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# RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SOLUTIONS TO A QUASILINEAR ELLIPTIC EQUATION IN ORLICZ SPACES 

FEI FANG, ZHENG ZHOU

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { AbSTRACT. In this article, we consider three types of solutions in Orlicz spaces } \\
& \text { for the quasilinear elliptic problem } \\
& \qquad-\operatorname{div}(a(|\nabla u|) \nabla u)=0 . \\
& \text { By applying a comparison principle, we establish the relationships between } \\
& \text { viscosity supersolutions, weak supersolutions, and superharmonic functions. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 1. Introduction

In this article, we study three types of solutions, in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, to the quasilinear elliptic problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{div}(a(|\nabla u|) \nabla u)=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operator $-\operatorname{div}(a|\nabla u| \nabla u)$ will be denoted by $-\Delta_{P}$. As special case, when $a(t)=|t|^{p-2}$, the operator $-\Delta_{P}$ is the usual $p$-Laplacian.

In the past decades, there have been many publications about $p$-Laplacian equations; see [2, 3, 7, 8, 9, 15, 19. With the background of Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, the operator $-\Delta_{P}$ has been studied widely; see for example [5, 12, 13, 14, 24]. The operator $-\Delta_{P}$ plays an important role in geometry and physics. The nonlinear Hodge Theorem is generated by the operator $-\Delta_{P}$, see [16] (each cohomology class of a Manifold has a unique $P$-harmonic representative).

Also the operator $-\Delta_{P}$ has important physical background, for example:
(1) nonlinear elasticity: $P(t)=\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{\gamma}-1, \gamma>\frac{1}{2}$;
(2) plasticity: $P(t)=t^{\alpha}(\log (1+t))^{\beta}, \alpha \geq 1, \beta>0$;
(3) generalized Newtonian fluids: $P(t)=\int_{0}^{t} s^{1-\alpha}\left(\sinh ^{-1} s\right)^{\beta} d s, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, $\beta>0$.
Obviously, the operator $-\operatorname{div}(a|\nabla u| \nabla u)$ is nonhomogeneous. To deal with this situation, as in [4, 5, 12, 13, 14, we introduce an Orlicz-Sobolev space setting for the operator. Set

$$
p(t):= \begin{cases}a(|t|) t, & t \neq 0,  \tag{1.2}\\ 0, & t=0,\end{cases}
$$

[^0]where $p(t): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an increasing homeomorphism from $\mathbb{R}$ onto itself (such functions are called Young or $N$-functions). If we set
$$
P(t):=\int_{0}^{t} p(s) d s, \quad \tilde{P}(t):=\int_{0}^{t} p^{-1}(s) d s
$$
then $P$ and $\tilde{P}$ are complementary $N$-functions (see [1, 22, 23]).
To construct an Orlicz-Sobolev space setting for operator $-\Delta_{P}$, we Assume the following conditions on $p(t)$ :
(P0) $a(t) \in C^{1}(0,+\infty), a(t)>0$,
(P1) $1<p^{-}:=\inf _{t>0} \frac{t p(t)}{P(t)} \leq p^{+}:=\sup _{t>0} \frac{t p(t)}{P(t)}<+\infty$,
(P2) $0<a^{-}:=\inf _{t>0} \frac{t p^{\prime}(t)}{p(t)} \leq a^{+}:=\sup _{t>0} \frac{t p^{\prime}(t)}{p(t)}<+\infty$.
Under condition (P1), the function $P(t)$ satisfies $\Delta_{2}$-condition; i.e.,
$$
P(2 t) \leq k P(t), \quad t>0
$$
for some constant $k>0$. Under the conditions ( P 0 ) and ( P 1 ), the Orlicz space $L^{P}$ coincides with the set (equivalence classes) of measurable functions $u: \Omega \rightarrow R$ such that
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} P(|u|) d x<+\infty . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

The space $L^{P}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space endowed with the Luxemburg norm

$$
|u|_{P}:=\inf \left\{k>0, \int_{\Omega} P\left(\frac{|u|}{k}\right) d x<1\right\} .
$$

