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# MULTIPLICITY OF HOMOCLINIC SOLUTIONS FOR SECOND-ORDER HAMILTONIAN SYSTEMS 

GUI BAO, ZHIQING HAN, MINGHAI YANG


#### Abstract

By using a modified function technique and variational methods, we establish the existence of infinitely many homoclinic solutions for a secondorder Hamiltonian system $\ddot{u}-L(t) u+F_{u}(t, u)=0$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where no coercive condition for $F(t, u)$ at infinity is imposed.


## 1. Introduction and statement of main results

This article concerns the existence of homoclinic solutions for the following second-order Hamiltonian system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}-L(t) u+F_{u}(t, u)=0, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{N}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, L \in C\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{N^{2}}\right)$ is a symmetric matrix-valued function and $F \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}\right)$. Here, as usual, we say that a solution $u$ of system (1.1) is a homoclinic solution (to 0 ) if $u \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right), u(t) \not \equiv 0, u(t) \rightarrow 0$ and $\dot{\bar{u}}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$.

There have been many papers devoted to the homoclinic solutions of second order Hamiltonian systems via variational methods; see, e.g., 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 and the references therein. If $L$ and $F$ are $T$-periodic in $t$, Rabinowitz [10] obtains the existence of one homoclinic solution to system (1.1) as a limit of $2 k T$-periodic solutions. The methods and the results are extended by many further works; e.g. see [3] for a significant paper. If $L$ and $F$ are not periodic in $t$, the problem of existence of homoclinic solutions to system 1.1 is quite different. We now recall some papers. In 4], the author considers the case where $L(t)$ is not periodic and the corresponding linear part is not necessarily positive definite and proves that system (1.1) possesses homoclinic solutions by extending the compact imbedding theorems in (9). The case is also considered in [16] but $F(t, u)$ is subquadratic satisfying a variant of the Ahmad-Lazer-Paul type condition. By using variant fountain theorem, the authors in [17] also investigate the case when $F(t, u)$ is subquadratic or superquadratic. We should point out that either in the superquadratic or the subquadratic case for $F(t, u)$, which is considered in the above mentioned papers, some kind of coercive conditions at infinity are needed.

[^0]In this paper, by using variational methods, we obtain infinitely many homoclinic solutions of system 1.1 without requiring any coercive condition or even any growth restriction for $F(t, u)$ at infinity when $F(t, u)$ is subquadratic. We introduce the following hypotheses.
(L1) There exist $a>0$ and $r>0$ such that one of the following two conditions is true,
(i) $L \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $\left|L^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq a|L(t)|$ for all $|t| \geq r$,
(ii) $L \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $L^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq a L(t)$ for all $\mid \overline{t \mid} \geq r$, where $L^{\prime}(t)=$ $(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} t) L(t)$ and $L^{\prime \prime}(t)=\left(\mathrm{d}^{2} / \mathrm{d} t^{2}\right) L(t)$.
(L2) There exists $\alpha<1$ such that

$$
l(t)|t|^{\alpha-2} \rightarrow \infty \text { as }|t| \rightarrow \infty
$$

where $l(t)$ is the smallest eigenvalue of $L(t)$; i.e.,

$$
l(t):=\inf _{|\xi|=1, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}}\langle L(t) \xi, \xi\rangle
$$

(F1) $F(t, u) \geq 0$ for all $(t, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and there exists a constant $1<\mu<2$ such that

$$
\left\langle F_{u}(t, u), u\right\rangle \leq \mu F(t, u), \quad \forall(t, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

(F2) $F(t, 0) \equiv 0$ and there exist constants $c_{1}>0, R_{1}>0$ and $\frac{1}{2} \leq v<1$ such that

$$
\left|F_{u}(t, u)\right| \leq c_{1}|u|^{v}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R},|u| \leq R_{1}
$$

(F3) There exist constants $L_{0}>0, L_{1}>0, d_{0}>0$, where $L_{1}$ is sufficiently large (fixed below), such that

$$
F(t, u) \geq d_{0}|u|>0, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, L_{0} \leq|u| \leq L_{1}
$$

(F4) $0<\underline{b} \equiv \inf _{t \in \mathbb{R},|u|=1} F(t, u) \leq \sup _{t \in \mathbb{R},|u|=1} F(t, u) \equiv \bar{b}<\infty$.
Here and in the sequel, $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ and $|\cdot|$ denote the standard inner product and the associated norm in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ respectively.

