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# INITIAL DATA PROBLEMS FOR THE TWO-COMPONENT CAMASSA-HOLM SYSTEM 

XIAOHUAN WANG


#### Abstract

This article concerns the study of some properties of the twocomponent Camassa-Holm system. By constructing two sequences of solutions of the two-component Camassa-Holm system, we prove that the solution map of the Cauchy problem of the two-component Camassa-Holm system is not uniformly continuous in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R}), s>5 / 2$.


## 1. Introduction

Many authors have studied shallow water equations, of which a typical example is Camassa-Holm (CH) equation. This equation has been extended to a twocomponent integrable system (CH2) by combining its integrability property with compressibility, or free-surface elevation dynamics in its shallow-water interpretation [10, 23]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
m_{t}+u m_{x}+2 m u_{x}+\sigma \rho \rho_{x}=0, \quad t>0, x \in \mathbb{R} \\
\rho_{t}+(\rho u)_{x}=0, \quad t>0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $m=u-u_{x x}$ and $\sigma= \pm 1$. We remark that $\sigma=1$ is the hydrodynamically relevant choice, see the discussion in [10]. Local well-posedness of (1.1) with $\sigma=1$ was obtained by $[10,11]$. The precise blow-up scenarios and blow-up phenomena of strong solution for (1.1) was established by [10, 11, 13, 15, 19, 17]. Guan-Yin obtained the existence of global weak solution to (1.1). Just recently, Gui and Liu [18] studied (1.1) with $\sigma=1$ in Besov space and they obtained the local wellposedness. In this paper, we consider the Cauchy problem of (1.1) and study the some properties of it.

If $\rho \equiv 0$, then (1.1) becomes the well-known Camassa-Holm equation [3]. In the past decade, the Camassa-Holm equation has attracted much attention because of its integrability and the existence of multi-peakon solutions, see [1]-[7] and [33][35] for the details. The Cauchy problem and initial boundary value problem of the Camassa-Holm equation have been studied extensively [5, 12]. It has been shown that the Camassa-Holm equation is locally well-posedness [5] for initial data $u_{0} \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R}), s>3 / 2$. Moreover, it has global strong solutions [5] and finite time

[^0]blow-up solutions $[5,6,8]$. On the other hand, it has global weak solution in $H^{1}(\mathbb{R})[1,2,3,7]$. The advantage of the Camassa-Holm equation in comparison with the KdV equation lies in the fact that the Camassa-Holm equation has peaked solutions and models wave breaking (i.e. the solution remains bounded while its slope becomes unbounded in finite time $[3,5,6,30]$ ). Here peaked solutions are actually peaked traveling waves, similar to the waves of greatest height encountered in classical hydrodynamics, see the discussion in the papers [4, 9, 31]. Moreover, there is a rich geometric structure underlying the Camassa-Holm equation, see the discussion in the papers $[25,26]$.

Recently, some properties of solutions to the Camassa-Holm equation have been studied by many authors. Himonas et al. [20] studied the persistence properties and unique continuation of solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation. They showed that a strong solution of the Camassa-Holm equation, initially decaying exponentially together with its spacial derivative, must be identically equal to zero if it also decays exponentially at a later time, see [35, 14] for the similar properties of solutions to other shallow water equation. Just recently, Himonas-Kenig [21] and Himonas et al. [22] considered the non-uniform dependence on initial data for the Camassa-Holm equation on the line and on the circle, respectively. Lv et al. [27] obtained the non-uniform dependence on initial data for $\mu$ - $b$ equation. Lv-Wang [28] considered the (1.1) with $\rho=\gamma-\gamma_{x x}$ and obtained the non-uniform dependence on initial data. Wang [32] obtained the non-uniform dependence on initial data of periodic Camassa-Holm system. Tang-Wang [29] obtained the Hölder continuous of Camassa-Holm system.

In this paper, we consider the non-uniform dependence on initial data for (1.1). We remark that there is significant difference between (1.1) and (1.1) with $\rho=$ $\gamma-\gamma_{x x}$. It is easy to see that when $\rho=\gamma-\gamma_{x x}$, there are some similar properties between the two equations in (1.1). Thus the proof of non-uniform dependence on initial data to (1.1) with $\rho=\gamma-\gamma_{x x}$ is similar to the single equation, for example, Camassa-Holm equation. But in (1.1), $\rho$ and $u$ have different properties, see Theorem 2.1. This needs construct different asymptotic solution, see section 3. Besides, the results in this paper are different from those in [27] because of the difference of the two operators $1-\partial_{x x}$ and $\mu-\partial_{x x}$.

This article is organized as follows. In section 2 , we recall the well-posedness result of Constantin-Ivanov [10] and Escher et al. [11] and use it to prove the basic energy estimate from which we derive a lower bound for the lifespan of the solution as well as an estimate of the $H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})$ norm of the solution $(u(t, x), \rho(t, x))$ in terms of $H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})$ norm of the initial data $\left(u_{0}, \rho_{0}\right)$. In section 3 , we construct approximate solutions, compute the error and estimate the $H^{1}$-norm of this error. In section 4, we estimate the difference between approximate and actual solutions, where the exact solution is a solution to (1.1) with initial data given by the approximate solutions evaluated at time zero. The non-uniform dependence on initial data for (1.1) is established in section 5 by constructing two sequences of solutions to (1.1) in a bounded subset of the Sobolev space $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$, whose distance at the initial time is converging to zero while at any later time it is bounded below by a positive constant.

Notation. In the following, we denote by $*$ the spatial convolution. Given a Banach space $Z$, we denote its norm by $\|\cdot\|_{Z}$. Since all space of functions are over $\mathbb{R}$, for simplicity, we drop $\mathbb{R}$ in our notations of function spaces if there is no
ambiguity. Let $[A, B]=A B-B A$ denotes the commutator of linear operator $A$ and $B$. Set $\|z\|_{H^{s} \times H^{s-1}}^{2}=\|u\|_{H^{s}}^{2}+\|\rho\|_{H^{s-1}}^{2}$, where $z=(u, \rho)$.

## 2. LOCAL WELL-POSEDNESS

In this section we first recall the known results of Constantin-Ivanov [10] and Escher et al. [11] and give a new estimate of the solution to (1.1).

Let $\Lambda=\left(1-\partial_{x}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$. Then the operator $\Lambda^{-2}$ acting on $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ can be expressed by its associated Green's function $G(x)=\frac{1}{2} e^{-|x|}$ as

$$
\Lambda^{-2} f(x)=(G * f)(x)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-|x-y|} f(y) \mathrm{d} y, \quad f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})
$$

Hence (1.1) is equivalent to the system

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t}+u u_{x}=-\partial_{x} \Lambda^{-2}\left(u^{2}+\frac{1}{2} u_{x}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \rho^{2}\right), \quad t>0, x \in \mathbb{R}  \tag{2.1}\\
\rho_{t}+u \rho_{x}=-u_{x} \rho, \quad t>0, x \in \mathbb{R}
\end{gather*}
$$

with initial data

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0, x)=u_{0}(x), \quad \rho(0, x)=\rho_{0}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following result is given by Constantin-Ivanov [10] and Escher et al. [11].
t2.1 Theorem 2.1. Given $z_{0}=\left(u_{0}, \rho_{0}\right) \in H^{s} \times H^{s-1}, s \geq 2$. Then there exists $a$ maximal existence time $T=T\left(\left\|z_{0}\right\|_{H^{s} \times H^{s-1}}\right)>0$ and a unique solution $z=(u, \rho)$ to (2.1) with (2.2) such that

$$
z=z\left(\cdot, z_{0}\right) \in C\left([0, T) ; H^{s} \times H^{s-1}\right) \cap C^{1}\left([0, T) ; H^{s-1} \times H^{s-2}\right)
$$

