*Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, Vol. 2014 (2014), No. 148, pp. 1–15. ISSN: 1072-6691. URL: http://ejde.math.txstate.edu or http://ejde.math.unt.edu ftp ejde.math.txstate.edu

# INITIAL DATA PROBLEMS FOR THE TWO-COMPONENT CAMASSA-HOLM SYSTEM

#### XIAOHUAN WANG

ABSTRACT. This article concerns the study of some properties of the twocomponent Camassa-Holm system. By constructing two sequences of solutions of the two-component Camassa-Holm system, we prove that the solution map of the Cauchy problem of the two-component Camassa-Holm system is not uniformly continuous in  $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ , s > 5/2.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Many authors have studied shallow water equations, of which a typical example is Camassa-Holm (CH) equation. This equation has been extended to a twocomponent integrable system (CH2) by combining its integrability property with compressibility, or free-surface elevation dynamics in its shallow-water interpretation [10, 23]:

$$m_t + um_x + 2mu_x + \sigma \rho \rho_x = 0, \quad t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}, \rho_t + (\rho u)_x = 0, \quad t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R},$$
(1.1) 1.1

where  $m = u - u_{xx}$  and  $\sigma = \pm 1$ . We remark that  $\sigma = 1$  is the hydrodynamically relevant choice, see the discussion in [10]. Local well-posedness of (1.1) with  $\sigma = 1$ was obtained by [10, 11]. The precise blow-up scenarios and blow-up phenomena of strong solution for (1.1) was established by [10, 11, 13, 15, 19, 17]. Guan-Yin obtained the existence of global weak solution to (1.1). Just recently, Gui and Liu [18] studied (1.1) with  $\sigma = 1$  in Besov space and they obtained the local wellposedness. In this paper, we consider the Cauchy problem of (1.1) and study the some properties of it.

If  $\rho \equiv 0$ , then (1.1) becomes the well-known Camassa-Holm equation [3]. In the past decade, the Camassa-Holm equation has attracted much attention because of its integrability and the existence of multi-peakon solutions, see [1]-[7] and [33]-[35] for the details. The Cauchy problem and initial boundary value problem of the Camassa-Holm equation have been studied extensively [5, 12]. It has been shown that the Camassa-Holm equation is locally well-posedness [5] for initial data  $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}), s > 3/2$ . Moreover, it has global strong solutions [5] and finite time

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 35G25, 35B30, 35L05.

Key words and phrases. Non-uniform dependence; Camassa-Holm system; well-posedness;

energy estimates; initial value problem.

<sup>©2014</sup> Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted June 4, 2014. Published June 24, 2014.

This work was supported by PRC Grant NSFC 11301146.

X. WANG

blow-up solutions [5, 6, 8]. On the other hand, it has global weak solution in  $H^1(\mathbb{R})$  [1, 2, 3, 7]. The advantage of the Camassa-Holm equation in comparison with the KdV equation lies in the fact that the Camassa-Holm equation has peaked solutions and models wave breaking (i.e. the solution remains bounded while its slope becomes unbounded in finite time [3, 5, 6, 30]). Here peaked solutions are actually peaked traveling waves, similar to the waves of greatest height encountered in classical hydrodynamics, see the discussion in the papers [4, 9, 31]. Moreover, there is a rich geometric structure underlying the Camassa-Holm equation, see the discussion in the papers [25, 26].

Recently, some properties of solutions to the Camassa-Holm equation have been studied by many authors. Himonas et al. [20] studied the persistence properties and unique continuation of solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation. They showed that a strong solution of the Camassa-Holm equation, initially decaying exponentially together with its spacial derivative, must be identically equal to zero if it also decays exponentially at a later time, see [35, 14] for the similar properties of solutions to other shallow water equation. Just recently, Himonas-Kenig [21] and Himonas et al. [22] considered the non-uniform dependence on initial data for the Camassa-Holm equation on the line and on the circle, respectively. Lv et al. [27] obtained the non-uniform dependence on initial data for  $\mu$ -b equation. Lv-Wang [28] considered the (1.1) with  $\rho = \gamma - \gamma_{xx}$  and obtained the non-uniform dependence on initial data of periodic Camassa-Holm system. Tang-Wang [29] obtained the Hölder continuous of Camassa-Holm system.

In this paper, we consider the non-uniform dependence on initial data for (1.1). We remark that there is significant difference between (1.1) and (1.1) with  $\rho = \gamma - \gamma_{xx}$ . It is easy to see that when  $\rho = \gamma - \gamma_{xx}$ , there are some similar properties between the two equations in (1.1). Thus the proof of non-uniform dependence on initial data to (1.1) with  $\rho = \gamma - \gamma_{xx}$  is similar to the single equation, for example, Camassa-Holm equation. But in (1.1),  $\rho$  and u have different properties, see Theorem 2.1. This needs construct different asymptotic solution, see section 3. Besides, the results in this paper are different from those in [27] because of the difference of the two operators  $1 - \partial_{xx}$  and  $\mu - \partial_{xx}$ .

This article is organized as follows. In section 2, we recall the well-posedness result of Constantin-Ivanov [10] and Escher et al. [11] and use it to prove the basic energy estimate from which we derive a lower bound for the lifespan of the solution as well as an estimate of the  $H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})$  norm of the solution  $(u(t, x), \rho(t, x))$ in terms of  $H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})$  norm of the initial data  $(u_0, \rho_0)$ . In section 3, we construct approximate solutions, compute the error and estimate the  $H^1$ -norm of this error. In section 4, we estimate the difference between approximate and actual solutions, where the exact solution is a solution to (1.1) with initial data given by the approximate solutions evaluated at time zero. The non-uniform dependence on initial data for (1.1) is established in section 5 by constructing two sequences of solutions to (1.1) in a bounded subset of the Sobolev space  $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ , whose distance at the initial time is converging to zero while at any later time it is bounded below by a positive constant.

**Notation.** In the following, we denote by \* the spatial convolution. Given a Banach space Z, we denote its norm by  $\|\cdot\|_Z$ . Since all space of functions are over  $\mathbb{R}$ , for simplicity, we drop  $\mathbb{R}$  in our notations of function spaces if there is no

ambiguity. Let [A, B] = AB - BA denotes the commutator of linear operator A and B. Set  $||z||^2_{H^s \times H^{s-1}} = ||u||^2_{H^s} + ||\rho||^2_{H^{s-1}}$ , where  $z = (u, \rho)$ .

#### 2. Local well-posedness

In this section we first recall the known results of Constantin-Ivanov [10] and Escher et al. [11] and give a new estimate of the solution to (1.1).

Let  $\Lambda = (1 - \partial_x^2)^{1/2}$ . Then the operator  $\Lambda^{-2}$  acting on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  can be expressed by its associated Green's function  $G(x) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-|x|}$  as

$$\Lambda^{-2}f(x) = (G*f)(x) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-|x-y|}f(y)\mathrm{d}y, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

Hence (1.1) is equivalent to the system

$$u_t + uu_x = -\partial_x \Lambda^{-2} \left( u^2 + \frac{1}{2} u_x^2 + \frac{1}{2} \rho^2 \right), \quad t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R},$$
  

$$\rho_t + u\rho_x = -u_x \rho, \quad t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R},$$
(2.1) 2.1

with initial data

$$u(0,x) = u_0(x), \quad \rho(0,x) = \rho_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (2.2) 2.1a

The following result is given by Constantin-Ivanov [10] and Escher et al. [11].

**t2.1** Theorem 2.1. Given  $z_0 = (u_0, \rho_0) \in H^s \times H^{s-1}$ ,  $s \ge 2$ . Then there exists a maximal existence time  $T = T(||z_0||_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}) > 0$  and a unique solution  $z = (u, \rho)$  to (2.1) with (2.2) such that

 $z = z(\cdot, z_0) \in C([0, T); H^s \times H^{s-1}) \cap C^1([0, T); H^{s-1} \times H^{s-2}).$ 

Moreover, the solution depends continuously on the initial data, i.e. the mapping

$$z_0 \mapsto z(\cdot, z_0) : H^s \times H^{s-1} \to C([0, T); H^s \times H^{s-1}) \cap C^1([0, T); H^{s-1} \times H^{s-2})$$

is continuous.

