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#### Abstract

The controllability of time-periodic solutions of a $n$-dimensional nonlinear wave equation is established with $n=2,3$. The result is used to establish the existence of time-periodic solutions of a nonlinear wave equation.


## 1. Introduction

The purpose of the article is to establish the existence of time-periodic solutions of a nonlinear wave equation in bounded domains of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $n=2,3$, using controllability. Following the pioneering work of Rabinowitz [8, 9] on time-periodic solutions of the one-dimensional nonlinear wave equation, extensive studies of the problem were done by Berti-Bolle [1, 2], Brezis-Nirenberg [3] and others. Controllability and fictitious domains were used by Glowinski and his collaborators [5], Glowinski-Rossi [6] to treat numerically the existence of time-periodic solutions of the linear wave equation in cylindrical domains. For higher spatial dimensions, Berti and Polle [3] used The Nash-Moser iteration to study T-periodic solutions of the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+m u=\varepsilon F(\omega t, x, u) \\
u(t, x)=u(t, x+2 k \pi) \quad \forall k \in Z^{n}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $F$ is $2 \pi / \omega$ periodic in time and $2 \pi$-periodic in $x_{j}, j=1, \ldots, n$.
In [10, 11] the author established the existence of time-periodic solutions of a nonlinear wave equation in non-cylindrical domains of $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n=2,3$ with the forcing term in a non-empty subset of $K^{\perp}$ with

$$
K=\left\{v: v \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right), \int_{0}^{T} v(\cdot, t) d t=0\right\}
$$

In this paper we shall show that for any $f$ in $K^{\perp}$ there exists a time-periodic solution of a nonlinear wave equation in cylindrical domains. The proof is carried out in Section 5.Notations and the basic assumption of the paper are given in Section 2.

[^0]Given $f$ in $K^{\perp}$ and $u_{0}$ in $H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)$ we shall establish the existence of a control $g_{f}\left(u_{0}\right)$ in $\left(H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right)^{*}$ and a time-periodic solution of the nonlinear wave equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+|u|^{p-2} u=f-g_{f}\left(u_{0}\right) \quad \text { in } G \times(0, T), \\
u=0 \text { on } \partial G \times(0, T),\left.\quad\left\{u, u^{\prime}\right\}\right|_{t=0}=\left.\left\{u, u^{\prime}\right\}\right|_{t=T}=\left\{u_{0}, 0\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

The solution and its derivative take prescribed values at $t=0$ and at $t=T$.
In Section 4 we consider a semi-exact controllability problem. Given $f$ in $K^{\perp}$ and $u_{0}$ in $H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)$, we shall prove the existence of (i) a control $g_{f}\left(u_{0}\right)$ and (ii) a time-periodic solution of the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+|u|^{p-2} u=f-g_{f}\left(u_{0}\right) \quad \text { in } G \times(0, T), \\
u=0 \text { on } \partial G \times(0, T), \quad u(0)=u_{0}=u(T), \quad u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(T) .
\end{gathered}
$$

As the solution $u$ takes a prescribed common value at $t=0$ and at $t=T$, its derivative $u^{\prime}$ is not required to take a specific value at the two end points, we shall call it a semi-exact controllability problem.

Notation. Let $G$ be a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $n=2,3$, and let

$$
K=\left\{v: v \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right), \int_{0}^{T} v(., s) d s=0\right\}
$$

The set $K$ is a closed convex subset of $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)$ and let $J$, be the duality mapping of $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)$ into $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)$ with gauge function $\Phi(r)=r$. The penalty function

$$
\beta(v)=J\left(v-P_{K} v\right)
$$

where $P_{K}$ is the projection of $K$ onto $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)$, is well-defined. For a given $u$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)$ there exists a unique $P_{K} u$ in $K$ such that

$$
\left\|u-P_{K} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)} \leq\|u-k\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)} \quad \forall k \in K
$$

In this article, we denote by $(\cdot, \cdot)$ the various pairings between $L^{2}(G), L^{p}(G)$ and their duals.

Assumption. We assume that $2 \leq p<\infty$ if $G \subset R^{2}$ and $2 \leq p \leq 4$ if $G \subset R^{3}$.

