*Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, Vol. 2013 (2013), No. 31, pp. 1–18. ISSN: 1072-6691. URL: http://ejde.math.txstate.edu or http://ejde.math.unt.edu ftp ejde.math.txstate.edu

# SOLUTIONS IN SEVERAL TYPES OF PERIODICITY FOR PARTIAL NEUTRAL INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

JOSÉ PAULO C. DOS SANTOS, SANDRO M. GUZZO

ABSTRACT. In this article we study the existence of mild solutions in several types of periodicity for partial neutral integro-differential equations with unbounded delays.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this article we study the existence of several types of mild solutions for the partial neutral integro-differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}(x(t) + f(t, x_t)) = Ax(t) + \int_0^t B(t - s)x(s)ds + g(t, x_t),$$
(1.1)

$$x_0 = \varphi \in \mathcal{B},\tag{1.2}$$

where  $A: D(A) \subset X \to X$  and  $B(t): D(B(t)) \subset X \to X$ ,  $t \ge 0$ , are closed linear operators;  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is a Banach space; the history  $x_t: (-\infty, 0] \to X$ ,  $x_t(\theta) = x(t+\theta)$ , belongs to an abstract phase space  $\mathcal{B}$  defined axiomatically, and  $f, g: I \times \mathcal{B} \to X$ are appropriated functions.

The literature relative to ordinary neutral differential equations is very extensive, thus we suggest the Hale and Lunel book [20] concerning this matter. Referring to partial neutral functional differential equations, we cite the pioneer articles Hale [19] and Wu [37, 38, 39] for finite delay equations, Hernández and Henriquez [28, 29], Hernández [25] for the unbounded delay, Hernández and dos Santos [27] and Henríquez et al. [21, 24] and Dos Santos et al. [14, 16, 15] for partial neutral integro-differential equations with unbounded delay.

The existence of almost automorphic, asymptotically almost automorphic, almost periodic, asymptotically almost periodic, S-asymptotically  $\omega$ -periodic and asymptotically  $\omega$ -periodic solutions to differential equations is among the most attractive topics in mathematical analysis due to their possible applications in areas such as physics, economics, mathematical biology, engineering, etc. (cf. [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, 23, 26, 33, 34, 41, 42, 43]). The concept of asymptotically almost automorphic, was introduced in the literature in the early

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 45K05, 34K40, 34K14, 45N05.

Key words and phrases. Integro-differential equations; neutral differential equations;

asymptotically almost periodic; asymptotic compact almost automorphic;

S-asymptotically  $\omega$ -periodic; asymptotically  $\omega$ -periodic.

<sup>©2013</sup> Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted August 4, 2012. Published January 28, 2013.

J. C. dos Santos was supported by grant APQ-00748-12 from FAPEMIG/Brazil.

eighties by N'Guérékata [32]. However, the literature concerning S-asymptotically  $\omega$ -periodic functions with values in Banach spaces is recent (cf [4, 6, 7, 22, 23]). The existence of asymptotically almost automorpic, S-asymptotically  $\omega$ -periodic functions and asymptotically  $\omega$ -periodic for the partial neutral system (1.1)-(1.2) is an untreated topic in the literature and this fact is the main motivation of the present work.

This paper is organized in four sections. In Section 2 we mention a few results and notations related with resolvent of operators and of several types of periodicity. In Section 3 we study the existence of several types of periodicity mild solutions to the partial neutral system (1.1)-(1.2). In Section 4, we discuss the existence and uniqueness of several types of periodicity solution to a concrete partial neutral integro-differential equation with delay, as an illustration to our abstract results.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $(Z, \|\cdot\|_Z)$  and  $(W, \|\cdot\|_W)$  be Banach spaces. We denote by  $\mathcal{L}(Z, W)$  the space of bounded linear operators from Z into W endowed with norm of operators, and we write simply  $\mathcal{L}(Z)$  when Z = W. By  $\mathbf{R}(Q)$  we denote the range of a map Q and for a closed linear operator  $P: D(P) \subseteq Z \to W$ , the notation [D(P)] represents the domain of P endowed with the graph norm,  $\|z\|_1 = \|z\|_Z + \|Pz\|_W$ ,  $z \in D(P)$ . In the case Z = W, the notation  $\rho(P)$  stands for the resolvent set of P, and  $R(\lambda, P) =$  $(\lambda I - P)^{-1}$  is the resolvent operator of P. Furthermore, for appropriate functions  $K: [0, \infty) \to Z$  and  $S: [0, \infty) \to \mathcal{L}(Z, W)$ , the notation  $\hat{K}$  denotes the Laplace transform of K, and S \* K the convolution between S and K, which is defined by  $S * K(t) = \int_0^t S(t-s)K(s)ds$ . The notation,  $B_r(x, Z)$  stands for the closed ball with center at x and radius r > 0 in Z. As usual,  $C_0([0, \infty), Z)$  represents the sub-space of  $C_b([0, \infty), Z)$  formed by the functions which vanish at infinity and  $C_{\omega}([0, \infty), X)$ denote the spaces  $C_{\omega}([0, \infty), X) = \{x \in C_b([0, \infty), X) : x \text{ is } \omega\text{-periodic }\}$ . If k : $\mathbb{R} \to W$ , we denote  $\|k\|_{W,\infty} = \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \|k(s)\|_W$  or if  $k : [0, \infty) \to W$ , we denote  $\|k\|_{W,\infty} = \sup_{s \in [0,\infty)} \|k(s)\|_W$ .

In this work we will employ an axiomatic definition of the phase space  $\mathcal{B}$  similar at those in [30]. More precisely,  $\mathcal{B}$  will denote a vector space of functions defined from  $(-\infty, 0]$  into X endowed with a semi-norm denoted by  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$  and such that the following axioms hold:

- (A1) If  $x: (-\infty, \sigma + b) \to X$  with b > 0 is continuous on  $[\sigma, \sigma + b)$  and  $x_{\sigma} \in \mathcal{B}$ , then for each  $t \in [\sigma, \sigma + b)$  the following conditions hold:
  - (i)  $x_t$  is in  $\mathcal{B}$ ,
  - (ii)  $||x(t)|| \leq H ||x_t||_{\mathcal{B}}$ ,

(iii)  $||x_t||_{\mathcal{B}} \leq K(t-\sigma) \sup\{||x(s)|| : \sigma \leq s \leq t\} + M(t-\sigma)||x_{\sigma}||_{\mathcal{B}}$ , where H > 0 is a constant, and  $K, M : [0, \infty) \mapsto [1, \infty)$  are functions such that  $K(\cdot)$  and  $M(\cdot)$  are respectively continuous and locally bounded, and H, K, M are independent of  $x(\cdot)$ .

- (A2) If  $x(\cdot)$  is a function as in (A1), then  $x_t$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -valued continuous function on  $[\sigma, \sigma + b)$ .
- (B1) The space  $\mathcal{B}$  is complete.
- (C1) If  $(\varphi^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence in  $C_b((-\infty, 0], X)$  formed by functions with compact support such that  $\varphi^n \to \varphi$  uniformly on compact, then  $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $\|\varphi^n \varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}} \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $S(t) : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$  be the  $C_0$ -semigroup defined by  $S(t)\varphi(\theta) = \varphi(0)$ on [-t, 0] and  $S(t)\varphi(\theta) = \varphi(t+\theta)$  on  $(-\infty, -t]$ . The phase space  $\mathcal{B}$  is called a fading memory if  $||S(t)\varphi||_{\mathcal{B}} \to 0$  as  $t \to \infty$  for each  $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\varphi(0) = 0$ .

**Remark 2.2.** In this work we assume there exists positive  $\Re$  such that

 $\max\{K(t), M(t)\} \le \mathfrak{K}$ 

for each  $t \ge 0$ . Observe that this condition is verified, for example, if  $\mathcal{B}$  is a fading memory, see [30, Proposition 7.1.5].