Remark 1.1. In fact, if we set

$$
M:=\tau_{\infty}\left(4+\left(a_{1}^{4}+2 a_{2}^{4}\right)\left(L_{0}+\frac{8}{d_{0}(2-\mu)}\right)^{2}+8 c_{2} \tau_{1+v}^{1+v}+8 c_{2} \tau_{\mu}^{\mu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-s}}
$$

then the constant $L_{1}$ in (F3) can be any constant bigger than $M$, where $s=$ $\max \{1+v, \mu\}, \tau_{1+v}, \tau_{\mu}$ and $\tau_{\infty}$ are defined in Lemma 2.1, $a_{1}, a_{2}$ are defined in the proof of Theorem 1.2, $c_{2}$ is defined in 3.1).

Our main results are the following theorems.
Theorem 1.2. Suppose that (L1)-(L2), (F1)-(F4) are satisfied, and $F(t, u)$ is even in $u$. Then system 1.1 has infinitely many homoclinic solutions.

Theorem 1.3. Suppose that $L(t)$ is positive for all $t$, and satisfies (L1)-(L2). Assume that $F(t, u)$ is even in $u$ and
(F5) $\lim _{|u| \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(t, u)}{|u|^{2}}=\infty$ uniformly for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
Then system (1.1) has infinitely many homoclinic solutions which converge to zero.

Remark 1.4. We point out that there are natural functions $F(t, u)$ satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.2 . For example,

$$
F(t, u)=u^{6 / 5} e^{-\varepsilon u^{2}}
$$

It is easy to see that, for $\varepsilon>0$ small, $F(t, u)$ does not satisfy any of the coercive conditions for the problem (1.1) in the above-mentioned papers (c.f. [4, 17, 16]).

## 2. Variational settings and preliminaries

We first recall the variational settings for system 1.1.
Denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the self-adjoint extension of the operator $-\left(\mathrm{d}^{2} / \mathrm{d} t^{2}\right)+L(t)$ with the domain $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \subset L^{2}:=L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Let $E:=\mathcal{D}\left(|\mathcal{A}|^{1 / 2}\right)$, the domain of $|\mathcal{A}|^{1 / 2}$, and define in $E$ the inner product and norm by

$$
(u, v)_{0}:=\left(|\mathcal{A}|^{1 / 2} u,|\mathcal{A}|^{1 / 2} v\right)_{2}+(u, v)_{2}, \quad\|u\|_{0}:=(u, u)_{0}^{1 / 2}
$$

where, as usual, $(\cdot, \cdot)_{2}$ denotes the inner product of $L^{2}$. Then $E$ is a Hilbert space. The following lemma is proved in 4].

Lemma 2.1. If $L(t)$ satisfies condition (L2), then $E$ is compactly embedded in $L^{p}:=L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, which implies that there exists a constant $\tau_{p}>0$ such that

$$
|u|_{p} \leq \tau_{p}\|u\|_{0}, \forall u \in E
$$

By Lemma 2.1, the spectrum $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ consists of only eigenvalues numbered in $\lambda_{1} \leq \lambda_{2} \leq \cdots \rightarrow \infty$ (counted in their multiplicities) and a corresponding system of eigenfunctions $\left\{e_{n}\right\}, \mathcal{A} e_{n}=\lambda_{n} e_{n}$, which forms an orthogonal basis of $L^{2}$. Assume that $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n^{-}}<0, \lambda_{n^{-}+1}=\cdots=\lambda_{\bar{n}}=0$, and let $E^{-}:=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n^{-}}\right\}$, $E^{0}:=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{n^{-}+1}, \ldots, e_{\bar{n}}\right\}$ and $E^{+}:=\overline{\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{\bar{n}+1}, \ldots\right\}}$. Then $E=E^{-} \oplus E^{0} \oplus E^{+}$.