## 2. EXACt CONTROLLABILITY TIME PERIODIC PROBLEM

The main result of the section is the following theorem
Theorem 2.1. Let $\left\{f, u_{0}\right\}$ be in $K^{\perp} \times\left\{H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right\}$ then there exist:
(i) $g_{f}\left(u_{0}\right)$ in $\left[H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right]^{*}$
(ii) $\left\{u, u^{\prime}\right\}$ in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right) \times L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)$, solution of the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+|u|^{p-2} u=f-g_{f}\left(u_{0}\right) \quad \text { in } G \times(0, T) \\
u=0 \text { on } \partial G \times(0, T),\left.\quad\left\{u, u^{\prime}\right\}\right|_{t=0}=\left.\left\{u, u^{\prime}\right\}\right|_{t=T}=\left\{u_{0}, 0\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

We consider the initial boundary-value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}-\varepsilon \Delta u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}-\Delta u_{\varepsilon}+\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p-2} u_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon^{-1} \beta\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)=f \quad \text { in } G \times(0, T), \\
u_{\varepsilon}=u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}=0 \text { on } \partial G \times(0, T),\left.\quad\left\{u_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\}\right|_{t=0}=\left\{u_{0}, u_{1}\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Lemma 2.2. Let $\left\{f, u_{0}, u_{1}\right\}$ be in $K^{\perp} \times\left[H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right] \times L^{2}(G)$ then there exists a unique solution $u_{\varepsilon}$ of (2.2). Moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}+2 \varepsilon\left\|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, t ; L^{2}(G)\right)}^{2}+\left\|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2} \\
& +2 p^{-1}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}(t)\right\|_{L^{p}(G)}^{p}+2 \varepsilon^{-1} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\beta\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right), u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right) d s \\
& \leq\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}+\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}+2 p^{-1}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}(G)}^{p}+2 \int_{0}^{t}\left(f, u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

The standard Galerkin approximation method gives the existence of a unique solution of 2.2 with the stated estimate. We shall not reproduce the proof.

Lemma 2.3. Let $u_{\varepsilon}$ be as in Lemma 2.2 then there exists a subsequence such that

$$
\left\{u_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}, \beta\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)\right\} \rightarrow\left\{u, u^{\prime}, 0\right\}
$$

in the space

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{C\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right) \cap\left[L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right)\right]_{\text {weak*}}\right\} \\
& \times\left[L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)\right]_{\text {weak*}} \times\left[L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)\right]_{\text {weak }}
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore $\beta\left(u^{\prime}\right)=0$, i.e. $u^{\prime}$ in $K$ and thus, $u(\cdot, 0)=u(\cdot, T)=u_{0}$.
Proof. (1) From the estimate of Lemma 2.2 and the Gronwalls lemma, there exists a subsequence such that $\left\{u_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{u, u^{\prime}\right\}$ in

$$
C\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right) \cap\left[L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right)\right]_{\text {weak** }} \times\left[L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)\right]_{\text {weak** }}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\beta\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)} & =\left\|J\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}-P_{K} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)} \\
& =\Phi\left(\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}-P_{K} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)}\right) \\
& =\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}-P_{K} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)} \\
& \leq\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)}+\left\|P_{K} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}-P_{K} 0\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)} \\
& \leq 2\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)} \leq M
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\beta\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \chi \quad \text { in }\left(L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)\right)_{\text {weak }}
$$

(2) We now show that $\chi=0$. From 2.2 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\varepsilon \int_{0}^{T}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}, \varphi^{\prime}\right) d t+\varepsilon^{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}, \nabla \varphi\right) d t+\varepsilon \int_{0}^{T}\left(\nabla u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla \varphi\right) d t \\
& +\varepsilon \int_{0}^{T}\left(\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p-2} u_{\varepsilon}, \varphi\right) d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\beta\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right), \varphi\right) d t \\
& =\varepsilon \int_{0}^{T}(f, \varphi) d t \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left(\beta\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right), \varphi\right) d t \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right)
$$

Since $\beta\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \chi$ in $\left[L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right]_{\text {weak }}\right.$, we deduce that $\chi=0$.
(3) We now show that $\beta\left(u^{\prime}\right)=0$. Since $\beta$ is monotone in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)$ we have

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left(\beta\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)-\beta\left(v^{\prime}\right), u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}-v^{\prime}\right) d t \geq 0 \forall v^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)
$$

in particular for all $v$ with

$$
v=\int_{0}^{t} \varphi(., s) d s, \quad \varphi \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)
$$