**Example 2.3.** The phase space  $C_r \times L^p(\rho, X)$ . Let  $r \ge 0, 1 \le p < \infty$  and let  $\rho : (-\infty, -r] \to \mathbb{R}$  be a nonnegative measurable function which satisfies the conditions (g-5), (g-6) in the terminology of [30]. Briefly, this means that  $\rho$  is locally integrable and there exists a non-negative, locally bounded function  $\gamma$  on  $(-\infty, 0]$  such that  $\rho(\xi + \theta) \le \gamma(\xi)\rho(\theta)$ , for all  $\xi \le 0$  and  $\theta \in (-\infty, -r) \setminus N_{\xi}$ , where  $N_{\xi} \subseteq (-\infty, -r)$  is a set with Lebesgue measure zero. The space  $C_r \times L^p(\rho, X)$ consists of all classes of functions  $\varphi : (-\infty, 0] \to X$  such that  $\varphi$  is continuous on [-r, 0], Lebesgue-measurable, and  $\rho ||\varphi||^p$  is Lebesgue integrable on  $(-\infty, -r)$ . The seminorm in  $C_r \times L^p(\rho, X)$  is defined by

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}} := \sup\{\|\varphi(\theta)\| : -r \le \theta \le 0\} + \left(\int_{-\infty}^{-r} \rho(\theta)\|\varphi(\theta)\|^p d\theta\right)^{1/p}$$

The space  $\mathcal{B} = C_r \times L^p(\rho; X)$  satisfies axioms (A1), (A2), (B1). Moreover, when r = 0 and p = 2, we can take H = 1,  $M(t) = \gamma(-t)^{1/2}$  and  $K(t) = 1 + (\int_{-t}^0 \rho(\theta) \, d\theta)^{1/2}$ , for  $t \ge 0$  and

$$\mathfrak{K} = \Big(\sup_{s \leq 0} |\gamma(s)^{1/2}| + \Big(1 + (\int_{-\infty}^0 \rho(\theta) d\theta)^{1/2}\Big)\Big).$$

See [30, Theorem 1.3.8] for details.

For better comprehension of the subject we shall introduce the following definitions, hypothesis and results. Throughout the rest of the paper we always assume that the abstract integro-differential problem

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = Ax(t) + \int_0^t B(t-s)x(s) \, ds, \qquad (2.1)$$

$$x(0) = x \in X. \tag{2.2}$$

**Definition 2.4.** A one-parameter family of bounded linear operators  $(\mathcal{R}(t))_{t\geq 0}$  on X is called a resolvent operator of (2.1)-(2.2) if the following conditions are satisifed.

- (a) Function  $\mathcal{R}(\cdot) : [0, \infty) \to \mathcal{L}(X)$  is strongly continuous and  $\mathcal{R}(0)x = x$  for all  $x \in X$ .
- (b) For  $x \in D(A)$ ,  $\mathcal{R}(\cdot)x \in C([0,\infty), [D(A)]) \cap C^1([0,\infty), X)$ , and

$$\frac{d\mathcal{R}(t)x}{dt} = A\mathcal{R}(t)x + \int_0^t B(t-s)\mathcal{R}(s)xds, \qquad (2.3)$$

$$\frac{d\mathcal{R}(t)x}{dt} = \mathcal{R}(t)Ax + \int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t-s)B(s)xds, \qquad (2.4)$$

for every  $t \ge 0$ ,

(c) There exists constants  $M > 0, \delta$  such that  $\|\mathcal{R}(t)\| \leq M e^{\delta t}$  for every  $t \geq 0$ .

**Definition 2.5.** A resolvent operator  $(\mathcal{R}(t))_{t\geq 0}$  of (2.1)-(2.2) is called exponentially stable if there exists positive constants  $M, \beta$  such that  $||\mathcal{R}(t)|| \leq Me^{-\beta t}$ .

In this work we assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (H1) Operator  $A: D(A) \subseteq X \to X$  is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup  $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$  on X, and there are constants  $M_0 > 0, \omega \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\vartheta \in (\pi/2, \pi)$  such that  $\rho(A) \supseteq \Lambda_{\omega,\vartheta} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda \neq \omega, |\arg(\lambda - \omega)| < \vartheta\}$ and  $||R(\lambda, A)|| \leq \frac{M_0}{|\lambda - \omega|}$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\omega,\vartheta}$ .
- (H2) For all  $t \ge 0$ ,  $B(t) : D(B(t)) \subseteq X \to X$  is a closed linear operator,  $D(A) \subseteq D(B(t))$  and  $B(\cdot)x$  is strongly measurable on  $(0, \infty)$  for each  $x \in D(A)$ . There exists  $b(\cdot) \in L^1([0, \infty))$  such that  $\hat{b}(\lambda)$  exists for  $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) > 0$  and  $||B(t)x|| \le b(t)||x||_1$  for all t > 0 and  $x \in D(A)$ . Moreover, the operator valued function  $\hat{B} : \Lambda_{\omega,\pi/2} \to \mathcal{L}([D(A)], X)$  has an analytical extension (still denoted by  $\hat{B}$ ) to  $\Lambda_{\omega,\vartheta}$  such that  $||\hat{B}(\lambda)x|| \le ||\hat{B}(\lambda)|| ||x||_1$  for all  $x \in D(A)$ , and  $||\hat{B}(\lambda)|| = O(\frac{1}{|\lambda|})$  as  $|\lambda| \to \infty$ .
- (H3) There exists a subspace  $D \subseteq D(A)$  dense in [D(A)] and positive constants  $C_i, i = 1, 2$ , such that  $A(D) \subseteq D(A), \ \widehat{B}(\lambda)(D) \subseteq D(A), \ \|A\widehat{B}(\lambda)x\| \leq C_1 \|x\|$  for every  $x \in D$  and all  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\omega,\vartheta}$ .

For  $r > 0, \ \theta \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \vartheta)$  and  $w \in \mathbb{R}$ , set

$$\Lambda_{r,\omega,\theta} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda \neq \omega, |\lambda| > r, |\arg(\lambda - \omega)| < \theta\},\$$

and  $\omega + \Gamma_{r,\theta}^i$ , i = 1, 2, 3, the paths

$$\omega + \Gamma^{1}_{r,\theta} = \{\omega + te^{i\theta} : t \ge r\},\$$
$$\omega + \Gamma^{2}_{r,\theta} = \{\omega + re^{i\xi} : -\theta \le \xi \le \theta\},\$$
$$\omega + \Gamma^{3}_{r,\theta} = \{\omega + te^{-i\theta} : t \ge r\},\$$

with  $\omega + \Gamma_{r,\theta} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{3} \omega + \Gamma_{r,\theta}^{i}$  oriented counterclockwise. In addition,  $\Psi(G)$  is the set

$$\Psi(G) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : G(\lambda) := (\lambda I - A - \widehat{B}(\lambda))^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(X)\}.$$

The next results establish that the operator family  $(\mathcal{R}(t))_{t\geq 0}$  defined by

$$\mathcal{R}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\omega + \Gamma_{r,\theta}} e^{\lambda t} G(\lambda) d\lambda, & t > 0, \\ I, & t = 0. \end{cases}$$
(2.5)

is an exponentially stable resolvent operator for (2.1)-(2.2).

**Theorem 2.6** ([16, Corollary 3.1]). Suppose that conditions (H1)–(H3) are satisfied. Then, the function  $\mathcal{R}(\cdot)$  is a resolvent operator for system (2.1)-(2.2). If  $\omega + r < 0$ , the function  $\mathcal{R}(\cdot)$  is an exponentially stable resolvent operator for system (2.1)-(2.2).

In the next result we denote by  $(-A)^{\vartheta}$  the fractional power of the operator (-A), (see [35] for details).

**Theorem 2.7** ([16, Corollary 3.2]). Suppose that conditions (H1)–(H3) are satisfied. Then there exists a positive number C such that

$$\|(-A)^{\vartheta}\mathcal{R}(t)\| \leq \begin{cases} Ce^{(r+\omega)t}, & t \ge 1, \\ Ce^{(r+\omega)t}t^{-\vartheta}, & t \in (0,1), \end{cases}$$
(2.6)

for all  $\vartheta \in (0,1)$ . If  $\omega + r < 0$  and  $\vartheta \in (0,1)$ , then there exists  $\phi \in L^1([0,\infty))$  such that

$$\|(-A)^{\vartheta}\mathcal{R}(t)\| \le \phi(t). \tag{2.7}$$

In the remaining of this section we discuss the existence of solutions to

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = Ax(t) + \int_0^t B(t-s)x(s) \, ds + f(t), \quad t \in [0,a], \tag{2.8}$$

$$x(0) = z \in X,\tag{2.9}$$

where  $f \in L^1([0, a], X)$ . In the sequel,  $\mathcal{R}(\cdot)$  is the operator function defined by (2.5). We begin by introducing the following concept of classical solution.

**Definition 2.8.** A function  $x : [0, b] \to X$ ,  $0 < b \leq a$ , is called a classical solution of (2.8)-(2.9) on [0, b] if  $x \in C([0, b], [D(A)]) \cap C^1((0, b], X)$ , the condition (2.9) holds and the equation (2.8) is satisfied on [0, a].

**Theorem 2.9** ([18, Theorem 2]). Let  $z \in X$ . Assume that  $f \in C([0, a], X)$  and  $x(\cdot)$  is a classical solution of (2.8)-(2.9) on [0, a]. Then

$$x(t) = \mathcal{R}(t)z + \int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t-s)f(s)\,ds, \quad t \in [0,a].$$
(2.10)

Motivated by (2.10), we introduce the following concept.