Moreover, the solution depends continuously on the initial data, i.e. the mapping

$$
z_{0} \mapsto z\left(\cdot, z_{0}\right): H^{s} \times H^{s-1} \rightarrow C\left([0, T) ; H^{s} \times H^{s-1}\right) \cap C^{1}\left([0, T) ; H^{s-1} \times H^{s-2}\right)
$$

is continuous.
Next, we will give an explicit estimate for the maximal existence time $T$. Also, we will show that at any time $t$ in the time interval $\left[0, T_{0}\right]$ the $H^{s}$-norm of the solution $z(t, x)$ is dominated by the $H^{s}$-norm of the initial data $z_{0}(x)$. In order to do this, we need the following lemmas.
12.3 Lemma 2.2 ([24]). If $r>0$, then

$$
\left\|\left[\Lambda^{r}, f\right] g\right\|_{2} \leq C\left(\left\|f_{x}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|\Lambda^{r-1} g\right\|_{2}+\left\|\Lambda^{r} f\right\|_{2}\|g\|_{\infty}\right)
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant depending only on $r$.
t 2.2 Theorem 2.3. Let $s>5 / 2$. If $z=(u, \rho)$ is a solution of (2.1) with initial data $z_{0}$ described in Theorem 2.1, then the maximal existence time $T$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \geq T_{0}:=\frac{1}{2 C_{s}\left\|z_{0}\right\|_{H^{s} \times H^{s-1}}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{s}$ is a constant depending only on s. Also, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|z(t)\|_{H^{s} \times H^{s-1}} \leq 2\left\|z_{0}\right\|_{H^{s} \times H^{s-1}}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T_{0} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The derivation of the lower bound for the maximal existence time (2.3) and the solution size estimate (2.4) is based on the following differential inequality for the solution $z$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|z(t)\|_{H^{s} \times H^{s-1}}^{2} \leq C_{s}\|z(t)\|_{H^{s} \times H^{s-1}}^{3}, \quad 0 \leq t<T \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that (2.5) holds. Then, integrating (2.5) from 0 to $t$, we have

$$
\|z(t)\|_{H^{s} \times H^{s-1}} \leq \frac{\left\|z_{0}\right\|_{H^{s} \times H^{s-1}}}{1-C_{s}\left\|z_{0}\right\|_{H^{s} \times H^{s-1} t}}
$$

From this inequality it follows that $\|z(t)\|_{H^{s} \times H^{s-1}}$ is finite if $C_{s}\left\|z_{0}\right\|_{H^{s} \times H^{s-1}} t<1$. Let $T_{0}=\frac{1}{2 C_{s}\left\|z_{0}\right\|_{H^{s} \times H^{s-1}}}$, then, for $0 \leq t \leq T_{0}$, we have

$$
\|z(t)\|_{H^{s} \times H^{s-1}} \leq \frac{\left\|z_{0}\right\|_{H^{s} \times H^{s-1}}}{1-C_{s}\left\|z_{0}\right\|_{H^{s} \times H^{s-1} T_{0}}}=2\left\|z_{0}\right\|_{H^{s} \times H^{s-1}}
$$

Now we prove the inequality (2.5). Note that the products $u u_{x}$ and $u \rho_{x}$ are only in $H^{s-1}$ if $u, \rho \in H^{s}$. To deal with this problem, we will consider the following modified system

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(J_{\varepsilon} u\right)_{t}+J_{\varepsilon}\left(u u_{x}\right)=-\partial_{x} \Lambda^{-2}\left(J_{\varepsilon} u^{2}+\frac{1}{2} J_{\varepsilon} u_{x}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} J_{\varepsilon} \rho^{2}\right), \quad t>0, x \in \mathbb{R}  \tag{2.6}\\
\left(J_{\varepsilon} \rho\right)_{t}+J_{\varepsilon}\left(u \rho_{x}\right)=-J_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{x} \rho\right), \quad t>0, x \in \mathbb{R}
\end{gather*}
$$

where for each $\varepsilon \in(0,1]$ the operator $J_{\varepsilon}$ is the Friedrichs mollifier defined by

$$
J_{\varepsilon} f(x)=J_{\varepsilon}(f)(x)=j_{\varepsilon} * f
$$

Here $j_{\varepsilon}(x)=\frac{1}{\varepsilon} j\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$, and $j(x)$ is a $C^{\infty}$ function supported in the interval $[-1,1]$ such that $j(x) \geq 0, \int_{\mathbb{R}} j(x) \mathrm{d} x=1$. Applying the operator $\Lambda^{s}$ and $\Lambda^{s-1}$ to the first and second equations of (2.6) respectively, then multiplying the resulting equations by $\Lambda^{s} J_{\varepsilon} u$ and $\Lambda^{s-1} J_{\varepsilon} \rho$, respectively, and integrating them with respect to $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|J_{\varepsilon} u\right\|_{H^{s}}^{2}= & -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Lambda^{s} J_{\varepsilon}\left(u u_{x}\right) \Lambda^{s} J_{\varepsilon} u \mathrm{~d} x  \tag{2.7}\\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x} \Lambda^{s-2} \partial_{x} \Lambda^{-2}\left(J_{\varepsilon} u^{2}+\frac{1}{2} J_{\varepsilon} u_{x}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} J_{\varepsilon} \rho^{2}\right) \Lambda^{s} J_{\varepsilon} u \mathrm{~d} x \\
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|J_{\varepsilon} \rho\right\|_{H^{s-1}}^{2}= & -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Lambda^{s-1} J_{\varepsilon}\left(u \rho_{x}\right) \Lambda^{s-1} J_{\varepsilon} \rho \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Lambda^{s-1} J_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{x} \rho\right) \Lambda^{s-1} J_{\varepsilon} \rho \mathrm{d} x \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Similar to [32], we can estimate the right-hand sides of (2.7) and (2.8). We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|J_{\varepsilon} u\right\|_{H^{s}}^{2} \leq C_{s}\left(\|u\|_{\infty}+\|\rho\|_{\infty}+\left\|u_{x}\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|\rho_{x}\right\|_{\infty}\right)\left(\|u\|_{H^{s}}^{2}+\|\rho\|_{H^{s-1}}^{2}\right), \\
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|J_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{H^{s-1}}^{2} \leq C_{s}\left(\|u\|_{\infty}+\|\rho\|_{\infty}+\left\|u_{x}\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|\rho_{x}\right\|_{\infty}\right)\left(\|u\|_{H^{s}}^{2}+\|\rho\|_{H^{s-1}}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\left\|J_{\varepsilon} u\right\|_{H^{s}}^{2}+\left\|J_{\varepsilon} \rho\right\|_{H^{s-1}}^{2}\right) \\
& \leq C_{s}\left(\|u\|_{\infty}+\|\rho\|_{\infty}+\left\|u_{x}\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|\rho_{x}\right\|_{\infty}\right)\left(\|u\|_{H^{s}}^{2}+\|\rho\|_{H^{s-1}}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, letting $\varepsilon$ aproach 0 , we have
$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\|u\|_{H^{s}}^{2}+\|\rho\|_{H^{s-1}}^{2}\right) \leq C_{s}\left(\|u\|_{\infty}+\|\rho\|_{\infty}+\left\|u_{x}\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|\rho_{x}\right\|_{\infty}\right)\left(\|u\|_{H^{s}}^{2}+\|\rho\|_{H^{s-1}}^{2}\right)$, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|z(t)\|_{H^{s} \times H^{s-1}}^{2} \leq C_{s}\left(\|u(t)\|_{C^{1}}+\|\rho\|_{C^{1}}\right)\|z(t)\|_{H^{s} \times H^{s-1}}^{2} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $s>5 / 2$, using Sobolev's inequality we have that

$$
\|u(t)\|_{C^{1}} \leq C_{s}\|u(t)\|_{H^{s}}, \quad\|\rho(t)\|_{C^{1}} \leq C_{s}\|\rho(t)\|_{H^{s-1}}
$$

From (2.9) we obtain the desired inequality (2.5). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.3.

