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# EXISTENCE AND MULTIPLICITY OF SOLUTIONS FOR $p(x)$-LAPLACIAN EQUATIONS IN $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ 

BIN GE, QINGMEI ZHOU


#### Abstract

This article concerns the existence and multiplicity of solutions to a class of $p(x)$-Laplacian equations. We introduce a revised AmbrosettiRabinowitz condition, and show that the problem has a nontrivial solution and infinitely many solutions.


## 1. Introduction

The study of various mathematical problems with variable exponent growth condition has received considerable attention in recent years; see e.g. [1, 16, 6, 13, 14, 15]. For background information, we refer the reader to [19, 21]. The aim of this paper is to discuss the existence and multiplicity of solutions of the following $p(x)$-Laplacian equation in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta_{p(x)} u+|u|^{p(x)-2} u=K(x) f(u), \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, \\
u \in W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $p(x)=p(|x|) \in C\left(\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right)$ with $2 \leq N<p^{-}:=\inf _{\mathbb{R}^{N}} p(x) \leq p^{+}:=$ $\sup _{\mathbb{R}^{N}} p(x)<+\infty, K: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a measurable function and $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$.

Problem 1.1 has been widely studied. The following equation also has been studied very well

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta_{p(x)} u+|u|^{p(x)-2} u=f(x, u), \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N},  \tag{1.2}\\
u \in W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

When $p(x)=p(|x|) \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $2 \leq N<p^{-} \leq p^{+}<+\infty$, the authors in 4] proved the existence of infinitely many distinct homoclinic radially symmetric solutions for 1.2 , under adequate hypotheses about the nonlinearity at zero (and at infinity).

The case of $p$ Lipschitz continuous with $1<p^{-} \leq p^{+}<N$ was discussed by [7, 12]. Fu-Zhang [12] uses a nonlinearity on the right-hand side of the form $h(x)|u|^{\beta(x)-1}$ where $h \in L_{+}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{q(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), 1<\beta(x)<p(x), q(x)=\frac{p^{*}(x)}{p^{*} x-\beta(x)}$, $p^{*}(x)=\frac{N p(x)}{N-p(x)}$, and they prove the existence of at least two nontrivial solutions to

[^0]problem 1.2 . In [7], through the critical point theory, three main results on the existence of solutions of problem (1.2) obtained, treating separately the three cases; i.e., when the nonlinear term $f(x, u)$ is sublinear, superlinear and concave-convex nonlinearity.

Fan and Han [7] established the existence of nontrivial solutions for problem (1.1) under the case of superlinear, by assuming the following key condition:
(F1') there exist $\theta>p^{+}$and $M>0$ such that

$$
0<\theta F(t):=\theta \int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s \leq f(t) t, \quad \forall|t| \geq M
$$

This condition is originally due to Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz [2] in the case $p(x) \equiv$ 2 , and then was used in [3, 5, 8, 9 , for $p(x)$-Laplacian equations. Actually, condition (F1') is quite natural and important not only to ensure that the Euler-Lagrange functional associated to problem (1.2) has a mountain pass geometry, but also to guarantee that Palais-Smale sequence of the Euler-Lagrange functional is bounded. But this condition is very restrictive eliminating many nonlinearities. In this paper, we introduce a new condition (F1), below, which is different from the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz-type condition (F1').
(F1) there exist a constant $M \geq 0$ and a decreasing function $\tau$ in the space $C(\mathbb{R} \backslash(-M, M), \mathbb{R})$, such that

$$
0<\left(p^{+}+\tau(t)\right) F(t):=\left(p^{+}+\tau(t)\right) \int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s \leq f(t) t, \quad|t| \geq M
$$