Next, we will give an explicit estimate for the maximal existence time T. Also, we will show that at any time t in the time interval  $[0, T_0]$  the  $H^s$ -norm of the solution z(t, x) is dominated by the  $H^s$ -norm of the initial data  $z_0(x)$ . In order to do this, we need the following lemmas.

**12.3** Lemma 2.2 ([24]). If r > 0, then

$$\|[\Lambda^r, f]g\|_2 \le C(\|f_x\|_{\infty} \|\Lambda^{r-1}g\|_2 + \|\Lambda^r f\|_2 \|g\|_{\infty}),$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on r.

**t2.2** Theorem 2.3. Let s > 5/2. If  $z = (u, \rho)$  is a solution of (2.1) with initial data  $z_0$  described in Theorem 2.1, then the maximal existence time T satisfies

$$T \ge T_0 := \frac{1}{2C_s \|z_0\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}},\tag{2.3}$$

where  $C_s$  is a constant depending only on s. Also, we have

$$\|z(t)\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}} \le 2\|z_0\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}, \quad 0 \le t \le T_0.$$
(2.4) 2.3

*Proof.* The derivation of the lower bound for the maximal existence time (2.3) and the solution size estimate (2.4) is based on the following differential inequality for the solution z:

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|z(t)\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}^2 \le C_s \|z(t)\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}^3, \quad 0 \le t < T.$$
(2.5) 2.4

Suppose that (2.5) holds. Then, integrating (2.5) from 0 to t, we have

$$||z(t)||_{H^s \times H^{s-1}} \le \frac{||z_0||_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}}{1 - C_s ||z_0||_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}t}.$$

From this inequality it follows that  $||z(t)||_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}$  is finite if  $C_s ||z_0||_{H^s \times H^{s-1}} t < 1$ . Let  $T_0 = \frac{1}{2C_s ||z_0||_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}}$ , then, for  $0 \le t \le T_0$ , we have

$$||z(t)||_{H^s \times H^{s-1}} \le \frac{||z_0||_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}}{1 - C_s ||z_0||_{H^s \times H^{s-1}} T_0} = 2||z_0||_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}.$$

Now we prove the inequality (2.5). Note that the products  $uu_x$  and  $u\rho_x$  are only in  $H^{s-1}$  if  $u, \rho \in H^s$ . To deal with this problem, we will consider the following modified system

$$(J_{\varepsilon}u)_{t} + J_{\varepsilon}(uu_{x}) = -\partial_{x}\Lambda^{-2} \Big( J_{\varepsilon}u^{2} + \frac{1}{2}J_{\varepsilon}u^{2}_{x} + \frac{1}{2}J_{\varepsilon}\rho^{2} \Big), \quad t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$(J_{\varepsilon}\rho)_{t} + J_{\varepsilon}(u\rho_{x}) = -J_{\varepsilon}(u_{x}\rho), \quad t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$(2.6)$$

where for each  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$  the operator  $J_{\varepsilon}$  is the Friedrichs mollifier defined by

$$J_{\varepsilon}f(x) = J_{\varepsilon}(f)(x) = j_{\varepsilon} * f.$$

Here  $j_{\varepsilon}(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}j(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$ , and j(x) is a  $C^{\infty}$  function supported in the interval [-1, 1] such that  $j(x) \geq 0$ ,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} j(x) dx = 1$ . Applying the operator  $\Lambda^s$  and  $\Lambda^{s-1}$  to the first and second equations of (2.6) respectively, then multiplying the resulting equations by  $\Lambda^s J_{\varepsilon} u$  and  $\Lambda^{s-1} J_{\varepsilon} \rho$ , respectively, and integrating them with respect to  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|J_{\varepsilon}u\|_{H^{s}}^{2} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Lambda^{s}J_{\varepsilon}(uu_{x})\Lambda^{s}J_{\varepsilon}udx \qquad (2.7) \qquad (2.7) \qquad (2.6)$$

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}}\partial_{x}\Lambda^{s-2}\partial_{x}\Lambda^{-2}\left(J_{\varepsilon}u^{2} + \frac{1}{2}J_{\varepsilon}u_{x}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}J_{\varepsilon}\rho^{2}\right)\Lambda^{s}J_{\varepsilon}udx, \qquad (2.7) \qquad (2.6)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|J_{\varepsilon}\rho\|_{H^{s-1}}^{2} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Lambda^{s-1}J_{\varepsilon}(u\rho_{x})\Lambda^{s-1}J_{\varepsilon}\rho dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}}\Lambda^{s-1}J_{\varepsilon}(u_{x}\rho)\Lambda^{s-1}J_{\varepsilon}\rho dx. \qquad (2.8) \qquad (2.7)$$

Similar to [32], we can estimate the right-hand sides of (2.7) and (2.8). We obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|J_{\varepsilon}u\|_{H^{s}}^{2} \leq C_{s}(\|u\|_{\infty}+\|\rho\|_{\infty}+\|u_{x}\|_{\infty}+\|\rho_{x}\|_{\infty})(\|u\|_{H^{s}}^{2}+\|\rho\|_{H^{s-1}}^{2}),$$
  
$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|J_{\varepsilon}\rho\|_{H^{s-1}}^{2} \leq C_{s}(\|u\|_{\infty}+\|\rho\|_{\infty}+\|u_{x}\|_{\infty}+\|\rho_{x}\|_{\infty})(\|u\|_{H^{s}}^{2}+\|\rho\|_{H^{s-1}}^{2}).$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \|J_{\varepsilon}u\|_{H^{s}}^{2} + \|J_{\varepsilon}\rho\|_{H^{s-1}}^{2} \right) \\
\leq C_{s}(\|u\|_{\infty} + \|\rho\|_{\infty} + \|u_{x}\|_{\infty} + \|\rho_{x}\|_{\infty})(\|u\|_{H^{s}}^{2} + \|\rho\|_{H^{s-1}}^{2}).$$

Then, letting  $\varepsilon$  aproach 0, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|u\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\rho\|_{H^{s-1}}^2\right) \le C_s(\|u\|_{\infty} + \|\rho\|_{\infty} + \|u_x\|_{\infty} + \|\rho_x\|_{\infty})(\|u\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\rho\|_{H^{s-1}}^2),$$
  
or  
$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|z(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = \sum_{x \in C} C_x(\|u(t)\|_{\infty} + \|\rho\|_{\infty})\|z(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = \sum_{x \in C} C_x(\|u(t)\|_{\infty} + \|\rho\|_{\infty})\|z(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = \sum_{x \in C} C_x(\|u(t)\|_{\infty} + \|\rho\|_{\infty})\|z(t)\|_{\infty}^2 = \sum_{x \in C} C_x(\|u(t)\|_{\infty} + \|\rho\|_{\infty})\|z(t)\|_{\infty}^2 = \sum_{x \in C} C_x(\|u(t)\|_{\infty}) = \sum_{x \in C} C_x(\|u(t)\|_$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{u}{dt}\|z(t)\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}^2 \le C_s(\|u(t)\|_{C^1} + \|\rho\|_{C^1})\|z(t)\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}^2.$$
(2.9)

Since s > 5/2, using Sobolev's inequality we have that

 $\|u(t)\|_{C^1} \le C_s \|u(t)\|_{H^s}, \quad \|\rho(t)\|_{C^1} \le C_s \|\rho(t)\|_{H^{s-1}}.$ 

From (2.9) we obtain the desired inequality (2.5). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.3.  $\hfill \Box$ 

Recall that  $||z(t)||^2_{H^s \times H^{s-1}} = ||u(t)||^2_{H^s} + ||\rho(t)||^2_{H^{s-1}}$ , where  $z(t) = (u(t), \rho(t))$ . It follows from Theorem 2.3 that

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^s}, \|\rho(t)\|_{H^{s-1}} \le \|z(t)\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}} \le 2\|z_0\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}, \quad 0 \le t \le T_0.$$
(2.10) 2.20

**Remark 2.4.** Comparing Theorem 2.3 with that in [28], we will see that there exists a significant different between (1.1) and (1.1) with  $\rho = \gamma - \gamma_{xx}$ . In the other words, we require s > 5/2 because of the Sobolev embedding Theorem. But in paper [28], since u and  $\gamma$  have the same property, we assume that s > 3/2.