Thus,

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left(\beta\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)-\beta(\varphi), u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}-\varphi\right) d t \geq 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)
$$

From the estimate of Lemma 2.2 and from the above we have

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\beta\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right), u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right) d t=0=\lim _{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\beta\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right), \varphi\right) d t
$$

Hence

$$
-\int_{0}^{T}\left(\beta(\varphi), u^{\prime}-\varphi\right) d t \geq 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)
$$

Take $\varphi=u^{\prime}+\lambda w, \lambda>0$ and $w$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)$. We have

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left(\beta\left(u^{\prime}+\lambda w\right), w\right) d t \geq 0 \quad \forall w \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)
$$

Letting $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ we obtain

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left(\beta\left(u^{\prime}\right), w\right) d t \geq 0 \quad \forall w \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)
$$

Changing $w$ to $-w$ and we deduce that $\beta\left(u^{\prime}\right)=0$ i.e. $u^{\prime} \in K$ and $u(\cdot, 0)=u(\cdot, T)=$ $u_{0}$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\left\{u_{\varepsilon}, u\right\}$, be as in Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3. There exists $g_{f}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)$ in $\left[H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right]^{*}$ and associated with $g_{f}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)$, a unique solution $u$, of the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+|u|^{p-2} u=f-g_{f}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \quad \text { in } G \times(0, T),  \tag{2.3}\\
u=0 \text { on } \partial G \times(0, T),\left.\quad\left\{u, u^{\prime}\right\}\right|_{t=0}=\left\{u_{0}, u_{1}\right\}=\left\{u(\cdot, T), u_{1}\right\}
\end{gather*}
$$

with

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left(g_{f}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right), \varphi\right) d t=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{-1} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\beta\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right), \varphi\right) d t
$$

for all $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right)$. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim \inf \left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}+\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}+2 p^{-1}\|u(t)\|_{L^{p}(G)}^{p} \\
& \leq\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}+\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}+2 p^{-1}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}(G)}^{p}+2 \int_{0}^{t}\left(f, u^{\prime}\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. (1) Since $u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u$ in $C\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right) \cap\left(L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(G)\right)\right)_{\text {weak }}$, a standard argument gives

$$
\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p-2} u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow|u|^{p-2} u \quad \text { in }\left[L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{q}(G)\right)\right]_{\text {weak** }}
$$

(2) Let $\varphi$ be in $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right)$ then $\varphi^{\prime}$ is in $K$ and we have

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left(\beta\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)-\beta\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right), u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}-\varphi^{\prime}\right) d t=\int_{0}^{T}\left(\beta\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right), u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}-\varphi^{\prime}\right) d t \geq 0
$$

It follows from 2.2 that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}, u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}-\varphi^{\prime}\right) d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\nabla\left(\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}+u_{\varepsilon}\right), \nabla\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}-\varphi^{\prime}\right)\right) d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{T}\left(\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p-2} u_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}-\varphi^{\prime}\right) d t+\varepsilon^{-1} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\beta\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right), u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}-\varphi^{\prime}\right) d t  \tag{2.4}\\
& =\int_{0}^{T}\left(f, u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}-\varphi^{\prime}\right) d t
\end{align*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(T)\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}+2 \varepsilon\left\|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T: L^{2}(G)\right)}^{2}+\left\|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}(T)\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}+2 p^{-1}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}(T)\right\|_{L^{p}(G)}^{p} \\
& -2 \int_{0}^{T}\left(f, u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right) d t-\left\{\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}+\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}+2 p^{-1}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}(G)}^{p}\right\} \\
& \leq 2 \int_{0}^{T}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}, \varphi^{\prime}\right) d t+2 \int_{0}^{T}\left(\nabla\left(\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}+u_{\varepsilon}\right), \nabla \varphi^{\prime}\right) d t+2 \int_{0}^{T}\left(\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p-2} u_{\varepsilon}-f, \varphi^{\prime}\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \liminf \left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(T)\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}+\|\nabla u(T)\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}+2 p^{-1}\|u(T)\|_{L^{p}(G)}^{p} \\
& -\left\{\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}+\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}+2 p^{-1}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}(G)}^{p}\right\} \\
& \leq 2 \int_{0}^{T}<u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+|u|^{p-2} u-f, \varphi^{\prime}>d t
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right)$. We have used the fact that $f \in K^{\perp}$ and that $u^{\prime}$ is in $K$. Set

$$
\Phi\left(u, \varphi^{\prime}\right)=2 \int_{0}^{T}<u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+|u|^{p-2} u-f, \varphi^{\prime}>d t
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(u)= & \liminf \left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(T)\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}+\|\nabla u(T)\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}+2 p^{-1}\|u(T)\|_{L^{p}(G)}^{p}-\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2} \\
& -\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}-2 p^{-1}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}(G)}^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
E(u) \leq \Phi\left(u, \varphi^{\prime}\right) \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right)
$$