We introduce in $E$ the inner product

$$
(u, v):=\left(|\mathcal{A}|^{1 / 2} u,|\mathcal{A}|^{1 / 2} v\right)_{2}+\left(u^{0}, v^{0}\right)_{2}
$$

and the norm

$$
\|u\|^{2}=(u, u)=\left\||\mathcal{A}|^{1 / 2} u\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

where $u=u^{-}+u^{0}+u^{+}$and $v=v^{-}+v^{0}+v^{+} \in E^{-} \oplus E^{0} \oplus E^{+}$. Then $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_{0}$ are equivalent. From now on, the norm $\|\cdot\|$ in $E$ will be used. Hereafter, $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the inner product in $E$ or the pairing between $E^{*}$ and $E$.

Let $X$ be a Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ and $X=\overline{\oplus_{j \in N} X_{j}}$ with $\operatorname{dim} X_{j}<\infty$, for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $Y_{k}=\oplus_{j=1}^{k} X_{j}$ and $Z_{k}=\overline{\oplus_{j=k}^{\infty} X_{j}}$. Consider the following $C^{1}$ functional $\Phi_{\lambda}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\Phi_{\lambda}(u):=A(u)-\lambda B(u), \lambda \in[1,2]
$$

The following variant of the fountain theorem is established in [19].
Proposition 2.2. Assume that the functional $\Phi_{\lambda}$ defined above satisfies the following conditions.
(T1) $\Phi_{\lambda}$ maps bounded sets to bounded sets uniformly for $\lambda \in[1,2], \Phi_{\lambda}(-u)=$ $\Phi_{\lambda}(u)$ for all $(\lambda, u) \in[1,2] \times X$.
(T2) $B(u) \geq 0$ for all $u \in X ; B(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ in any finite dimensional subspace of $X$.
(T3) There exist $\rho_{k}>r_{k}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{k}(\lambda) & :=\inf _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\|=\rho_{k}} \Phi_{\lambda}(u) \geq 0>\beta_{k}(\lambda):=\max _{u \in Y_{k},\|u\|=r_{k}} \Phi_{\lambda}(u), \quad \forall \lambda \in[1,2], \\
\text { and } & \\
\xi_{k}(\lambda) & :=\inf _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\| \leq \rho_{k}} \Phi_{\lambda}(u) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \text { uniformly for } \lambda \in[1,2] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then there exist $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow 1, u_{\lambda_{n}} \in Y_{n}$ such that

$$
\Phi_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime} \mid Y_{n}\left(u_{\lambda_{n}}\right)=0, \quad \Phi_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{\lambda_{n}}\right) \rightarrow \eta_{k} \in\left[\xi_{k}(2), \beta_{k}(1)\right], \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Particularly, if $\left\{u_{\lambda_{n}}\right\}$ has a convergent subsequence for every $k$, then $\Phi_{1}$ has infinitely many nontrivial critical points $\left\{u_{k}\right\} \subset X \backslash\{\theta\}$ satisfying $\Phi_{1}\left(u_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0^{-}$as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

We shall use a result from [7]. For this purpose, we first recall the definition of genus.

Definition 2.3. Let $X$ be a real Banach space and $A$ a subset of $X$. The set $A$ is said to be symmetric if $u \in A$ implies $-u \in A$. For a closed symmetric set $A$ which does not contain the origin, we define a genus $\gamma(A)$ of $A$ as the smallest integer $k$ such that there exists an odd continuous mapping from $A$ to $\mathbb{R}^{k} \backslash\{\theta\}$. If there does not exist such a $k$, we define $\gamma(A)=\infty$. Moreover, we set $\gamma(\emptyset)=0$. Let $\Gamma_{k}$ denote the family of closed symmetric subsets $A$ of $X$ such that $0 \notin A$ and $\gamma(A) \geq k$.
Remark $2.4([8,11)$. 1. For any bounded symmetric neighborhood $\Omega$ of the origin in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ it holds that $\gamma(\partial \Omega)=m$.
2. Let $A, B$ be closed symmetric subsets of $X$ which do not contain the origin. If there is an odd continuous mapping from $A$ to $B$, then $\gamma(A) \leq \gamma(B)$.

