

**SHAPE DIFFERENTIATION OF STEADY-STATE
REACTION-DIFFUSION PROBLEMS ARISING IN CHEMICAL
ENGINEERING WITH NON-SMOOTH KINETICS
WITH DEAD CORE**

DAVID GÓMEZ-CASTRO

Communicated by Jesús Ildefonso Díaz

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider an extension of the results in shape differentiation of semilinear equations with smooth nonlinearity presented by Díaz and Gómez-Castro [8], to the case in which the nonlinearities might be less smooth. Namely we show that Gateaux shape derivatives exists when the nonlinearity is only Lipschitz continuous, and we will give a definition of the derivative when the nonlinearity has a blow up. In this direction, we study the case of root-type nonlinearities.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article we consider the shape differentiation of a family of diffusion-reaction problems introduced by Aris in the context of optimization of chemical reactors depending on the spatial domain (see [1]). It was later shown that the model can be rigorously deduced as a limit of different nonhomogeneous microscopic models (see [3, 4]). In particular we are interested in the solutions of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta w + \beta(w) &= f, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w &= 1, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

and their behaviour as we deform the domain Ω .

It will be sometimes useful to consider the change in variable $u = 1 - w$, $g(u) = \beta(1) - \beta(1 - u)$ and $\hat{f} = \beta(1) - f$, so that we have $u = 0$ on the boundary. After this change in variable we have that u is the solution of

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u + g(u) &= \hat{f}, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

These functions will be sometimes denoted u_Ω, w_Ω when different domains are considered.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J61, 46G05, 35B30.

Key words and phrases. Shape differentiation; reaction-diffusion; chemical engineering; dead core.

©2017 Texas State University.

Submitted July 20, 2017. Published September 16, 2017.

In [8] (see also [15, 13, 14]) the authors showed that, if $\beta \in W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, then the maps

$$\begin{aligned} W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n) &\rightarrow H_0^1(\Omega) \\ \theta &\mapsto u_{(I+\theta)\Omega} \circ (I + \theta) \\ W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n) &\rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \\ \theta &\mapsto u_{(I+\theta)\Omega}, \end{aligned}$$

where the extension by 0 is considered in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (1 + \theta)\Omega$, are Fréchet differentiable at 0. Fixing $\theta \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ it was shown in [8] that the directional derivative (the derivative of $u_\tau = u_{(I+\tau\theta)\Omega}$ with respect to τ , $\frac{du_\tau}{d\tau} = \frac{du_\tau}{d\tau}|_{\tau=0}$) is the solution of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta \frac{du_\tau}{d\tau} + g'(u_\Omega) \frac{du_\tau}{d\tau} &= 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{du_\tau}{d\tau} &= -\nabla u_\Omega \cdot \theta, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

Notice that, since $u = 1 - w$, we have that $\frac{du_\tau}{d\tau} = -\frac{dw_\tau}{d\tau}$. Hence, taking into account that $g'(u) = -\beta'(w)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta \frac{dw_\tau}{d\tau} + \beta'(w_\Omega) \frac{dw_\tau}{d\tau} &= 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{dw_\tau}{d\tau} &= -\nabla w_\Omega \cdot \theta, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

The aim of this paper is to extend this results to the case when $\beta \notin W^{2,\infty}$. First, we will show that, when $\beta \in W^{1,\infty}$, the Gateaux shape derivative exists. However, if β is not locally Lipschitz continuous, the solution of (1.1) might develop a region of positive measure

$$N_\Omega = \{x \in \Omega : w_\Omega(x) = 0\}. \tag{1.5}$$

This region, known as *dead core*, was studied at length in [5, 2]. It is a necessary condition for the existence of this region that $\beta'(w_\Omega) = +\infty$. Hence, equation (1.4) cannot be understood immediately in a standard way. In this setting, we will show that there exists a limit of the previous theory.

2. STATEMENT OF RESULTS

For the rest of the paper $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ will be a fixed domain, of class \mathcal{C}^2 , and $n \geq 2$.