## 3. Approximate solutions

In this section we first construct a two-parameter family of approximate solutions by using a similar method to [21], then compute the error and last estimate the  $H^1$ -norm of the error.

Following [21], our approximate solutions  $u^{\omega,\lambda} = u^{\omega,\lambda}(t,x)$  and  $\rho^{\omega,\lambda} = \rho^{\omega,\lambda}(t,x)$  to (2.1) will consist of a low frequency and a high frequency part, i.e.

$$u^{\omega,\lambda} = u_l + u^h, \quad \rho^{\omega,\lambda} = \rho_l + \rho^h,$$

where  $\omega$  is in a bounded set of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . The high frequency part is given by

$$u^{h} = u^{h,\omega,\lambda}(t,x) = \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta - s}\phi(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}})\cos(\lambda x - \omega t),$$
  

$$\rho^{h} = \rho^{h,\omega,\lambda}(t,x) = \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta - s + 1}\psi(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}})\cos(\lambda x - \omega t),$$
(3.1) 3.1

where  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are  $C^{\infty}$  cut-off functions such that

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |x| < 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| \ge 2, \end{cases} \quad \psi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |x| < 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

The low frequency part  $(u_l, \rho_l) = (u_{l,\omega,\lambda}(t,x), \rho_{l,\omega,\lambda}(t,x))$  is the solution to (2.1) with initial data

$$u_l(0,x) = \omega \lambda^{-1} \tilde{\phi}\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right), \quad \rho_l(0,x) = \omega \lambda^{-1} \tilde{\psi}\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$
(3.2) 3.2

where  $\tilde{\phi}$  and  $\tilde{\psi}$  are  $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  functions such that

 $\tilde{\phi}(x) = 1$  if  $x \in \operatorname{supp} \phi \cup \operatorname{supp} \psi$ .

We first study the properties of  $(u_l, \rho_l)$  and  $(u^h, \rho^h)$ . The high frequency part  $(u^h, \rho^h)$  defined by (3.1) satisfies

 $\|u^h(t)\|_{H^s}\approx O(1), \quad \|\rho^h(t)\|_{H^{s-1}}\approx O(1) \quad \text{for $\lambda\gg 1$}$ 

because of the following result.

r2.1

**13.1** Lemma 3.1 ([21]). Let  $\psi \in S(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $1 < \delta < 2$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then for any  $s \ge 0$  we have that

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta - s} \|\psi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \cos(\lambda x - \alpha)\|_{H^s} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|\psi\|_2.$$
(3.3) 3.3

Relation (3.3) is also true if  $\cos$  is replaced by  $\sin$ .

For the low frequency part  $(u_l, \rho_l)$ , we have the following result.

**13.2** Lemma 3.2. Let  $\omega$  belong to a bounded set of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $1 < \delta < 2$  and  $\lambda \gg 1$ . Then the initial-value problem (2.1)-(3.2) has a unique solution  $(u_l, \rho_l) \in C([0,T); H^s) \times C([0,T); H^{s-1})$ , for all s > 5/2, satisfying the estimates

$$||u_l(t)||_{H^s} \le C_s \lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{2}\delta}, \quad ||\rho_l(t)||_{H^{s-1}} \le C_{s-1} \lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{2}\delta}.$$

*Proof.* The existence and uniqueness of local a solution can be derived from Theorem 2.1 for s > 5/2.

It follows from [21, Lemma 5] that

$$\|\psiig(rac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}ig)\|_{H^s} \leq \lambda^{\delta/2} \|\psi\|_{H^s},$$

where  $s \ge 0$  and  $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ . Using the above inequality, we have that the initial data  $(u_l(0, x), \rho_l(0, x))$  satisfies the estimate

$$\|u_l(0)\|_{H^s} \le |\omega|\lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{2}\delta} \|\tilde{\phi}\|_{H^s}, \quad \|\rho_l(0)\|_{H^{s-1}} \le |\omega|\lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{2}\delta} \|\tilde{\psi}\|_{H^{s-1}},$$

which decay if  $\delta < 2$  and  $\omega$  is in a bounded set of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Recall that  $||z_l(t)||^2_{H^s \times H^{s-1}} = ||u_l(t)||^2_{H^s} + ||\rho_l(t)||^2_{H^{s-1}}$ , we obtain

$$||z_l(0)||_{H^s \times H^{s-1}} = (||u_l(0)||_{H^s}^2 + ||\rho_l(0)||_{H^{s-1}}^2)^{1/2} \le |\omega|\lambda^{-1 + \frac{1}{2}\delta} (||\tilde{\phi}||_{H^s}^2 + ||\tilde{\psi}||_{H^{s-1}}^2)^{1/2}.$$

It follows from (3.2) that  $z_l(0) \in H^s \times H^{s-1}$  for all s > 5/2. If s > 5/2, then from estimate (2.3) of Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_l(t)\|_{H^s} &\leq C_s \|u_l(0)\|_{H^s} \leq C_s \lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{2}\delta}, \\ \|\rho_l(t)\|_{H^{s-1}} &\leq C_s \|\rho_l(0)\|_{H^{s-1}} \leq C_{s-1} \lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{2}\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.

Now we compute the error. Substituting the approximate solution  $(u^{\omega,\lambda}, \rho^{\omega,\lambda})$  into the first and second equation of (2.1), we obtain the error

$$E = u_t^h + u_l u_x^h + u^h u_{lx} + u^h u_x^h + \partial_x \Lambda^{-2} \Big( (u^h)^2 + k_1 u_l u^h + \frac{1}{2} (u_x^h)^2 + u_{lx} u_x^h + \frac{1}{2} (\rho^h)^2 + \rho_l \rho^h \Big),$$
  

$$F = \rho_t^h + u_l \rho_x^h + u^h \rho_{lx} + u^h \rho_x^h + \rho^h u_{lx} + \rho_l u_x^h + \rho^h u_x^h,$$

where we have used that  $(u_l, \rho_l)$  solves (3.2).

Direct calculation shows that

$$u_t^h(t,x) = \omega \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta - s} \phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin(\lambda x - \omega t),$$
  
$$\rho_t^h(t,x) = \omega \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta - s + 1} \psi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin(\lambda x - \omega t).$$

Since  $\tilde{\phi} = 1$  if  $x \in \operatorname{supp} \phi \cup \operatorname{supp} \psi$ , we can write  $u_t^h$  and  $\rho_t^h$  in the form

$$u_{t}^{h}(t,x) = \omega \tilde{\phi} \left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta - s} \phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin(\lambda x - \omega t) = \lambda u_{l}(0,x) \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta - s} \phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin(\lambda x - \omega t), \rho_{t}^{h}(t,x) = \omega \tilde{\phi} \left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta - s + 1} \psi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin(\lambda x - \omega t) = \lambda u_{l}(0,x) \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta - s + 1} \psi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin(\lambda x - \omega t).$$

$$(3.4)$$

Computing the spacial derivatives of  $u^h$  and  $\rho^h$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_x^h(t,x) &= -\lambda \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta - s} \phi\big(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\big) \sin(\lambda x - \omega t) + \lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}\delta - s} \phi'\big(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\big) \cos(\lambda x - \omega t), \\ \rho_x^h(t,x) &= -\lambda \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta - s + 1} \psi\big(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\big) \sin(\lambda x - \omega t) + \lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}\delta - s + 1} \psi'\big(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\big) \cos(\lambda x - \omega t). \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.5) \quad \boxed{3.5}$$

Combining (3.4) with (3.5), we obtain

$$u_t^h(t,x) + u_l u_x^h(t,x) = \lambda [u_l(0,x) - u_l(t,x)] \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta - s} \phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin(\lambda x - \omega t) + u_l(t,x) \lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}\delta - s} \phi'\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \cos(\lambda x - \omega t),$$
$$\rho_t^h(t,x) + u_l \rho_x^h(t,x) = \lambda [u_l(0,x) - u_l(t,x)] \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta - s + 1} \psi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin(\lambda x - \omega t) + u_l(t,x) \lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}\delta - s + 1} \psi'\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \cos(\lambda x - \omega t).$$