In particular

$$
E(u) \leq \Phi\left(u,-\varphi^{\prime}\right) \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right)
$$

Hence

$$
E(u) \leq \Phi\left(u, \varphi^{\prime}\right) \leq-E(u) \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right)
$$

Let $\lambda>0$ then $\lambda^{-1} \varphi$ is in $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right)$ and we have

$$
\lambda E(u) \leq \Phi\left(u, \varphi^{\prime}\right) \leq-\lambda E(u)
$$

Letting $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ we obtain

$$
\left.\Phi\left(u, \varphi^{\prime}\right)=\left.\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+\right| u\right|^{p-2} u-f, \varphi^{\prime}\right\rangle d t=0
$$

for all $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right)$. Therefore

$$
\left\{u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+|u|^{p-2} u-f\right\}^{\prime}=0 \quad \text { in } \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(0, T ;\left[H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right]^{*}\right)
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+|u|^{p-2}-f=g_{f}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \quad \text { in } \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(0, T ;\left[H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right]^{*}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $g_{f}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)$ in $\left[H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right]^{*}$.
(3) We now show that $g_{f}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)$ is uniquely defined. From 2.3) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\int_{0}^{T}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}, \varphi^{\prime}\right) d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\nabla\left(\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}+u_{\varepsilon}\right), \nabla \varphi\right) d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p-2} u_{\varepsilon}, \varphi\right) d t \\
& +\varepsilon^{-1} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\beta\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right), \varphi\right) d t-\int_{0}^{T}(f, \varphi) d t=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right)$.
Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\int_{0}^{T}\left(u^{\prime}, \varphi^{\prime}\right) d t+\int_{0}^{T}(\nabla u, \nabla \varphi) d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{T}\left(|u|^{p-2}, \varphi\right) d t+\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{-1} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\beta\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right), \varphi\right) d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{T}(f, \varphi) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right)$. Thus,

$$
u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+|u|^{p-2} u+\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{-1} \beta\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)=f \quad \text { in } \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(0, T ;\left[H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right]^{*}\right)
$$

Comparing with 2.4 and we have

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{-1} \beta\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)=g_{f}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \quad \text { in } \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(0, T ;\left[H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right]^{*}\right)
$$

It is clear that if $h$ is any other element of $\left(H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right)^{*}$ in 2.5 then

$$
h=g_{f}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{-1} \beta\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { in } \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(0, T ;\left[H_{0}^{1} \cap L^{p}(G)\right]^{*}\right)
$$

(4) Suppose that $v$ is a solution of the problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v^{\prime \prime}-\Delta v+|v|^{p-2} v+g_{f}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)=f \quad \operatorname{in} G \times(0, T), \\
& v=0 \text { on } \partial G \times(0, T), \quad v(\cdot, 0)=u_{0}, \quad v^{\prime}(\cdot, 0)=u_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then an argument as in Lions [11, p.14-15], shows that $u=v$ and completes the proof.

Lemma 2.5. Let $g_{f}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)$ be as in Lemma 2.4 then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|g_{f}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)\right\|_{\left[H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right]^{*}} \\
& \leq C\left\{1+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(G)}^{p-1}+\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{p-1}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}(G)}^{p-1}+\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $h$ be in $H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)$ and let $\zeta$ be in $C_{0}^{\infty}(0, T)$ with $\zeta \geq 0$. From Lemma 2.4 we have

