_{n}\right)\right) d x \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{K_{p}(y) u_{n}(y) \varphi\left(y-z_{n}\right)}{|x-y|} d y h(x) u_{n}^{2}(x) d x \\
& \quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{K_{p}(y) u_{n}^{2}(y)}{|x-y|} d y h(x) u_{n}(x) \varphi\left(x-z_{n}\right) d x \\
& \quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{h(y) u_{n}^{2}(y)}{|x-y|} d y h(x) u_{n}(x) \varphi\left(x-z_{n}\right) d x \\
& :=E_{1}+E_{2}+E_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

As in [48, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(x): & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{K_{p}(y) u_{n}(y) \varphi\left(y-z_{n}\right)}{|x-y|} d y \\
= & \int_{\{y:|x-y| \leq 1\}} \frac{K_{p}(y) u_{n}(y) \varphi\left(y-z_{n}\right)}{|x-y|} d y \\
& +\int_{\{y:|x-y|>1\}} \frac{K_{p}(y) u_{n}(y) \varphi\left(y-z_{n}\right)}{|x-y|} d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Hölder inequality and the Sobolev embedding, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|H(x)| \leq & \left|K_{p}\right|_{\infty}\left|u_{n}\right|_{3}|\varphi|_{6}\left(\int_{\{y:|x-y| \leq 1\}} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{2}} d y\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& +\left|K_{p}\right|_{\infty}\left|u_{n}\right|_{2}|\varphi|_{4}\left(\int_{\{y:|x-y|>1\}} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{4}} d y\right)^{1 / 4} \\
\leq & C\left(\int_{\{z:|z| \leq 1\}} \frac{1}{|z|^{2}} d z\right)^{1 / 2}+C\left(\int_{\{z:|z|>1\}} \frac{1}{|z|^{4}} d z\right)^{1 / 4}
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}}|H(x)|<\infty$. Then, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{1} & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} H(x) h(x) u_{n}^{2}(x) d x \\
& \leq \int_{\{x:|h(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}}\left|H(x) h(x) u_{n}^{2}(x)\right| d x+\int_{\{x:|h(x)|<\varepsilon\}}\left|H(x) h(x) u_{n}^{2}(x)\right| d x \\
& :=Q_{1}+Q_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{1}= \int_{\{x:|h(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}}\left|H(x) h(x) u_{n}^{2}(x)\right| d x \\
&= \int_{\left\{x:|h(x)| \geq \varepsilon,|x|>R_{\varepsilon}+1\right\}}\left|H(x) h(x) u_{n}^{2}(x)\right| d x \\
&+\int_{\left\{x:|h(x)| \geq \varepsilon,|x| \leq R_{\varepsilon}+1\right\}}\left|H(x) h(x) u_{n}^{2}(x)\right| d x \\
& \leq \sum_{n_{\varepsilon}+1}^{\infty} \int_{\left\{x \in B_{1}\left(y_{i}\right):|h(x)| \geq \varepsilon,|x|>R_{\varepsilon}+1\right\}}\left|H(x) h(x) u_{n}^{2}(x)\right| d x \\
&+2 \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|H(x) \| K_{p}\right|_{\infty} \int_{B_{R_{\varepsilon}+1}}\left|u_{n}(x)\right|^{2} d x \\
& Q_{11}= Q_{11}+Q_{12} \\
& \sum_{n_{\varepsilon}+1} \int_{\left\{x \in B_{1}\left(y_{i}\right):|h(x)| \geq \varepsilon,|x|>R_{\varepsilon}+1\right\}}\left|H(x) h(x) u_{n}^{2}(x)\right| d x \\
& \leq 2 \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|H(x) \| K_{p}\right|_{\infty} \sum_{n_{\varepsilon}+1}^{\infty} \int_{\left\{x \in B_{1}\left(y_{i}\right):|h(x)| \geq \varepsilon,|x|>R_{\varepsilon}+1\right\}}\left|u_{n}^{2}(x)\right| d x \\
& \leq C \sum_{n_{\varepsilon}+1}^{\infty}\left(m\left\{x \in B_{1}(y):|h(x)| \geq \varepsilon\right\}\right)^{2 / 3} \\
& \times\left(\int_{\left\{x \in B_{1}\left(y_{i}\right):|h(x)| \geq \varepsilon,|x|>R_{\varepsilon}+1\right\}}\left|u_{n}^{6}(x)\right| d x\right)^{1 / 3} \\
& \leq C_{1} \varepsilon^{2 / 3} \sum_{n_{\varepsilon}+1}^{\infty} \int_{\left\{x \in B_{1}\left(y_{i}\right):|h(x)| \geq \varepsilon,|x|>R_{\varepsilon}+1\right\}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+u_{n}^{2}\right) d x \\
& \leq C_{1}(N+1) \varepsilon^{2 / 3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+u_{n}^{2}\right) d x \leq C_{2} \varepsilon^{2 / 3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain $Q_{11} \rightarrow 0$.
Since $u_{n} \rightharpoonup 0$, one has that $Q_{12} \rightarrow 0$. So, $Q_{1}=Q_{11}+Q_{12} \rightarrow 0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{2} & =\int_{\{x:|h(x)|<\varepsilon\}}\left|H(x) h(x) u_{n}^{2}(x)\right| d x \\
& \leq \varepsilon \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}}|H(x)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u_{n}^{2}(x)\right| d x \leq C \varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have $Q_{2} \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, from the above fact we get that $E_{1} \rightarrow 0$. In the same way, we can prove $E_{2} \rightarrow 0$ and $E_{3} \rightarrow 0$.