Therefore, we can rewrite the error E and F as

 $E = E_1 + E_2 + \dots + E_8, \quad F = F_1 + F_2 + \dots + F_6,$ 

where

$$\begin{split} E_{1} &= -\lambda [u_{l}(0,x) - u_{l}(t,x)] \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta - s} \phi \left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin(\lambda x + \omega t), \\ E_{2} &= u_{l}(t,x) \lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}\delta - s} \phi' \left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \cos(\lambda x + \omega t), \\ E_{3} &= -u^{h} u_{lx}, \quad E_{4} = -u^{h} u_{x}^{h}, \\ E_{5} &= -\partial_{x} \Lambda^{-2} \left(\frac{k_{1}}{2} (u^{h})^{2} + \frac{k_{2}}{2} (\rho^{h})^{2}\right), \quad E_{6} = -\partial_{x} \Lambda^{-2} \left(k_{1} u_{l} u^{h} + k_{2} \rho_{l} \rho^{h}\right), \\ E_{7} &= -(3 - k_{1}) \partial_{x} \Lambda^{-2} (u_{lx} u_{x}^{h}), \quad E_{8} = \frac{3 - k_{1}}{2} \partial_{x} \Lambda^{-2} \left((u_{x}^{h})^{2}\right), \\ F_{1} &= -k_{3} \lambda [u_{l}(0, x) - u_{l}(t, x)] \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta - s + 1} \psi \left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin(\lambda x + \omega t), \\ F_{2} &= k_{3} u_{l}(t, x) \lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}\delta - s + 1} \psi' \left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \cos(\lambda x + \omega t), \\ F_{3} &= -k_{3} u^{h} \rho_{lx}, \quad F_{4} = -k_{3} u^{h} \rho_{x}^{h}, \\ F_{5} &= -k_{3} \left(\rho^{h} u_{lx} + \rho_{l} u_{x}^{h} + \rho^{h} u_{x}^{h}\right). \end{split}$$

Now we are ready to estimate the  $H^1$ -norm of each error  $E_i$  and the  $L^2$ -norm of each error  $F_j$  (i = 1, ..., 8, j = 1, ..., 6). Let C be a generic positive constant. For any positive quantities P and Q, we write  $P \leq Q$   $(P \geq Q)$  means that  $P \leq CQ$   $(P \geq CQ)$  in the following.

Estimates of  $||E_1||_{H^1}$  and  $||F_1||_{L^2}$ . Note that

$$||fg||_{H^1} \le \sqrt{2} ||f||_{C^1} ||g||_{H^1}, \quad \forall f \in C^1, \ g \in H^1,$$

and  $\|\phi(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}})\sin(\lambda x - \omega t)\|_{C^1} = \lambda \|\phi\|_{\infty}$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \|E_1\|_{H^1} &= \lambda^{1-\frac{1}{2}\delta-s} \|\phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin(\lambda x - \omega t) [u_l(0, x) - u_l(t, x)] \|_{H^1} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{1-\frac{1}{2}\delta-s} \|\phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin(\lambda x - \omega t) \|_{C^1} \|u_l(0, x) - u_l(t, x)\|_{H^1} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{2-\frac{1}{2}\delta-s} \|u_l(0, x) - u_l(t, x)\|_{H^1}. \end{split}$$
(3.6) 3.6

To estimate the  $H^1$ -norm of the difference  $u_l(0, x) - u_l(t, x)$ , we apply the fundamental theorem of calculus in time variable to obtain

$$||u_l(0,x) - u_l(t,x)||_{H^1} = \int_0^t ||u_{lt}(\tau)||_{H^1} \mathrm{d}\tau.$$

It follows from the first equation of (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{lt}(t)\|_{H^{1}} &\leq \|u_{l}u_{lx}\|_{H^{1}} + \|\partial_{x}\Lambda^{-2}\left(u_{l}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}u_{lx}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\rho_{l}^{2}\right)\|_{H^{1}} \\ &\leq \|u_{l}\|_{H^{1}}\|u_{l}\|_{H^{2}} + \|u_{l}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}u_{lx}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\rho_{l}^{2}\|_{2} \\ &\lesssim \|u_{l}\|_{H^{2}}^{2} + \|u_{l}\|_{\infty}\|u_{l}\|_{2} + \|u_{lx}\|_{\infty}\|u_{l}\|_{H^{1}} + \|\rho_{l}\|_{\infty}\|\rho_{l}\|_{2} \qquad (3.7) \quad \boxed{3.7} \\ &\lesssim \|u_{l}\|_{H^{2}}^{2} + \|u_{l}\|_{H^{1}}^{2} + \|\rho_{l}\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \\ &\lesssim \|u_{l}\|_{H^{3}}^{2} + \|\rho_{l}\|_{H^{3}}^{2} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{-2+\delta}, \quad \lambda \gg 1, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 3.2 and the Sobolev embedding Theorem  $H^s \hookrightarrow L^{\infty}$  for s > 3/2.

Combining (3.6) and (3.7), we obtain

$$||E_1||_{H^1} \lesssim \lambda^{-s + \frac{1}{2}\delta}, \quad \lambda \gg 1.$$

Similarly,

$$\|F_1\|_{L^2} \lesssim \lambda^{-s + \frac{1}{2}\delta}, \quad \lambda \gg 1.$$

Estimates of  $||E_i||_{H^1}$  and  $||F_j||_{H^1}$ , i = 2, ..., 8, j = 2, 3. In [28], the authors obtained the following estimates

$$||E_2||_{H^1} \lesssim \lambda^{-s-\delta},$$
  
$$||E_3||_{H^1}, ||E_6||_{H^1}, ||E_7||_{H^1} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta-s+1}\lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{2}\delta}$$
  
$$||E_4||_{H^1}, ||E_5||_{H^1}, ||E_8||_{H^1} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta-2s+2}$$

Similar to the estimate of  $||E_2||_{H^1}$ , we have

$$||F_2||_{L^2} \lesssim \lambda^{-s-\delta}, \quad \lambda \gg 1.$$

Direct calculation shows that

$$\|F_3\|_{L^2} = \|u^h \rho_{lx}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|u^h\|_{L^{\infty}} \|\rho_{lx}\|_{H^1} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta - s} \lambda^{-1 + \frac{1}{2}\delta}, \quad \lambda \gg 1.$$

**Estimates of**  $||F_4||_{L^2}$ . It follows from (3.1) that

$$\|u_x^h(t)\|_{\infty} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta - s + 1}, \quad \|\rho_x^h(t)\|_{\infty} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta - s + 2}, \quad \lambda \gg 1.$$

$$(3.8) \quad \boxed{3.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^{h}(t)\|_{H^{k}} &= \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta-s} \|\phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right)\cos(\lambda x - \omega t)\|_{H^{k}} \\ &= \lambda^{-s+k}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta-k} \|\phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right)\cos(\lambda x - \omega t)\|_{H^{k}} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{-s+k}, \quad \lambda \gg 1. \end{aligned}$$
(3.9)

The above inequality also holds for  $\rho^h(t)$ . Combining (3.8) and (3.9), we obtain that, for  $\lambda \gg 1$ ,

$$\|F_4\|_{L^2} = \|u^h \rho_x^h\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|u^h\|_{\infty} \|\rho^h\|_{H^1} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta - s} \lambda^{-s+2} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta - 2s+2}.$$

Estimate of  $||F_5||_{L^2}$ . It follows from (3.8) and (3.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_5\|_{L^2} &= \| \left( \rho^h u_{lx} + \rho_l u_x^h + \rho^h u_x^h \right) \|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \left( \|\rho^h\|_{\infty} \|u_{lx}\|_{H^1} + \|u_x^h\|_{\infty} \|\rho_l\|_{H^1} + \|\rho^h\|_{\infty} \|u_x^h\|_{L^2} \right) \\ &\lesssim \|\rho^h\|_{\infty} \|u_l\|_{H^2} + \|u_x^h\|_{\infty} \|\rho_l\|_{H^2} + \|\rho^h\|_{\infty} \|u_x^h\|_{H^1} \\ &\leq \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta - s} \lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{2}\delta} + \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta - s+1} \lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{2}\delta} + \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta - s+1} \lambda^{-s+1}. \end{aligned}$$

which gives  $||F_5||_{H^1} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta - 2s + 2}, \lambda \gg 1.$ 

Collecting all error estimates together, we have the following theorem.