2.1. Existence and estimates of shape derivatives.

Existence of Gateaux derivative when $\beta \in W^{1,\infty}$. In [8] the authors prove the existence of a shape derivative in the Fréchet sense when $\beta \in W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Nonetheless, as is it usually the case, the equation for the derivative is well defined in a straightforward way when $\beta \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. In fact, the following result shows that, if $\beta \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ rather than $W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, then the shape derivative exists only in the Gateaux sense, which is weaker than the Fréchet sense.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\theta \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\beta \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ be nondecreasing such that $\beta(0) = 0$ and $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, the applications*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R} &\rightarrow L^2(\Omega) \\ \tau &\mapsto u_{(I+\tau\theta)\Omega} \circ (I + \tau\theta), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{R} &\rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \\ \tau &\mapsto u_{(I+\tau\theta)\Omega}\end{aligned}$$

are differentiable at 0. Furthermore, $\frac{du_\tau}{d\tau}|_{\tau=0}$ is the unique solution of (1.3).

Remark 2.2. In most cases, the process of homogenization mentioned in the introduction gives an homogeneous equation (1.1) in which β is the same as in the microscopic limit, and thus it is natural that β be singular. However, it sometimes happens that the limit kinetic is different. In the homogenization of problems with particles of critical size (see [9]) it turns out that the resulting kinetic in the macroscopic homogeneous equation (1.1) satisfies $\beta \in W^{1,\infty}$, even when the original kinetic of the microscopic problem was a general maximal monotone graph.

From $W^{2,\infty}$ to $W^{1,\infty} \cap C^1$. Let us show that the shape derivative is continuously dependent on the nonlinearity, and thus that we can make a smooth transition from the Fréchet scenario presented in [8] to our current case. For the rest of the paper we will use the notation:

$$v = \frac{dw_\tau}{d\tau} \Big|_{\tau=0} \quad (2.1)$$

Lemma 2.3. Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\beta \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ be nondecreasing functions such that $\beta(0) = 0$ and let $\beta_n \in W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ nondecreasing such that $\beta_n(0) = 0$. Let w_n be the unique solution of

$$\begin{aligned}-\Delta w_n + \beta_n(w_n) &= f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ w_n &= 1 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.\end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Then

$$\|w_n - w\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C\|\beta_n - \beta\|_{L^\infty} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\|w_n - w\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C(1 + \|\beta'\|_{L^\infty})\|\beta_n - \beta\|_{L^\infty}. \quad (2.4)$$

Furthermore, let $\beta \in C^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and v_n be the unique solution of

$$\begin{aligned}-\Delta v_n + \beta'_n(w_n)v_n &= 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ v_n + \nabla w_n \cdot \theta &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.\end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Then

$$v_n \rightharpoonup v \quad \text{in } H^1(\Omega). \quad (2.6)$$

Remark 2.4. In (2.3) the notation

$$\|\beta_n - \beta\|_{L^\infty} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\beta_n(x) - \beta(x)|$$

does not mean that either β_n or β are $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ functions themselves, but rather that their difference is pointwise bounded, and, in fact, this bound is destined to go 0 as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. We will use this notation throughout the paper.

Shape derivative with a dead core. We can prove that the shape derivative in the smooth case has, under some assumptions, a natural limit when β not smooth.

In some cases in the applications (see [5]) we can take β so that $\beta'(w_\Omega)$ has a blow up. It is common, specially in Chemical Engineering, that $\beta'(0) = +\infty$ and N_Ω exists (see [5]). In this case $\beta'(w_\Omega) = +\infty$ in N_Ω . Because of this fact, the natural behaviour of the weak solutions of (1.4) is $v = 0$ in N_Ω . We have the following result

Theorem 2.5. *Let β be nondecreasing, $\beta(0) = 0$, $\beta'(0) = +\infty$,*

$$\beta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}),$$

and assume that $|N_\Omega| > 0$, $\theta \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $0 \leq f \leq \beta(1)$. Then, there exists v a solution of