We shall denote by $W^{1, P}(\Omega)$ the corresponding Orlicz-Sobolev space with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{W^{1, P}(\Omega)}:=|u|_{P}+\|\nabla u\|_{P} .
$$

We denote by $W_{0}^{1, P}(\Omega)$ the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}$ in $W^{1, P}(\Omega)$. The Orlicz-Sobolev conjugate function $P_{*}$ of $P$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{*}^{-1}(t):=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{P^{-1}(\tau)}{\tau^{\frac{N+1}{N}}} d \tau \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define a new norm

$$
\|u\|_{1, P}=\|u\|:=\inf \left\{k>0: \int_{\Omega} P\left(\frac{|\nabla u|}{k}\right) d x<1\right\}
$$

One can prove the above two norms are equivalent. The reader is referred to [1, 22, 23] for more information on Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. In the proofs of our results we shall use the following results.

Lemma 1.1 ( $1,22,23$ ). Under assumptions ( P 0$)$ and $(\mathrm{P} 1)$, the spaces $L^{P}(\Omega)$, $W_{0}^{1, P}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1, P}(\Omega)$ are separable and reflexive Banach spaces.

Lemma 1.2 (1, 22, 23). Let $P$ and $Q$ be $N$-functions.
(1) If $\int_{1}^{+\infty} \frac{P^{-1}(t)}{t^{\frac{N+1}{N}}}=\infty$ and $Q$ grow essentially more slowly than $P_{*}$, then the embedding $W^{1, P}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{Q}(\Omega)$ is compact and the embedding $W^{1, P}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{P_{*}}(\Omega)$ is continuous.
(2) If $\int_{1}^{+\infty} \frac{P^{-1}(t)}{t^{\frac{N+1}{N}}}<\infty$, then the embedding $W^{1, P}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{Q}(\Omega)$ is compact and the embedding $W^{1, P}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is continuous.

Lemma 1.3. Under conditions (P0)-(P2), we have
(1) if $0<t<1$, then $P(1) t^{p^{+}} \leq P(t) \leq P(1) t^{p^{-}}$;
(2) if $t>1$, then $P(1) t^{p^{-}} \leq P(t) \leq P(1) t^{p^{+}}$.

Lemma $1.4([12])$. Let $\rho(u)=\int_{\Omega} P(u) d x$, we have
(1) if $|u|_{P}<1$, then $|u|_{P}^{p^{+}} \leq \rho(u) \leq|u|_{P}^{p^{-}}$;
(2) if $|u|_{P}>1$, then $|u|_{P}^{p^{-}} \leq \rho(u) \leq|u|_{P}^{p^{+}}$;
(3) if $0<t<1$, then $t^{p^{+}} P(u) \leq P(t u) \leq t^{p^{-}} P(u)$;
(4) if $t>1$, then $t^{p^{-}} P(u) \leq P(t u) \leq t^{p^{+}} P(u)$.

Lemma $1.5([12])$. Let $\tilde{\rho}(u)=\int_{\Omega} \tilde{P}(u) d x$, we have
(1) if $|u|_{\tilde{P}}<1$, then $|u|_{\tilde{P}}^{p^{-} /\left(p^{-}-1\right)} \leq \tilde{\rho}(u) \leq|u|_{\tilde{P}}^{p^{+} /\left(p^{+}-1\right)}$;
(2) if $|u|_{\tilde{P}}>1$, then $|u|_{\tilde{P}}^{p^{+} /\left(p^{+}-1\right)} \leq \tilde{\rho}(u) \leq|u|_{\tilde{P}}^{p^{-} /\left(p^{-}-1\right)}$;
(3) if $0<t<1$, then $t^{p^{-} /\left(p^{-}-1\right)} \tilde{P}(u) \leq \tilde{P}(t u) \leq t^{p^{+} /\left(p^{+}-1\right)} \tilde{P}(u)$;
(4) if $t>1$, then $t^{p^{+} /\left(p^{+}-1\right)} \tilde{P}(u) \leq \tilde{P}(t u) \leq t^{p^{-} /\left(p^{-}-1\right)} \tilde{P}(u)$.