The following proposition is established in [7].
Proposition 2.5. Let $X$ be an infinite dimensional Banach space and let $I \in$ $C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ satisfy the following two conditions:
(A1) $I(u)$ is even, bounded from below, $I(\theta)=0$ and $I(u)$ satisfies the PalaisSmale condition (PS)
(A2) For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an $A_{k} \in \Gamma_{k}$ such that $\sup _{u \in A_{k}} I(u)<0$.
Then $I(u)$ admits a sequence of critical points $u_{k}$ such that $I\left(u_{k}\right) \leq 0, u_{k} \neq \theta$ and $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} u_{k}=\theta$.

## 3. Proofs of the main results

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.2, By (F1), (F2) and (F4), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(t, u)| \leq c_{2}\left(|u|^{1+v}+|u|^{\mu}\right), \quad \forall(t, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $c_{2}>0$. By (F3), there exists a constant $\delta_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t, u) \geq \frac{d_{0}}{2}|u|>0, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, L_{0} \leq|u| \leq L_{1}+\delta_{0} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\chi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\chi(y) \equiv 1$, if $y \leq L_{1}, \chi(y) \equiv 0$, if $y \geq L_{1}+\delta_{0}$ and $\chi^{\prime}(y)<0$, if $y \in\left(L_{1}, L_{1}+\delta_{0}\right)$. Set

$$
G(t, u):=\chi(|u|) F(t, u)+\frac{d_{0}}{2}(1-\chi(|u|))|u|
$$

Then $G \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and $G(t, u) \geq 0$ for all $(t, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$. It is easily seen that

$$
\left\langle G_{u}(t, u), u\right\rangle=\chi(|u|)\left\langle F_{u}(t, u), u\right\rangle+\chi^{\prime}(|u|)|u|\left(F(t, u)-\frac{d_{0}}{2}|u|\right)+\frac{d_{0}}{2}(1-\chi(|u|))|u|
$$

Hence, by (F1), 3.2) and the definition of $\chi$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle G_{u}(t, u), u\right\rangle \leq \mu G(t, u), \quad \forall(t, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $d_{0} \leq 1$. Combining (3.1) and (3.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t, u) \leq 2 c_{2}\left(|u|^{1+v}+|u|^{\mu}\right), \forall(t, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t, u) \geq \frac{d_{0}}{2}|u|>0, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R},|u| \geq L_{0} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(u) & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(|\dot{u}|^{2}+\langle L(t) u, u\rangle\right) \mathrm{d} t-\int_{\mathbb{R}} G(t, u) \mathrm{d} t \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{+}\right\|-\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}-\int_{\mathbb{R}} G(t, u) \mathrm{d} t \\
& =\varphi_{1}(u)+\varphi_{2}(u)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\varphi_{1}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{+}\right\|-\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}, \varphi_{2}(u)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} G(t, u) \mathrm{d} t$ for $u=u^{-}+u^{0}+u^{+} \in E$. By [4], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that (L1)-(L2), (F1)-(F4) are satisfied. Then $\varphi_{2} \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ and $\varphi_{2}^{\prime}: E \rightarrow E^{*}$ is compact. Moreover,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\varphi_{2}^{\prime}(u), v\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left\langle G_{u}(t, u), v\right\rangle \mathrm{d} t \\
\left(\varphi^{\prime}(u), v\right)=\left(u^{+}, v^{+}\right)-\left(u^{-}, v^{-}\right)-\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left\langle G_{u}(t, u), v\right\rangle \mathrm{d} t
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $u, v \in E=E^{-} \oplus E^{0} \oplus E^{+}$with $u=u^{-}+u^{0}+u^{+}$and $v=v^{-}+v^{0}+v^{+}$. Correspondingly, the nontrivial critical points of $\varphi$ in $E$ are the homoclinic solutions of the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}-L(t) u+G_{u}(t, u)=0, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove Theorem 1.2 using Proposition 2.2 we define the functionals