**Definition 2.10.** A function  $u \in C([0, a], X)$  is called a mild solution of (2.8)-(2.9) if

$$u(t) = \mathcal{R}(t)z + \int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t-s)f(s)\,ds, \quad t \in [0,a].$$

To establish our existence result, motivated by the previous facts, we introduce the following assumptions.

- (P1) There exists a Banach space  $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$  continuously included in X such that the following conditions are verified.
  - (a) For every  $t \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $\mathcal{R}(t) \in \mathcal{L}(X) \cap \mathcal{L}(Y, [D(A)])$  and  $B(t) \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$ . In addition,  $A\mathcal{R}(\cdot)x, B(\cdot)x \in C((0, \infty), X)$  for every  $x \in Y$ .
  - (b) There are positive constants  $M, \beta$  such that

$$\|\mathcal{R}(s)\| \le M e^{-\beta s}, \quad s \ge 0$$

(c) There exists  $\phi \in L^1([0,\infty))$  such that  $||A\mathcal{R}(t)||_{\mathcal{L}(Y,X)} \leq \phi(t), t \geq 0.$ 

(PF)  $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{B} \to Y$  is a continuous function and there exists a continuous non decreasing function  $L_f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ , such that

$$\|f(t,\psi_1) - f(t,\psi_2)\|_{Y} \le L_f(r) \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_{\mathcal{B}}, \quad (t,\psi_j) \in \mathbb{R} \times B_r(0,\mathcal{B}).$$

(PG)  $g: \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{B} \to X$  is a continuous function and there exists a continuous and non decreasing function  $L_q: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  such that

$$|g(t,\psi_1) - g(t,\psi_2)|| \le L_q(r) ||\psi_1 - \psi_2||_{\mathcal{B}}, \quad (t,\psi_j) \in \mathbb{R} \times B_r(0,\mathcal{B}).$$

(P2)

$$\sup_{r>0} \left[ \frac{r}{2\mathfrak{K}} - L_f(2\mathfrak{K}r)r\mu - \frac{M}{\beta}L_g(2\mathfrak{K}r)r \right] \\
\geq \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{K}} (M\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}} + M\|f(0,\varphi)\| + \sup_{t\in[0,\infty)} \|f(t,0)\|_Y \mu + \frac{M}{\beta} \sup_{t\in[0,\infty)} \|g(t,0)\|),$$

where  $\mu = (\|i_c\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y,X)} + \|\phi\|_{L^1} + \frac{M}{\beta}\|b\|_{L^1}).$ 

Motivated by the theory of resolvent operator, we introduce the following concept of mild solution for (1.1)-(1.2).

**Definition 2.11.** A function  $u : (-\infty, b] \to X$ ,  $0 < b \leq a$ , is called a mild solution of (1.1)-(1.2) on [0, b], if  $u_0 = \varphi \in \mathcal{B}$ ;  $u|_{[0,b]} \in C([0,b] : X)$ ; the functions  $\tau \mapsto A\mathcal{R}(t-\tau)f(\tau, u_{\tau})$  and  $\tau \mapsto \int_0^{\tau} B(\tau-\xi)f(\xi, u_{\xi})d\xi$  are integrable on [0, t) for every  $t \in (0, b]$  and

$$u(t) = \mathcal{R}(t)(\varphi(0) + f(0,\varphi)) - f(t,u_t) - \int_0^t A\mathcal{R}(t-s)f(s,u_s)ds - \int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t-s)\int_0^s B(s-\xi)f(\xi,u_\xi)d\xi ds + \int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t-s)g(s,u_s)ds, \quad t \in [0,b].$$

Now, we need to introduce some concepts, definitions and technicalities on asymptotically almost periodical functions, S-asymptotically  $\omega$ -periodic, asymptotically  $\omega$ -periodic asymptotically and almost automorphic functions.

**Definition 2.12.** A function  $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, Z)$  is almost periodic (a.p.) if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a relatively dense subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{H}(\varepsilon, f, Z)$ , such that

$$\|f(t+\xi) - f(t)\|_Z < \varepsilon, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \, \xi \in \mathcal{H}(\varepsilon, f, Z).$$

**Definition 2.13.** A function  $f \in C([0,\infty), Z)$  is asymptotically almost periodic (a.a.p.) if there exists an almost periodic function  $g(\cdot)$  and  $w \in C_0([0,\infty), Z)$  such that  $f(\cdot) = g(\cdot) + w(\cdot)$ .

In this paper, AP(Z) and AAP(Z) are the spaces

$$AP(Z) = \{ f \in C(\mathbb{R}, Z) : f \text{ is a.p. } \},\$$
  
$$AAP(Z) = \{ f \in C([0, \infty), Z) : f \text{ is a.a.p. } \},\$$

endowed with the norm of the uniform convergence. We know from the result in [40] that AP(Z) and AAP(Z) are Banach spaces.

**Definition 2.14.** A function  $u \in C_b([0,\infty), X)$  is said S-asymptotically  $\omega$ -periodic if

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} (u(t+\omega) - u(t)) = 0$$

In the rest of this paper, the notation  $SAP_{\omega}(X)$  stands for the space

 $SAP_{\omega}(X) = \{ f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, X) : f \text{ is } S \text{-asymptotically } \omega \text{-periodic } \},\$ 

endowed with the norm of the uniform convergence. It is clear that  $SAP_{\omega}(X)$  is a Banach space.

**Definition 2.15.** A continuous function  $f : [0, \infty) \times Z \to W$  is said uniformly *S*-asymptotically  $\omega$ -periodic on bounded sets if  $f(\cdot, x)$  is bounded for each  $x \in Z$ , and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for all bounded set  $K \subseteq Z$ , there exists  $L(K, \varepsilon) \ge 0$  such that  $||f(t, x) - f(t + \omega, x)||_W \le \varepsilon$  for every  $t \ge L(K, \varepsilon)$  and all  $x \in K$ .

**Definition 2.16.** A continuous function  $f:[0,\infty) \times Z \to W$  is said asymptotically uniformly continuous on bounded sets, if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for all bounded set  $K \subseteq Z$  there exist constants  $L(K,\varepsilon) \ge 0$  and  $\delta = \delta(K,\varepsilon) > 0$  such that  $\|f(t,x) - f(t,y)\|_W \le \varepsilon$  for all  $t \ge L(K,\varepsilon)$  and every  $x, y \in K$  with  $\|x - y\|_Z \le \delta$ .

**Lemma 2.17** ([22, Lemma 4.1]). Assume that  $f : [0, \infty) \times Z \to W$  is a function uniformly S-asymptotically  $\omega$ -periodic on bounded sets and asymptotically uniformly continuous on bounded sets. Let  $u \in SAP_{\omega}(Z)$ , then the function  $\theta : \mathbb{R} \to W$  defined by  $\theta(t) = f(t, u(t))$  is S-asymptotically  $\omega$ -periodic.

By using a similar procedure to the proof of the [23, Lemma 3.5], we prove the next result.

**Lemma 2.18.** Suppose that condition (P1)(b) holds and  $f \in SAP_{\omega}(X)$ . Let  $F : [0, \infty) \to X$  be the function defined by

$$F(t) := \int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t-s)f(s)ds.$$

Then  $F \in SAP_{\omega}(X)$ .

**Lemma 2.19** ([23, Lemma 2.10]). Assume that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a fading memory space and  $u \in C(\mathbb{R}, X)$  is such that  $u_0 \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $u|_{[0,\infty)} \in SAP_{\omega}(X)$ , then  $t \mapsto u_t \in SAP_{\omega}(\mathcal{B})$ .

**Definition 2.20.** A function  $u \in C_b([0,\infty), X)$  is called asymptotically  $\omega$ -periodic if there exists an  $\omega$ -periodic function v and  $w \in C_0([0,\infty), X)$  such that u = v + w.

**Remark 2.21.** In [23] the authors have shown that the set of the asymptotically  $\omega$ -periodic functions is properly contained in  $SAP_{\omega}(W)$ .

**Lemma 2.22** ([23, Remark 3.13]). If  $u \in C_b([0,\infty), X)$  is a function such that  $\lim_{t\to\infty}(u(t+n\omega)-u(t))=0$ , uniformly for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $u(\cdot)$  is asymptotically  $\omega$ -periodic.

In the rest of this paper,  $S_{\omega}(X)$  stands for the space

$$S_{\omega}(X) = \{ f \in C_b([0,\infty), X) : \lim_{t \to \infty} f(t+n\omega) - f(t) = 0, \text{ uniformly for } n \in \mathbb{N} \},\$$

endowed with the norm of the uniform convergence.

**Lemma 2.23** ([4, Lemma 2.3]). Let  $f : [0, \infty) \times Z \to W$  be asymptotically uniformly continuous on bounded sets. Suppose that for all bounded subset  $K \subset Z$ , the set  $\{f(t, z) \ge 0, z \in K\}$  is bounded and  $\lim_{t\to\infty} ||f(t + n\omega, z) - f(t, z)|| = 0$ , uniformly for  $z \in K$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $u \in S_{\omega}(Z)$ , then  $f(\cdot, u(\cdot)) \in S_{\omega}(W)$ .