**t3.1** Theorem 3.3. Let s > 5/2 and  $1 < \delta < 2$ . When  $\omega$  is in a bounded set of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\lambda \gg 1$ , we have that

$$\|E\|_{H^{1}} \lesssim \lambda^{-r_{s}}, \quad \|F\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim \lambda^{-r_{s}}, \quad for \ \lambda \gg 1, \ 0 < t < T, \tag{3.10}$$

where  $r_s = s - \frac{1}{2}\delta > 0$ .

### 4. DIFFERENCE BETWEEN APPROXIMATE AND ACTUAL SOLUTIONS

In this section, we estimate the difference between the approximate and actual solutions. Let  $(u_{\omega,\lambda}(t,x), \rho_{\omega,\lambda}(t,x))$  be the solution to (2.1) with initial data the value of the approximate solution  $(u^{\omega,\lambda}(t,x), \rho^{\omega,\lambda}(t,x))$  at time zero, that is,  $(u_{\omega,\lambda}(t,x), \rho_{\omega,\lambda}(t,x))$  satisfies

$$\partial_{t}u_{\omega,\lambda} - u_{\omega,\lambda}\partial_{x}u_{\omega,\lambda} - \partial_{x}\Lambda^{-2}(u_{\omega,\lambda}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{x}u_{\omega,\lambda})^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\rho_{\omega,\lambda}^{2}) = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$\partial_{t}\rho_{\omega,\lambda} - u_{\omega,\lambda}\partial_{x}\rho_{\omega,\lambda} - (\partial_{x}u_{\omega,\lambda}\rho_{\omega,\lambda} + \partial_{x}\rho_{\omega,\lambda}u_{\omega,\lambda}) = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$u_{\omega,\lambda}(0,x) = u^{\omega,\lambda}(0,x) = \omega\lambda^{-1}\tilde{\phi}\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) + \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta - s}\phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right)\cos(\lambda x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$\rho_{\omega,\lambda}(0,x) = \rho^{\omega,\lambda}(0,x) = \omega\lambda^{-1}\tilde{\psi}\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) + \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta - s + 1}\psi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right)\cos(\lambda x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$$(4.1) \quad (4.1)$$

Note that  $(u_{\omega,\lambda}(0,x), \rho_{\omega,\lambda}(0,x)) \in H^s \times H^{s-1}$ ,  $s \ge 2$ , it follows from Lemma 3.2 and (3.9) that

$$\|u_{\omega,\lambda}(0,x)\|_{H^s} \le \|u_l(0)\|_{H^s} + \|u^h(0)\|_{H^s} \lesssim \lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{2}\delta} + 1, \quad \lambda \gg 1,$$
  
$$\|\rho_{\omega,\lambda}(0,x)\|_{H^{s-1}} \le \|\rho_l(0)\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|\rho^h(0)\|_{H^{s-1}} \lesssim \lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{2}\delta} + 1, \quad \lambda \gg 1.$$

Therefore, if s > 5/2, by using Theorem 2.1 and 2.3, we have that for any  $\omega$  in a bounded set and  $\lambda \gg 1$ , problem (4.1) has a unique solution  $z_{\omega,\lambda} \in C([0,T]; H^s) \times$ 

 $C([0,T]; H^{s-1})$  with

$$T \gtrsim \frac{1}{\|z_{\omega,\lambda}(0)\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}}} \gtrsim \frac{1}{1 + \lambda^{-1 + \frac{1}{2}\delta}} \gtrsim 1.$$

$$(4.2) \quad \texttt{a.1}$$

To estimate the difference between the approximate and actual solutions, we let

X. WANG

$$v = u^{\omega,\lambda} - u_{\omega,\lambda}, \quad \sigma = \rho^{\omega,\lambda} - \rho_{\omega,\lambda}.$$

Then  $(v, \sigma)$  satisfies

$$v_t - vv_x + u^{\omega,\lambda}v_x + vu_x^{\omega,\lambda} - \partial_x \Lambda^{-2} \left[ v^2 + \frac{1}{2}v_x^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 - 2u^{\omega,\lambda}v - u_x^{\omega,\lambda}v_x - \rho^{\omega,\lambda}\sigma \right] = \tilde{E}, \quad t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$\sigma_t - v\sigma_x + u^{\omega,\lambda}\sigma_x + v\rho_x^{\omega,\lambda} - \left(\sigma v_x - u^{\omega,\lambda}\sigma - \rho^{\omega,\lambda}v_x\right) = \tilde{F}, \quad t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$v(0,x) = \sigma(0,x) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$
(4.3)

where

$$\begin{split} \tilde{E} &= u_t^{\omega,\lambda} + u^{\omega,\lambda} u_x^{\omega,\lambda} + \partial_x \Lambda^{-2} \Big( (u^{\omega,\lambda})^2 + \frac{1}{2} (u_x^{\omega,\lambda})^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\rho^{\omega,\lambda})^2 \Big), \\ \tilde{F} &= \rho_t^{\omega,\lambda} + u^{\omega,\lambda} \rho_x^{\omega,\lambda} + + \rho^{\omega,\lambda} u_x^{\omega,\lambda}, \end{split}$$

Similar to the prove of Theorem 3.3,  $\tilde{E}$  and  $\tilde{F}$  satisfy the  $H^1$ -norm estimation (3.10). Now we prove that the  $H^1$ -norm of difference decays.

**t4.1** Theorem 4.1. Let  $1 < \delta < 2$  and s > 5/2, then

$$\|v(t)\|_{H^1} \lesssim \lambda^{-r_s}, \quad \|\sigma(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \lambda^{-r_s}, \quad 0 \le t \le T, \ \lambda \gg 1.$$

where  $r_s = s - \frac{1}{2}\delta > 0$ .

*Proof.* Note that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|v(t)\|_{H^1}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (vv_t + v_x v_{xt}) \mathrm{d}x, \qquad (4.4) \quad \boxed{4.3}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\sigma(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma \sigma_t \mathrm{d}x. \tag{4.5}$$

Applying the operator  $1-\partial_x^2=\Lambda^2$  to both sides of the first equations of (4.3), we have

$$v_{t} = \Lambda^{2} \tilde{E} - \Lambda^{2} (u^{\omega,\lambda} v_{x} - v u_{x}^{\omega,\lambda}) - (2u^{\omega,\lambda} v + u_{x}^{\omega,\lambda} v_{x} + \rho^{\omega,\lambda} \sigma)_{x} + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^{2})_{x} + 3v v_{x} - 2v_{x} v_{xx} - v v_{xxx} + v_{xxt},$$

$$(4.6) \quad \boxed{4.5}$$

$$\sigma = \tilde{E} - (u^{\omega,\lambda} \sigma + u v^{\omega,\lambda}) - (u^{\omega,\lambda} \sigma + v^{\omega,\lambda} u) + (u \sigma) \quad (4.7) \quad \boxed{4.6}$$

$$\sigma_t = \tilde{F} - (u^{\omega,\lambda}\sigma_x + v\rho_x^{\omega,\lambda}) - (u_x^{\omega,\lambda}\sigma + \rho^{\omega,\lambda}v_x) + (v\sigma)_x.$$
(4.7) 4.6

Substituting (4.6) and (4.7) into (4.4) and (4.5), respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v(t)\|_{H^1}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} v\Lambda^2 \tilde{E} \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\mathbb{R}} v\Lambda^2 (u^{\omega,\lambda} v_x + v u_x^{\omega,\lambda}) \mathrm{d}x \\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(2u^{\omega,\lambda} v + u_x^{\omega,\lambda} v_x + \rho^{\omega,\lambda} \sigma)_x \mathrm{d}x + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(\sigma^2)_x \mathrm{d}x \qquad (4.8) \quad \boxed{4.7} \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} (v(3vv_x - 2v_x v_{xx} - v v_{xxx} + v_{xxt}) + v_x v_{xt}) \mathrm{d}x, \end{aligned}$$