Lemma 1.6 ([14, 22, [23]). Assuming that $A(t)$ and $\tilde{A}(t)$ are complementary $N$ functions, we have:
(1) Young inequalities: $u v \leq A(u)+\tilde{A}(v)$;
(2) Hölder inequalities: $\left|\int_{\Omega} u(x) v(x) d x\right| \leq 2|u|_{A}|v|_{\tilde{A}}$;
(3) $\tilde{A}\left(\frac{A(u)}{u}\right) \leq A(u)$;
(4) $\tilde{A}_{*}\left(\frac{A_{*}(u)}{u}\right) \leq A_{*}(u)$.

## 2. Main results and their proofs

As in [21], we define define: weak solutions, viscous solutions, and $P$-harmonic functions, for

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{P} u:=-\operatorname{div}(a(|\nabla u|) \nabla u)=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.1. A function $u \in W_{\text {loc }}^{1, P}(\Omega)$ is a weak supersolution (subsolution) of (2.1) if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} a(|\nabla u|) \nabla u \nabla \phi d x \geq(\leq) 0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$.
Definition 2.2. A function $u: \Omega \rightarrow(-\infty, \infty]$ is called a $P$-superharmonic function, if $u$ satisfies
(1) $u$ is lower semicontinuous,
(2) $u$ is bounded almost everywhere,
(3) the comparison principle holds: if $v$ is a weak solution of 2.1) in $D \subset \Omega, u$ is continuous on $\bar{D}$, and $u \geq v$ on $\partial D$, then

$$
u \geq v \quad \text { on } D
$$

A function $u: \Omega \rightarrow[-\infty, \infty)$ is $P$-subharmonic, if $-u$ is $P$-superharmonic.
Definition 2.3. A function $u: \Omega \rightarrow(-\infty, \infty]$ is a viscous supersolution of (2.1), if $u$ satisfies
(1) $u$ is lower semicontinuous,
(2) $u$ is bounded almost everywhere,
(3) If there exist $\phi \in C^{2}(\Omega)$, such that $u\left(x_{0}\right)=\phi\left(x_{0}\right), u(x)>\phi(x)$ and $D \phi\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$, when $x \neq x_{0}$, then

$$
-\Delta_{P} \phi\left(x_{0}\right) \geq 0
$$

A function $u: \Omega \rightarrow[-\infty,+\infty)$ is a viscosity subsolution to (2.1) if it is upper semicontinuous, finite a.e., and (3) holds with the inequalities reversed. Hence, a function $u$ is a viscosity solution if it is both a viscosity supersolution and subsolution. The viscosity solution concept was introduced in the early 1980s by Lions and Crandall as a generalization of the classical concept of what is meant by a "solution" to a PDE. It has been found that the viscosity solution is the natural solution concept to use in many applications of PDE's, including first-order equations arising in optimal control (the Hamilton-Jacobi equation), differential games (the Isaacs equation) or front evolution problems [10], as well as second-order equations such as the ones arising in stochastic optimal control or stochastic differential games.

Now, we give the following results on the relationships among the viscous solutions, weak solutions of 2.1 , and $P$-harmonic functions.
Theorem 2.4. If $u$ is a local bounded $P$-superharmonic function, then $u$ is a supersolution of 2.1.
Theorem 2.5. If $u$ is a supersolution of (2.1), and $u$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=\operatorname{ess} \lim \inf _{y \rightarrow x} u(y) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \Omega$, then $u$ is a $P$-superharmonic function.
Theorem 2.6. $u$ is a viscous supersolution of 2.1 if and only if $u$ is a Psuperharmonic function.
Proof of Theorem 2.4. By a similar discussion as in [17], we know that the following two facts hold.

Fact 1: Let $u$ be a $P$-superharmonic function in $\Omega$ and $D \subset \Omega$ an open set. Then there exists an increasing sequence of continuous supersolutions $\left\{u_{i}\right\}$ in $D$ such that $u=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} u_{i}$ everywhere in $D$.