**Lemma 2.24.** [4, Lemma 3.7] Suppose that condition (P1)(b) holds and  $f \in S_{\omega}(X)$ . If F is the function defined by  $F(t) := \int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t-s)f(s)ds, t \ge 0$ , then  $F \in S_{\omega}(X)$ .

We now introduce some notion of asymptotically almost automorphic.

**Definition 2.25.** A function  $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, X)$  is said to be almost automorphic if for every sequence of real numbers  $(s'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , there exists a subsequence  $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (s'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that

$$g(t) := \lim_{n \to \infty} f(t + s_n)$$

is well defined for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , and

$$f(t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} g(t - s_n)$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

It is well known that the range of an almost automorphic function is relatively compact on X, and hence it is bounded. Moreover, the space of all almost automorphic functions, denoted by AA(X), endowed with the norm of the uniform convergence is a Banach space [33].

**Definition 2.26.** A function  $f \in C([0,\infty), Z)$  is said to be asymptotically almost automorphic if it can be written as f = g + h where  $g \in AA(Z)$  and  $h \in C_0([0,\infty), Z)$ . Denote by AAA(Z) the set of all such functions.

**Definition 2.27.** A function  $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, Z)$  is said to be compact almost automorphic if for every sequence of real numbers  $(\sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  there exists a subsequence  $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (\sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that

$$g(t) := \lim_{n \to \infty} f(t + s_n),$$
  
$$f(t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} g(t - s_n)$$

uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . The collection of those functions will be denoted by  $AA_c(Z)$ .

**Definition 2.28.** A function  $f \in C(\mathbb{R} \times Z, W)$  is said to be compact almost automorphic in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , if for every sequence of real numbers  $(\sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  there exists a subsequence  $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (\sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that

$$g(t,z) := \lim_{n \to \infty} f(t+s_n, z),$$
  
$$f(t,z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} g(t-s_n, z),$$

where the limits are uniform on compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , for each  $z \in Z$ . The space of such functions will be denoted by  $AA_c(Z, W)$ .

**Definition 2.29.** A continuous function  $f \in C([0, \infty), Z)$  is said to be compact asymptotically almost automorphic if it can be written as f = g + h where  $g \in AA_c(Z)$  and  $h \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^+, Z)$ . Denote by  $AAA_c(Z)$  the set of all such functions.

**Definition 2.30.** Let  $K \subset Z$  and  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $C_K(I \times Z, W)$  denote the collection of functions  $f: I \times Z \to W$  such that  $f(t, \cdot)$  is uniformly continuous on K for every  $t \in I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition 2.31.** A function  $f \in C([0, \infty) \times Z, W)$  is said to be compact asymptotically almost automorphic if it can be written as f = g + h, where  $g \in AA_c(Z, W)$  and  $h \in C_0([0, \infty) \times Z, W)$ . Denote by  $AAA_c(Z, W)$  the set of all such functions.

**Lemma 2.32** ([9, Lemma 3.3]). Let  $u \in AAA_c(Z)$  and  $f \in AAA_c(Z, W) \cap C_R(\mathbb{R} \times Z, W)$ , where  $R = \overline{\{u(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}}$ . Then the function  $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \to W$  defined by  $\Phi(t) = f(t, u(t)) \in AAA_c(W)$ .

**Lemma 2.33** ([9, Lemma 3.4]). Suppose that condition (P1)-(b) holds and  $f \in AAA_c(X)$ . If F is the function defined by

$$F(t) := \int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t-s)f(s)ds, \quad t \ge 0,$$

then  $F \in AAA_c(X)$ .

**Lemma 2.34** ([9, Lemma 3.5]). If  $u \in AA_c(X)$ , then the function  $s \mapsto u_s$  belongs to  $AA_c(\mathcal{B})$ . Moreover, if  $\mathcal{B}$  is a fading memory space and  $u \in C(\mathbb{R}, X)$  is such that  $u_0 \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $u|_{[0,\infty)} \in AAA_c(X)$ , then  $t \mapsto u_t \in AAA_c(\mathcal{B})$ .

#### 3. Several types of periodicity of mild solutions

In this section we establish the existence of several type of periodicity for solutions to partial neutral integro-differential equations system (1.1)-(1.2). For that, we need to introduce a few preliminaries and important results. Following, we consider the problem of the existence of compact asymptotically almost automorphic solutions.

In the following, we let  $\mathcal{A}(Z)$  stands for one of the spaces  $AAA_c(Z)$ ,  $SAP_{\omega}(Z)$  or  $S_{\omega}(Z)$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** Assume the condition (P1) is fulfilled. Let  $u \in \mathcal{A}(Y)$  and  $G(\cdot) : [0, \infty) \to X$  be the function defined by

$$G(t) = \int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t-s) \int_0^s B(s-\tau)u(\tau) \ d\tau ds, \quad t \ge 0.$$

Then  $G(\cdot) \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ .

*Proof.* First we consider the  $AAA_c(Y)$  case. By Lemma 2.33 is sufficient to prove that  $H(t) = \int_0^t B(t-s)u(s)ds \in AAA_c(Y)$ . Suppose u = k + h where  $k \in AA_c(Y)$  and  $h \in C_0([0,\infty), Y)$ . Then

$$H(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} B(t-s)k(s)ds - \int_{-\infty}^{0} B(t-s)k(s)ds + \int_{0}^{t} B(t-s)h(s)ds$$
  
=  $w(t) + q(t)$ ,

where

$$w(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} B(t-s)k(s)ds,$$
$$q(t) = \int_{0}^{t} B(t-s)h(s)ds - \int_{-\infty}^{0} B(t-s)k(s)ds.$$

For a given sequence  $(\sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of real numbers, fix a subsequence  $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , and a continuous functions  $v \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, Y)$  such that  $k(t + s_n)$  converges to v(t) in Y, and  $v(t - s_n)$  converges to k(t) in Y, uniformly on compact sets of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

From the Bochner's criterion related to integrable functions and the estimate

$$||B(t-s)k(s)|| = ||B(t-s)||_{\mathcal{L}(Y,X)}||k(s)||_Y \le b(t-s)||k(s)||_Y$$
(3.1)

it follows that the function  $s \mapsto B(t-s)k(s)$  is integrable over  $(-\infty, t)$  for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Furthermore, since

$$w(t+s_n) = \int_{-\infty}^t B(t-s)k(s+s_n)ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \ n \in \mathbb{N},$$

using the estimate (3.1) and the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, it follows that  $w(t + s_n)$  converges to  $z(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t B(t - s)v(s)ds$  for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The remaining task consists of showing that the convergence is uniform on all compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  and that  $q(\cdot) \in C_0([0,\infty), X)$ . Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an arbitrary compact and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $h \in C_0([0,\infty), Y)$  and  $k(\cdot) \in AA_c(Y)$ , there exists a constant L and  $N_{\varepsilon}$  such that  $K \subset [\frac{-L}{2}, \frac{L}{2}]$  with

$$\int_{\frac{L}{2}}^{\infty} b(s) ds < \varepsilon,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|k(s+s_n) - v(s)\|_Y &\leq \varepsilon, \quad n \geq N_\varepsilon, \ s \in [-L, L], \\ \|h(s)\|_Y &\leq \varepsilon, \quad s \geq L. \end{aligned}$$

For each  $t \in K$ , one has

$$\begin{split} \|w(t+s_n) - z(t)\| \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^t \|B(t-s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y,X)} \|k(s+s_n) - v(s)\|_Y ds \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{-L} b(t-s) \|k(s+s_n) - v(s)\|_Y ds + \int_{-L}^t b(t-s) \|k(s+s_n) - v(s)\|_Y ds \\ &\leq 2\|k\|_{Y,\infty} \int_{t+L}^\infty b(s) ds + \varepsilon \int_0^\infty b(s) ds \\ &\leq 2\|k\|_{Y,\infty} \int_{\frac{L}{2}}^\infty b(s) ds + \varepsilon \int_0^\infty b(s) ds \\ &\leq \varepsilon \Big(2\|k\|_{Y,\infty} + \int_0^\infty b(s) ds\Big), \end{split}$$

which proves that the convergence is uniform on K, from the fact that the last estimate is independent of  $t \in K$ . Proceeding as previously, one can similarly prove that  $z(t - s_n)$  converges to w uniformly on all compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Next, let us show that  $q(\cdot) \in C_0([0, \infty), X)$ . For all  $t \geq 2L$  we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|q(t)\| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{0} \|B(t-s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y,X)} \|k(s)\|_{Y} ds + \int_{0}^{t} \|B(t-s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y,X)} \|h(s)\|_{Y} ds \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{0} b(t-s)\|k(s)\|_{Y} ds + \int_{t/2}^{t} b(t-s)\|h(s)\|_{Y} ds + \int_{0}^{t/2} b(t-s)\|h(s)\|_{Y} ds \\ &\leq \int_{\frac{L}{2}}^{\infty} b(s) ds \|k\|_{Y,\infty} + \varepsilon \int_{t/2}^{t} b(s) ds + \int_{\frac{L}{2}}^{\infty} b(s) ds \|h\|_{Y,\infty} \\ &\leq \varepsilon (\|k\|_{Y,\infty} + \int_{0}^{\infty} b(s) ds + \|h\|_{Y,\infty}). \end{split}$$