where $\tau(t)>0, \lim _{|t| \rightarrow+\infty}|t| \tau(t)=+\infty$ and $\lim _{|t| \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{M}^{|t|} \frac{\tau(s)}{s} d s=+\infty$.
Remark 1.1. Obviously, when $\inf _{|t| \geq M} \tau(t)>0$, condition (F1) and (F1') are equivalent. However, condition (F1) is weaker than (F1') when $\inf _{|t| \geq M} \tau(t)=0$. For example, let $|t| \geq M=2$, and assume that $F(t)=|t|^{p^{+}} \ln |t|$. Then $f(t)=$ $\left(p^{+}+\tau(t)\right) \operatorname{sgn}(t)|t|^{p^{+}-1} \ln |t|$ satisfies condition (F1) not (F1'), where $\tau(t)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{lnt}} \in$ $C(\mathbb{R} \backslash(-M, M), \mathbb{R})$.

The aim of this paper is twofold. First, we want to handle the case when $p^{-}>$ $N$ and the unbounded area $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Although important problems can be treated within this framework, only a few works are available in this direction, see 4]. The main difficulty in studying problem 1.1) lies in the fact that no compact embedding is available for $W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. However, the subspace of radially symmetric functions of $W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, denoted further by $W_{r}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, can be embedded compactly into $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ whenever $N<p^{-} \leq p^{+}<+\infty$ (cf. [4, Theorem 2.1]). Second, instead of some usual assumption on the nonlinear term $f$, we assume that it satisfies a modified Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz-type condition (F1).

To state our results, we first introduce the following assumptions:
(H1) $K \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is radial, nonnegative, $K(x) \geq 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $\sup _{d>0} \operatorname{essinf}_{|x| \leq d} K(x)>0$.
(H2) $f(t)=o\left(t^{p^{+}-1}\right)$ for $t$ near 0 .
Now, we are ready to state the main result of this paper.
Theorem 1.2. Suppose that (H1), (H2), (F1) hold. Then problem (1.1) has a nontrivial radially symmetric solution. Furthermore, if $f(t)=f(-t)$, then problem 1.1) has infinitely many pairs of radially symmetric solutions.

In the remainder of this section, we recall some definitions and basic properties of variable spaces $L^{p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. For a deeper treatment on these spaces, we refer to [10, 11].

Let $p \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), p^{-}>1$. The variable exponent Lebesgue space $L^{p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is defined by

$$
L^{p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{u: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: u \text { is measurable and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p(x)} d x<+\infty\right\}
$$

endowed with the norm $|u|_{p(x)}=\left\{\lambda>0: \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\frac{u}{\lambda}\right|^{p(x)} d x \leq 1\right\}$. Then we define the variable exponent Sobolev space

$$
W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{u \in L^{p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right):|\nabla u| \in L^{p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right\}
$$

with the norm $\|u\|=|u|_{p(x)}+|\nabla u|_{p(x)}$.
Proposition $1.3([7])$. Set $\psi(u)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)}+|u(x)|^{p(x)}\right) d x$. If $u, u_{k} \in$ $W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, then
(1) $\|u\|<1(=1 ;>1) \Leftrightarrow I(u)<1(=1 ;>1)$;
(2) If $\|u\|>1$, then $\|u\|^{p^{-}} \leq \psi(u) \leq\|u\|^{p^{+}}$;
(3) If $\|u\|<1$, then $\|u\|^{p^{+}} \leq \psi(u) \leq\|u\|^{p^{-}}$;
(4) $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|u_{k}\right\|=0 \Leftrightarrow \lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \psi\left(u_{k}\right)=0$;

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2 when $\inf _{|t| \geq M} \tau(t)=0$. If $\inf _{|t| \geq M} \tau(t)>0$, then conditions (F1') and (F1) are equivalent, and the proof is rather standard. We may assume that $M \geq 1$, and that there is constant $N_{0}>0$ such that $|\tau(t)| \leq N_{0}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R} \backslash(-M, M)$.

We introduce the energy function $\varphi$ associated to problem (1.1) defined by
$\varphi(u)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left(|\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)}+|u(x)|^{p(x)}\right) d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x) F(u) d x, \quad u \in W_{r}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$
Due to the principle of symmetric criticality of Palais (see [20), the critical points of $\left.\varphi\right|_{W_{r}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}$ are critical points of $\varphi$ as well, so radially symmetric, weak solutions of problem 1.1.