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta v + \beta'(w_\Omega)v &= 0 & \Omega \setminus N_\Omega, \\ v &= 0 & \partial N_\Omega, \\ v &= -\nabla w_\Omega \cdot \theta & \partial \Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

in the sense that $v \in H^1(\Omega)$, $v = 0$ in N_Ω , $v = -\nabla w_\Omega \cdot \theta$ in $L^2(\partial \Omega)$, $\beta'(w_\Omega)v^2 \in L^1(\Omega)$ and

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus N_\Omega} \nabla v \nabla \varphi + \int_{\Omega \setminus N_\Omega} \beta'(w)v\varphi = 0 \tag{2.8}$$

for every $\varphi \in W_c^{1,\infty}(\Omega \setminus N_\Omega)$. Furthermore, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, consider β_m defined by

$$\beta'_m(s) = \min\{m, \beta'(s)\}, \quad \beta_m(0) = \beta(0) = 0,$$

and let w_m, v_m be the unique solutions of (2.2) and (2.5). Then,

$$v_m \rightharpoonup v, \quad \text{in } H^1(\Omega), \tag{2.9}$$

where v is a solution of (2.7).

The uniqueness of solutions of (2.7) when $\beta'(w_\Omega)$ blows up is by no means trivial. Problem (2.7) can be written in the following way:

$$-\Delta v + Vv = f \tag{2.10}$$

where $V = \beta'(w_\Omega)$ may blow up as a power of the distance to a piece of the boundary. This kind of problems are common in Quantum Physics, although their mathematical treatment is not always rigorous (cf. [6, 7]).

In the next section we will show estimates on $\beta'(w_\Omega)$. Let us state here some uniqueness results depending on the different blow-up rates.

When the blow-up is subquadratic (i.e. not too rapid), by applying Hardy's inequality and the Lax-Migran theorem, we have the following result (see [6, 7]).

Corollary 2.6. *Let N_Ω have positive measure and $\beta'(w(x)) \leq Cd(x, N_\Omega)^{-2}$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega \setminus N_\Omega$. Then the solution v is unique.*

The study of solutions of problem (2.10) in Ω when $V \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ by many authors (see [11, 10] and the references therein). Existence and uniqueness of this problem in the case $V(x) \geq Cd(x, \partial \Omega)^{-r}$ with $r > 2$ was proved in [10]. Applying these techniques one can show that

Corollary 2.7. *Let N_Ω have positive measure and $\beta'(w(x)) \geq Cd(x, N_\Omega)^{-r}$, $r > 2$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega \setminus N_\Omega$. Then the solution v is unique.*

Similar techniques can be applied to the case $\beta'(w(x)) \geq Cd(x, N_\Omega)^{-2}$. This will be the subject of a further paper.

2.2. Estimates of w_Ω close to N_Ω . Let us study the solution w_Ω on the proximity of the dead core and the blow up behaviour of $\beta'(w_\Omega)$. First, we present a known example

Example 2.8. Explicit radial solutions with dead core are known when $\beta(w) = |w|^{q-1}w$ ($0 < q < 1$), Ω is a ball of large enough radius and f is radially symmetric. In this case it is known that N_Ω exists, has positive measure and

$$\frac{1}{C}d(x, N_\Omega)^{-2} \leq \beta'(w_\Omega) \leq Cd(x, N_\Omega)^{-2}.$$

For the details see [5].

In fact, we present here a more general result to study the behaviour in the proximity of the dead core, based on estimates from [5].

Proposition 2.9. *Let $f = 0$, β be continuous, monotone increasing such that $\beta(0) = 0$, w be a solution of (1.1) that develops a dead core N_Ω of positive measure and $\partial N_\Omega \in \mathcal{C}^1$. Assume that*

$$G(t) = \sqrt{2} \left(\int_0^t \beta(\tau) d\tau + \alpha t \right)^{1/2}, \quad \text{where } \alpha = \max \left\{ 0, \min_{x \in \partial \Omega} H(x) \frac{\partial w}{\partial n}(x) \right\}, \quad (2.11)$$

is such that $\frac{1}{G} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$w_\Omega(x) \leq \Psi^{-1}(d(x, N_\Omega)), \quad \text{where } \Psi(s) = \int_0^s \frac{dt}{G(t)}, \quad (2.12)$$

in a neighbourhood of N_Ω .