Now we consider the  $SAP_{\omega}(Y)$  case. From Lemma 2.18 is sufficient to prove that

$$H(t) = \int_0^t B(t-s)u(s)ds$$

is  $SAP_{\omega}(X)$ . For all  $t \ge 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(t)\| &\leq \int_0^t \|B(t-s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y,X)} \|u(s)\|_Y d\tau \\ &\leq \int_0^t b(t-s)\|u(s)\|_Y ds \\ &\leq \|u\|_{Y,\infty} \int_0^\infty b(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $H \in C_b([0,\infty), X)$ . Furthermore, for  $\omega \ge 0$ , we have for  $t \ge L > 0$ ,  $\|H(t + \omega) - H(t)\|$ 

$$\| H(t+\omega) - H(t) \| \\ = \| \int_0^{t+\omega} B(t+\omega-s)u(s)ds - \int_0^t B(t-s)u(s)ds \|$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{\omega} b(t+\omega-s) \|u(s)\|_{Y} ds + \|\int_{0}^{t} B(t-s)u(s+\omega) ds - \int_{0}^{t} B(t-s)u(s) ds \|$$
  
 
$$\leq \|u\|_{Y,\infty} \int_{0}^{\omega} b(t+\omega-s) ds + \int_{0}^{t} \|B(t-s)(u(s+\omega)-u(s))\| ds$$
  
 
$$\leq \|u\|_{Y,\infty} \int_{0}^{\omega} b(t+\omega-s) ds + \int_{0}^{L} b(t-s) \|u(s+\omega)-u(s)\|_{Y} ds$$
  
 
$$+ \int_{L}^{t} b(t-s) \|u(s+\omega)-u(s)\|_{Y} ds.$$

For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we choose L sufficiently large such that  $||u(s + \omega) - u(s)||_Y < \varepsilon$  for all  $s \ge L$  and  $\int_L^\infty b(s) ds < \varepsilon$ . Hence, for  $t \ge 2L$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(t+\omega) - H(t)\| &\leq \|u\|_{Y,\infty} \int_t^{t+\omega} b(s)ds + 2\|u\|_{Y,\infty} \int_{t-L}^t b(s)ds + \varepsilon \int_0^{t-L} b(s)ds \\ &\leq \|u\|_{Y,\infty} \varepsilon + 2\|u\|_{Y,\infty} \varepsilon + \varepsilon \int_0^{t-L} b(s)ds \\ &\leq \varepsilon \Big(3\|u\|_{Y,\infty} + \int_0^\infty b(s)ds\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, let us prove the  $S_{\omega}(Y)$  case. From the Lemma 2.24 is sufficient prove that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} H(t+n\omega) - H(t) = 0$ , uniformly in  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $H(t) = \int_0^t B(t-s)u(s)ds$ . For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we choose L sufficiently large such that  $||u(s+n\omega) - u(s)||_Y < \varepsilon$  for all  $s \ge L$  and  $\int_L^{\infty} b(s)ds < \varepsilon$ . Hence, for  $t \ge 2L$  we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|H(t+n\omega) - H(t)\| \\ &\leq \|\int_0^{t+n\omega} B(t+n\omega-s)u(s)ds - \int_0^t B(t-s)u(s)ds\| \\ &\leq \|u\|_{Y,\infty} \int_0^{n\omega} b(t+n\omega-s)ds + \int_0^L b(t-s)\|u(s+n\omega) - u(s)\|_Y ds \\ &+ \int_L^t b(t-s)\|u(s+n\omega) - u(s)\|_Y ds \\ &\leq \|u\|_{Y,\infty} \int_t^{t+n\omega} b(s)ds + 2\|u\|_{Y,\infty} \int_{t-L}^t b(s)ds + \varepsilon \int_0^\infty b(s)ds \\ &\leq \varepsilon(3\|u\|_{Y,\infty} + \int_0^\infty b(s)ds). \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let condition (P1)(c) hold and u be a function in  $\mathcal{A}(Y)$ . If  $I : [0, \infty) \to X$  is the function defined by  $I(t) = \int_0^t A\mathcal{R}(t-s)u(s)ds$ , then  $I(\cdot) \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ .

*Proof.* All the  $AAA_c(Y)$ ,  $SAP_{\omega}(Y)$  and  $S_{\omega}(Y)$  cases require small modifications in the proof of Lemma 3.1.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $f \in AAA_c([0,\infty) \times \mathcal{B}, Y)$  and  $g \in AAA_c([0,\infty) \times \mathcal{B}, X)$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a fading memory space and (P1), (P2), (PF), (PG) hold. Then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for each  $\varphi \in B_{\varepsilon}(0,\mathcal{B})$  there exists a unique mild solution  $u(\cdot,\varphi) \in AAA_c(X)$  of (1.1)-(1.2).

*Proof.* By the hypothesis there exists a constant r > 0 such that

$$\begin{split} &[r - L_f(2\Re r) 2\Re r\mu - \frac{M}{\beta} L_g(2\Re r) 2\Re r] \\ &\geq M \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}} + M \|f(0,\varphi)\| + \sup_{t \in [0,\infty)} \|f(t,0)\|_Y \mu + \frac{M}{\beta} \sup_{t \in [0,\infty)} \|g(t,0)\|, \end{split}$$

where  $\mathfrak{K}$  is the constant introduced in Remark 2.2. We affirm that the assertion holds for  $\varepsilon \leq r$ . Let  $\varphi \in B_{\varepsilon}(0, \mathcal{B})$  and the space

$$\mathfrak{D} = \{x \in AAA_c(X) : x(0) = \varphi(0), \|x(t)\| \le r, t \ge 0\}$$

endowed with the metric  $d(u,v) = ||u - v||_{\infty}$ , we define the operator  $\Gamma : \mathfrak{D} \to C([0,\infty);X)$  by

$$\Gamma u(t) = \mathcal{R}(t)(\varphi(0) + f(0,\varphi)) - f(t,\widetilde{u}_t) - \int_0^t A\mathcal{R}(t-s)f(s,\widetilde{u}_s)ds$$
$$-\int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t-s)\int_0^s B(s-\xi)f(\xi,\widetilde{u}_\xi)d\xi ds + \int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t-s)g(s,\widetilde{u}_s)ds, \quad t \ge 0$$

where  $\tilde{u} : \mathbb{R} \to X$  is the function defined by the relation  $\tilde{u}_0 = \varphi$  and  $\tilde{u} = u$ on  $[0, \infty)$ . From the hypothesis (P1) (PF) and (PG) we obtain that  $\Gamma u$  is well defined and that  $\Gamma u \in C([0, \infty); X)$ . Moreover, from Lemma 2.34, we have that function  $s \mapsto \tilde{u}_s \in AAA_c(\mathcal{B})$ . By Lemma 2.32, we conclude that  $s \mapsto f(s, \tilde{u}_s) \in$  $AAA_c([0, \infty), Y)$  and  $s \mapsto g(s, \tilde{u}_s) \in AAA_c([0, \infty), X)$ . From Lemmas 2.33, 3.1, 3.2 and  $\lim_{t\to\infty} ||\mathcal{R}(t)(\varphi(0) + f(0, \varphi))|| = 0$ , we obtain that  $\Gamma u \in AAA_c(X)$ .