We define $\mathcal{N}:=\left\{u \in E \backslash\{0\}:\left(I^{\prime}(u), u\right)=0\right\}$. Then $\mathcal{N}$ is a Nehari type associate to $I$, and set $c:=\operatorname{in} f_{u \in \mathcal{N}} I$. Let $F:=\left\{u \in E: u^{+} \neq 0\right\}$, where $u^{ \pm}=\max \{ \pm u, 0\}$. In fact

$$
\mathcal{N}=\left\{u \in F:\left(I^{\prime}(u), u\right)=0\right\} .
$$

Lemma 2.8. Suppose that (A1)-(A5) hold. For any $u \in F$, there is a unique $t_{u}>0$ such that $t_{u} u \in \mathcal{N}$. Moreover, the maximum of $I(t u)$ for $t \geq 0$ is achieved.

Proof. Define $g(t):=I(t u), t \geq 0$. Using (A2), (A3) and (A5), we can prove that $g(0)=0, g(t)>0$ for $t$ small and $g(t)<0$ for $t$ large. In fact, by (A2) and (A3), for all $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
|f(x, s)| \leq \varepsilon|s|+C_{\varepsilon}|s|^{5}, \quad|F(x, s)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}|s|^{2}+\frac{C_{\varepsilon}}{6}|s|^{6}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(t) & =\frac{t^{2}}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{t^{4}}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K(x) \phi_{u} u^{2} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} F(x, t u) d x \\
& =\frac{t^{2}}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{t^{4}}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K(x) \phi_{u} u^{2} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} F(x, t u) d x \\
& \geq \frac{t^{2}}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\varepsilon t^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|u|^{2} d x-C_{\varepsilon} t^{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|u|^{6} d x \\
& \geq \frac{t^{2}}{2}\|u\|^{2}-C \varepsilon t^{2}\|u\|^{2}-C_{\varepsilon} t^{6}\|u\|^{6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $g(0)=0, g(t)>0$ for $t$ small.
Set $\Omega:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}: u(x)>0\right\}$, by using Fatou lemma and (A5), we have