Example 2.10 (Root type reactions). Let $f = 0$, $\beta(s) = \lambda|s|^{q-1}s$ with $0 < q < 1$ and Ω be convex such that N_Ω exists and $\partial N_\Omega \in \mathcal{C}^1$. Then

$$w_\Omega(x) \leq Cd(x, N_\Omega)^{\frac{2}{1-q}}. \quad (2.13)$$

Furthermore

$$\beta'(w_\Omega(x)) \geq Cd(x, N_\Omega)^{-2}. \quad (2.14)$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1

For the rest of this paper let us denote

$$u_\tau = u_{(I+\tau\theta)\Omega}. \quad (3.1)$$

Notice that $u_0 = u_\Omega$.

Let us define $U_\tau = u_{(I+\tau\theta)\Omega} \circ (I + \tau\theta) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. Again $U_0 = u_0 = u_\Omega$. We have

$$\int_\Omega A_\tau \nabla U_\tau \nabla \varphi + \int_\Omega g(U_\tau) \varphi J_\tau = \int_\Omega f_\tau \varphi J_\tau, \quad (3.2)$$

where J_τ is the Jacobian of the transformation. $f_\tau = f \circ (I + \tau\theta)$ and A_τ is the corresponding diffusion matrix (see [8] for the explicit expression). Fortunately, $J_\tau \geq 0$ and, for τ small, we have that $\xi \cdot A_\tau \xi \geq A_0 |\xi|^2$ for some $A_0 > 0$ constant. Considering the difference of the weak formulations of U_τ and $U_0 = u_\Omega$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_\Omega A_\tau \nabla (U_\tau - u_0) \nabla \varphi + \int_\Omega (g(U_\tau) - g(u_0)) J_\tau \varphi \\ &= \int_\Omega (f_\tau J_\tau - f) \varphi + \int_\Omega (I - A_\tau) \nabla u_0 \nabla \varphi + \int_\Omega (J_\tau - 1) g(u_0) \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the monotonicity of g , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla\left(\frac{U_\tau - u}{\tau}\right)\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C\left(\left\|\frac{f_\tau - f}{\tau}\right\|_{L^2} + \left\|\frac{A_\tau - I}{\tau}\right\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2} + \left\|\frac{J_\tau - 1}{\tau}\right\|_{L^\infty}\|g(u_0)\|_{L^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Since f_τ, A_τ and J_τ are differentiable at 0, there is weak $H_0^1(\Omega)$ limit. Hence, the limit is strong in $L^2(\Omega)$. Therefore, the function

$$u_\tau = U_\tau \circ (I + \tau\theta)^{-1} \quad (3.3)$$

is differentiable with respect to $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ with images in $L^2(\Omega)$ at $\tau = 0$. Also

$$H_0^1(\Omega) \ni \frac{dU_\tau}{d\tau}\Big|_{\tau=0} = \frac{du_\tau}{d\tau}\Big|_{\tau=0} + \nabla u_0 \cdot \theta. \quad (3.4)$$

To characterize the derivative, we differentiate on the variational formulation

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f\varphi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-u_\tau \Delta\varphi + g(u_\tau)\varphi) \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega).$$

Considering the difference of the equations for u_τ and u_0 and dividing by τ ,

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(-\frac{u_\tau - u_0}{\tau} \Delta\varphi + \frac{g(u_\tau) - g(u_0)}{\tau} \varphi\right) \quad (3.5)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u_\tau - u_0}{\tau} \left(-\Delta\varphi + \frac{g(u_\tau) - g(u_0)}{u_\tau - u_0} \varphi\right). \quad (3.6)$$