Next, we prove that  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  is a contraction from  $\mathfrak{D}$  into  $\mathfrak{D}$ . If  $u \in \mathfrak{D}$  and  $t \ge 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|\Gamma u(t)\| \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{R}(t)(\varphi(0) + f(0,\varphi))\| + \|i_c\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y,X)}(\|f(t,\widetilde{u}_t) - f(t,0)\|_Y + \|f(t,0)\|_Y) \\ &+ \int_0^t \|\mathcal{R}(t-s)(f(s,\widetilde{u}_s) - f(s,0))\|ds + \int_0^t \|\mathcal{R}(t-s)f(s,0)\|ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \|\mathcal{R}(t-s)\int_0^s B(s-\xi)(f(\xi,\widetilde{u}_\xi) - f(\xi,0))d\xi\|ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \|\mathcal{R}(t-s)\int_0^s B(s-\xi)f(\xi,0)d\xi\|ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \|\mathcal{R}(t-s)(g(s,\widetilde{u}_s) - g(s,0))\|ds + \int_0^t \|\mathcal{R}(t-s)g(s,0)\|ds \\ &\leq M\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}} + M\|f(0,\varphi)\| + \|i_c\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y,X)}(L_f(\|\widetilde{u}_t\|_{\mathcal{B}})\|\widetilde{u}_t\|_{\mathcal{B}} + \sup_{t\in[0,\infty)} \|f(t,0)\|_Y) \\ &+ \int_0^t \phi(t-s)L_f(\|\widetilde{u}_s\|_{\mathcal{B}})\|\widetilde{u}_s\|_{\mathcal{B}}ds + \sup_{t\in[0,\infty)} \|f(t,0)\|_Y \int_0^t \phi(s)ds \\ &+ \int_0^t Me^{-\beta(t-s)}\int_0^s b(s-\xi)L_f(\|\widetilde{u}_\xi\|_{\mathcal{B}})\|\widetilde{u}_\xi\|_{\mathcal{B}}d\xi ds \\ &+ \sup_{t\in[0,\infty)} \|f(t,0)\|_Y \int_0^t Me^{-\beta(t-s)} \int_0^s b(s-\xi)d\xi ds \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &+ \int_{0}^{t} Me^{-\beta(t-s)} L_{g}(\|\widetilde{u}_{s}\|_{\mathcal{B}}) \|\widetilde{u}_{s}\|_{\mathcal{B}} ds + \sup_{t \in [0,\infty)} \|g(t,0)\| \int_{0}^{t} Me^{-\beta(t-s)} ds \\ &\leq M \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}} + M \|f(0,\varphi)\| \\ &+ \sup_{t \in [0,\infty)} \|f(t,0)\|_{Y}(\|i_{c}\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y,X)} + \int_{0}^{\infty} \phi(s) ds + \frac{M}{\beta} \int_{0}^{\infty} b(s) ds) \\ &+ \frac{M}{\beta} \sup_{t \in [0,\infty)} \|g(t,0)\| \\ &+ L_{f}(\|\widetilde{u}_{t}\|_{\mathcal{B}})(\|i_{c}\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y,X)} + \int_{0}^{\infty} \phi(s) ds + \frac{M}{\beta} \int_{0}^{\infty} b(s) ds) \|\widetilde{u}_{t}\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &+ \frac{M}{\beta} L_{g}(\|\widetilde{u}_{t}\|_{\mathcal{B}}) \|\widetilde{u}_{t}\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &\leq M \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}} + M \|f(0,\varphi)\| \\ &+ \sup_{t \in [0,\infty)} \|f(t,0)\|_{Y}(\|i_{c}\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y,X)} + \|\phi\|_{L^{1}} + \frac{M}{\beta} \|b\|_{L^{1}}) \\ &+ \frac{M}{\beta} \sup_{t \in [0,\infty)} \|g(t,0)\| + L_{f}(2\Re r)(\|i_{c}\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y,X)} + \|\phi\|_{L^{1}} + \frac{M}{\beta} \|b\|_{L^{1}}) 2\Re r \\ &+ \frac{M}{\beta} L_{g}(2\Re r) 2\Re r \leq r \end{split}$$

where the inequality  $\|\widetilde{u}_t\| \leq 2\Re r$  has been used and  $i_c: Y \to X$  represents the continuous inclusion of Y on X. Thus,  $\Gamma(\mathfrak{D}) \subset \mathfrak{D}$ . On the other hand, for  $u, v \in \mathfrak{D}$  we see that

$$\begin{split} \|\Gamma u(t) - \Gamma v(t)\| \\ &\leq \|i_c\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y,X)} \|f(t,\widetilde{u}_t) - f(t,\widetilde{v}_t)\|_Y \\ &+ \int_0^t \|A\mathcal{R}(t-s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y,X)} \|f(s,\widetilde{u}_s) - f(s,\widetilde{v}_s)\|_Y ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \|\mathcal{R}(t-s)\| (\int_0^s \|B(s-\xi)\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y,X)} \|f(\xi,\widetilde{u}_\xi) - f(\xi,\widetilde{v}_\xi)\|_Y d\xi) ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \|\mathcal{R}(t-s)\| \|g(s,\widetilde{u}_s) - g(s,\widetilde{v}_s)\| ds \\ &\leq \left(L_f(2\Re r)\Re \mu + L_g(2\Re r)\Re \frac{M}{\beta}\right) \|u-v\|_\infty \\ &\leq \left(L_f(2\Re r)2\Re \mu + L_g(2\Re r)2\Re \frac{M}{\beta}\right) \|u-v\|_\infty, \end{split}$$

we observe that  $r - L_f(2\Re r) 2\Re r \mu - \frac{M}{\beta} L_g(2\Re r) 2\Re r > 0$ , this implies that

$$L_f(2\mathfrak{K}r)2\mathfrak{K}\mu + \frac{M}{\beta}L_g(2\mathfrak{K}r)2\mathfrak{K} < 1,$$

which shows that  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  is a contraction from  $\mathfrak{D}$  into  $\mathfrak{D}$ . The assertion is now a consequence of the contraction mapping principle. The proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 3.4.** A similar result was obtained by Dos Santos et al. [16] for the existence of asymptotically almost periodic solutions for the system (1.1)-(1.2).

**Proposition 3.5.** Let  $f : [0, \infty) \times \mathcal{B} \to Y$  and  $g : [0, \infty) \times \mathcal{B} \to X$  be uniformly *S*-asymptotically  $\omega$ -periodic on bounded sets and asymptotically uniformly continuous on bounded sets. Assume that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a fading memory space and (P1), (P2), (PF), (PG) hold. Then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for each  $\varphi \in B_{\varepsilon}(0, \mathcal{B})$  there exists a unique mild solution  $u(\cdot, \varphi) \in SAP_{\omega}(X)$  of (1.1)-(1.2) on  $[0, \infty)$ .

*Proof.* Let the space

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\omega} = \{ x \in SAP_{\omega}(X) : x(0) = \varphi(0), \|x(t)\| \le r, t \ge 0 \}$$

endowed with the metric  $d(u, v) = ||u - v||_{\infty}$ , we define the operator  $\Gamma : \mathfrak{D}_{\omega} \to C([0, \infty); X)$  by

$$\begin{split} \Gamma u(t) &= \mathcal{R}(t)(\varphi(0) + f(0,\varphi)) - f(t,\widetilde{u}_t) - \int_0^t A\mathcal{R}(t-s)f(s,\widetilde{u}_s)ds \\ &- \int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t-s)\int_0^s B(s-\xi)f(\xi,\widetilde{u}_\xi)d\xi ds + \int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t-s)g(s,\widetilde{u}_s)ds, \quad t \ge 0, \end{split}$$

where  $\tilde{u} : \mathbb{R} \to X$  is the function defined by the relation  $\tilde{u}_0 = \varphi$  and  $\tilde{u} = u$  on  $[0, \infty)$ . From the hypothesis (P1), (PF) and (PG) we obtain that  $\Gamma u$  is well defined and that  $\Gamma u \in C([0,\infty); X)$ . Moreover, from Lemma 2.19, we have that function  $s \mapsto \tilde{u}_s \in SAP_{\omega}(\mathcal{B})$ . By Lemma 2.17, we conclude that  $s \mapsto f(s, \tilde{u}_s) \in SAP_{\omega}([0,\infty), Y)$  and  $s \mapsto g(s, \tilde{u}_s) \in SAP_{\omega}([0,\infty), X)$ . From Lemmas 2.18, 3.1 and 3.2 it follows that  $\Gamma u \in SAP_{\omega}(X)$ . Using the same argument of Theorem 3.3 proof, we obtain that  $\Gamma(\mathfrak{D}_{\omega}) \subset \mathfrak{D}_{\omega}$  and  $\Gamma$  is a contraction. This completes the proof.

**Proposition 3.6.** Let  $f : [0, \infty) \times \mathcal{B} \to Y$  and  $g : [0, \infty) \times \mathcal{B} \to X$  be asymptotically uniformly continuous on bounded subset  $K \subset \mathcal{B}$ , and  $\lim_{t\to\infty} ||f(t+n\omega,\psi) - f(t,\psi)||_Y = 0$ ,  $\lim_{t\to\infty} ||g(t+n\omega,\psi) - g(t,\psi)|| = 0$  uniformly for  $\psi \in K$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a fading memory space and (P1), (P2), (PF) and (PG) hold. Then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for each  $\varphi \in B_{\varepsilon}(0,\mathcal{B})$  there exists a unique asymptotically  $\omega$ -periodic mild solution  $u(\cdot,\varphi)$  of (1.1)-(1.2) on  $[0,\infty)$ .