Recall that $\|z(t)\|_{H^{s} \times H^{s-1}}^{2}=\|u(t)\|_{H^{s}}^{2}+\|\rho(t)\|_{H^{s-1}}^{2}$, where $z(t)=(u(t), \rho(t))$. It follows from Theorem 2.3 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t)\|_{H^{s}},\|\rho(t)\|_{H^{s-1}} \leq\|z(t)\|_{H^{s} \times H^{s-1}} \leq 2\left\|z_{0}\right\|_{H^{s} \times H^{s-1}}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T_{0} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

r2.1 Remark 2.4. Comparing Theorem 2.3 with that in [28], we will see that there exists a significant different between (1.1) and (1.1) with $\rho=\gamma-\gamma_{x x}$. In the other words, we require $s>5 / 2$ because of the Sobolev embedding Theorem. But in paper [28], since $u$ and $\gamma$ have the same property, we assume that $s>3 / 2$.

## 3. Approximate solutions

In this section we first construct a two-parameter family of approximate solutions by using a similar method to [21], then compute the error and last estimate the $H^{1}$-norm of the error.

Following [21], our approximate solutions $u^{\omega, \lambda}=u^{\omega, \lambda}(t, x)$ and $\rho^{\omega, \lambda}=\rho^{\omega, \lambda}(t, x)$ to (2.1) will consist of a low frequency and a high frequency part, i.e.

$$
u^{\omega, \lambda}=u_{l}+u^{h}, \quad \rho^{\omega, \lambda}=\rho_{l}+\rho^{h}
$$

where $\omega$ is in a bounded set of $\mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda>0$. The high frequency part is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{h} & =u^{h, \omega, \lambda}(t, x)=\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s} \phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \cos (\lambda x-\omega t) \\
\rho^{h} & =\rho^{h, \omega, \lambda}(t, x)=\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s+1} \psi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \cos (\lambda x-\omega t) \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\phi$ and $\psi$ are $C^{\infty}$ cut-off functions such that

$$
\phi(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { if }|x|<1, \\
0 & \text { if }|x| \geq 2,
\end{array} \quad \psi(x)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if }|x|<1 \\
0 & \text { if }|x| \geq 2\end{cases}\right.
$$

The low frequency part $\left(u_{l}, \rho_{l}\right)=\left(u_{l, \omega, \lambda}(t, x), \rho_{l, \omega, \lambda}(t, x)\right)$ is the solution to (2.1) with initial data

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{l}(0, x)=\omega \lambda^{-1} \tilde{\phi}\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right), \quad \rho_{l}(0, x)=\omega \lambda^{-1} \tilde{\psi}\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\phi}$ and $\tilde{\psi}$ are $C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ functions such that

$$
\tilde{\phi}(x)=1 \quad \text { if } x \in \operatorname{supp} \phi \cup \operatorname{supp} \psi
$$

We first study the properties of $\left(u_{l}, \rho_{l}\right)$ and $\left(u^{h}, \rho^{h}\right)$. The high frequency part ( $u^{h}, \rho^{h}$ ) defined by (3.1) satisfies

$$
\left\|u^{h}(t)\right\|_{H^{s}} \approx O(1), \quad\left\|\rho^{h}(t)\right\|_{H^{s-1}} \approx O(1) \quad \text { for } \lambda \gg 1
$$

because of the following result.
13.1 Lemma 3.1 ([21]). Let $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}), 1<\delta<2$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for any $s \geq 0$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s}\left\|\psi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \cos (\lambda x-\alpha)\right\|_{H^{s}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\|\psi\|_{2} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relation (3.3) is also true if $\cos$ is replaced by $\sin$.
For the low frequency part $\left(u_{l}, \rho_{l}\right)$, we have the following result.
13.2 Lemma 3.2. Let $\omega$ belong to a bounded set of $\mathbb{R}, 1<\delta<2$ and $\lambda \gg 1$. Then the initial-value problem (2.1)-(3.2) has a unique solution $\left(u_{l}, \rho_{l}\right) \in C\left([0, T) ; H^{s}\right) \times$ $C\left([0, T) ; H^{s-1}\right)$, for all $s>5 / 2$, satisfying the estimates

$$
\left\|u_{l}(t)\right\|_{H^{s}} \leq C_{s} \lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{2} \delta}, \quad\left\|\rho_{l}(t)\right\|_{H^{s-1}} \leq C_{s-1} \lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{2} \delta}
$$

Proof. The existence and uniqueness of local a solution can be derived from Theorem 2.1 for $s>5 / 2$.

It follows from [21, Lemma 5] that

$$
\left\|\psi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right)\right\|_{H^{s}} \leq \lambda^{\delta / 2}\|\psi\|_{H^{s}}
$$

where $s \geq 0$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. Using the above inequality, we have that the initial data $\left(u_{l}(0, x), \rho_{l}(0, x)\right)$ satisfies the estimate

$$
\left\|u_{l}(0)\right\|_{H^{s}} \leq|\omega| \lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{2} \delta}\|\tilde{\phi}\|_{H^{s}}, \quad\left\|\rho_{l}(0)\right\|_{H^{s-1}} \leq|\omega| \lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{2} \delta}\|\tilde{\psi}\|_{H^{s-1}}
$$

which decay if $\delta<2$ and $\omega$ is in a bounded set of $\mathbb{R}$. Recall that $\left\|z_{l}(t)\right\|_{H^{s} \times H^{s-1}}^{2}=$ $\left\|u_{l}(t)\right\|_{H^{s}}^{2}+\left\|\rho_{l}(t)\right\|_{H^{s-1}}^{2}$, we obtain
$\left\|z_{l}(0)\right\|_{H^{s} \times H^{s-1}}=\left(\left\|u_{l}(0)\right\|_{H^{s}}^{2}+\left\|\rho_{l}(0)\right\|_{H^{s-1}}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq|\omega| \lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{2} \delta}\left(\|\tilde{\phi}\|_{H^{s}}^{2}+\|\tilde{\psi}\|_{H^{s-1}}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$.
It follows from (3.2) that $z_{l}(0) \in H^{s} \times H^{s-1}$ for all $s>5 / 2$. If $s>5 / 2$, then from estimate (2.3) of Theorem 2.3, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|u_{l}(t)\right\|_{H^{s}} \leq C_{s}\left\|u_{l}(0)\right\|_{H^{s}} \leq C_{s} \lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{2} \delta} \\
\left\|\rho_{l}(t)\right\|_{H^{s-1}} \leq C_{s}\left\|\rho_{l}(0)\right\|_{H^{s-1}} \leq C_{s-1} \lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{2} \delta}
\end{gathered}
$$

The proof is complete.
Now we compute the error. Substituting the approximate solution ( $u^{\omega, \lambda}, \rho^{\omega, \lambda}$ ) into the first and second equation of (2.1), we obtain the error

$$
\begin{aligned}
E= & u_{t}^{h}+u_{l} u_{x}^{h}+u^{h} u_{l x}+u^{h} u_{x}^{h}+\partial_{x} \Lambda^{-2}\left(\left(u^{h}\right)^{2}+k_{1} u_{l} u^{h}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{x}^{h}\right)^{2}+u_{l x} u_{x}^{h}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho^{h}\right)^{2}+\rho_{l} \rho^{h}\right), \\
F= & \rho_{t}^{h}+u_{l} \rho_{x}^{h}+u^{h} \rho_{l x}+u^{h} \rho_{x}^{h}+\rho^{h} u_{l x}+\rho_{l} u_{x}^{h}+\rho^{h} u_{x}^{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used that $\left(u_{l}, \rho_{l}\right)$ solves (3.2).
Direct calculation shows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{t}^{h}(t, x)=\omega \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s} \phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin (\lambda x-\omega t) \\
\rho_{t}^{h}(t, x)=\omega \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s+1} \psi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin (\lambda x-\omega t)
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\tilde{\phi}=1$ if $x \in \operatorname{supp} \phi \cup \operatorname{supp} \psi$, we can write $u_{t}^{h}$ and $\rho_{t}^{h}$ in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{t}^{h}(t, x) & =\omega \tilde{\phi}\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s} \phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin (\lambda x-\omega t) \\
& =\lambda u_{l}(0, x) \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s} \phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin (\lambda x-\omega t), \\
\rho_{t}^{h}(t, x) & =\omega \tilde{\phi}\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s+1} \psi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin (\lambda x-\omega t)  \tag{3.4}\\
& =\lambda u_{l}(0, x) \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s+1} \psi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin (\lambda x-\omega t) .
\end{align*}
$$