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \zeta\left(g_{f}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right), h\right)=\int_{0}^{T}(f, \zeta h) d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left(u^{\prime}, \zeta^{\prime} h\right)-\int_{0}^{T}(\nabla u, \zeta \nabla h) d t
$$

$$
-\int_{0}^{T}\left(|u|^{p-2} u, \zeta h\right) d t
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha\left|\left(g_{f}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right), h\right)\right| \leq & C\left\{\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)}+\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)}+\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)}\right. \\
& \left.+\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(G)\right)}^{p-1}\right\}\|h\|_{H_{0}^{1}(G)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $h$ in $H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)$ and where

$$
\alpha=\int_{0}^{T} \zeta d t>0
$$

Since $2 \leq p$, it follows from the estimate of Lemma 2.4 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|g_{f}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)\right\|_{\left[H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right]^{*}} \\
& \leq C\left\{1+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(G)}+\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}(G)}^{p-1}+\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is complete.
Lemma 2.6. Let $u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}$ be as in Lemma 2.2. Then

$$
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ;\left[H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right]^{*}\right)} \leq C
$$

where $C$ is independent of $\varepsilon$. Moreover

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \rightarrow u^{\prime} \quad \text { in } C\left(0, T ;\left[H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right]^{*}\right) \cap\left[L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)\right]_{\text {weak } *}, \\
\left\|u^{\prime}(T)\right\|_{L^{2}(G)} \leq \liminf \left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(T)\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. Let $\varphi$ be in $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right)$ and set

$$
\gamma_{\varepsilon}(\varphi)=\int_{0}^{T}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}, \varphi\right) d t
$$

- Case 1: $\gamma_{\varepsilon}(\varphi) \geq 0$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim \left|\int_{0}^{T}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}, \varphi\right) d t\right| \\
& =\lim \int_{0}^{T}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}, \varphi\right) d t \\
& =-\int_{0}^{T}(\nabla u, \nabla \varphi) d t-\int_{0}^{T}\left(|u|^{p-2} u, \varphi\right) d t-\lim \varepsilon^{-1} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\beta\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right), \varphi\right) d t+\int_{0}^{T}(f, \varphi) d t \\
& =-\int_{0}^{T}(\nabla u, \nabla \varphi) d t-\int_{0}^{T}\left(|u|^{p-2} u, \varphi\right) d t-\int_{0}^{T}\left(g_{f}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right), \varphi\right) d t+\int_{0}^{T}(f, \varphi) d t \\
& \leq C\left\{\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(G)\right)}+\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(G)\right)}^{p-1}+\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)}\right\} \\
& \quad \times\|\varphi\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Case 2: $\gamma_{\varepsilon}(\varphi) \leq 0$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim \left|\int_{0}^{T}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}, \varphi\right) d t\right| \\
& =\lim -\int_{0}^{T}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}, \varphi\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \int_{0}^{T}(\nabla u, \nabla \varphi) d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left(|u|^{p-2} u, \varphi\right) d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left(g_{f}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right), \varphi\right) d t-\int_{0}^{T}(f, \varphi) d t \\
\leq & C\left\{\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(G)\right)}+\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(G)\right)}^{p-1}+\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)}\right\} \\
& \times\|\varphi\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\lim \left|\int_{0}^{T}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}, \varphi\right) d t\right| \leq M\|\varphi\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right)} \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right)
$$

Since $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right)$ is dense in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right)$, we have

$$
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ;\left[H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right]^{*}\right)} \leq M
$$

The other assertions of the lemma are trivial to verify.
Proof of Theorem 2.1. Taking $u_{1}=0$, from Lemma 2.4 there exists $g_{f}\left(u_{0}\right)$ in $\left[H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right]^{*}$ and

$$
\left\{u, u^{\prime}\right\} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right) \times L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right),
$$

solution of the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+|u|^{p-2} u=f-g_{f}\left(u_{0}\right) \quad \text { in } G \times(0, T), \\
u=0 \text { on } \partial G \times(0, T), \quad u(\cdot, 0)=u(\cdot, T)=u_{0}, \quad u^{\prime}(\cdot, 0)=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

From the estimate in Lemma 2.4 we obtain

$$
\left\|u^{\prime}(T)\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2} \leq 0
$$

as $f$ is in $K^{\perp}$ and $u^{\prime}$ is in $K$. Therefore

$$
u^{\prime}(\cdot, 0)=0=u^{\prime}(\cdot, T)
$$

The proof is complete.