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(x, t u)}{(t u)^{4}} u^{4} d x \geq \liminf _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{F_{p}(x, t u)}{(t u)^{4}} u^{4} d x=+\infty
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{g(t)}{t^{4}} \\
& =\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{2 t^{2}}\|u\|^{4}+\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K(x) \phi_{u} u^{2} d x-\liminf _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{F(x, t u)}{t^{4}} d x \\
& =\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{2 t^{2}}\|u\|^{4}+\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K(x) \phi_{u} u^{2} d x-\liminf _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(x, t u)}{(t u)^{4}} u^{4} d x=-\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

which deduces $g(t) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$. Therefore, there exists a $t_{u}$ such that $I\left(t_{u} u\right)=\max _{t>0} I(t u)$ and $t_{u} u \in \mathcal{N}$. Suppose that there exist $t_{u}^{\prime}>t_{u}>0$ such that $t_{u}^{\prime} u, t_{u} u \in \mathcal{N}$. Then, We have

$$
\frac{1}{\left(t_{u}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}\|u\|^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K(x) \phi_{u} u^{2} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{f\left(x, t_{u}^{\prime} u\right) u^{4}}{\left(t_{u}^{\prime} u\right)^{3}} d x
$$

and this identity is also true if $t_{u}^{\prime}$ is replaced by $t_{u}$. Therefore,

$$
\left(\frac{1}{\left(t_{u}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}-\frac{1}{\left(t_{u}\right)^{2}}\right)\|u\|^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\frac{f\left(x, t_{u}^{\prime} u\right)}{\left(t_{u}^{\prime} u\right)^{3}}-\frac{f\left(x, t_{u} u\right)}{\left(t_{u} u\right)^{3}}\right) u^{4} d x
$$

which is absurd in view of (A4) and $t_{u}^{\prime}>t_{u}>0$.
Remark 2.9. As in [36, 46, we have

$$
c=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}} I(u)=\inf _{u \in F} \max _{t>0} I(t u)=\inf _{\gamma(t) \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I(\gamma(t))>0
$$

where

$$
\Gamma:=\{\gamma \in C([0,1], E): \gamma(0)=0, I(\gamma(1))<0\}
$$

Lemma 2.10. Suppose that (A1), (A2)-(A5) hold. Then there exists a nonnegative and bounded sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \in E$ such that

$$
I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{E^{-1}} \rightarrow 0
$$

Proof. From the proof of Lemma 2.8, it is easy to see that $I$ satisfies the mountain pass geometry. By [38], there exists an $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ such that $I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $\left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right)\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{E^{-1}} \rightarrow 0$. By Lemma 2.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
c & =I(u)-\frac{1}{4}\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle+o_{n}(1) \\
& =\frac{1}{4}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\frac{1}{4} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n}-F\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) d x+o_{n}(1) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{4}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+o_{n}(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Moreover, we have

$$
\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}^{-}\right\rangle=\left\|u_{n}^{-}\right\|^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K(x) \phi_{u_{n}}\left(u_{n}^{-}\right)^{2} d x=o_{n}(1)
$$

Then $\left\|u_{n}^{-}\right\|^{2}=o(1)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K(x) \phi_{u_{n}^{-}}\left(u_{n}^{-}\right)^{2}=o(1)$. Therefore, we can infer that $I\left(u_{n}^{+}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)\right\|_{E^{-1}} \rightarrow 0$. Hence, we may always assume that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is nonnegative and the prove is fished.

Lemma 2.11. Suppose that (A1)-(A5) hold. If $u \in \mathcal{N}$ and $I(u)=c$, then $u$ is $a$ solution of system (1.5).

Proof. The proof is similar to that of [29, 30]. Suppose by contradiction, that $u$ is not a solution of system (1.5). Hence, there exists $\varphi \in E$ such that

$$
\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), \varphi\right\rangle<-1
$$

Choose $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ small enough such that for all $|t-1| \leq \varepsilon$ and $|\sigma| \leq \varepsilon$,

$$
\left\langle I^{\prime}(t u+\sigma \varphi), \varphi\right\rangle \leq-\frac{1}{2}
$$

Let $\zeta(t) \in[0,1]$ satisfies $\zeta(t)=1$ for $|t-1| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and $\zeta(t)=0$ for $|t-1| \geq \varepsilon$. for all $t>0$, let $\gamma(t)$ be a curve such that $\gamma(t)=t u$ for $|t-1| \geq \varepsilon$ and $\gamma(t)=t u+\varepsilon \zeta(t) \varphi$ for $|t-1|<\varepsilon$. Obviously, $\gamma(t)$ is a continuous curve, furthermore, $\|\gamma(t)\|>0$ for $|t-1|<\varepsilon$ in which $\varepsilon$ small enough. Next we will prove $I(\gamma(t))<c$, for all $t>0$. In fact, if $|t-1| \geq \varepsilon, I(\gamma(t))=I(t u)<I(u)=c$. If $|t-1|<\varepsilon$, for all $\sigma \in[0, \varepsilon]$, we define $A: \sigma \mapsto I(t u+\sigma \zeta(t) \varphi)$. Obviously, $A \in C^{1}$. By the mean value therm, there exists $\bar{\sigma} \in(0, \varepsilon)$ such that