Computing the spacial derivatives of $u^{h}$ and $\rho^{h}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{x}^{h}(t, x)=-\lambda \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s} \phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin (\lambda x-\omega t)+\lambda^{-\frac{3}{2} \delta-s} \phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \cos (\lambda x-\omega t), \\
& \rho_{x}^{h}(t, x)=-\lambda \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s+1} \psi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin (\lambda x-\omega t)+\lambda^{-\frac{3}{2} \delta-s+1} \psi^{\prime}\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \cos (\lambda x-\omega t) . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (3.4) with (3.5), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{t}^{h}(t, x)+u_{l} u_{x}^{h}(t, x)= & \lambda\left[u_{l}(0, x)-u_{l}(t, x)\right] \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s} \phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin (\lambda x-\omega t) \\
& +u_{l}(t, x) \lambda^{-\frac{3}{2} \delta-s} \phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \cos (\lambda x-\omega t) \\
\rho_{t}^{h}(t, x)+u_{l} \rho_{x}^{h}(t, x)= & \lambda\left[u_{l}(0, x)-u_{l}(t, x)\right] \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s+1} \psi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin (\lambda x-\omega t) \\
& +u_{l}(t, x) \lambda^{-\frac{3}{2} \delta-s+1} \psi^{\prime}\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \cos (\lambda x-\omega t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we can rewrite the error $E$ and $F$ as

$$
E=E_{1}+E_{2}+\cdots+E_{8}, \quad F=F_{1}+F_{2}+\cdots+F_{6}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
E_{1}=-\lambda\left[u_{l}(0, x)-u_{l}(t, x)\right] \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s} \phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin (\lambda x+\omega t) \\
E_{2}=u_{l}(t, x) \lambda^{-\frac{3}{2} \delta-s} \phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \cos (\lambda x+\omega t) \\
E_{3}=-u^{h} u_{l x}, \quad E_{4}=-u^{h} u_{x}^{h} \\
E_{5}=-\partial_{x} \Lambda^{-2}\left(\frac{k_{1}}{2}\left(u^{h}\right)^{2}+\frac{k_{2}}{2}\left(\rho^{h}\right)^{2}\right), \quad E_{6}=-\partial_{x} \Lambda^{-2}\left(k_{1} u_{l} u^{h}+k_{2} \rho_{l} \rho^{h}\right), \\
E_{7}=-\left(3-k_{1}\right) \partial_{x} \Lambda^{-2}\left(u_{l x} u_{x}^{h}\right), \quad E_{8}=\frac{3-k_{1}}{2} \partial_{x} \Lambda^{-2}\left(\left(u_{x}^{h}\right)^{2}\right), \\
F_{1}=-k_{3} \lambda\left[u_{l}(0, x)-u_{l}(t, x)\right] \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s+1} \psi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin (\lambda x+\omega t) \\
F_{2}=k_{3} u_{l}(t, x) \lambda^{-\frac{3}{2} \delta-s+1} \psi^{\prime}\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \cos (\lambda x+\omega t) \\
F_{3}=-k_{3} u^{h} \rho_{l x}, \quad F_{4}=-k_{3} u^{h} \rho_{x}^{h} \\
F_{5}=-k_{3}\left(\rho^{h} u_{l x}+\rho_{l} u_{x}^{h}+\rho^{h} u_{x}^{h}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Now we are ready to estimate the $H^{1}$-norm of each error $E_{i}$ and the $L^{2}$-norm of each error $F_{j}(i=1, \ldots, 8, j=1, \ldots, 6)$. Let $C$ be a generic positive constant. For any positive quantities $P$ and $Q$, we write $P \lesssim Q(P \gtrsim Q)$ means that $P \leq C Q$ ( $P \geq C Q$ ) in the following.

Estimates of $\left\|E_{1}\right\|_{H^{1}}$ and $\left\|F_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}}$. Note that

$$
\|f g\|_{H^{1}} \leq \sqrt{2}\|f\|_{C^{1}}\|g\|_{H^{1}}, \quad \forall f \in C^{1}, g \in H^{1}
$$

and $\left\|\phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin (\lambda x-\omega t)\right\|_{C^{1}}=\lambda\|\phi\|_{\infty}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|E_{1}\right\|_{H^{1}} & =\lambda^{1-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s}\left\|\phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin (\lambda x-\omega t)\left[u_{l}(0, x)-u_{l}(t, x)\right]\right\|_{H^{1}} \\
& \lesssim \lambda^{1-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s}\left\|\phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin (\lambda x-\omega t)\right\|_{C^{1}}\left\|u_{l}(0, x)-u_{l}(t, x)\right\|_{H^{1}}  \tag{3.6}\\
& \lesssim \lambda^{2-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s}\left\|u_{l}(0, x)-u_{l}(t, x)\right\|_{H^{1}}
\end{align*}
$$

To estimate the $H^{1}$-norm of the difference $u_{l}(0, x)-u_{l}(t, x)$, we apply the fundamental theorem of calculus in time variable to obtain

$$
\left\|u_{l}(0, x)-u_{l}(t, x)\right\|_{H^{1}}=\int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{l t}(\tau)\right\|_{H^{1}} \mathrm{~d} \tau
$$

It follows from the first equation of (3.2) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{l t}(t)\right\|_{H^{1}} & \leq\left\|u_{l} u_{l x}\right\|_{H^{1}}+\left\|\partial_{x} \Lambda^{-2}\left(u_{l}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} u_{l x}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \rho_{l}^{2}\right)\right\|_{H^{1}} \\
& \leq\left\|u_{l}\right\|_{H^{1}}\left\|u_{l}\right\|_{H^{2}}+\left\|u_{l}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} u_{l x}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \rho_{l}^{2}\right\|_{2} \\
& \lesssim\left\|u_{l}\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2}+\left\|u_{l}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|u_{l}\right\|_{2}+\left\|u_{l x}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|u_{l}\right\|_{H^{1}}+\left\|\rho_{l}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|\rho_{l}\right\|_{2}  \tag{3.7}\\
& \lesssim\left\|u_{l}\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2}+\left\|u_{l}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+\left\|\rho_{l}\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \\
& \lesssim\left\|u_{l}\right\|_{H^{3}}^{2}+\left\|\rho_{l}\right\|_{H^{3}}^{2} \\
& \lesssim \lambda^{-2+\delta}, \quad \lambda \gg 1
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used Lemma 3.2 and the Sobolev embedding Theorem $H^{s} \hookrightarrow L^{\infty}$ for $s>3 / 2$.

Combining (3.6) and (3.7), we obtain

$$
\left\|E_{1}\right\|_{H^{1}} \lesssim \lambda^{-s+\frac{1}{2} \delta}, \quad \lambda \gg 1
$$

Similarly,

$$
\left\|F_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim \lambda^{-s+\frac{1}{2} \delta}, \quad \lambda \gg 1
$$

Estimates of $\left\|E_{i}\right\|_{H^{1}}$ and $\left\|F_{j}\right\|_{H^{1}}, i=2, \ldots, 8, j=2,3$. In [28], the authors obtained the following estimates

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|E_{2}\right\|_{H^{1}} \lesssim \lambda^{-s-\delta}, \\
\left\|E_{3}\right\|_{H^{1}},\left\|E_{6}\right\|_{H^{1}},\left\|E_{7}\right\|_{H^{1}} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s+1} \lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{2} \delta}, \\
\left\|E_{4}\right\|_{H^{1}},\left\|E_{5}\right\|_{H^{1}},\left\|E_{8}\right\|_{H^{1}} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-2 s+2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Similar to the estimate of $\left\|E_{2}\right\|_{H^{1}}$, we have

$$
\left\|F_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim \lambda^{-s-\delta}, \quad \lambda \gg 1
$$

Direct calculation shows that

$$
\left\|F_{3}\right\|_{L^{2}}=\left\|u^{h} \rho_{l x}\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\left\|u^{h}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|\rho_{l x}\right\|_{H^{1}} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s} \lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{2} \delta}, \quad \lambda \gg 1
$$