10

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\sigma(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}}\sigma\tilde{F}dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}}\sigma(u^{\omega,\lambda}\sigma_{x} + v\rho_{x}^{\omega,\lambda})dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}}\sigma(\rho^{\omega,\lambda}v_{x} + \sigma u_{x}^{\omega,\lambda})dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}}\sigma(v\sigma)_{x}dx.$$
(4.9) (4.9)

A direct calculation yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (v(3vv_x - 2v_xv_{xx} - vv_{xxx} + v_{xxt}) + v_xv_{xt}) dx$$
  
= 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} [(v^3)_x - (v^2v_{xx})_x + (vv_{xt})_x] dx = 0.$$

Substituting the above equalities in (4.8), and adding the resulting equations, we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \|v(t)\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\sigma(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} v \Lambda^2 \tilde{E} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma \tilde{F} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} v \Lambda^2 (u^{\omega,\lambda} v_x + v u_x^{\omega,\lambda}) dx \\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma (u^{\omega,\lambda} \sigma_x + v \rho_x^{\omega,\lambda}) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} v (2u^{\omega,\lambda} v + u_x^{\omega,\lambda} v_x + \rho^{\omega,\lambda} \sigma)_x dx \\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma (\rho^{\omega,\lambda} v_x + \sigma u_x^{\omega,\lambda}) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{1}{2} v (\sigma^2)_x + \sigma (v \sigma)_x \right] dx \\ &:= I_1 + I_2 + \dots + I_7. \end{split}$$

We first look at the last term  $I_7$ . Integrating by parts gives

$$I_7 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{1}{2} v(\sigma^2)_x + \sigma(v\sigma)_x \right] \mathrm{d}x = 0.$$

Estimates of integrals  $I_1$  and  $I_2$ . Integrating by parts and applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} v \Lambda^2 \tilde{E} \mathrm{d}x \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (v \tilde{E} - v_x \tilde{E}_x) \mathrm{d}x \right| \le \|\tilde{E}\|_{H^1} \|v(t)\|_{H^1}, \\ \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma \tilde{F} \mathrm{d}x \right| \le \|\tilde{F}\|_{L^2} \|\sigma(t)\|_{L^2}. \end{split}$$

Estimates of integrals  $I_3$ - $I_6$ . Similar to that in [28], we obtain

$$\sum_{i=3}^{6} I_i \lesssim (\|u^{\omega,\lambda}(t)\|_{\infty} + \|u^{\omega,\lambda}_x(t)\|_{\infty} + \|u^{\omega,\lambda}_{xx}(t)\|_{\infty} + \|\rho^{\omega,\lambda}(t)\|_{\infty})$$
$$\times (\|v(t)\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\sigma(t)\|_{L^2}^2).$$

Combining the estimations for  $I_1$ – $I_7$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|v(t)\|_{H^{1}}^{2} + \|\sigma(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}) 
\lesssim (\|\tilde{E}\|_{H^{1}} + \|\tilde{F}\|_{H^{1}}) (\|v(t)\|_{H^{1}} + \|\sigma(t)\|_{L^{2}}) 
+ (\|u^{\omega,\lambda}(t)\|_{\infty} + \|u^{\omega,\lambda}_{x}(t)\|_{\infty} + \|u^{\omega,\lambda}_{xx}(t)\|_{\infty} + \|\rho^{\omega,\lambda}(t)\|_{\infty} + \|\rho^{\omega,\lambda}_{x}(t)\|_{\infty})$$

$$(4.10) \quad (4.9) \quad (4.10) \quad (4.9) \quad$$

It follows from (3.1) that

$$u_x^h = -\lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}\delta - s}\phi'\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right)\cos(\lambda x - \omega t) - \lambda^{-\frac{\delta}{2} - s + 1}\phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right)\sin(\lambda x - \omega t),$$

$$\begin{split} u^{h}_{xx} &= \lambda^{-\frac{5}{2}\delta - s} \phi'' \left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \cos(\lambda x - \omega t) - 2\lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}\delta - s + 1} \phi' \left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin(\lambda x - \omega t) \\ &- 2\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta - s + 2} \phi \left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \cos(\lambda x - \omega t). \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\|u^{h}(t)\|_{\infty} + \|u^{h}_{x}(t)\|_{\infty} + \|u^{h}_{xx}(t)\|_{\infty} \lesssim \lambda^{-(\frac{1}{2}\delta + s - 2)}, \quad \lambda \gg 1.$$

By using Lemma 3.2, we have

$$||u_l(t)||_{\infty} + ||u_{lx}(t)||_{\infty} + ||u_{lxx}(t)||_{\infty} \lesssim \lambda^{-(1-\frac{1}{2}\delta)}, \quad \lambda \gg 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\|u^{\omega,\lambda}(t)\|_{\infty} + \|u^{\omega,\lambda}_{x}(t)\|_{\infty} + \|u^{\omega,\lambda}_{xx}(t)\|_{\infty} \lesssim \lambda^{-\rho_s}, \quad \lambda \gg 1,$$

$$(4.11) \quad 4.10$$

$$4.10$$

where  $\rho_s = \min\{\frac{1}{2}\delta + s - 2, 1 - \frac{1}{2}\delta\} > 0$  for any s > 1 if  $\delta$  is chosen appropriately in the interval (1, 2). Similarly, we can prove that

$$\|\rho^{\omega,\lambda}(t)\|_{\infty} \lesssim \lambda^{-s}, \quad \|\rho_x^{\omega,\lambda}(t)\|_{\infty} \lesssim \lambda^{-\rho_s}, \quad \lambda \gg 1.$$
(4.12) (4.11)

Let  $\tilde{z}(t,x) = (v(t,x), \sigma(t,x))$  and  $\|\tilde{z}(t)\|_{H^1 \times L^2}^2 = \|v(t)\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\sigma(t)\|_{L^2}^2$ , then by (4.10)-(4.12), we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\tilde{z}(t)\|_{H^1 \times L^2}^2 \lesssim (\|\tilde{E}\|_{H^1} + \|\tilde{F}\|_{L^2}) \|\tilde{z}(t)\|_{H^1 \times L^2} + \lambda^{-\rho_s} \|\tilde{z}(t)\|_{H^1}^2 \lesssim \lambda^{-r_s} \|\tilde{z}(t)\|_{H^1 \times L^2} + \lambda^{-\rho_s} \|\tilde{z}(t)\|_{H^1 \times L^2}^2, \quad \lambda \gg 1,$$

where we have used Theorem 3.3. Consequently,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\tilde{z}(t)\|_{H^1 \times L^2} \lesssim \lambda^{-\rho_s} \|\tilde{z}(t)\|_{H^1 \times L^2} + \lambda^{-r_s}, \quad \lambda \gg 1.$$
(4.13) 4.12

Since  $\|\tilde{z}(0)\|_{H^1 \times L^2} = (\|v(0)\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\sigma(0)\|_{L^2}^2)^{1/2} = 0$  and for s > 1, we can choose  $\delta \in (1,2)$  such that  $\rho_s \ge 0$ , by (4.13) and Gronwall's inequality, we obtain

$$\|\tilde{z}(t)\|_{H^1 \times L^2} \lesssim \lambda^{-r_s}, \quad 0 \le t \le T, \quad \lambda \gg 1.$$

Note that

$$\|v(t)\|_{H^1}, \|\sigma(t)\|_{L^2} \le \|\tilde{z}(t)\|_{H^1 \times L^2},$$

we see that

$$\|v(t)\|_{H^1}, \|\sigma(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \lambda^{-r_s}, \quad 0 \le t \le T, \ \lambda \gg 1.$$

This completes the proof.

5. Non-uniform dependence

In this section, we prove non-uniform dependence for (2.1) by taking advantage of the information provided by Theorem 2.1-2.3, Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 4.1. Our main result is the following.