*Proof.* We define the space

$$\mathfrak{D}_0 = \{ x \in S_\omega(X) : x(0) = \varphi(0), \|x(t)\| \le r, t \ge 0 \}$$

endowed with the metric  $d(u, v) = ||u - v||_{\infty}$ . It is easy see that  $\mathfrak{D}_0$  is a closed subspace of  $S_{\omega}$ . We define the operator  $\Gamma : \mathfrak{D}_0 \to C([0, \infty); X)$  by

$$\begin{split} \Gamma u(t) &= \mathcal{R}(t)(\varphi(0) + f(0,\varphi)) - f(t,\widetilde{u}_t) - \int_0^t A\mathcal{R}(t-s)f(s,\widetilde{u}_s)ds \\ &- \int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t-s)\int_0^s B(s-\xi)f(\xi,\widetilde{u}_\xi)d\xi ds + \int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t-s)g(s,\widetilde{u}_s)ds, \quad t \ge 0, \end{split}$$

where  $\widetilde{u} : \mathbb{R} \to X$  is the function defined by the relation  $\widetilde{u}_0 = \varphi$  and  $\widetilde{u} = u$  on  $[0,\infty)$ . We observe that  $\mathcal{R}(\cdot)(\varphi(0) + f(0,\varphi)) \in C_b([0,\infty),X))$  and

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} (\mathcal{R}(t + n\omega) - R(t))(\varphi(0) + f(0, \varphi)) = 0,$$

uniformly in  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, from [36, Lemma 3.16] and Lemma 2.23, we obtain that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \|f(t+n\omega, \tilde{u}_{t+n\omega}) - f(t, \tilde{u}_t)\|_Y = 0$  and  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \|g(t+n\omega, \tilde{u}_{t+n\omega}) - g(t, \tilde{u}_t)\| = 0$ , uniformly in  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Lemmas 2.24, 3.1 and 3.2 we have that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \Gamma x(t + n\omega) - \Gamma x(t) = 0,$$

15

uniformly in  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . From Lemma 2.22 and using the same argument of the Theorem 3.3 proof we conclude that  $u = \Gamma u \in \mathfrak{D}_0$  and u is asymptotically  $\omega$ -periodic. The proof is ended.

### 4. Applications

In this section we study the existence of several type of asymptotically periodicity solutions of the partial neutral integro-differential system

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ u(t,\xi) + \int_{-\infty}^{t} \int_{0}^{\pi} b(s-t,\eta,\xi) u(s,\eta) d\eta ds \right]$$

$$= \left( \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \xi^{2}} + \nu \right) \left[ u(t,\xi) + \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\gamma(t-s)} u(s,\xi) ds \right] + \int_{-\infty}^{t} a_{0}(s-t) u(s,\xi) ds,$$

$$u(t,0) = u(t,\pi) = 0, \quad u(\theta,\xi) = \varphi(\theta,\xi),$$
(4.2)

for  $(t,\xi) \in [0,a] \times [0,\pi]$ ,  $\theta \leq 0, \nu < 0$  and  $\gamma > 0$ . Moreover, we have identified  $\varphi(\theta)(\xi) = \varphi(\theta,\xi)$ .

To represent this system in the abstract form (1.1)-(1.2), we choose the spaces  $X = L^2([0,\pi])$  and  $\mathcal{B} = C_0 \times L^2(\rho, X)$ , see Example 2.3 for details. We also consider the operators  $A, B(t) : D(A) \subseteq X \to X, t \ge 0$ , given by  $Ax = x'' + \nu x, B(t)x = e^{-\gamma t}Ax$  for  $x \in D(A) = \{x \in X : x'' \in X, x(0) = x(\pi) = 0\}$ . Moreover, A has discrete spectrum, the eigenvalues are  $-n^2 + \nu, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , with corresponding eigenvectors  $z_n(\xi) = (\frac{2}{\pi})^{1/2} \sin(n\xi)$ , the set of functions  $\{z_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an orthonormal basis of X and  $T(t)x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-(n^2 - \nu)t} \langle x, z_n \rangle z_n$  for  $x \in X$ . For  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , from [35] we can define the fractional power  $(-A)^{\alpha} : D((-A)^{\alpha}) \subset X \to X$  of A is given by  $(-A)^{\alpha}x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n^2 - \nu)^{\alpha} \langle x, z_n \rangle z_n$ , where  $D((-A)^{\alpha}) = \{x \in X : (-A)^{\alpha}x \in X\}$ . In the next Theorem we consider  $Y = D((-A)^{1/2})$ . We observe that  $\rho(A) \supset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \ge \nu\}$  and  $\|\lambda R(\lambda, A)\| \le M_1$  for  $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \ge \nu$ , from [31, Proposition 2.2.11] we obtain that A is a sectorial operator satisfying  $\|R(\lambda, A)\| \le \frac{M}{|\lambda - \nu|}, M > 0$ , therefore (H1) is satisfied. Moreover, it is easy to see that conditions (H2)–(H3) are satisfied with  $b(t) = e^{-\gamma t}$ , and  $D = C_0^{\infty}([0,\pi])$  the space of infinitely differentiable functions that vanishes at  $\xi = 0$  and  $\xi = \pi$ . Under the above conditions we can represent the system

$$\frac{\partial u(t,\xi)}{\partial t} = \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\xi^2} + \nu\right) \left[ u(t,\xi) + \int_0^t e^{-\gamma(t-s)} u(s,\xi) ds \right],\tag{4.3}$$

$$u(t,\pi) = u(t,0) = 0, \tag{4.4}$$

in the abstract for

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = Ax(t) + \int_0^t B(t-s)x(s)ds,$$
$$x(0) = z \in X.$$

We define the functions  $f, g : \mathcal{B} \to X$  by

$$\begin{split} f(\psi)(\xi) &= \int_{-\infty}^0 \int_0^{\pi} b(s,\eta,\xi) \psi(s,\eta) d\eta ds, \\ g(\psi)(\xi) &= \int_{-\infty}^0 a_0(s) \psi(s,\xi) ds, \end{split}$$

where

- (i) The function  $a_0 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and  $L_g := (\int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{(a_0(s))^2}{\rho(s)} ds)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty$ .
- (ii) The functions  $b(\cdot)$ ,  $\frac{\partial b(s,\eta,\xi)}{\partial \xi}$  are measurable,  $b(s,\eta,\pi) = b(s,\eta,0) = 0$  for all  $(s,\eta)$  and

$$L_f := \max\left\{ \left( \int_0^{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^0 \int_0^{\pi} \rho^{-1}(\theta) \left( \frac{\partial^i}{\partial \xi^i} b(\theta, \eta, \xi) \right)^2 d\eta d\theta d\xi \right)^{1/2} : i = 0, 1 \right\} < \infty.$$

Moreover, f, g are bounded linear operators,  $||f||_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B},X)} \leq L_f$ ,  $||g||_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B},X)} \leq L_g$  and a straightforward estimation using (ii) shows that  $f(I \times \mathcal{B}) \subset D((-A)^{\frac{1}{2}})$  and

$$\|(-A)^{\frac{1}{2}}f(t,\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B},X)} \le L_f$$

for all  $t \in I$ . This allows us to rewrite the system (4.1)-(4.2) in the abstract form (1.1)-(1.2) with  $u_0 = \varphi \in \mathcal{B}$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** Assume that the previous conditions are verified. Let  $2 < K < \gamma$ and  $\nu < 0$  such that  $|\nu| > \max\{M(K+1+\gamma), \gamma\}$ . If  $\frac{1}{2\Re} \ge L_f \mu + \frac{M}{|r+\nu|}L_g$ , where  $\mu = (\|(-A)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\| + M(2 + \frac{e^{r+\nu}}{|r+\nu|} + \frac{1}{|r+\nu|\gamma}))$ , then there exists R > 0 such that if  $\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}} < R$ ,

- (i) there exists a unique mild solution  $u(\cdot) \in AAA_c(X)$  of (4.1)-(4.2).
- (ii) there exists a unique mild solution  $u(\cdot) \in SAP_{\omega}(X)$  of (4.1)-(4.2).
- (iii) there exists a unique asymptotically  $\omega$ -periodic mild solution  $u(\cdot)$  of (4.1)-(4.2).