Estimates of $\left\|F_{4}\right\|_{L^{2}}$. It follows from (3.1) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{x}^{h}(t)\right\|_{\infty} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s+1}, \quad\left\|\rho_{x}^{h}(t)\right\|_{\infty} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s+2}, \quad \lambda \gg 1 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using Lemma 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u^{h}(t)\right\|_{H^{k}} & =\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s}\left\|\phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \cos (\lambda x-\omega t)\right\|_{H^{k}} \\
& =\lambda^{-s+k} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-k}\left\|\phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \cos (\lambda x-\omega t)\right\|_{H^{k}}  \tag{3.9}\\
& \lesssim \lambda^{-s+k}, \quad \lambda \gg 1
\end{align*}
$$

The above inequality also holds for $\rho^{h}(t)$. Combining (3.8) and (3.9), we obtain that, for $\lambda \gg 1$,

$$
\left\|F_{4}\right\|_{L^{2}}=\left\|u^{h} \rho_{x}^{h}\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\left\|u^{h}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|\rho^{h}\right\|_{H^{1}} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s} \lambda^{-s+2} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-2 s+2}
$$

Estimate of $\left\|F_{5}\right\|_{L^{2}}$. It follows from (3.8) and (3.9) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|F_{5}\right\|_{L^{2}} & =\left\|\left(\rho^{h} u_{l x}+\rho_{l} u_{x}^{h}+\rho^{h} u_{x}^{h}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq\left(\left\|\rho^{h}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|u_{l x}\right\|_{H^{1}}+\left\|u_{x}^{h}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|\rho_{l}\right\|_{H^{1}}+\left\|\rho^{h}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|u_{x}^{h}\right\|_{L^{2}}\right) \\
& \lesssim\left\|\rho^{h}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|u_{l}\right\|_{H^{2}}+\left\|u_{x}^{h}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|\rho_{l}\right\|_{H^{2}}+\left\|\rho^{h}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|u_{x}^{h}\right\|_{H^{1}} \\
& \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s} \lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{2} \delta}+\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s+1} \lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{2} \delta}+\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s+1} \lambda^{-s+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives $\left\|F_{5}\right\|_{H^{1}} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-2 s+2}, \lambda \gg 1$.
Collecting all error estimates together, we have the following theorem.
t3.1 Theorem 3.3. Let $s>5 / 2$ and $1<\delta<2$. When $\omega$ is in a bounded set of $\mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda \gg 1$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|E\|_{H^{1}} \lesssim \lambda^{-r_{s}}, \quad\|F\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim \lambda^{-r_{s}}, \quad \text { for } \lambda \gg 1,0<t<T \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where $r_{s}=s-\frac{1}{2} \delta>0$.

## 4. Difference between approximate and actual solutions

In this section, we estimate the difference between the approximate and actual solutions. Let $\left(u_{\omega, \lambda}(t, x), \rho_{\omega, \lambda}(t, x)\right)$ be the solution to (2.1) with initial data the value of the approximate solution $\left(u^{\omega, \lambda}(t, x), \rho^{\omega, \lambda}(t, x)\right)$ at time zero, that is, $\left(u_{\omega, \lambda}(t, x), \rho_{\omega, \lambda}(t, x)\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{t} u_{\omega, \lambda}-u_{\omega, \lambda} \partial_{x} u_{\omega, \lambda}-\partial_{x} \Lambda^{-2}\left(u_{\omega, \lambda}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{x} u_{\omega, \lambda}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \rho_{\omega, \lambda}^{2}\right)=0, \quad t>0, x \in \mathbb{R} \\
\partial_{t} \rho_{\omega, \lambda}-u_{\omega, \lambda} \partial_{x} \rho_{\omega, \lambda}-\left(\partial_{x} u_{\omega, \lambda} \rho_{\omega, \lambda}+\partial_{x} \rho_{\omega, \lambda} u_{\omega, \lambda}\right)=0, \quad t>0, x \in \mathbb{R} \\
u_{\omega, \lambda}(0, x)=u^{\omega, \lambda}(0, x)=\omega \lambda^{-1} \tilde{\phi}\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right)+\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s} \phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \cos (\lambda x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \\
\rho_{\omega, \lambda}(0, x)=\rho^{\omega, \lambda}(0, x)=\omega \lambda^{-1} \tilde{\psi}\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right)+\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s+1} \psi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \cos (\lambda x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{4.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that $\left(u_{\omega, \lambda}(0, x), \rho_{\omega, \lambda}(0, x)\right) \in H^{s} \times H^{s-1}, s \geq 2$, it follows from Lemma 3.2 and (3.9) that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|u_{\omega, \lambda}(0, x)\right\|_{H^{s}} \leq\left\|u_{l}(0)\right\|_{H^{s}}+\left\|u^{h}(0)\right\|_{H^{s}} \lesssim \lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{2} \delta}+1, \quad \lambda \gg 1 \\
\left\|\rho_{\omega, \lambda}(0, x)\right\|_{H^{s-1}} \leq\left\|\rho_{l}(0)\right\|_{H^{s-1}}+\left\|\rho^{h}(0)\right\|_{H^{s-1}} \lesssim \lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{2} \delta}+1, \quad \lambda \gg 1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, if $s>5 / 2$, by using Theorem 2.1 and 2.3 , we have that for any $\omega$ in a bounded set and $\lambda \gg 1$, problem (4.1) has a unique solution $z_{\omega, \lambda} \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{s}\right) \times$
$C\left([0, T] ; H^{s-1}\right)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \gtrsim \frac{1}{\left\|z_{\omega, \lambda}(0)\right\|_{H^{s} \times H^{s-1}}} \gtrsim \frac{1}{1+\lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{2} \delta}} \gtrsim 1 . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To estimate the difference between the approximate and actual solutions, we let

$$
v=u^{\omega, \lambda}-u_{\omega, \lambda}, \quad \sigma=\rho^{\omega, \lambda}-\rho_{\omega, \lambda} .
$$

Then $(v, \sigma)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gather*}
v_{t}-v v_{x}+u^{\omega, \lambda} v_{x}+v u_{x}^{\omega, \lambda}-\partial_{x} \Lambda^{-2}\left[v^{2}+\frac{1}{2} v_{x}^{2}\right. \\
\left.+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}-2 u^{\omega, \lambda} v-u_{x}^{\omega, \lambda} v_{x}-\rho^{\omega, \lambda} \sigma\right]=\tilde{E}, \quad t>0, x \in \mathbb{R},  \tag{4.3}\\
\sigma_{t}-v \sigma_{x}+u^{\omega, \lambda} \sigma_{x}+v \rho_{x}^{\omega, \lambda}-\left(\sigma v_{x}-u^{\omega, \lambda} \sigma-\rho^{\omega, \lambda} v_{x}\right)=\tilde{F}, \quad t>0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\
v(0, x)=\sigma(0, x)=0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{E}=u_{t}^{\omega, \lambda}+u^{\omega, \lambda} u_{x}^{\omega, \lambda}+\partial_{x} \Lambda^{-2}\left(\left(u^{\omega, \lambda}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{x}^{\omega, \lambda}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho^{\omega, \lambda}\right)^{2}\right), \\
\tilde{F}=\rho_{t}^{\omega, \lambda}+u^{\omega, \lambda} \rho_{x}^{\omega, \lambda}++\rho^{\omega, \lambda} u_{x}^{\omega, \lambda}
\end{gathered}
$$

Similar to the prove of Theorem $3.3, \tilde{E}$ and $\tilde{F}$ satisfy the $H^{1}$-norm estimation (3.10). Now we prove that the $H^{1}$-norm of difference decays.