$$
\begin{gather*}
A(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}, \quad B(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}} G(t, u) \mathrm{d} t  \tag{3.7}\\
\Phi_{\lambda}(u)=A(u)-\lambda B(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}-\lambda\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}} G(t, u) \mathrm{d} t\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $u=u^{-}+u^{0}+u^{+} \in E=E^{-} \oplus E^{0} \oplus E^{+}$and $\lambda \in[1,2]$.
By the similar arguments as in [17], we obtain the following two Lemmas. For the completeness of this paper we will give their proofs.

Lemma 3.2. Suppose that (F1)-(F3) are satisfied. Then $B(u) \geq 0$ for all $u \in E$ and $B(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ in any finite-dimensional subspace of $E$.

Proof. By $G(t, u) \geq 0$ and (3.7), we have $B(u) \geq 0$. For any finite-dimensional subspace $E_{0} \subset E$, there exists a constant $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(\{t \in \mathbb{R}:|u(t)| \geq \varepsilon\|u\|\}) \geq \varepsilon, \quad \forall u \in E_{0} \backslash\{\theta\} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m(\cdot)$ denotes the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}$. The proof of the claim is standard(e.g. see [17, 15]). Let

$$
\Lambda_{u}=\{t \in \mathbb{R}:|u(t)| \geq \varepsilon\|u\|\}, \quad \forall u \in E_{0} \backslash\{\theta\}
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is given in (3.9). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(\Lambda_{u}\right) \geq \varepsilon, \forall u \in E_{0} \backslash\{\theta\} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining with (3.5) and 3.10, for any $u \in E_{0}$ with $\|u\| \geq L_{0} / \varepsilon$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
B(u) & =\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}} G(t, u) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \geq \int_{\Lambda_{u}} G(t, u) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \geq \int_{\Lambda_{u}} \frac{d_{0}}{2}|u| \mathrm{d} t \\
& \geq d_{0} \varepsilon\|u\| \cdot m\left(\Lambda_{u}\right) / 2 \\
& \geq d_{0} \varepsilon^{2}\|u\| / 2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $B(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ in any finite-dimensional subspace of $E_{0} \subset E$. The proof is completed.

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that (L2), (F1)-(F4) are satisfied. Then there exist a positive integer $k_{1}$ and two sequences $0<r_{k}<\rho_{k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha_{k}(\lambda):=\inf _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\|=\rho_{k}} \Phi_{\lambda}(u)>0, \quad \forall k \geq k_{1}, \\
\xi_{k}(\lambda):=\inf _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\| \leq \rho_{k}} \Phi_{\lambda}(u) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \text { uniformly for } \lambda \in[1,2], \\
\beta_{k}(\lambda):=\max _{u \in Y_{k},\|u\|=r_{k}} \Phi_{\lambda}(u)<0, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $Y_{k}=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{k} X_{j}=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}\right\}$ and $Z_{k}=\overline{\bigoplus_{j=k}^{\infty} X_{j}}=\overline{\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{k}, \ldots\right\}}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $l_{k}=\sup _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\|=1}|u|_{1+v}^{1+v}, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $l_{k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ (cf. [14, Lemma 3.8]). Choose $k$ large enough such that $Z_{k} \subset E^{+}$. Noticing (F2) and $F(t, u)=G(t, u)$ as $|u| \leq R_{1}$, we have $G(t, u) \leq c_{1}|u|^{1+v}$ for $|u| \leq R_{1}$. Therefore, for any $u \in Z_{k}$ with $\|u\| \leq R_{1} / \tau_{\infty}$, we have

$$
\Phi_{\lambda}(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(t, u) \mathrm{d} t \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-2 c_{1} l_{k}\|u\|^{v+1}
$$

Set $\rho_{k}=\left(8 c_{1} l_{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-v}}$. There exists a positive $k_{1}>\bar{n}+1$ such that $\rho_{k}<R_{1} / \tau_{\infty}$ for all $k \geq k_{1}$. Thus, for any $k \geq k_{1}$, we have

$$
\alpha_{k}(\lambda):=\inf _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\|=\rho_{k}} \Phi_{\lambda}(u) \geq \rho_{k}^{2} / 4>0 .
$$