Notice that

$$\left|\frac{g(u_\tau) - g(u_0)}{u_\tau - u_0}\right| \leq \|g'\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Therefore, up to a subsequence, $\frac{g(u_\tau) - g(u_0)}{u_\tau - u_0}$ converges weakly in $L^2(\Omega)$. On the other hand since $u_\tau \rightarrow u_0$ pointwise, again up to a subsequence, so

$$\frac{g(u_\tau) - g(u_0)}{u_\tau - u_0} \rightarrow g'(u_0) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega. \quad (3.7)$$

Via a Césaro mean argument we have that the weak L^2 limit and pointwise limit coincide. Hence, passing to the limit in $L^2(\Omega)$

$$0 = \int_{\Omega} \frac{du_\tau}{d\tau}\Big|_{\tau=0} (-\Delta\varphi + g'(u_0)\varphi), \quad \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega). \quad (3.8)$$

Therefore $\frac{du_\tau}{d\tau}$ is the unique solution of (1.3).

4. PROOF OF LEMMA 2.3

By considering the difference of the weak formulations we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla(w_m - w)\nabla\varphi + \int_{\Omega} (\beta_m(w_m) - \beta_m(w))\varphi = \int_{\Omega} (\beta(w) - \beta_m(w))\varphi.$$

Taking $\varphi = w_m - w$, and using the monotonicity of β_m we have

$$\|\nabla(w_m - w)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\beta_m - \beta\|_{L^\infty} \|w_m - w\|_{L^1(\Omega)}.$$

Using Poincaré inequality and the embedding $L^1 \hookrightarrow L^2$ we have

$$\|w_m - w\|_{L^2} \leq C\|\beta_m - \beta\|_{L^\infty}.$$

By considering the equation

$$\|\Delta(w_m - w)\|_{L^2} = \|\beta(w) - \beta_m(w_m)\|_{L^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \|\beta(w) - \beta(w_m)\|_{L^2} + \|\beta(w_m) - \beta_m(w_m)\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \|\beta'\|_{L^\infty} \|w_m - w\|_{L^2} + \|\beta_m - \beta\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, to deduce (2.4) we apply that

$$\|w_m - w\|_{H^2} \leq C(\|\Delta(w_m - w)\|_{L^2} + \|w_m - w\|_{L^2}).$$

Considering the difference of the weak formulations of the problems for v_m and v we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \nabla(v_m - v) \nabla \varphi &= \int_{\Omega} (\beta'(w)v - \beta'_m(w_m)v_m) \varphi \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (\beta'(w) - \beta'_m(w_m))v_m \varphi + \int_{\Omega} \beta'(w)(v - v_m) \varphi \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (\beta'(w) - \beta'(w_m))v_m \varphi + \int_{\Omega} (\beta'(w_m) - \beta'_m(w_m))v_m \varphi \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \beta'(w)(v - v_m) \varphi \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

for all $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. Considering the test function $\varphi = v_m - v + \nabla(w_m - w) \cdot \theta \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ we have, applying (2.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(v_m - v)|^2 &\leq C(1 + \|w_m - w\|_{H^2}) \left((1 + \|\beta'(w)\|_{L^\infty}) \|w_m - w\|_{H^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|v_m\|_{L^2} (\|\beta'_m + \beta'\|_{L^\infty} + \|\beta'(w_m) - \beta'(w)\|_{L^\infty}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We cannot guaranty that $\|\beta'(w_m) - \beta'(w)\|_{L^\infty}$ goes to zero. However it is, indeed, bounded by $2\|\beta'\|_{L^\infty}$. On the other hand, taking into account the boundary condition

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_m - v\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} &\leq C\|\nabla(w_m - w)\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \\ &\leq C\|w_m - w\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C\|\beta_m - \beta\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Hence, there is a weak limit $\widehat{v} \in H^1(\Omega)$,

$$v_m - v \rightharpoonup \widehat{v} \quad \text{in } H^1(\Omega). \tag{4.3}$$

By (4.2) we have that $\widehat{v} \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. Taking into account (4.1) and the fact that $\beta'(w_m) \rightarrow \beta'(w)$ a.e. in Ω , have

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla \widehat{v} \nabla \varphi + \int_{\Omega} \beta'(w) \widehat{v} \varphi = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega). \tag{4.4}$$