Theorem 4.1. Let $1<\delta<2$ and $s>5 / 2$, then

$$
\|v(t)\|_{H^{1}} \lesssim \lambda^{-r_{s}}, \quad\|\sigma(t)\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim \lambda^{-r_{s}}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \lambda \gg 1
$$

where $r_{s}=s-\frac{1}{2} \delta>0$.
Proof. Note that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|v(t)\|_{H^{1}}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(v v_{t}+v_{x} v_{x t}\right) \mathrm{d} x  \tag{4.4}\\
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|\sigma(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma \sigma_{t} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{4.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Applying the operator $1-\partial_{x}^{2}=\Lambda^{2}$ to both sides of the first equations of (4.3), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{t}= \Lambda^{2} \tilde{E}-\Lambda^{2}\left(u^{\omega, \lambda} v_{x}-v u_{x}^{\omega, \lambda}\right)-\left(2 u^{\omega, \lambda} v+u_{x}^{\omega, \lambda} v_{x}+\rho^{\omega, \lambda} \sigma\right)_{x} \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma^{2}\right)_{x}+3 v v_{x}-2 v_{x} v_{x x}-v v_{x x x}+v_{x x t}  \tag{4.6}\\
& \sigma_{t}=\tilde{F}-\left(u^{\omega, \lambda} \sigma_{x}+v \rho_{x}^{\omega, \lambda}\right)-\left(u_{x}^{\omega, \lambda} \sigma+\rho^{\omega, \lambda} v_{x}\right)+(v \sigma)_{x} \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (4.6) and (4.7) into (4.4) and (4.5), respectively, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|v(t)\|_{H^{1}}^{2}= & \int_{\mathbb{R}} v \Lambda^{2} \tilde{E} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}} v \Lambda^{2}\left(u^{\omega, \lambda} v_{x}+v u_{x}^{\omega, \lambda}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}} v\left(2 u^{\omega, \lambda} v+u_{x}^{\omega, \lambda} v_{x}+\rho^{\omega, \lambda} \sigma\right)_{x} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v\left(\sigma^{2}\right)_{x} \mathrm{~d} x  \tag{4.8}\\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(v\left(3 v v_{x}-2 v_{x} v_{x x}-v v_{x x x}+v_{x x t}\right)+v_{x} v_{x t}\right) \mathrm{d} x
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|\sigma(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}= & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma \tilde{F} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma\left(u^{\omega, \lambda} \sigma_{x}+v \rho_{x}^{\omega, \lambda}\right) \mathrm{d} x  \tag{4.9}\\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma\left(\rho^{\omega, \lambda} v_{x}+\sigma u_{x}^{\omega, \lambda}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(v \sigma)_{x} \mathrm{~d} x
\end{align*}
$$

A direct calculation yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(v\left(3 v v_{x}-2 v_{x} v_{x x}-v v_{x x x}+v_{x x t}\right)+v_{x} v_{x t}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[\left(v^{3}\right)_{x}-\left(v^{2} v_{x x}\right)_{x}+\left(v v_{x t}\right)_{x}\right] \mathrm{d} x=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting the above equalities in (4.8), and adding the resulting equations, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{d}{d t}\left(\|v(t)\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+\|\sigma(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right) \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}} v \Lambda^{2} \tilde{E} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma \tilde{F} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}} v \Lambda^{2}\left(u^{\omega, \lambda} v_{x}+v u_{x}^{\omega, \lambda}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma\left(u^{\omega, \lambda} \sigma_{x}+v \rho_{x}^{\omega, \lambda}\right) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}} v\left(2 u^{\omega, \lambda} v+u_{x}^{\omega, \lambda} v_{x}+\rho^{\omega, \lambda} \sigma\right)_{x} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma\left(\rho^{\omega, \lambda} v_{x}+\sigma u_{x}^{\omega, \lambda}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[\frac{1}{2} v\left(\sigma^{2}\right)_{x}+\sigma(v \sigma)_{x}\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
:= & I_{1}+I_{2}+\cdots+I_{7} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We first look at the last term $I_{7}$. Integrating by parts gives

$$
I_{7}=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[\frac{1}{2} v\left(\sigma^{2}\right)_{x}+\sigma(v \sigma)_{x}\right] \mathrm{d} x=0
$$

Estimates of integrals $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$. Integrating by parts and applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} v \Lambda^{2} \tilde{E} \mathrm{~d} x\right|=\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(v \tilde{E}-v_{x} \tilde{E}_{x}\right) \mathrm{d} x\right| \leq\|\tilde{E}\|_{H^{1}}\|v(t)\|_{H^{1}} \\
&\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma \tilde{F} \mathrm{~d} x\right| \leq\|\tilde{F}\|_{L^{2}}\|\sigma(t)\|_{L^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Estimates of integrals $I_{3}-I_{6}$. Similar to that in [28], we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=3}^{6} I_{i} \lesssim & \left(\left\|u^{\omega, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|u_{x}^{\omega, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|u_{x x}^{\omega, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|\rho^{\omega, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{\infty}\right) \\
& \times\left(\|v(t)\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+\|\sigma(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the estimations for $I_{1}-I_{7}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\|v(t)\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+\|\sigma(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right) \\
& \lesssim\left(\|\tilde{E}\|_{H^{1}}+\|\tilde{F}\|_{H^{1}}\right)\left(\|v(t)\|_{H^{1}}+\|\sigma(t)\|_{L^{2}}\right)  \tag{4.10}\\
& \quad+\left(\left\|u^{\omega, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|u_{x}^{\omega, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|u_{x x}^{\omega, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|\rho^{\omega, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|\rho_{x}^{\omega, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{\infty}\right) \\
& \quad \times\left(\|v(t)\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+\|\sigma(t)\|_{H^{1}}^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (3.1) that

$$
u_{x}^{h}=-\lambda^{-\frac{3}{2} \delta-s} \phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \cos (\lambda x-\omega t)-\lambda^{-\frac{\delta}{2}-s+1} \phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin (\lambda x-\omega t),
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{x x}^{h}= & \lambda^{-\frac{5}{2} \delta-s} \phi^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \cos (\lambda x-\omega t)-2 \lambda^{-\frac{3}{2} \delta-s+1} \phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin (\lambda x-\omega t) \\
& -2 \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s+2} \phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \cos (\lambda x-\omega t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\left\|u^{h}(t)\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|u_{x}^{h}(t)\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|u_{x x}^{h}(t)\right\|_{\infty} \lesssim \lambda^{-\left(\frac{1}{2} \delta+s-2\right)}, \quad \lambda \gg 1
$$

By using Lemma 3.2, we have

$$
\left\|u_{l}(t)\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|u_{l x}(t)\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|u_{l x x}(t)\right\|_{\infty} \lesssim \lambda^{-\left(1-\frac{1}{2} \delta\right)}, \quad \lambda \gg 1
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{\omega, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|u_{x}^{\omega, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|u_{x x}^{\omega, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{\infty} \lesssim \lambda^{-\rho_{s}}, \quad \lambda \gg 1, \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
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$$

where $\rho_{s}=\min \left\{\frac{1}{2} \delta+s-2,1-\frac{1}{2} \delta\right\}>0$ for any $s>1$ if $\delta$ is chosen appropriately in the interval $(1,2)$. Similarly, we can prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho^{\omega, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{\infty} \lesssim \lambda^{-s}, \quad\left\|\rho_{x}^{\omega, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{\infty} \lesssim \lambda^{-\rho_{s}} \quad \lambda \gg 1 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\tilde{z}(t, x)=(v(t, x), \sigma(t, x))$ and $\|\tilde{z}(t)\|_{H^{1} \times L^{2}}^{2}=\|v(t)\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+\|\sigma(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}$, then by (4.10)-(4.12), we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|\tilde{z}(t)\|_{H^{1} \times L^{2}}^{2} & \lesssim\left(\|\tilde{E}\|_{H^{1}}+\|\tilde{F}\|_{L^{2}}\right)\|\tilde{z}(t)\|_{H^{1} \times L^{2}}+\lambda^{-\rho_{s}}\|\tilde{z}(t)\|_{H^{1}}^{2} \\
& \lesssim \lambda^{-r_{s}}\|\tilde{z}(t)\|_{H^{1} \times L^{2}}+\lambda^{-\rho_{s}}\|\tilde{z}(t)\|_{H^{1} \times L^{2}}^{2}, \quad \lambda \gg 1
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used Theorem 3.3. Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\|\tilde{z}(t)\|_{H^{1} \times L^{2}} \lesssim \lambda^{-\rho_{s}}\|\tilde{z}(t)\|_{H^{1} \times L^{2}}+\lambda^{-r_{s}}, \quad \lambda \gg 1 \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\|\tilde{z}(0)\|_{H^{1} \times L^{2}}=\left(\|v(0)\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+\|\sigma(0)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}=0$ and for $s>1$, we can choose $\delta \in(1,2)$ such that $\rho_{s} \geq 0$, by (4.13) and Gronwall's inequality, we obtain

$$
\|\tilde{z}(t)\|_{H^{1} \times L^{2}} \lesssim \lambda^{-r_{s}}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad \lambda \gg 1 .
$$

Note that

$$
\|v(t)\|_{H^{1}},\|\sigma(t)\|_{L^{2}} \leq\|\tilde{z}(t)\|_{H^{1} \times L^{2}}
$$

we see that

$$
\|v(t)\|_{H^{1}},\|\sigma(t)\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim \lambda^{-r_{s}}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \lambda \gg 1
$$

This completes the proof.