Fact 2: Assume $\left\{u_{i}\right\}$ is an increasing sequence of supersolutions in and that $u=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} u_{i}$ is locally bounded. Then $u$ is a supersolution in $\Omega$.

So, by Fact 1, for a $P$-superharmonic function, we can find an an increasing sequence $\left\{u_{i}\right\}$ of continuous supersolutions such that $u=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} u_{i}$. Thus $u$ is a supersolution by Fact 2 .

Next we prove Theorems 2.5 and 2.6 . First have a lemma whose proof can be founded in [18, pp. 61-62].

Lemma 2.7. Assume that $u, v$ are supersolution and subsolution of (2.1) respectively, and $u \geq v$ on $\partial \Omega$ in Sobolev sense. Then $u \geq v$ a.e. in $\Omega$.
Proof of Theorem 2.5. Using a similar method as in [17, Theorem 6.1], we know that $u$, as a supersolution, is locally bounded below. By condition 2.3), $u$ is lower semicontinuous. Since $u \in W_{0}^{1, P}(\Omega), u$ is bounded almost everywhere. Now we will prove (3), i.e., the comparison principle holds. Let $D \subset$ be an open subset of $\Omega$. Assume that $h$ is a solution of 2.1 in $D$, which is upper-continuous in $\bar{D}$, and $u \geq h$ on $\partial D$. For any open set $G \subset D$, taking $\varepsilon>0$, we let $u+\varepsilon \geq h$ on $D \backslash G$. By
lower semicontinuity of $u$, the set $\{u-h \leq-\varepsilon\}$ is closed. Hence, $\min \{u+\varepsilon-h, 0\}$ has compact support in $G$. From the Lemma 2.7, we know that $u+\varepsilon \geq h$ hold a.e. in $G$, then $u+\varepsilon \geq h$ in $D$. By condition 2.3, we get that $u+\varepsilon \geq h$ in $D$. Let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the conclusion follows.

To prove Theorem 2.6, we give some useful lemmas.
Lemma 2.8 ([12]). There exists $k_{0}>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a(|\xi|) \xi-a(|\eta|) \eta) \cdot(\xi-\eta) \geq k_{0} \frac{P(|\xi-\eta|)^{\frac{p^{-}+1}{p^{-}}}}{(P(|\xi|)+P(|\eta|))^{\frac{1}{p^{-}}}} \geq 0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \xi \neq 0$
Lemma 2.9. Let $u, v \in W^{1, P}(\Omega)$ and $(u-v)_{+} \in W_{0}^{1, P}(\Omega)$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} a(|\nabla u|) \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi d x \leq \int_{\Omega} a(|\nabla v|) \nabla v \cdot \nabla \phi d x \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any positive function $\phi \in W_{0}^{1, P}(\Omega)$, then $u \leq v$ a.e. in $\Omega$.
Proof. By the assumption and inequality (2.4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \int_{\Omega}(a(|\nabla u|) \nabla u-a(|\nabla u|) \nabla v) \cdot \nabla(u-v)_{+} d x \leq 0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\nabla(u-v)_{+}$has zero boundary value, we have $\nabla(u-v)_{+}=0$.
Lemma 2.10. Let $u \in W^{1, P}(\Omega), u_{\varepsilon} \in W_{0}^{1, P}(\Omega)$ are solutions of

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\operatorname{div}(a(|\nabla u|) \nabla u)=0  \tag{2.7}\\
-\operatorname{div}\left(a\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|\right) \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right)=\varepsilon, \varepsilon>0 \tag{2.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

respectively, and $u-u_{\varepsilon} \in W_{0}^{1, P}(\Omega)$, then $u_{\varepsilon}$ converges to $u$, locally uniformly in $\Omega$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. Similar to 2.6, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(a\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|\right) \nabla u_{\varepsilon}-a(|\nabla u|) \nabla u\right) \cdot \nabla\left(u_{\varepsilon}-u\right) d x=\varepsilon \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{\varepsilon}-u\right) d x \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the right-hand term in $\sqrt{2.9}$, by Lemma 1.2 , we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{\varepsilon}-u\right) d x \leq C \varepsilon\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}-\nabla u\right|_{P} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