**t5.1** Theorem 5.1. If s > 5/2, then the data-to-solution  $z(0) \to z(t)$  for (2.1) is not uniformly continuous from any bounded subset of  $H^s \times H^{s-1}$  into  $C([-T,T]; H^s) \times$  $C([-T,T]; H^{s-1})$ , where  $z(0) = (u_0(x), \rho_0(x))$  and  $z(t) = (u(t,x), \rho(t,x))$ . More precisely, there exist two sequences of solutions  $(u_\lambda(t), \rho_\lambda(t))$  and  $(\tilde{u}_\lambda(t), \tilde{\rho}_\lambda(t))$  to the differential equations of (2.1) in  $C([-T,T]; H^s) \times C([-T,T]; H^{s-1})$  such that

$$\|u_{\lambda}(t)\|_{H^{s}} + \|\tilde{u}_{\lambda}(t)\|_{H^{s}} + \|\rho_{\lambda}(t)\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|\tilde{\rho}_{\lambda}(t)\|_{H^{s-1}} \lesssim 1,$$
(5.1)

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \|u_{\lambda}(0) - \tilde{u}_{\lambda}(0)\|_{H^s} = \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \|\rho_{\lambda}(0) - \tilde{\rho}_{\lambda}(0)\|_{H^{s-1}} = 0,$$
(5.2) 5.2

$$\liminf_{\lambda \to \infty} \left( \|u_{\lambda}(t) - \tilde{u}_{\lambda}(t)\|_{H^s} + \|\rho_{\lambda}(t) - \tilde{\rho}_{\lambda}(t)\|_{H^{s-1}} \right) \gtrsim \sin t, \quad |t| < T \le 1.$$
(5.3)

12

*Proof.* Let  $(u_{\lambda}(t), \rho_{\lambda}(t)) = (u_{1,\lambda}(t, x), \rho_{1,\lambda}(t, x))$  and let  $(\tilde{u}_{\lambda}(t), \tilde{\rho}_{\lambda}(t)) =$  $(u_{-1,\lambda}(t,x),\rho_{-1,\lambda}(t,x))$ , where  $(u_{1,\lambda}(t,x),\rho_{1,\lambda}(t,x))$  and  $(u_{-1,\lambda}(t,x),\rho_{-1,\lambda}(t,x))$  be the unique solution to problem (4.1) with initial data  $(u^{1,\lambda}(0,x),\rho^{1,\lambda}(0,x))$  and  $(u^{-1,\lambda}(0,x),\rho^{-1,\lambda}(0,x))$ , respectively. From Theorem 2.1 these solutions belong to  $C([0,T]; H^s) \times C([0,T]; H^{s-1})$ . By (4.2) and the assumptions after Theorem 2.1, we see that T is independent of  $\lambda \gg 1$ . Letting k = [s] + 2 and using estimate (2.10), we have

$$\|u_{\pm 1,\lambda}(t)\|_{H^{k}}, \|\rho_{\pm 1,\lambda}(t)\|_{H^{k-1}} \lesssim \|z^{\pm 1,\lambda}(0)\|_{H^{k} \times H^{k-1}}, \quad (5.4) \quad \mathbf{5.4}$$
  
where  $z^{\pm 1,\lambda}(0) = (u^{\pm 1,\lambda}(0), \rho^{\pm 1,\lambda}(0))$  and  $\|z^{\pm 1,\lambda}(0)\|_{H^{k} \times H^{k-1}}^{2} = \|u^{\pm 1,\lambda}(0)\|_{H^{k}}^{2} + \|\rho^{\pm 1,\lambda}(0)\|_{H^{k-1}}^{2}.$  If  $\lambda$  is large enough, then from Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^{\pm 1,\lambda}(t)\|_{H^k} &\leq \|u_{\pm 1,\lambda}(t)\|_{H^k} + \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta-s} \|\phi\big(\frac{x}{\lambda^\delta}\big)\cos(\lambda x - \omega t)\|_{H^k} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{2}\delta} + \lambda^{k-s} \|\phi\|_2, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

where

$$\|u^{\pm 1,\lambda}(t)\|_{H^k} \lesssim \lambda^{k-s}.$$
(5.5) 5.5

Combining (5.4) with (5.5), we obtain

$$\|u_{\pm 1,\lambda}(t)\|_{H^k} \lesssim \lambda^{k-s}, \quad \lambda \gg 1.$$
(5.6) 5.6

Estimates (5.5) and (5.6) yield

$$\|u^{\pm 1,\lambda}(t) - u_{\pm 1,\lambda}(t)\|_{H^k} \lesssim \lambda^{k-s}, \quad \lambda \gg 1.$$
(5.7) 5.7

Theorem 4.1 implies

$$\|u^{\pm 1,\lambda}(t) - u_{\pm 1,\lambda}(t)\|_{H^1} \lesssim \lambda^{-r_s}, \quad \lambda \gg 1.$$
 (5.8) 5.8

Now, applying the interpolation inequality

$$\|\varphi\|_{H^s} \le \|\varphi\|_{H^{s_1}}^{(s_2-s)/(s_2-s_1)} \|\varphi\|_{H^{s_2}}^{(s-s_1)/(s_2-s_1)}$$

with  $s_1 = 1$  and  $s_2 = [s] + 2 = k$ , and using estimates (5.7) and (5.8), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \| u^{\pm 1,\lambda}(t) - u_{\pm 1,\lambda}(t) \|_{H^s} \\ &\leq \| u^{\pm 1,\lambda}(t) - u_{\pm 1,\lambda}(t) \|_{H^1}^{(k-s)/(k-1)} \| u^{\pm 1,\lambda}(t) - u_{\pm 1,\lambda}(t) \|_{H^k}^{(s-1)/(k-1)} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{-r_s(k-s)/(k-1)} \lambda^{(k-s)(s-1)/(k-1)} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{-(r_s-s+1)(k-s)/(k-1)}, \quad \lambda \gg 1. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\|u^{\pm 1,\lambda}(t) - u_{\pm 1,\lambda}(t)\|_{H^s} \lesssim \lambda^{-\varepsilon_s}, \quad \lambda \gg 1,$$
(5.9) **5.9**

where  $\varepsilon_s = (1 - \frac{1}{2}\delta)/(s+2)$ .

Next, we prove (5.2) and (5.3). Note that  $0 < \delta < 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{1,\lambda}(0) - u_{-1,\lambda}(0)\|_{H^s} &= 2\lambda^{-1} \|\tilde{\phi}\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right)\|_{H^s} \le 2\lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{2}\delta} \|\tilde{\phi}\|_{H^s} \to 0, \\ \|\rho_{1,\lambda}(0) - \rho_{-1,\lambda}(0)\|_{H^{s-1}} &= 2\lambda^{-1} \|\tilde{\psi}\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right)\|_{H^{s-1}} \le 2\lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{2}\delta} \|\tilde{\psi}\|_{H^{s-1}} \to 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $\lambda \to \infty$ , which implies that (5.2) holds. Now, we prove (5.3). It is easy to see that

$$\liminf_{\lambda \to \infty} \left( \|u_{\lambda}(t) - \tilde{u}_{\lambda}(t)\|_{H^s} + \|\rho_{\lambda}(t) - \tilde{\rho}_{\lambda}(t)\|_{H^{s-1}} \right) \ge \liminf_{\lambda \to \infty} \|u_{\lambda}(t) - \tilde{u}_{\lambda}(t)\|_{H^s}.$$

Thus we only prove that

$$\liminf_{\lambda \to \infty} \|u_{\lambda}(t) - \tilde{u}_{\lambda}(t)\|_{H^s} \gtrsim \sin t, \quad |t| < T \le 1.$$

Obviously,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{1,\lambda}(t) - u_{-1,\lambda}(t)\|_{H^s} \\ \geq \|u^{1,\lambda}(t) - u^{-1,\lambda}(t)\|_{H^s} - \|u^{1,\lambda}(t) - u_{1,\lambda}(t)\|_{H^s} - \|u^{-1,\lambda}(t) - u_{-1,\lambda}(t)\|_{H^s} \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (5.9) that

$$||u_{1,\lambda}(t) - u_{-1,\lambda}(t)||_{H^s} \ge ||u^{1,\lambda}(t) - u^{-1,\lambda}(t)||_{H^s} - c\lambda^{-\varepsilon_s}, \quad \lambda \gg 1$$

which implies that

$$\liminf_{\lambda \to \infty} \|u_{1,\lambda}(t) - u_{-1,\lambda}(t)\|_{H^s} \ge \liminf_{\lambda \to \infty} \|u^{1,\lambda}(t) - u^{-1,\lambda}(t)\|_{H^s}.$$
 (5.10) 5.10