Taking $\varphi = \widehat{v} \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ as a test function we deduce that $\widehat{v} = 0$.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.5

We start by pointing out that, from condition on f we have $0 \leq w_m \leq 1$. Since $\beta_m \nearrow \beta$ in $[0, 1]$ we have w_m is pointwise decreasing (see [12]). Hence, there exists a pointwise limit w such that $w_m \searrow w$ a.e. in Ω . In particular $0 \leq w \leq 1$. By the Dominated Convergence Theorem we have

$$w_m \rightarrow w \text{ in } L^p(\Omega) \quad \forall 1 \leq p < +\infty. \tag{5.1}$$

Let $U \subset \Omega$ be an open neighbourhood of $\partial\Omega$ such that $\overline{U} \cap N_\Omega = \emptyset$ and $\partial U \in \mathcal{C}^2$. Then

$$\underline{w}_U = \inf_U w > 0. \tag{5.2}$$

We have that $w_m \geq w \geq \underline{w}_U$. We have that $\beta \in \mathcal{C}^1([\underline{w}_U, 1])$ and, hence, $\beta_m \rightarrow \beta$ in $\mathcal{C}^1([\underline{w}_U, 1])$. Therefore

$$\beta_m(w_m) \rightarrow \beta(w) \text{ in } L^p(\Omega \setminus \bar{U}) \quad \forall 1 \leq p < +\infty, \quad (5.3)$$

Since $\|w_m\|_{H^1} \leq C(1 + \|\beta_m(w_m)\|_{L^2} + \|f\|_{L^2})$, we have $w_m \rightharpoonup w$ in $H^1(\Omega)$, and thus w is the unique solution of (1.1). Applying this,

$$\Delta w_m = \beta_m(w_m) - f \rightarrow \beta(w) - f = \Delta w \text{ in } L^p(\Omega \setminus \bar{U}). \quad (5.4)$$

Thus

$$\|w_m - w\|_{H^2(\Omega \setminus \bar{U})} \leq C(\|\Delta(w_m - w)\|_{L^2(\Omega \setminus \bar{U})} + \|w_m - w\|_{L^2(\Omega \setminus \bar{U})}) \rightarrow 0. \quad (5.5)$$

Hence $w_m \rightarrow w$ in $H^2(\Omega \setminus \bar{U})$. In particular

$$\nabla w_m \rightarrow \nabla w \text{ in } H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)^n.$$

Since $\beta'_m \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ we take the ‘‘shape derivative’’ v_m solution of (2.5), which is well defined. Let us find their limit.

Let us show that

$$\beta'_m(w_m) \rightarrow \beta'(w) \text{ a.e. in } \Omega. \quad (5.6)$$

First, let $x \notin N_\Omega$. Then β is C^1 in $w(x)$. Therefore $\beta'(w_m(x)) \rightarrow \beta'(w(x))$. Hence, the sequence $\beta'(w_m(x))$ is bounded, so $\beta'(w_m(x)) \leq m_0$ for some m_0 large. Thus $\beta'_m(w_m(x)) = \beta'(w_m(x))$ for $m \geq m_0$. Hence the convergence is proved for $x \notin N_\Omega$. Let $x \in N_\Omega$. Then $\beta'(w(x)) = +\infty$. Since $w_m(x) \rightarrow w(x)$, it follows then $\beta'(w_m(x)) \rightarrow +\infty$. In this case, we have

$$\beta'_m(w_m(x)) = \beta(w_m(x)) \wedge m \rightarrow +\infty = \beta(w(x)).$$

This completes the proof of (5.6).