$$
I(t u+\varepsilon \zeta(t) \varphi)=I(t u)+\left\langle I^{\prime}(t u+\bar{\sigma} \zeta(t) \varphi), \varepsilon \zeta(t) \varphi\right\rangle \leq I(t u)-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \zeta(t)<c .
$$

Set $\nu(u):=\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle$, then $\nu(\gamma(1-\varepsilon))=\nu((1-\varepsilon) u)>0$ and $\nu(\gamma(1+\varepsilon))=$ $\nu((1+\varepsilon) u)<0$. According to the continuity of $t \rightarrow \nu(\gamma(t))$, there exists $t^{\prime} \in$ $(1-\varepsilon, 1+\varepsilon)$ such that $\nu\left(\gamma\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)=0$. Thus $\gamma\left(t^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{N}$ and $I\left(\gamma\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)<c$, which is a contradiction.

Define

$$
\mathcal{N}_{p}=\left\{u \in F \backslash\{0\}:\left\langle I_{p}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=0\right\} \text { and } c_{p}=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}_{p}}
$$

In fact, $c_{p}=\inf _{u \in F} \max _{t>0} I_{p}(t u)$.
Remark 2.12. For any $u \in F$, by Lemma 2.8, there exists $t_{u}>0$ such that $t_{u} u \in \mathcal{N}$ and then $I\left(t_{u} u\right) \geq c$. Using $V(x) \leq V_{p}(x)$ and $F(x, s) \geq F_{p}(x, s)$, we have $c \leq I\left(t_{u} u\right) \leq I_{p}\left(t_{u} u\right) \leq \max _{t>0} I_{p}(t u)$. Then we obtain $c \leq c_{p}$.

## 3. Proof of main results

Proof. According to Lemma 2.10, there exist a nonnegative and bounded sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \in E$ such that $I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{E^{-1}} \rightarrow 0$. Then there exists $u \in E$ such that, up to a subsequence, $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $E, u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and $u_{n}(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. By lemma 2.4, we have that

$$
0=\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), v\right\rangle+o_{n}(1)=\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle, \forall v \in E,
$$

that is $u$ is a solution of system (1.5). We next distinguish the following two case to prove system $\sqrt{1.5}$ have a nonnegative ground state solution.

Case 1: $u \neq 0$. Then $I(u) \geq c$. By Lemma 2.3 and the Fatou lemma, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
c & =\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(I\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{4}\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle\right) \\
& =\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{4}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\frac{1}{4} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n}-F\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) d x\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{4}\|u\|^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\frac{1}{4} f(x, u) u-F(x, u)\right) d x \\
& =I(u)-\frac{1}{4}\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=I(u) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $I(u)=c$ and $I^{\prime}(u)=0$.
Case 2: $u=0$. Let

$$
\beta:=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{z \in \mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{B_{1}(z)} u_{n}^{2} d x .
$$

If $\beta=0$, by using the Lions lemma [27, 28, we have $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ for all $q \in(2,6)$. From the conditions of (A2) and (A3), for all $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that $\frac{1}{2} f(x, u) u-F(x, u) \leq \varepsilon\left(|u|^{2}+|u|^{6}\right)+C_{\varepsilon}|u|^{\alpha}$ for any $(x, s) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \in(2,6)$. Let $\varepsilon$ small enough, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
c & =I\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle+o_{n}(1) \\
& =-\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K(x) \phi_{u_{n}} u_{n}^{2} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\frac{1}{2} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n}-F\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) d x+o_{n}(1) \\
& \leq-\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K(x) \phi_{u_{n}} u_{n}^{2} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\varepsilon\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{2}+\left|u_{n}\right|^{6}\right)+C_{\varepsilon}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\alpha}\right) d x+o_{n}(1) \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction with $c>0$. So $\beta>0$. Up to a subsequence, there exists $R>0$ and $\left\{z_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{3}$ such that

$$
\int_{B_{R}} u_{n}\left(x+z_{n}\right)^{2} d x=\int_{B_{R}\left(z_{n}\right)} u_{n}^{2} d x>\frac{\beta}{2} .
$$