The identity  $\cos \alpha - \cos \beta = -2 \sin \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \sin \frac{\alpha - \beta}{2}$  gives

$$u^{1,\lambda}(t) - u^{-1,\lambda}(t) = u_{l,1,\lambda}(t) - u_{l,-1,\lambda}(t) + 2\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta - s}\phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right)\sin\lambda x\sin t$$

Thus,

BC2

CH

C2006

CE1

CE2

CE4

CE3

CE2007

$$\begin{split} \|u^{1,\lambda}(t) - u^{-1,\lambda}(t)\|_{H^s} \\ &\geq 2\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta - s} \|\phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin \lambda x\|_{H^s} |\sin t| - \|u_{l,1,\lambda}(t)\|_{H^s} - \|u_{l,-1,\lambda}(t)\|_{H^s} \\ &\gtrsim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta - s} \|\phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{\delta}}\right) \sin \lambda x\|_{H^s} |\sin t| - \lambda^{-1 + \frac{1}{2}\delta}, \quad \lambda \gg 1. \end{split}$$

Letting  $\lambda \to \infty$  in the above inequality, we have

$$\liminf_{\lambda \to \infty} \|u^{1,\lambda}(t) - u^{-1,\lambda}(t)\|_{H^s} \gtrsim |\sin t|.$$
(5.11)   
**5.11**

Summing inequalities (5.10) and (5.11) up, it yields inequality (5.3). This completes the proof.  $\hfill \Box$ 

Acknowledgments. The author is grateful to the anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions and comments on the original manuscript.

#### References

- BC1 [1] A. Bressan, A. Constantin, Global conservation solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 183 (2007) 215-239.
  - [2] A. Bressan, A. Constantin, Global dissipative solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation, Anal. Appl. 5 (2007) 1-27.
  - [3] R. Camassa, D. Holm, An integrable shallow water equation with peaked solitons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71(1993), 1661–1664.
  - [4] A. Constantin, The trajectories of particles in Stokes waves, Invent. Math. f166 (2006) 523-535.
  - [5] A. Constantin, J. Escher, Well-posedness, global existence and blowup phenomena for a periodic quasi-linear hyperbolic equation, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 51 (1998) 475-504.
  - [6] A. Constantin, J. Escher, Wave breaking for nonlinear nonlocal shallow water equations, Acta Math. (1998) 229-243.
  - [7] A. Constantin, J. Escher, Global weak solutions for a shallow water equation, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 47 (1998) 1527-1245.
  - [8] A. Constantin, J. Escher, On the blow-up rate and the blow-up of breaking waves for a shallow water equation, Math. Z. 233 (2000) 75-91.
  - [9] A. Constantin, J. Escher, Particle trajectories in solitary water waves, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 44 (2007) 423-431.

- CI [10] A. Constantin, R. Ivanov, On an integrable two-component Camassa-Holm shallow water system, Phys. Lett. A **372** (2008) 7129-7132.
- **ELY** [11] J. Escher, O. Lechtenfeld, Z. Yin, Well-posedness and blow-up phenomena for the 2component Camassa-Holm equation, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **19** (2007) 493-513.
- **EY1** [12] J. Escher, Z. Yin, *Initial boundary value problems of the Camassa-Holm equation*, Commun. Partial Differential Equations **33** (2008) 377-395.
- FQ [13] Y. Fu, C. Qu, Well-posedness and blow-up solution for a new coupled Camassa-Holm system with peakons, J. Math. Phys. 50, 012906 (2009).
- FL
   [14] Y. G. Fu, Z. R. Liu, Non-uniform dependence on initial data for the periodic modified Camassa-Holm equation, Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications NoDEA 20 (2013) 741-755.
- **GY** [15] C. Guan, Z. Yin, Global existence and blow-up phenomena for an integrable two-component Camassa-Holm shallow water system, J. Differential Equations **248** (2010) 2003-2014.
- <u>GY1</u> [16] C. Guan, Z. Yin, Global weak solutions for a two-component Camassa-Holm shallow water system, J. Funct. Anal. **260** (2011) 1132-1154.
- GL1
   [17] G. Gui, Y. Liu, On the global existence and wave-breaking criteria for the two-component Camassa-Holm system, J. Funct. Anal. 258 (2010) 4251-4278.
- GL2[18] G. Gui, Y. Liu, On the Cauchy problem for the two-component Camassa-Holm system, Math.Z. 268 (2011) 45-66.
- GZ[19] Z. G. Guo, Y. Zhou, On solutions to a two-component generalized Camassa-Holm equation,<br/>Stud. Appl. Math. 124 (2010) 307C322.
- HMPZ
   [20] A. Himonas, G. Misiolek, G. Ponce, Y. Zhou, Persistence properties and unique continuous of solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation, Comm. Math. Phys. 271 (2007) 511-522.
  - HK
     [21] A. Himonas, C. Kenig, Non-uniform dependence on initial data for the CH equation on the line, Differential Integral Equations 22 (2009) 201-224.
- HKM
   [22] A. Himonas, C. Kenig, G. Misiolek, Non-uniform dependence for the periodic CH equation, Commun. Partial Differential Equations 35 (2010) 1145-1162.
- HNT [23] D. D. Holm, L. Naraigh, C. Tronci, Singular solution of a modified two component Camassa-Holm equation, Phy. Rev. E **79** (2009) 1-13.
- IM
   [24] R. Iorio, de Magãlhaes Iorio, Fourier Analysis and partial differential equation. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2001).
- K2007 [25] B. Kolev, Bi-Hamiltonian systems on the dual of the Lie algebra of vector fields of the circle and periodic shallow water equations, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London A **365** (2007) 2333-2357.
- K2008
   [26] B. Kolev, Geometric differences between the Burgers and the Camassa-Holm equations, J. Nonl. Math. Phys. 15 (2008) 116-132.
  - LPW [27] G. Y. Lv, Peter Y. H. Pang . M. X. Wang, Non-uniform Dependence on Initial Data for the μ-b Equation, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **64** (2013) 1543-1554.
- LWjmp [28] G. Y. Lv, M. X. Wang, Non-uniform dependence for a modified Camassa-Holm system, J. Math. Physics 53 (2012) 013101.
  - [29] P. Tang, X. Wang, Hölder continuity for a modified Camassa-Holm system, Applied Mathematics and Computation 234 (2014) 63-68.
  - TSD
     [30] L. X. Tian, C. Y. Shen, D. P. Ding, Optimal control of the viscous Camassa-Holm equation, Nonlinear Anal. RWA 10 (2009) 519-530.
- **T1996** [31] J. F. Toland, *Stokes waves*, Topol. Meth. Nonl. Anal. **7** (1996) 1-48.
  - Wxh
     [32] X. Wang, Non-uniform dependence on initial data of a periodic Camassa-Holm system, Abstract and Applied Analysis (2014), Article ID 823126, 10 pp.
  - YLF
     [33] J. L. Yin, L. X. Tian, X. H. Fan, Orbital stability of floating periodic peakons for the Camassa-Holm equation, Nonlinear Anal. RWA 11 (2010) 4021-4026.
  - Y2 [34] Z. Yin, Global existence for a new periodic integrable equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 283 (2003) 129-139.
     ZC [35] Y. Zhou, H. P. Chen, Wave breaking and propagation speed for the Camassa-Holm equation
    - [35] Y. Zhou, H. P. Chen, Wave breaking and propagation speed for the Camassa-Holm equation with  $k \neq 0$ , Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. **12** (2011) 1355-1358.

XIAOHUAN WANG

ΤW

College of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan University, Kaifeng 475001, China

*E-mail address*: xhwangmaths@163.com, Phone 86+15226038672