## 3. Semi exact controllability

In this section we shall establish the existence of time-periodic solutions of a nonlinear wave equation with the solution taking a prescribed value at $t=0$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\left\{f, u_{0}\right\}$ be in $K^{\perp} \times\left\{H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right\}$. There exists
(i) $g_{f}\left(u_{0}\right)$ in $\left[H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right]^{*}$
(ii) a solution $u$ of the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+|u|^{p-2} u=f-g_{f}\left(u_{0}\right) \quad \text { in } G \times(0, T), \\
u=0 \text { on } \partial G \times(0, T),\left.\quad\left\{u, u^{\prime}\right\}\right|_{t=0}=\left.\left\{u, u^{\prime}\right\}\right|_{t=T}=\left\{u_{0}, u^{\prime}(0)\right\} \tag{3.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

with $\left\{u, u^{\prime}\right\}$ in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right) \times L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)$.
As $u^{\prime}(\cdot, 0)$ and $u^{\prime}(\cdot, T)$ are not required to take a prescribed value and are allowed to take the same value derived from the equation, we have only half of the exact controllability condition.

A simple corollary of the theorem yields the existence of time-periodic solutions of linear wave equations.

Corollary 3.2. Let $f$ be in $K^{\perp}$ then there exists $\left\{\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}^{\prime}\right\}$ in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(G)\right) \times$ $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)$, solution of the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{u}^{\prime \prime}-\Delta \tilde{u}+\tilde{u}=f \quad \text { in } G \times(0, T), \\
\tilde{u}=0 \text { on } \partial G \times(0, T),\left.\quad\left\{\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}^{\prime}\right\}\right|_{t=0}=\left.\left\{\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}^{\prime}\right\}\right|_{t=T} \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Given $f$ in $K^{\perp}$ and a $u_{0}$ in $H_{0}^{1}(G)$ it follows from the theorem that there exists $g_{f}\left(u_{0}\right)$ in $H^{-1}(G)$ and associated with it a solution $u$ of the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+u+g_{f}\left(u_{0}\right)=f \quad \text { in } G \times(0, T) \\
u=0 \text { on } \partial G \times(0, T),\left.\quad\left\{u, u^{\prime}\right\}\right|_{t=0}=\left.\left\{u, u^{\prime}\right\}\right|_{t=T}=\left\{u_{0}, u^{\prime}(0)\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Consider the elliptic boundary problem

$$
-\Delta \hat{u}+\hat{u}=g_{f}\left(u_{0}\right) \text { in } G, \quad \hat{u}=0 \text { on } \partial G .
$$

There exists a unique solution $\hat{u}$ in $H_{0}^{1}(G)$ of the problem. Set $\tilde{u}=u+\hat{u}$ and the corollary is proved

Proof of Theorem 3.1. (1) Let

$$
\left\{f, u_{0}, u_{1}\right\} \in K^{\perp} \times\left\{H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right\} \times L^{2}(G)
$$

then there exists $g_{f}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)$ in $\left[H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right]^{*}$ and associated with it, a unique solution $u$ of the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+|u|^{p-2} u+g_{f}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) & =f \quad \text { in } G \times(0, T), \\
u=0 \text { on } \partial G \times(0, T), \quad u(\cdot, 0)=u_{0} & =u(\cdot, T), \quad u^{\prime}(\cdot, 0)=u_{1} \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6 show that

$$
\left\|u^{\prime}(T)\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2} \leq\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}
$$

(2) Let $\mathcal{B}=\left\{v:\|v\|_{L^{2}(G)} \leq 1\right\}$. Then it is clear that $\mathcal{B}$ is a compact convex subset of $\left[H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right]^{*}$. Denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the mapping of $\mathcal{B}$ into $\mathcal{B}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}\left(u_{1}\right)=u^{\prime}(T) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $f \in K^{\perp}$ and $u^{\prime}$ is in $K$. The mapping is well-defined and takes $\mathcal{B}$ into $\mathcal{B}$.
We now show that $\mathcal{A}$ is a $\left[H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right]^{*}$-continuous mapping. Let $u_{1, n}$ in $\mathcal{B}$, then corresponding to $\left\{f, u_{0}, u_{1, n}\right\}$, there exists $g_{f}\left(u_{0}, u_{1, n}\right)$ in $\left[H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right]^{*}$ and $u_{n}$, solution of the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{n}^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u_{n}+\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2}+g_{f}\left(u_{0}, u_{1, n}\right)=f \quad \text { in } G \times(0, T) \\
u_{n}=0 \text { on } \partial G \times(0, T), \quad u_{n}(0)=u_{0}=u_{n}(T), \quad u_{n}^{\prime}(0)=u_{1, n}
\end{gathered}
$$