Claim 2.1. Let $W=\left\{w \in W_{r}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right):\|w\|=1\right\}$. Then, for any $w \in W$, there exist $\delta_{w}>0$ and $\lambda_{w}>0$, such that

$$
\varphi(\lambda v)<0, \quad \forall v \in W \cap B\left(w, \delta_{w}\right), \forall|\lambda| \geq \lambda_{w}
$$

where $B\left(w, \delta_{w}\right)=\left\{v \in W_{r}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right):\|v-w\|<\delta_{w}\right\}$.
Proof. Since the embedding $W_{r}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is compact, there is constant $C>0$ such that $|u|_{\infty} \leq C\|u\|$. Thus, for all $w \in W$ and a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, we have $|w(x)| \leq C$. By the definition of $\tau(t)$, we deduce that there exists $t_{\lambda} \in$ $\{t \in \mathbb{R}: M \leq|t| \leq|\lambda| C\}$ such that $\tau\left(t_{\lambda}\right)=\min _{M \leq|t| \leq|\lambda| C} \tau(t)$. Then $|\lambda| \geq \frac{t_{\lambda}}{C}$ and $\lim _{|\lambda| \rightarrow+\infty}\left|t_{\lambda}\right| \rightarrow+\infty$. From condition (F1), we conclude that $F(t) \geq C_{1}|t|^{p^{+}} H(|t|)$ for all $|t| \geq M$, where $H(t)=\exp \left(\int_{M}^{|t|} \frac{\tau(s)}{s} d s\right)$. Hence, using $\lim _{|t| \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{M}^{|t|} \frac{\tau(s)}{s} d s=$ $+\infty$, it follows that $H(|t|)$ increases when $|t|$ increases, and $\lim _{|t| \rightarrow+\infty} H(|t|)=+\infty$.

Fix $w \in W$. By $\|w\|=1$, we deduce that $\mu\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: w(x) \neq 0\right\}\right)>0$, and that there exists a $\bar{t}_{w}>M$ such that $\mu\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:\left|\bar{t}_{w} w(x)\right| \geq M\right\}\right)>0$, where $\mu$ is the Lebesgue measure.