## 5. Non-UnIForm Dependence

In this section, we prove non-uniform dependence for (2.1) by taking advantage of the information provided by Theorem 2.1-2.3, Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 4.1. Our main result is the following.
t5.1 Theorem 5.1. If $s>5 / 2$, then the data-to-solution $z(0) \rightarrow z(t)$ for (2.1) is not uniformly continuous from any bounded subset of $H^{s} \times H^{s-1}$ into $C\left([-T, T] ; H^{s}\right) \times$ $C\left([-T, T] ; H^{s-1}\right)$, where $z(0)=\left(u_{0}(x), \rho_{0}(x)\right)$ and $z(t)=(u(t, x), \rho(t, x))$. More precisely, there exist two sequences of solutions $\left(u_{\lambda}(t), \rho_{\lambda}(t)\right)$ and $\left(\tilde{u}_{\lambda}(t), \tilde{\rho}_{\lambda}(t)\right)$ to the differential equations of (2.1) in $C\left([-T, T] ; H^{s}\right) \times C\left([-T, T] ; H^{s-1}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|u_{\lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{s}}+\left\|\tilde{u}_{\lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{s}}+\left\|\rho_{\lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{s-1}}+\left\|\tilde{\rho}_{\lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{s-1}} \lesssim 1  \tag{5.1}\\
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{\lambda}(0)-\tilde{u}_{\lambda}(0)\right\|_{H^{s}}=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\rho_{\lambda}(0)-\tilde{\rho}_{\lambda}(0)\right\|_{H^{s-1}}=0  \tag{5.2}\\
\liminf _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|u_{\lambda}(t)-\tilde{u}_{\lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{s}}+\left\|\rho_{\lambda}(t)-\tilde{\rho}_{\lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{s-1}}\right) \gtrsim \sin t, \quad|t|<T \leq 1 . \tag{5.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Let $\left(u_{\lambda}(t), \rho_{\lambda}(t)\right)=\left(u_{1, \lambda}(t, x), \rho_{1, \lambda}(t, x)\right)$ and let $\left(\tilde{u}_{\lambda}(t), \tilde{\rho}_{\lambda}(t)\right)=$
$\left(u_{-1, \lambda}(t, x), \rho_{-1, \lambda}(t, x)\right)$, where $\left(u_{1, \lambda}(t, x), \rho_{1, \lambda}(t, x)\right)$ and $\left(u_{-1, \lambda}(t, x), \rho_{-1, \lambda}(t, x)\right)$ be the unique solution to problem (4.1) with initial data $\left(u^{1, \lambda}(0, x), \rho^{1, \lambda}(0, x)\right)$ and $\left(u^{-1, \lambda}(0, x), \rho^{-1, \lambda}(0, x)\right)$, respectively. From Theorem 2.1 these solutions belong to $C\left([0, T] ; H^{s}\right) \times C\left([0, T] ; H^{s-1}\right)$. By (4.2) and the assumptions after Theorem 2.1, we see that $T$ is independent of $\lambda \gg 1$. Letting $k=[s]+2$ and using estimate (2.10), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{ \pm 1, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{k}},\left\|\rho_{ \pm 1, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{k-1}} \lesssim\left\|z^{ \pm 1, \lambda}(0)\right\|_{H^{k} \times H^{k-1}} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z^{ \pm 1, \lambda}(0)=\left(u^{ \pm 1, \lambda}(0), \rho^{ \pm 1, \lambda}(0)\right)$ and $\left\|z^{ \pm 1, \lambda}(0)\right\|_{H^{k} \times H^{k-1}}^{2}=\left\|u^{ \pm 1, \lambda}(0)\right\|_{H^{k}}^{2}+$ $\left\|\rho^{ \pm 1, \lambda}(0)\right\|_{H^{k-1}}^{2}$. If $\lambda$ is large enough, then from Lemma 3.1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u^{ \pm 1, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{k}} & \leq\left\|u_{ \pm 1, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{k}}+\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s}\left\|\phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \cos (\lambda x-\omega t)\right\|_{H^{k}} \\
& \lesssim \lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{2} \delta}+\lambda^{k-s}\|\phi\|_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{ \pm 1, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{k}} \lesssim \lambda^{k-s} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (5.4) with (5.5), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{ \pm 1, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{k}} \lesssim \lambda^{k-s}, \quad \lambda \gg 1 \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Estimates (5.5) and (5.6) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{ \pm 1, \lambda}(t)-u_{ \pm 1, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{k}} \lesssim \lambda^{k-s}, \quad \lambda \gg 1 \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.1 implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{ \pm 1, \lambda}(t)-u_{ \pm 1, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{1}} \lesssim \lambda^{-r_{s}}, \quad \lambda \gg 1 \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, applying the interpolation inequality

$$
\|\varphi\|_{H^{s}} \leq\|\varphi\|_{H^{s_{1}}}^{\left(s_{2}-s\right) /\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right)}\|\varphi\|_{H^{s_{2}}}^{\left(s-s_{1}\right) /\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right)}
$$

with $s_{1}=1$ and $s_{2}=[s]+2=k$, and using estimates (5.7) and (5.8), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|u^{ \pm 1, \lambda}(t)-u_{ \pm 1, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{s}} \\
& \leq\left\|u^{ \pm 1, \lambda}(t)-u_{ \pm 1, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{1}}^{(k-s) /(k-1)}\left\|u^{ \pm 1, \lambda}(t)-u_{ \pm 1, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{k}}^{(s-1) /(k-1)} \\
& \lesssim \lambda^{-r_{s}(k-s) /(k-1)} \lambda^{(k-s)(s-1) /(k-1)} \\
& \lesssim \lambda^{-\left(r_{s}-s+1\right)(k-s) /(k-1)}, \quad \lambda \gg 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{ \pm 1, \lambda}(t)-u_{ \pm 1, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{s}} \lesssim \lambda^{-\varepsilon_{s}}, \quad \lambda \gg 1 \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{s}=\left(1-\frac{1}{2} \delta\right) /(s+2)$.
Next, we prove (5.2) and (5.3). Note that $0<\delta<2$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|u_{1, \lambda}(0)-u_{-1, \lambda}(0)\right\|_{H^{s}}=2 \lambda^{-1}\left\|\tilde{\phi}\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right)\right\|_{H^{s}} \leq 2 \lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{2} \delta}\|\tilde{\phi}\|_{H^{s}} \rightarrow 0 \\
\left\|\rho_{1, \lambda}(0)-\rho_{-1, \lambda}(0)\right\|_{H^{s-1}}=2 \lambda^{-1}\left\|\tilde{\psi}\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right)\right\|_{H^{s-1}} \leq 2 \lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{2} \delta}\|\tilde{\psi}\|_{H^{s-1}} \rightarrow 0
\end{gathered}
$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, which implies that (5.2) holds. Now, we prove (5.3). It is easy to see that

$$
\liminf _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|u_{\lambda}(t)-\tilde{u}_{\lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{s}}+\left\|\rho_{\lambda}(t)-\tilde{\rho}_{\lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{s-1}}\right) \geq \liminf _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{\lambda}(t)-\tilde{u}_{\lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{s}} .
$$