To estimate the left-hand term in 2.9 , by inequalities 2.4 and 2.10 , Lemma 1.4. and Hölder inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} P\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}-\nabla u\right|\right) d x \\
& \leq\left\{\int_{\Omega} \frac{P\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}-\nabla u\right|\right)^{\frac{p^{-}+1}{p^{-}}}}{\left(P\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|\right)+P(|\nabla u|)\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{-}}}} d x\right\}^{\frac{p^{-}}{p^{-}+1}}\left\{\int_{\Omega}\left(P\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|\right)+P(|\nabla u|)\right) d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p^{-}+1}} \\
& \leq M\left\{\frac{1}{k_{0}} \int_{\Omega}\left(a\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|\right) \nabla u_{\varepsilon}-a(|\nabla u|) \nabla u\right) \cdot \nabla\left(u_{\varepsilon}-u\right) d x\right\}^{\frac{p^{-}}{p^{-}+1}} \\
& \leq C M \frac{1}{k_{0}} \varepsilon\left\{\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}-\nabla u\right|_{P}\right\}^{\frac{p^{-}}{p^{-}+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leq \begin{cases}C M \frac{1}{k_{0}} \varepsilon\left\{\int_{\Omega} P\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}-\nabla u\right|\right) d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p^{-}+1}}, & \text { if }\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}-\nabla u\right|_{P}>1 \\ C M \frac{1}{k_{0}} \varepsilon\left\{\int_{\Omega} P\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}-\nabla u\right|\right) d x\right\}^{\frac{p^{-}}{p^{+}\left(p^{-}+1\right)}}, & \text { if }\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}-\nabla u\right|_{P}<1\end{cases}
$$

for a constant $C>0$.
Then, we obtain that $u_{\varepsilon}$ converges to $u$ in $W^{1, P}(\Omega)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. So $u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u$ a.e. in $\Omega$. By the regularity argument [24], we easily know that $u_{\varepsilon}$ converges to $u$, uniformly.

Lemma 2.11. If $v_{\varepsilon} \in W^{1, P}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{P} v=\varepsilon \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\phi \in C^{2}(\Omega)$ satisfies $v_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)=\phi\left(x_{0}\right), v_{\varepsilon}>\phi(x), x \neq x_{0}$, where $x_{0}$ is isolated critical point of $\phi$, or $\nabla \phi\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$, then

$$
\limsup _{x \rightarrow x_{0}, x \neq x_{0}}\left(-\Delta_{P} \phi(x)\right) \geq \varepsilon
$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, assuming $x_{0}=0$. If the conclusion does not hold, then there exists $r>0$ such that

$$
\nabla \phi(x) \neq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad-\Delta_{P} \phi(x)<0
$$

for any $0<|x|<r$.
Next, we prove that $\phi$ is a weak subsolution of 2.11 in $B_{r}=B(0, r)$. Let $0<\rho<r$, for any positive $\eta \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(B_{r}\right)$, integrating over $B_{r} \backslash B_{\rho}$, we obtain

$$
-\int_{|x|=\rho} \eta a(|\nabla \phi|) \nabla \phi \cdot \frac{x}{\rho} d S=\int_{\rho<|x|<r} a(|\nabla \phi|) \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \eta d x+\int_{\rho<|x|<r}\left(\Delta_{P} \phi\right) \eta d x
$$

It is easy to show that the left-hand term converges to 0 as $\rho \rightarrow 0$ by noticing that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|-\int_{|x|=\rho} \eta a(|\nabla \phi|) \nabla \phi \cdot \frac{x}{\rho} d S\right| \leq\|\eta\|_{\infty} \max \{a(|\nabla \phi|),|\nabla \phi|\} \rho^{n-1} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the assumptions, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\rho<|x|<r}\left(\Delta_{P} \phi\right) \eta d x \geq-\varepsilon \int_{\rho<|x|<r} \eta d x \geq-\varepsilon \int_{B_{r}} \eta d x \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\rho \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$
\int_{B_{r}} a(|\nabla \phi|) \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \eta d x \leq \varepsilon \int_{B_{r}} \eta d x .
$$