Let us show that sequence (v_m) is bounded in $H^1(\Omega)$. There exist two open sets $U_0, U_1 \subset \Omega$ such that $\partial\Omega \subset U_1, N_\Omega \subset U_0, U_0 \cap U_1 = \emptyset$. There also exists a smooth transition function Ψ such that $\Psi = 0$ in U_0 and $\Psi = 1$ in U_1 . Let us define $g_m = \Psi \nabla w_m \cdot \theta \in H^1(\Omega)$. Then $\varphi = v_m + g_m \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and it can be used as a test function in the weak formulation. Hence

$$\int_\Omega \nabla v_m \nabla (v_m + g_m) + \int_\Omega \beta'_m(w_m) v_m (v_m + g_m) = 0.$$

Therefore, through standard arguments,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_\Omega |\nabla v_m|^2 + \int_\Omega \beta'_m(w_m) v_m^2 \\ &= - \int_\Omega \nabla v_m \nabla g_m - \int_\Omega \beta'_m(w_m) v_m g_m \\ &\leq \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla v_m|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla g_m|^2 \right)^{1/2} + \left(\int_\Omega \beta'_m(w_m) v_m^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_\Omega \beta'_m(w_m) g_m^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla v_m|^2 + \int_\Omega \beta'_m(w_m) v_m^2 \right) + C \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla g_m|^2 + \int_\Omega \beta'_m(w_m) g_m^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\beta'_m(w_m)$ is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty(\Omega \setminus \bar{U}_0)$ we have that the sequence is bounded:

$$\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla v_m|^2 + \int_\Omega \beta'_m(w_m) v_m^2 \right) \leq C \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla g_m|^2 + \int_\Omega \beta'_m(w_m) g_m^2 \right) \leq C.$$

In particular, there exists $v \in H^1(\Omega)$ such that, up to a subsequence, $v_m \rightharpoonup v$ in $H^1(\Omega)$. Also, by Fatou's lemma,

$$\int_{\Omega} \beta'(w)v^2 \leq C. \quad (5.7)$$

Since $\beta'(w) = +\infty$ in N_{Ω} we have that $v = 0$ a.e. in N_{Ω} . For $\varphi \in W_c^{1,\infty}(\Omega \setminus N_{\Omega})$ we have

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus N_{\Omega}} \nabla v_m \nabla \varphi + \int_{\Omega \setminus N_{\Omega}} \beta'_m(w_m)v_m \varphi = 0. \quad (5.8)$$

Let us consider the compact subset $K = \text{supp } \varphi \subset \Omega \setminus N_{\Omega}$.

Let us show that $\beta'(w_m) \rightarrow \beta'(w)$ in $L^2(K)$. We have $0 < \underline{w}_K \leq w \leq w_m$ in K . By the Dominated Convergence Theorem we have that $\beta'_m(w_m) \rightarrow \beta'(w)$ strongly in $L^p(K)$ for $1 \leq p < +\infty$. Hence, by passing to the limit we deduce that

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus N_{\Omega}} \nabla v \nabla \varphi + \int_{\Omega \setminus N_{\Omega}} \beta'(w)v \varphi = 0. \quad (5.9)$$

This completes the proof.

6. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2.9

Let us consider $x_0 \in \partial N_{\Omega}$ and

$$W(t) = w_{\Omega}(x_0 + tn(x_0)) \quad (6.1)$$

where $n(x_0)$ represents the normal vector to ∂N_{Ω} at x_0 . By [5, Theorem 1.24], we have

$$\frac{1}{2} |\nabla w_{\Omega}(x)|^2 \leq \int_0^{w_{\Omega}(x)} \beta(s) ds + \alpha w_{\Omega}(x) \quad (6.2)$$

for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dW}{dt} &\leq \left| \frac{dW}{dt} \right| = |\nabla w_{\Omega}(x_0 + tn(x_0)) \cdot n(x_0)| \\ &\leq |\nabla w_{\Omega}(x_0 + tn(x_0))| \leq G(w_{\Omega}(x_0 + tn(x_0))) \\ &= G(W(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, W is a solution of the ordinary differential inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dW}{dt}(t) &\leq G(W(t)), \\ W(0) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

Let us consider W_{ε} , the solution of

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dW_{\varepsilon}}{dt}(t) &= G(W_{\varepsilon}(t)), \\ v_{\varepsilon}(0) &= \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