*Proof.* By using a similar procedure as in the proof of [16, Theorem 5.1] we obtain an exponentially stable resolvent operator for the system (4.3)-(4.4). From the previous facts, Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.7, the assumption (P1) is satisfied. Observing that

$$M\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}}(1+L_f) < +\infty,$$

since  $\frac{r}{2\Re} \ge L_f \mu + \frac{M}{\beta} L_g$ , there exists a constant  $r_0$  such that if  $R \ge r_0$ , we have

$$\frac{R}{2\mathfrak{K}} - L_f \mu R - \frac{M}{\beta} L_g R > M \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}} (1 + L_f).$$

Now, for  $\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}} < R$ , from Theorem 3.3 we obtain that there exists a unique mild solution of (4.1)-(4.2) such that  $u(\cdot) \in AAA_c(X)$ . By Proposition 3.5 there exists a unique mild solution  $u(\cdot) \in SAP_{\omega}(X)$  of (4.1)-(4.2) and from Proposition 3.6 it follows that there exists a unique asymptotically  $\omega$ -periodic mild solution  $u(\cdot)$  of (4.1)-(4.2). The proof is complete.

#### References

- R. P. Agarwal, B. de Andrade, C. Cuevas; On type of periodicity and ergodicity to a class of fractional order differential equations, Advances in Difference Equations, 25 (2010). doi:10.1155/2010/179750.
- [2] D. Bugajewski, G. M. N'Guérékata; On the topological structure of almost automorphic and asymptotically almost automorphic solutions of differential and integral equations in abstract spaces, Nonlinear Anal. 59 (8) (2004) 1333-1345.
- [3] D. Bugajewski, T. Diagana; Almost automorphy of the convolution operator and applications to differential and functional-differential equations, Nonlinear Stud. 13 (2) (2006) 129-140.
- [4] A. Caiedo, C Cuevas; S-asymptotically ω-periodic solutions of abstract partial neutral integrodifferential equations, Funct. Differ. Equ. 17 (2010) 1-12.

16

- [5] S. Calzadillas, C. Lizama; Bounded mild solutions of perturbed Volterra equations with infinite delay, Nonlinear Anal. 72 (2010) 3976-3983.
- [6] C. Cuevas, J. C. de Souza; S-asymptotically ω-periodic solutions of semilinear fractional integro-differential equations, Applied Math. Letters 22 (2009) 865-870.
- [7] C. Cuevas, J. C. de Souza; Existence of S-asymptotically ω-periodic solutions for fractional order functional Integro- Differential Equations with infinite delay, Nonlinear Anal. 72 (2010) 1683-1689.
- [8] T. Diagana, H. Henrquez, E. Hernndez; Almost automorphic mild solutions to some partial neutral functional-differential equations and applications, Nonlinear. Anal. 69 (1) (2008) 1485-1493.
- [9] T. Diagana, E. Hernndez, J. P. C. dos Santos; Existence of asymptotically almost automorphic solutions to some abstract partial neutral integro-differential equations, Nonlinear. Anal. 71 (1) (2009) 248-257.
- [10] T. Diagana, G. M. N'Guérékata; Almost automorphic solutions to semilinear evolution equations, Funct. Differ. Equ. 13 (2) (2006) 195-206.
- [11] T. Diagana, G. M. N'Guérékata; Almost automorphic solutions to some classes of partial evolution equations, Appl. Math. Lett. 20 (4) (2007) 462-466.
- [12] T. Diagana, G. M. N'Guérékata, N. V. Minh; Almost automorphic solutions of evolution equations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (11) (2004) 3289–3298.
- [13] H. S. Ding, T. Xiao, J. Liang; Asymptotically almost automorphic solutions for some integrodifferential equations with nonlocal initial conditions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 338 (1) (2008) 141-151.
- [14] J. P. C. dos Santos; On state-dependent delay partial neutral functional integro-differential equations, Appl. Math. Comp. 216 (5) (2010) 1637-1644.
- [15] J. P. C. Dos Santos, H. Henrquez, E. Hernández; Existence results for neutral integrodifferential equations with unbounded delay, to apper Journal Integral Eq. and Applications.
- [16] J. P. C. dos Santos, S. M. Guzzo, M. N. Rabelo; Asymptotically almost periodic solutions for abstract partial neutral integro-differential equation, Ad. Difference Equ. 26 (2010). doi:10.1155/2010/310951
- [17] K. Ezzinbi, G. M. N'Guérékata; A Massera type theorem for almost automorphic solutions of functional differential equations of neutral type, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 316 (2006) 707-721.
- [18] R. Grimmer, J. Prüss; On linear Volterra equations in Banach spaces. Hyperbolic partial differential equations II, Comput. Math. Appl. 11 (1985) 189-205.
- [19] J. K. Hale; Partial neutral functional-differential equations, Rev. Roumaine Math Pures Appl. 39 (4) (1994) 339-344.
- [20] J. Hale, S. M. Lunel; Introduction to Functional-differential Equations. Applied Mathematical Sciences, 99. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [21] H. R. Henríquez, E. Hernández, J. P. C. dos Santos; Asymptotically almost periodic and almost periodic solutions for partial neutral integrodifferential equations, Z. Anal. Anwend. 26 (3) (2007) 261-375.
- [22] H. R. Henríquez, M. Pierri, P. Taboas; On S-asymptotically ω-periodic functions on Banach spaces and applications, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 343 (2) (2008), 1119-1130.
- [23] H. Henríquez, M. Pierri, P. Táboas; Existence of S-assymptotically ω-periodic solutions for abstract neutral equations, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 78 (2008) 365-382.
- [24] H. Henriquez, E. Hernández, J. P. C. dos Santos; Existence Results for Abstract Partial Neutral Integro-differential Equation with Unbounded Delay, E. J. Qualitative Theory of Diff. Equ. 29 (2009) 1-23.
- [25] E. Hernández; Existence results for partial neutral integrodifferential equations with unbounded delay. J. Math. Anal. Appl 292 (1) (2004) 194-210.
- [26] E. Hernández, J. P. C. dos Santos; Asymptotically almost periodic and almost periodic solutions for a class of partial integrodifferential equations, Electron. J. Differential Equations Vol. 2006 (2006), no. 38, 1-8.
- [27] E. Hernández, J. P. C. dos Santos; Existence results for partial neutral integro-differential equations with unbounded delay, Applicable Anal. 86 (2) (2007) 223-237.
- [28] E. Hernández, H. Henráquez; Existence results for partial neutral functional differential equations with unbounded delay, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 221 (2) (1998) 452-475.

- [29] E. Hernández and H. R. Henríquez; Existence of periodic solutions of partial neutral functional differential equations with unbounded delay, J. Math. Anal. Appl 221 (2) (1998) 499–522.
- [30] Y. Hino, S. Murakami, T. Naito; Functional-differential Equations with Infinite Delay. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1473. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [31] A. Lunardi; Analytic semigroup and optimal regularity in parabolic problems. Progress in Nolinear Differential Equations and their applications, 16. Birkhäuser, 1995.
- [32] G. M. N'Guérékata; Sur les solutions presque automorphes d'équations différentielles abstraites, Ann. Sc. Math. Québec. vol. 1 (1981) 69-79.
- [33] G. M. N'Guérékata; Almost automorphic functions and almost periodic functions in abstract spaces, Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York,London, Moscow, 2001.
- [34] G. M. N'Guérékata; Topics in almost automorphy, Springer, New York, Boston, Dordrecht, London, Moscow, 2005.
- [35] A. Pazy; Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations. Springer-Verlag, New-York, (1983).
- [36] M. Pierri; S-asymptotically  $\omega$ -periodic functions on Banach spaces and applications to differential equations, Ph.D. Thesis, Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil, 2009.
- [37] J. Wu, H. Xia; Rotating waves in neutral partial functional-differential equations, J. Dynam. Diff. Equ. 11 (2) (1999) 209-238.
- [38] J. Wu, H. Xia; Self-sustained oscillations in a ring array of coupled lossless transmission lines, J. Diff. Equ. 124 (1) (1996) 247-278.
- [39] J. Wu; Theory and Applications of Partial Functional-differential Equations. Applied Mathematical Sciences, 119. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [40] S. Zaidman; Almost-periodic Functions in Abstract Spaces. Research Notes in Mathematics, 126. Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, MA, 1985.
- [41] W. A. Veech; Almost automorphic functions, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 49 (1963) 462-464.
- [42] S. Zaidman; Almost automorphic solutions of some abstract evolution equations. II, Istit. Lombardo. Accad. Sci. Lett. Rend. A 111 (2) (1977) 260-272.
- [43] M. Zaki; Almost automorphic solutions of certain abstract differential equations, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl 101 (4) (1974) 91-114.

José Paulo C. dos Santos

Instituto de Ciências Exatas - Universidade Federal de Alfenas, Rua Gabriel Monteiro da Silva, 700, 37130-000 Alfenas - MG, Brazil

E-mail address: zepaulo@unifal-mg.edu.br

Sandro M. Guzzo

UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DO OESTE DO PARANÁ - UNIOESTE, COLEGIADO DO CURSO DE MATE-MÁTICA, RUA UNIVERSITÁRIA, 2069. CAIXA POSTAL 711, 85819-110 CASCAVEL - PR, BRAZIL

*E-mail address*: smguzzo@gmail.com