Set $w_{n}:=u_{n}\left(x+z_{n}\right)$. Hence, there exists a nonnegative function $w \in E$ such that, up to a subsequence, $w_{n} \rightharpoonup w$ in $E, w_{n} \rightarrow w$ in $L_{\text {loc }}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and $w_{n}(x) \rightarrow w(x)$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Obviously, $w \neq 0$. If $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, $\exists R^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\int_{B_{R^{\prime}}(0)} u_{n}^{2} d x \geq \int_{B_{R}\left(z_{n}\right)} u_{n}^{2} d x \geq \frac{\beta}{2}
$$

which contradicts with the fact $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{\text {loc }}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$. Hence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is unbounded. Up to a subsequence, we have $z_{n} \rightarrow \infty$. For all $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, by Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7 , we have

$$
0=\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}, \varphi\left(\cdot-z_{n}\right)\right)\right\rangle+o_{n}(1)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\nabla u_{n} \cdot \nabla \varphi\left(\cdot-z_{n}\right) d x+V(x) u_{n} \varphi\left(\cdot-z_{n}\right)\right) d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K(x) \phi_{u_{n}} u_{n} \varphi\left(\cdot-z_{n}\right) d x \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) \varphi\left(\cdot-z_{n}\right) d x+o_{n}(1) \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\nabla u_{n} \cdot \nabla \varphi\left(\cdot-z_{n}\right)+V_{p}(x) u_{n} \varphi\left(\cdot-z_{n}\right)\right) d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K_{p}(x) \widetilde{\phi}_{u_{n}} u_{n} \varphi\left(\cdot-z_{n}\right) d x \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} f_{p}\left(x, u_{n}\right) \varphi\left(\cdot-z_{n}\right) d x+o_{n}(1) \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\nabla w_{n} \cdot \nabla \varphi+V_{p}(x) w_{n} \varphi\right) d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K_{p}(x) \widetilde{\phi}_{w_{n}} w_{n} \varphi d x \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} f_{p}\left(x, w_{n}\right) \varphi d x+o_{n}(1) \\
= & \left\langle I_{p}^{\prime}(w), \varphi\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, $w$ is a solution of periodic system (1.7). By Lemma 2.3), Lemma 2.5. (A5) and Fatou lemma, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
c & =I\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{4}\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle+o_{n}(1) \\
& =\frac{1}{4}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\frac{1}{4} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n}-F\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) d x+o_{n}(1) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{4}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\frac{1}{4} f_{p}\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n}-F_{p}\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) d x+o_{n}(1) \\
& =\frac{1}{4}\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\frac{1}{4} f_{p}\left(x, w_{n}\right) w_{n}-F_{p}\left(x, w_{n}\right)\right) d x+o_{n}(1) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{4}\|w\|^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\frac{1}{4} f_{p}(x, w) w-F_{p}(x, w)\right) d x+o_{n}(1) \\
& =I_{p}(w)-\frac{1}{4}\left\langle I_{p}^{\prime}(w), w\right\rangle \\
& =I_{p}(w) \geq c_{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Remark 2.12), $I_{p}(w)=c_{p}=c$. By the properties of $c$ and $\mathcal{N}$, there exits $t_{w}>0$ such that $t_{w} w \in \mathcal{N}$. Thus, we obtain $c \leq I\left(t_{w} w\right) \leq I_{p}\left(t_{w} w\right) \leq I_{p}(w)=c$. So $c$ is achieved by $t_{w} w$. By Lemma 2.11. we have $I^{\prime}\left(t_{w} w\right)=0$. Therefore, $u=t_{w} w$ is a nonnegative ground state solution for system 1.5. Similar to that of discussed in [48, by the maximum principle discussed, $u>0$.
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