This means that $\phi$ is a weak subsolution.
Let $m=\inf _{\partial B_{r}}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-\phi\right)>0$, then $\tilde{\phi}:=\phi+m$ is a weak solution of 2.11), Moreover, $\tilde{\phi} \leq v_{\varepsilon}$ in $\partial B_{r}$. Moreover, Lemma 2.9 implies that $\tilde{\phi} \leq v_{\varepsilon}$ in $B_{r}$, which contradicts with $\tilde{\phi}(0)>v_{\varepsilon}(0)$. The lemma holds.

To prove Lemma 2.12 , we decompose the operator $\Delta_{P}$ into two terms, namely,

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta_{P} u & =-a(|\nabla u|) \Delta u-a^{\prime}(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u| \frac{\nabla^{2} u \nabla u \cdot \nabla u}{|\nabla u|^{2}}  \tag{2.14}\\
& =-a(|\nabla u|) \Delta u-a^{\prime}(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u| \Delta_{\infty} u
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta_{\infty} u:=\frac{\nabla^{2} u \nabla u \cdot \nabla u}{|\nabla u|^{2}}$.

Let $X$ is a $n$ order symmetric matrix, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(\xi) & :=a(|\xi|) I+a^{\prime}(|\xi|)|\xi| \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \otimes \frac{\xi}{|\xi|}, \\
& F(\xi, X):=\operatorname{trace}(A(\xi) X) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{P} \phi=F\left(\nabla \phi, D^{2} \phi\right)=\operatorname{trace}\left(A(\nabla \phi) D^{2} \phi\right), \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nabla \phi(x) \neq 0, D^{2} \phi=\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\right)_{n \times n}$ is Hessian matrix for $\phi$.
Lemma 2.12. Assume that $u$ is a viscous subsolution of (2.1), $v$ is a weak solution of $-\Delta_{P} v=\varepsilon$, and $u \leq v$ on $\partial \Omega$. Then $u \leq v$ in $\Omega$.
Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume $\varepsilon=1$. To prove this conclusion, we argue by contradiction and assume that $u-v$ has a inner maximum, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\Omega}(u-v)>\sup _{\partial \Omega}(u-v) . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{j}(x, y)=u(x)-v(y)-\Psi_{j}(x, y), \quad j=1,2, \ldots, \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\Psi_{j}(x, y)=\frac{j}{q}|x-y|^{q}, \quad q>\max \left\{\frac{p^{-}}{p^{-}-1}, 2\right\} .
$$

If $\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right) \in \bar{\Omega} \times \bar{\Omega}$ is a maximum point of $w_{j}$, then by (2.16) and [6, Proposition 3.7], we have $\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right)$ is a inner point for $j$ large enough. Since

$$
u(x)-v(y)-\Psi_{j}(x, y) \leq u\left(x_{j}\right)-v\left(y_{j}\right)-\Psi_{j}\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right), x, y \in \Omega,
$$

and let $x=x_{j}$, we have

$$
v(y) \geq-\Psi_{j}\left(x_{j}, y\right)+v\left(y_{j}\right)+\Psi_{j}\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right), y \in \Omega .
$$

Set

$$
\phi_{j}(y)=-\Psi_{j}\left(x_{j}, y\right)+v\left(y_{j}\right)+\Psi\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right)-\frac{1}{q+1}\left|y-y_{j}\right|^{q+1},
$$

Obviously, $v-\phi_{j}$ has a strict local minimum at $y_{j}$. By Lemma 2.11, we obtain

$$
\limsup _{y \rightarrow y_{j}, y \neq y_{j}}\left(-\Delta_{P} \phi_{j}(y)\right) \geq 1,
$$

which means $x_{j} \neq y_{j}$. In fact, if $x_{j}=y_{j}$, by simple calculation, we can get $-\Delta_{P} \phi_{j}(y) \rightarrow 0$ as $y \rightarrow y_{j}$, which is a contradiction.