From Lemmas 2.4 2.6 we get

$$
\left\|g_{f}\left(u_{0}, u_{1, n}\right)\right\|_{\left[H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right]^{*}}+\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right)}+\left\|u_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)} \leq C
$$

We have a subsequence such that

$$
\left\{u_{n}, u_{n}^{\prime}, g_{f}\left(u_{0}, u_{1, n}\right)\right\} \rightarrow\left\{u, u^{\prime}, g_{f}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)\right\}
$$

in
$\left[L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right)\right]_{\text {weak }^{*}} \times\left[L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right]_{\text {weak* }} \times\left[H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right]_{\text {weak }}\right.$
It is clear that $\left\{u_{n}, u_{n}^{\prime}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{u, u^{\prime}\right\}$ in $C\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right) \times C\left(0, T ;\left[H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right]^{*}\right)$, and therefore

$$
\left\{u_{n}(0), u_{n}^{\prime}(0), u_{n}^{\prime}(T)\right\} \rightarrow\left\{u(0), u^{\prime}(0), u^{\prime}(T)\right\}
$$

in $L^{2}(G) \times\left[H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right]^{*} \times\left[H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right]^{*}$. Hence $u(0)=u_{0}=u(T)$ and $u^{\prime}(0)=u_{1}$. A standard argument shows that

$$
\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n} \rightarrow|u|^{p-2} u \quad \text { in }\left[L^{q}\left(0, T ; L^{q}(G)\right]_{\text {weak }}\right.
$$

and thus,

$$
\begin{gathered}
u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+|u|^{p-2} u+g_{f}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)=f \quad \text { in } G \times(0, T), \\
u=0 \text { on } \partial G \times(0, T), \quad u(0)=u_{0}=u(T), q u a d u^{\prime}(0)=u_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

It follows that $\mathcal{A}\left(u_{1}\right)=u^{\prime}(T)$.
An application of the Schauder fixed point theorem yields the existence of $\hat{u}_{1}$ in $\mathcal{B}$ such that $\mathcal{A}\left(\hat{u}_{1}\right)=\hat{u}_{1}$. With $u_{0}$ given and with the fixed point $\hat{u}_{1}$, there exists as in Lemma 2.4 a control $g_{f}\left(u_{0}, \hat{u}_{1}\right)=\hat{g}_{f}\left(u_{0}\right)$ in $\left[H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right]^{*}$ and associated with the control, a solution of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{u}^{\prime \prime}-\Delta \hat{u}+|\hat{u}|^{p-2} \hat{u}=f-\hat{g}_{f}\left(u_{0}\right) \quad \text { in } G \times(0, T), \\
& \hat{u}=0 \text { on } \partial G \times(0, T),\left.\quad\left\{\hat{u}, \hat{u}^{\prime}\right\}\right|_{t=0}=\left.\left\{\hat{u}, \hat{u}^{\prime}\right\}\right|_{t=T}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\hat{u}(0)=\hat{u}(T)=u_{0}$. The theorem is proved.

## 4. Periodic solutions

In this section we shall use $u_{0}$ of Theorem 3.1 as a control to show that for any given $f \in K^{\perp}$, there exists

$$
\left\{\tilde{f}, \tilde{u}_{0}, g_{\tilde{f}}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)\right\} \in K^{\perp} \times H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G) \times\left[H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right]^{*}
$$

such that $f=\tilde{f}-g_{\tilde{f}}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)$. The main result of the section and of this article is the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. Let $f$ be in $K^{\perp}$. Then there exists a solution $\left\{u, u^{\prime}\right\}$ in the space $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right) \times L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)$ for the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+|u|^{p-2} u=f \quad \text { in } G \times(0, T), \\
u=0 \text { on } \partial G \times(0, T),\left.\quad\left\{u, u^{\prime}\right\}\right|_{t=0}=\left.\left\{u, u^{\prime}\right\}\right|_{t=T} . \tag{4.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. First we consider the initial boundary-value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
w^{\prime \prime}-\Delta w+|w|^{p-2} w=f \quad \text { in } G \times(0, T)  \tag{4.2}\\
w=0 \text { on } \partial G \times(0, T),\left.\quad\left\{w, w^{\prime}\right\}\right|_{t=0}=\left\{u_{0}, u_{1}\right\}
\end{gather*}
$$