Set $\Omega_{1}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:\left|\bar{t}_{w} w(x)\right| \geq M\right\}$ and $\Omega_{2}:=\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega_{1}$. Then $\mu\left(\Omega_{1}\right)>0$. Therefore, for any $x \in \Omega_{1}$, we have that $|w(x)| \geq \frac{M}{\bar{t}_{w}}$. Now take $\delta_{w}=\frac{M}{2 C \bar{t}_{w}}$. Then, for any $v \in W \cap B\left(w, \delta_{w}\right),|v-w|_{\infty} \leq C\|v-w\|<\frac{M}{2 \bar{t}_{w}}$. Hence, for all $x \in \Omega_{1}$, we deduce that $|v(x)| \geq \frac{M}{2 \bar{t}_{w}}$ and $|\lambda v(x)| \geq M$ for any $x \in \Omega_{1}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\lambda| \geq 2 \bar{t}_{w}$. Thus, for $|\lambda| \geq 2 \bar{t}_{w}$, by the above estimates and $H(|t|)$ increases when $|t|$ increases, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega_{1}} K(x) F(\lambda v(x)) d x & \geq C_{1}|\lambda|^{p^{+}} \int_{\Omega_{1}} K(x)|v(x)|^{p^{+}} H(|\lambda v(x)|) d x \\
& \geq C_{1}|\lambda|^{p^{+}}\left(\frac{M}{2 \bar{t}_{w}}\right)^{p^{+}} H\left(|\lambda| \frac{M}{2 \bar{t}_{w}}\right) \int_{\Omega_{1}} K(x) d x \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, by continuity, we deduce that there exists a $C_{2}>0$ such that $F(t) \geq-C_{2}$ when $|t| \leq M$. Note that $F(t)>0$ if $|t| \geq M$. Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega_{2}} K(x) F(\lambda v(x)) d x= & \int_{\Omega_{2} \cup\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|\lambda v(x)| \geq M\right\}} K(x) F(\lambda v(x)) d x \\
& +\int_{\Omega_{2} \cup\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|\lambda v(x)| \leq M\right\}} K(x) F(\lambda v(x)) d x  \tag{2.2}\\
\geq & \int_{\Omega_{2} \cup\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|\lambda v(x)| \leq M\right\}} K(x) F(\lambda v(x)) d x \\
\geq & -C_{2}|K|_{1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, for $v \in W \cap B\left(w, \delta_{w}\right)$ and $|\lambda|>1$, from 2.1) and 2.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(\lambda v) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|\lambda|^{p(x)}}{p(x)}\left(|\nabla v|^{p(x)}+|v|^{p(x)}\right) d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x) F(\lambda v(x)) d x \\
& \leq|\lambda|^{p^{+}}-C_{1}|\lambda|^{p^{+}}\left(\frac{M}{2 \bar{t}_{w}}\right)^{p^{+}} H\left(|\lambda| \frac{M}{2 \bar{t}_{w}}\right) \int_{\Omega_{1}} K(x) d x+C_{2}|K|_{1} \\
& =|\lambda|^{p^{+}}\left[1-C_{1}\left(\frac{M}{2 \bar{t}_{w}}\right)^{p^{+}} H\left(|\lambda| \frac{M}{2 \bar{t}_{w}}\right) \int_{\Omega_{1}} K(x) d x\right]+C_{2}|K|_{1} \\
& \rightarrow-\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

as $|\lambda| \rightarrow+\infty$, because $\lim _{|t| \rightarrow+\infty} H(|t|)=+\infty$.
Claim 2.2. There exist $\nu>0$ and $\rho>0$ such that $\inf _{\|u\|=\nu} \varphi(u) \geq \rho>0$.
Proof. Note that $|u|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$ if $\|u\| \rightarrow 0$. Then, by hypothesis (H2), we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x) F(u) d x=|K|_{1} o\left(|u|_{\infty}^{p^{+}}\right)=|K|_{1} o\left(\|u\|^{p^{+}}\right),
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(u) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)}+|u|^{p(x)}\right) d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x) F(u) d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p^{+}}\|u\|^{p^{+}}-|K|_{1} o\left(\|u\|^{p^{+}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, there exist $1>\nu>0$ and $\rho>0$ such that $\inf _{\|u\|=\nu} \varphi(u) \geq \rho>0$.

Claim 2.3. The functional $\varphi$ satisfies the $(P S)$ condition.
Proof. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset W_{r}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be a (PS) sequence of the functional $\varphi$; that is, $\left|\varphi\left(u_{n}\right)\right| \leq c$ and $\left|\left\langle\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), h\right\rangle\right| \leq \varepsilon_{n}\|h\|$ with $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$, for all $h \in W_{r}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. We will prove that the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $W_{r}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Indeed, if $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is unbounded in $W_{r}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we may assume that $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $u_{n}=\lambda_{n} w_{n}$, where $\lambda_{n} \in \mathbb{R}, w_{n} \in W$. It follows that $\left|\lambda_{n}\right| \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $\Omega_{1}^{n}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:\left|\lambda_{n} w_{n}(x)\right| \geq M\right\}$ and $\Omega_{2}^{n}:=\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega_{1}^{n}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\varepsilon_{n}\left|\lambda_{n}\right|= & -\varepsilon_{n}\left\|u_{n}\right\| \\
\leq & \left\langle\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)}+\left|u_{n}\right|^{p(x)}\right) d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x) f\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n} d x \\
\leq & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\lambda_{n}\right|^{p(x)}\left(\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p(x)}+\left|w_{n}\right|^{p(x)}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega_{1}^{n}} K(x) f\left(\lambda_{n} w_{n}\right) \lambda_{n} w_{n} d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega_{2}^{n}} K(x) f\left(\lambda_{n} w_{n}\right) \lambda_{n} w_{n} d x,
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega_{1}^{n}} K(x) f\left(\lambda_{n} w_{n}\right) \lambda_{n} w_{n} d x \leq & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\lambda_{n}\right|^{p(x)}\left(\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p(x)}+\left|w_{n}\right|^{p(x)}\right) d x \\
& +\varepsilon_{n}\left|\lambda_{n}\right|-\int_{\Omega_{2}^{n}} K(x) f\left(\lambda_{n} w_{n}\right) \lambda_{n} w_{n} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $0<\left(p^{+}+\tau\left(t_{\lambda_{n}}\right)\right) F\left(\lambda_{n} w_{n}\right) \leq f\left(\lambda_{n} w_{n}\right) \lambda_{n} w_{n}$ in $\Omega_{1}^{n}$. So,