Next we use a method similar to the proof [21, Proposition 3.3] to complete the rest of proof. Since $\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right)$ is a local maximum of $w_{j}(x, y)$, then there exist $n$ order symmetric matrixes of $X_{j}, Y_{j}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(D_{x} \Psi_{j}\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right), X_{j}\right) \in \bar{J}^{2,+} u\left(x_{j}\right), \\
& -\left(D_{y} \Psi_{j}\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right), Y_{j}\right) \in \bar{J}^{2,-} u\left(y_{j}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
X_{j} & 0  \tag{2.18}\\
0 & -Y_{j}
\end{array}\right] \leq D^{2} \Psi_{j}\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right)+\frac{1}{j}\left[D^{2} \Psi_{j}\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right)\right]^{2},
$$

where $\bar{J}^{2,+} u\left(x_{j}\right), \bar{J}^{2,-} u\left(y_{j}\right)$ are the closure of the second order superjet of $u$ at $x_{j}$ and the second order subjet of $v$ at $y_{j}$, respectively. One can refer to [6] for the definition and properties of jet. By 2.18, one has

$$
X_{j} \leq Y_{j}
$$

in matrix sense. i.e., $\left\langle\left(Y_{j}-X_{j} \xi, \xi\right)\right\rangle \geq 0$ for all $\xi \in R^{N}$. According to [6], viscosity solutions can be defined using jets instead of test-functions as in Definition 2.3 . Since $x_{j} \neq y_{j}$, we obtain

$$
\eta_{j} \equiv D_{x} \Psi_{j}\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right)=-D_{y} \Psi_{j}\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right) \neq 0 .
$$

Therefore, $(\eta, X) \rightarrow F(\eta, X)$ is continuous in the neighbors of $\left(\eta_{j}, X_{j}\right)$ and $\left(\eta_{j}, Y_{j}\right)$. Since $u$ is a subsolution of (2.1), we infer

$$
-a\left(\left|\eta_{j}\right|\right)\left[\operatorname{trace}\left(X_{j}\right)+\frac{a^{\prime}\left(\left|\eta_{j}\right|\right) \eta_{j}}{a\left(\left|\eta_{j}\right|\right)}\left\langle X_{j} \frac{\eta_{j}}{\left|\eta_{j}\right|}, \frac{\eta_{j}}{\left|\eta_{j}\right|}\right\rangle\right] \leq 0
$$

On the other hand, since $\eta_{j} \neq 0$, by definition of $\bar{J}^{2,-}$ and Lemma 2.11, we obtain

$$
-a\left(\left|\eta_{j}\right|\right)\left[\operatorname{trace}\left(Y_{j}\right)+\frac{a^{\prime}\left(\left|\eta_{j}\right|\right) \eta_{j}}{a\left(\left|\eta_{j}\right|\right)}\left\langle Y_{j} \frac{\eta_{j}}{\left|\eta_{j}\right|}, \frac{\eta_{j}}{\left|\eta_{j}\right|}\right\rangle\right] \geq 1
$$

So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0<1 \leq & -a\left(\left|\eta_{j}\right|\right)\left[\operatorname{trace}\left(Y_{j}\right)+\frac{a^{\prime}\left(\left|\eta_{j}\right|\right) \eta_{j}}{a\left(\left|\eta_{j}\right|\right)}\left\langle Y_{j} \frac{\eta_{j}}{\left|\eta_{j}\right|}, \frac{\eta_{j}}{\left|\eta_{j}\right|}\right\rangle\right] \\
& +a\left(\left|\eta_{j}\right|\right)\left[\operatorname{trace}\left(X_{j}\right)+\frac{a^{\prime}\left(\left|\eta_{j}\right|\right) \eta_{j}}{a\left(\left|\eta_{j}\right|\right)}\left\langle X_{j} \frac{\eta_{j}}{\left|\eta_{j}\right|}, \frac{\eta_{j}}{\left|\eta_{j}\right|}\right\rangle\right] \\
\leq & 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact $X_{j} \leq Y_{j}$. It means that our initial assumption is false, so