It is known that for a given

$$
\left\{f, u_{0}, u_{1}\right\} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right) \times\left\{H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G) \times L^{2}(G)\right\}
$$

there exists a unique solution of 4.2 with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|w^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}+\|\nabla w(t)\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}+2 / p\|w(t)\|_{L^{p}(G)}^{p} \\
& \leq e^{t}\left\{\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}+\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2}+2 / p\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}(G)}^{p}+\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(G)\right)}^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the optimization problem

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha(f)= & \inf \left\{\|u(0)-u(T)\|_{L^{2}(G)}+\left\|u^{\prime}(0)-u^{\prime}(T)\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}: u\right. \text { is the solution of } \\
& \left.\forall\left\{u_{0}, u_{1}\right\} \text { with }\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)}+\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)} \leq R\right\} \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

From Theorem 3.1 we know that for each $u_{0}$ in $H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)$, for a given $f$ in $K^{\perp}$ there exists $g_{f}\left(u_{0}\right)$ in $\left[H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right]^{*}$ and a solution $u$ of

$$
\begin{gathered}
u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+|u|^{p-2} u=f-g_{f}\left(u_{0}\right) \quad \text { in } G \times(0, T), \\
u=0 \text { on } \partial G \times(0, T), \quad u(0)=u_{0}=u(T), \quad u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(T)
\end{gathered}
$$

Let

$$
S=\cup_{f \in K^{\perp}}\left\{f \oplus\left\{-g_{f}\left(u_{0}\right): u_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right\}\right\}
$$

where $g_{f}\left(u_{0}\right)$ is as in Theorem 3.1 and thus, $\alpha\left(f-g_{f}\left(u_{0}\right)\right)=0$.
The set $S$ is non-empty and $L^{2}(G)=L^{2}(G) \oplus 0 \subset S$. Indeed $L^{2}(G) \subset K^{\perp}$ as the stationary solution of the elliptic boundary problem

$$
-\Delta w+|w|^{p-2} w=f(x) \text { in } G, \quad w=0 \text { on } \partial G
$$

is time-periodic. Thus $\alpha(f)=0=\alpha\left(f-g_{f}\right)$ and $g_{f}=0$, and hence $f$ is in $S$.
We have

$$
S \subset K^{\perp} \oplus \cup_{h \in K^{\perp}}\left\{-g_{h}\left(u_{0}\right): u_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right\}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^{2}(G) & =\left\{L^{2}(G) \oplus 0\right\} \cap\left\{K^{\perp} \oplus 0\right\} \\
& \subset S \cap\left\{K^{\perp} \oplus 0\right\} \\
& \subset\left\{K^{\perp} \oplus \cup_{h \in K^{\perp}}\left\{-g_{h}\left(u_{0}\right): u_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right\}\right\} \cap\left\{K^{\perp} \oplus 0\right\} \\
& \subset K^{\perp} \oplus 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Indeed

$$
0 \in \cup_{h \in K^{\perp}}\left\{-g_{h}\left(u_{0}\right): u_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap L^{p}(G)\right\}
$$

as $\alpha(\hat{f})=0=g_{\hat{f}}$ for $\hat{f} \in L^{2}(G)$. Hence $\left\{K^{\perp} \oplus 0\right\} \subset S$.
Let $f$ in $\left\{K^{\perp} \oplus 0\right\}$ then there exists $h$ in $K^{\perp}$ and $g_{h}\left(u_{0}\right)$ for some $u_{0}$ in $H_{0}^{1}(G) \cap$ $L^{p}(G)$ such that

$$
f=h-g_{h}\left(u_{0}\right), \quad \alpha\left(h-g_{h}\left(u_{0}\right)\right)=0
$$

and therefore $\alpha(f)=0$. Thus for $f \in K^{\perp}$ there exists $\tilde{u}$, solution of the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{u}^{\prime \prime}-\Delta \tilde{u}+|\tilde{u}|^{p-2} \tilde{u}=f \quad \text { in } G \times(0, T), \\
\tilde{u}=0 \text { on } \partial G \times(0, T),\left.\quad\left\{\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}^{\prime}\right\}\right|_{t=0}=\left.\left\{\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}^{\prime}\right\}\right|_{t=T}
\end{gathered}
$$

The proof is complete.
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