$$
\int_{\Omega_{1}^{n}} K(x) F\left(\lambda_{n} w_{n}\right) d x \leq \frac{1}{p^{+}+\tau\left(t_{\lambda_{n}}\right)} \int_{\Omega_{1}^{n}} K(x) f\left(\lambda_{n} w_{n}\right) \lambda_{n} w_{n} d x
$$

Then it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi\left(u_{n}\right)= & \varphi\left(\lambda_{n} w_{n}\right) \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|\lambda_{n}\right|^{p(x)}}{p(x)}\left(\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p(x)}+\left|w_{n}\right|^{p(x)}\right) d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x) F\left(\lambda_{n} w_{n}\right) d x \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|\lambda_{n}\right|^{p(x)}}{p(x)}\left(\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p(x)}+\left|w_{n}\right|^{p(x)}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega_{1}^{n}} K(x) F\left(\lambda_{n} w_{n}\right) d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega_{2}^{n}} K(x) F\left(\lambda_{n} w_{n}\right) d x \\
\geq & \frac{1}{p^{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\lambda_{n}\right|^{p(x)}\left(\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p(x)}+\left|w_{n}\right|^{p(x)}\right) d x \\
& -\frac{1}{p^{+}+\tau\left(t_{\lambda_{n}}\right)} \int_{\Omega_{1}^{n}} K(x) f\left(\lambda_{n} w_{n}\right) \lambda_{n} w_{n} d x-\int_{\Omega_{2}^{n}} K(x) F\left(\lambda_{n} w_{n}\right) d x \\
\geq & \frac{1}{p^{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\lambda_{n}\right|^{p(x)}\left(\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p(x)}+\left|w_{n}\right|^{p(x)}\right) d x \\
& -\frac{1}{p^{+}+\tau\left(t_{\lambda_{n}}\right)}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\lambda_{n}\right|^{p(x)}\left(\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p(x)}+\left|w_{n}\right|^{p(x)}\right) d x+\varepsilon_{n}\left|\lambda_{n}\right|\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{1}{p^{+}+\tau\left(t_{\lambda_{n}}\right)} \int_{\Omega_{2}^{n}} K(x) f\left(\lambda_{n} w_{n}\right) \lambda_{n} w_{n} d x-\int_{\Omega_{2}^{n}} K(x) F\left(\lambda_{n} w_{n}\right) d x \\
= & \frac{\tau\left(t_{\lambda_{n}}\right)}{p^{+}\left(p^{+}+\tau\left(t_{\lambda_{n}}\right)\right)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\lambda_{n}\right|^{p(x)}\left(\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p(x)}+\left|w_{n}\right|^{p(x)}\right) d x \\
& -\frac{1}{p^{+}+\tau\left(t_{\lambda_{n}}\right)} \varepsilon_{n}\left|\lambda_{n}\right|+T\left(\lambda_{n} w_{n}\right) \\
\geq & \frac{\tau\left(t_{\lambda_{n}}\right)}{p^{+}\left(p^{+}+N_{0}\right)}\left|\lambda_{n}\right|^{p^{-}}-\frac{1}{p^{+}} \varepsilon_{n}\left|\lambda_{n}\right|+T\left(\lambda_{n} w_{n}\right) \\
= & \left|\lambda_{n}\right|\left[\frac{\left|\lambda_{n}\right|^{p^{-}-1} \tau\left(t_{\lambda_{n}}\right)}{p^{+}\left(p^{+}+N_{0}\right)}-\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{p^{+}}\right]+T\left(\lambda_{n} w_{n}\right) \\
\geq & \left|\lambda_{n}\right|\left[\frac{\left|\lambda_{n}\right|^{p^{-}-1} \tau\left(t_{\lambda_{n}}\right)}{p^{+}\left(p^{+}+N_{0}\right)}-\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{p^{+}}\right]-C_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
T\left(\lambda_{n} w_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{p^{+}+\tau\left(t_{\lambda_{n}}\right)} \int_{\Omega_{2}^{n}} K(x) f\left(\lambda_{n} w_{n}\right) \lambda_{n} w_{n} d x-\int_{\Omega_{2}^{n}} K(x) F\left(\lambda_{n} w_{n}\right) d x
$$