$$
\sup _{\Omega}(u-v)=\sup _{\partial \Omega}(u-v) \leq 0 .
$$

Proof of Theorem 2.6. Firstly, we prove that the $P$-superharmonic function is the viscous supersolution of 2.1. Assuming $v$ is superharmonic, and assuming by contradiction that $v$ is a not viscous supersolution of 2.1), then there is $\phi \in C^{2}(\Omega)$ such that $v\left(x_{0}\right)=\phi\left(x_{0}\right), v(x)>\phi(x)$ and

$$
-\Delta_{P} \phi\left(x_{0}\right)<0
$$

for all $x \neq x_{0}, \nabla \phi\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$. By continuity, there is $r>0 \nabla \phi(x) \neq 0$ and

$$
-\Delta_{P} \phi(x)<0
$$

for all $x \in B\left(x_{0}, r\right)$. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
m=\inf _{\left|x-x_{0}\right|=r}(v(x)-\phi(x))>0 \\
\tilde{\phi}=\phi+m
\end{gathered}
$$

then $\tilde{\phi}$ is a weak subsolution of (2.1) in $B\left(x_{0}, r\right)$, and $\tilde{\phi} \leq v$ on $\partial B\left(x_{0}, r\right)$. By Lemma 2.9. $\tilde{\phi} \leq v$ in $B\left(x_{0}, r\right)$, thus

$$
\tilde{\phi}\left(x_{0}\right)=\phi\left(x_{0}\right)+m>v\left(x_{0}\right),
$$

which is a contradiction.

On the other hand, we assume that $v$ is a viscous supersolution of (2.1), and we will show that $v$ is also a $P$-superharmonic function. Let $D \subset \Omega$ and let $h \in C(\bar{D})$ is a weak solution of (2.1) such that $v \geq h$ on $\partial D$. By the lower semicontinuity of $v$, for each $\delta>0$, there exists a smooth domain $D^{\prime} \subset D$ such that $h \leq v+\delta$ in $D \backslash D^{\prime}$. Here the reason for taking $D$ is that $h$ can be considered as boundary value. Hence, $h$ belongs to some Orlicz-Sobolev space instead of $W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1, P}(D)$.

Given $\varepsilon>0$, let $h_{\varepsilon}$ be the unique weak solution of the equation

$$
-\Delta_{P} h_{\varepsilon}=-\varepsilon, \varepsilon>0
$$

such that $h_{\varepsilon}-h \in W_{0}^{1, P}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$. Then $h_{\varepsilon}$ is local Lipschitz continuous in $D^{\prime}$ (see 24]). Owing to the smoothness of $D^{\prime}$, we have $v+\delta \geq h_{\varepsilon}$ on $\partial D^{\prime}$. From Lemma 2.10, we easily know that $h_{\varepsilon}$ converges uniformly to $h$ locally in $D^{\prime}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Finally, Lemma 2.12 implies that $v+\delta \geq h_{\varepsilon}$ in $D^{\prime}$, and so $v \geq h$ in $D$. This completes our proof.

Remark 2.13. The equivalence of weak and viscosity solutions was firstly obtained by Juutinen, Lindqvist and Manfredi 21] for the $p$-Laplace equation. In [20], Julin and Juutinen gave a new proof for this result.

Remark 2.14. Obviously, our results are extension of [20, 21]. Moreover, in [20], Julin and Juutinen suggest to consider the more generalized equation

$$
-\operatorname{div} A(x, u)=0
$$

and hope to obtain the similar results. Here we believe that if the operator $-\operatorname{div} A(x, \cdot)$ is equipped a Musielak-Sobolev space, then the similar results can be obtained. The reader is referred to 11 for more details on Musielak-Sobolev space theory.

Remark 2.15. Following the method in [20] or [21], we can also obtain the similar results for the following parabolic equation in Orlicz-Sobolev space

$$
u_{t}-\operatorname{div}(a(|\nabla u|) \nabla u)=0,
$$

and we omit it.
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