This problem has a unique smooth solution, since $G \in C^1(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) \cap C(\mathbb{R})$ is strictly increasing and $G(0) = 0$. In fact, solving this simply separable O.D.E., we obtain

$$W_{\varepsilon}(t) = \Psi^{-1}(t + \Psi(\varepsilon)). \quad (6.5)$$

By the monotonicity of G we have

$$W(t) \leq W_{\varepsilon}(t) \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (6.6)$$

Passing to the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (6.5) we have

$$W(t) \leq \Psi^{-1}(t). \quad (6.7)$$

Hence, since we can parametrize a neighbourhood of ∂N_Ω by $(x, t) \in \partial N_\Omega \times (-\lambda_0, \lambda_0) \mapsto x + tn(x)$, we deduce that

$$w(x) \leq \Psi^{-1}(d(x, N_\Omega)) \quad (6.8)$$

at least in a neighbourhood of ∂N_Ω . This proves the proposition.

Acknowledgments. The author is thankful to Professor Jesús Ildefonso Díaz for the fruitful discussions in the preparation of this paper and his continued support. This research was supported by the Spanish government through an FPU fellowship (ref. FPU14/03702) and by the project ref. MTM2014-57113-P of the DGISPI.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Aris, W. Strieder. *Variational Methods Applied to Problems of Diffusion and Reaction*, volume 24 of *Springer Tracts in Natural Philosophy*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.
- [2] C. Bandle, R. Sperb, I. Stakgold. Diffusion and reaction with monotone kinetics. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*, 8(4):321–333, 1984.
- [3] C. Conca, J. I. Díaz, A. Liñán, C. Timofte. Homogenization in Chemical Reactive Flows. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, 40:1–22, 2004.
- [4] J. Díaz, D. Gómez-Castro, A. Podolskii, T. Shaposhnikova. On the asymptotic limit of the effectiveness of reaction-diffusion equations in periodically structured media. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 455(2):1597–1613, 2017.
- [5] J. I. Díaz. *Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Free Boundaries*. Pitman, London, 1985.
- [6] J. I. Díaz. On the ambiguous treatment of the Schrödinger equation for the infinite potential well and an alternative via flat solutions: The one-dimensional case. *Interfaces and Free Boundaries*, 17(3):333–351, 2015.
- [7] J. I. Díaz. On the ambiguous treatment of the Schrödinger equation for the infinite potential well and an alternative via singular potentials: the multi-dimensional case. *SeMA Journal*, 17(3):333–351, 2017.
- [8] J. I. Díaz, D. Gómez-Castro. An Application of Shape Differentiation to the Effectiveness of a Steady State Reaction-Diffusion Problem Arising in Chemical Engineering. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, 22:31–45, 2015.
- [9] J. I. Díaz, D. Gómez-Castro, A. V. Podol'skiy, T. A. Shaposhnikova. Characterizing the strange term in critical size homogenization: quasilinear equations with a nonlinear boundary condition involving a general maximal monotone graph. *Advances in Nonlinear Analysis*, To appear, 2017.
- [10] J. I. Díaz, D. Gómez-Castro, J. M. Rakotoson, R. Temam. Linear diffusion with singular absorption potential and/or unbounded convective flow: the weighted space approach. To appear.
- [11] J. I. Díaz, J. M. Rakotoson. On very weak solutions of semi-linear elliptic equations in the framework of weighted spaces with respect to the distance to the boundary. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems*, 27(3):1037–1058, 2010.
- [12] L. C. Evans. *Partial Differential Equations*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1998.
- [13] A. Henrot, M. Pierre. *Optimization des Formes: Un analyse géométrique*. Springer, 2005.
- [14] O. Pironneau. *Optimal Shape Design for Elliptic Equations*. Springer Series in Computational Physics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [15] J. Simon. Differentiation with respect to the domain in boundary value problems. *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, 2(7-8):649–687, 1980.

DAVID GÓMEZ-CASTRO
INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA INTERDISCIPLINAR, UNIVERSIDAD COMPLUTENSE DE MADRID, PLAZA
DE LAS CIENCIAS 3, 28040 MADRID, SPAIN
E-mail address: `dgcastro@ucm.es`