is bounded from below. We know that $\left|\lambda_{n}\right| \rightarrow+\infty$, and so $\left|t_{\lambda_{n}}\right| \rightarrow+\infty$, as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. It follows from (F1) and $p^{-}>N \geq 2$ that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left|\lambda_{n}\right|^{p^{-}-1} \tau\left(t_{\lambda_{n}}\right) \geq \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\left|t_{\lambda_{n}}\right| \tau\left(t_{\lambda_{n}}\right)}{M}=+\infty
$$

This means that $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \varphi\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow+\infty$. This is a contradiction. So, the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $W_{r}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Note that the embedding $W_{r}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \hookrightarrow$ $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is compact, there exists a $u \in W_{r}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that passing to subsequence, still denoted by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, it converges strongly to $u$ in $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, and in the same way as the proof of [17, Proposition 3.1] we can conclude that $u_{n}$ converges strongly also in $W_{r}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Thus, $\varphi$ satisfies the (PS) condition.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Due to Claims 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3, we know that $\varphi$ satisfies the conditions of the classical mountain pass theorem due to Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz [2]. Hence, we obtain a nontrivial critical point, which gives rise to a nontrivial radially symmetric solution to problem 1.1).

Furthermore, if $f(t)=f(-t)$, then $\varphi$ is even. We will use the following $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ version of the mountain pass theorem in [18].

Theorem 2.4. Let $E$ be an infinite-dimensional Banach space, and $\varphi \in C(E, \mathbb{R})$ be even, satisfying the $(P S)$ condition, and having $\varphi(0)=0$. Assume that $E=V \oplus X$, where $V$ is finite dimensional. Suppose that the following hold.
(a) there are constants $\nu, \rho>0$ such that $\inf _{\partial B_{\nu} \cup X} \varphi \geq \rho$.
(b) for each finite-dimensional subspace $\bar{E} \subset E$, there is an $\sigma=\sigma(\bar{E})$ such that $\varphi \leq 0$ on $\bar{E} \backslash B_{\sigma}$.
Then $\varphi$ possesses an unbounded sequence of critical values.
From Claims 2.1 and $2.2, \varphi$ satisfies (a) and the (PS) condition. For any finitedimensional subspace $\bar{E} \subset E, S \cap \bar{E}=\{w \in \bar{E}:\|w\|=1\}$ is compact. By Claim 2.1 and the finite covering theorem, it is easy to verify that $\varphi$ satisfies condition (b). Hence, by the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ version of the mountain pass theorem, $\varphi$ has a sequence of
critical points $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. That is, problem (1.1) has infinitely many pairs of radially symmetric solutions.
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