Thus we only prove that

$$
\liminf _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{\lambda}(t)-\tilde{u}_{\lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{s}} \gtrsim \sin t, \quad|t|<T \leq 1 .
$$

Obviously,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|u_{1, \lambda}(t)-u_{-1, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{s}} \\
& \geq\left\|u^{1, \lambda}(t)-u^{-1, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{s}}-\left\|u^{1, \lambda}(t)-u_{1, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{s}}-\left\|u^{-1, \lambda}(t)-u_{-1, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from (5.9) that

$$
\left\|u_{1, \lambda}(t)-u_{-1, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{s}} \geq\left\|u^{1, \lambda}(t)-u^{-1, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{s}}-c \lambda^{-\varepsilon_{s}}, \quad \lambda \gg 1
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{1, \lambda}(t)-u_{-1, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{s}} \geq \liminf _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u^{1, \lambda}(t)-u^{-1, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{s}} . \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The identity $\cos \alpha-\cos \beta=-2 \sin \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2} \sin \frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}$ gives

$$
u^{1, \lambda}(t)-u^{-1, \lambda}(t)=u_{l, 1, \lambda}(t)-u_{l,-1, \lambda}(t)+2 \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s} \phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin \lambda x \sin t
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|u^{1, \lambda}(t)-u^{-1, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{s}} \\
& \geq 2 \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s}\left\|\phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin \lambda x\right\|_{H^{s}}|\sin t|-\left\|u_{l, 1, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{s}}-\left\|u_{l,-1, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{s}} \\
& \gtrsim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta-s}\left\|\phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin \lambda x\right\|_{H^{s}}|\sin t|-\lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{2} \delta}, \quad \lambda \gg 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u^{1, \lambda}(t)-u^{-1, \lambda}(t)\right\|_{H^{s}} \gtrsim|\sin t| . \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing inequalities (5.10) and (5.11) up, it yields inequality (5.3). This completes the proof.

Acknowledgments. The author is grateful to the anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions and comments on the original manuscript.

## References

[1] A. Bressan, A. Constantin, Global conservation solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 183 (2007) 215-239.
[2] A. Bressan, A. Constantin, Global dissipative solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation, Anal. Appl. 5 (2007) 1-27.
[3] R. Camassa, D. Holm, An integrable shallow water equation with peaked solitons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71(1993), 1661-1664.
[4] A. Constantin, The trajectories of particles in Stokes waves, Invent. Math. f166 (2006) 523535.
[5] A. Constantin, J. Escher, Well-posedness, global existence and blowup phenomena for a periodic quasi-linear hyperbolic equation, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 51 (1998) 475-504.
[6] A. Constantin, J. Escher, Wave breaking for nonlinear nonlocal shallow water equations, Acta Math. (1998) 229-243.
[7] A. Constantin, J. Escher, Global weak solutions for a shallow water equation, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 47 (1998) 1527-1245.
[8] A. Constantin, J. Escher, On the blow-up rate and the blow-up of breaking waves for a shallow water equation, Math. Z. 233 (2000) 75-91.
[9] A. Constantin, J. Escher, Particle trajectories in solitary water waves, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 44 (2007) 423-431.

## CI

[10] A. Constantin, R. Ivanov, On an integrable two-component Camassa-Holm shallow water system, Phys. Lett. A 372 (2008) 7129-7132.
[11] J. Escher, O. Lechtenfeld, Z. Yin, Well-posedness and blow-up phenomena for the 2component Camassa-Holm equation, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 19 (2007) 493-513.
[12] J. Escher, Z. Yin, Initial boundary value problems of the Camassa-Holm equation, Commun. Partial Differential Equations 33 (2008) 377-395.
[13] Y. Fu, C. Qu, Well-posedness and blow-up solution for a new coupled Camassa-Holm system with peakons, J. Math. Phys. 50, 012906 (2009).
[14] Y. G. Fu, Z. R. Liu, Non-uniform dependence on initial data for the periodic modified Camassa-Holm equation, Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications NoDEA 20 (2013) 741-755.
[15] C. Guan, Z. Yin, Global existence and blow-up phenomena for an integrable two-component Camassa-Holm shallow water system, J. Differential Equations 248 (2010) 2003-2014.
[16] C. Guan, Z. Yin, Global weak solutions for a two-component Camassa-Holm shallow water system, J. Funct. Anal. 260 (2011) 1132-1154.
[17] G. Gui, Y. Liu, On the global existence and wave-breaking criteria for the two-component Camassa-Holm system, J. Funct. Anal. 258 (2010) 4251-4278.
[18] G. Gui, Y. Liu, On the Cauchy problem for the two-component Camassa-Holm system, Math. Z. 268 (2011) 45-66.
[19] Z. G. Guo, Y. Zhou, On solutions to a two-component generalized Camassa-Holm equation, Stud. Appl. Math. 124 (2010) 307C322.
[20] A. Himonas, G. Misiolek, G. Ponce, Y. Zhou, Persistence properties and unique continuous of solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation, Comm. Math. Phys. 271 (2007) 511-522.
[21] A. Himonas, C. Kenig, Non-uniform dependence on initial data for the CH equation on the line, Differential Integral Equations 22 (2009) 201-224.
[22] A. Himonas, C. Kenig, G. Misiolek, Non-uniform dependence for the periodic CH equation, Commun. Partial Differential Equations 35 (2010) 1145-1162.
[23] D. D. Holm, L. Naraigh, C. Tronci, Singular solution of a modified two component CamassaHolm equation, Phy. Rev. E 79 (2009) 1-13.
[24] R. Iorio, de Magãlhaes Iorio, Fourier Analysis and partial differential equation. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2001).
[25] B. Kolev, Bi-Hamiltonian systems on the dual of the Lie algebra of vector fields of the circle and periodic shallow water equations, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London A 365 (2007) 2333-2357.
[26] B. Kolev, Geometric differences between the Burgers and the Camassa-Holm equations, J. Nonl. Math. Phys. 15 (2008) 116-132.
[27] G. Y. Lv, Peter Y. H. Pang. M. X. Wang, Non-uniform Dependence on Initial Data for the $\mu$-b Equation, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 64 (2013) 1543-1554.
[28] G. Y. Lv, M. X. Wang, Non-uniform dependence for a modified Camassa-Holm system, J. Math. Physics 53 (2012) 013101.
[29] P. Tang, X. Wang, Hölder continuity for a modified Camassa-Holm system, Applied Mathematics and Computation 234 (2014) 63-68.
[30] L. X. Tian, C. Y. Shen, D. P. Ding, Optimal control of the viscous Camassa-Holm equation, Nonlinear Anal. RWA 10 (2009) 519-530.
[31] J. F. Toland, Stokes waves, Topol. Meth. Nonl. Anal. 7 (1996) 1-48.
[32] X. Wang, Non-uniform dependence on initial data of a periodic Camassa-Holm system, Abstract and Applied Analysis (2014), Article ID 823126, 10 pp.
[33] J. L. Yin, L. X. Tian, X. H. Fan, Orbital stability of floating periodic peakons for the CamassaHolm equation, Nonlinear Anal. RWA 11 (2010) 4021-4026.
[34] Z. Yin, Global existence for a new periodic integrable equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 283 (2003) 129-139.
[35] Y. Zhou, H. P. Chen, Wave breaking and propagation speed for the Camassa-Holm equation with $k \neq 0$, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. 12 (2011) 1355-1358.

Xiaohuan Wang
College of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan University, Kaifeng 475001, China

E-mail address: xhwangmaths@163.com, Phone 86+15226038672


[^0]:    2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35G25, 35B30, 35L05.
    Key words and phrases. Non-uniform dependence; Camassa-Holm system; well-posedness; energy estimates; initial value problem. (C) 2014 Texas State University - San Marcos.

    Submitted June 4, 2014. Published June 24, 2014.
    This work was supported by PRC Grant NSFC 11301146.