Noticing that $\Phi_{\lambda}(\theta)=0$, we have

$$
0 \geq \inf _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\| \leq \rho_{k}} \Phi_{\lambda}(u) \geq-2 c_{1} l_{k} \rho_{k}^{v+1}, \quad \forall k \geq k_{1} .
$$

Thus,

$$
\xi_{k}(\lambda):=\inf _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\| \leq \rho_{k}} \Phi_{\lambda}(u) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \text { uniformly for } \lambda \in[1,2]
$$

Since $\operatorname{dim} Y_{k}<\infty$, there exists a constant $C_{k}>0$ such that $|u|_{\mu} \geq C_{k}\|u\|, \forall u \in$ $Y_{k}$. By (F1) and (F4), for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|u| \leq 1$, we have $G(t, u) \geq \underline{b}|u|^{\mu}$. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $u \in Y_{k}$ with $\|u\|<\tau_{\infty}^{-1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\lambda}(u) & \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}-\int_{\mathbb{R}} G(t, u) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\underline{b}|u|_{\mu}^{\mu} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\underline{b} C_{k}^{\mu}\|u\|^{\mu}, \quad \forall \lambda \in[1,2] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, for $0<r_{k}<\min \left\{\rho_{k}, \tau_{\infty}^{-1},\left(2 \underline{b} C_{k}^{\mu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-\mu}}\right\}$, we have

$$
\beta_{k}(\lambda):=\max _{u \in Y_{k},\|u\|=r_{k}} \Phi_{\lambda}(u)<0, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

The proof is complete.
Proof of Theorem 1.2. By $F(t, u)=F(t,-u)$ and the definition of $G(t, u)$, we obtain that $\Phi_{\lambda}(-u)=\Phi_{\lambda}(u)$ for all $(\lambda, u) \in[1,2] \times E$. By Lemma 2.1 and (3.4), we know that $\Phi_{\lambda}$ maps bounded sets to bounded sets uniformly for $\lambda \in[1,2]$. Combining with Lemmas 3.2 3.3 and Proposition 2.2 for each $k \geq k_{1}$ there exist $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow 1, u_{\lambda_{n}}^{k} \in Y_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\Phi_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}\right|_{Y_{n}}\left(u_{\lambda_{n}}^{k}\right)=0, \quad \Phi_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{\lambda_{n}}^{k}\right) \rightarrow \eta_{k} \in\left[\xi_{k}(2), \beta_{k}(1)\right], \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we will prove that $\left\{u_{\lambda_{n}}^{k}\right\}$ is bounded and possesses a strong convergent subsequence in $E$. By Proposition 2.2 , we will get infinitely many nontrivial critical points of $\varphi:=\Phi_{1}$. That is, we will get infinitely many homoclinic solutions of system (3.6). By noting that $F(t, u)=G(t, u)$ for $|u| \leq L_{1}$, our proof will be finished if we can find an upper bound $M(\neq \infty)$ of $|u|_{\infty}$ independent of $L_{1}$. For the notational simplicity, we set $u_{n}=u_{\lambda_{n}}^{k}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq k_{1}$.

Now we prove that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $E$. By Lemma 3.3 , there exists $k_{2}>0$ such that $\left|\xi_{k}(\lambda)\right| \leq 1$ for $k \geq k_{2}$. By (3.11), there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left|\Phi_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)\right| \leq 2$ for $n \geq n_{0}$ and $k \geq \max \left\{k_{1}, k_{2}\right\}$. By (F1), (F3) and (3.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 & \geq-\Phi_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right) \\
& \left.=\frac{1}{2} \Phi_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime} \right\rvert\, Y_{n}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}-\Phi_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right) \\
& \geq \lambda_{n} \int_{\Omega_{n}}\left[G\left(t, u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle G_{u}\left(t, u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle\right] \mathrm{d} t \\
& \geq \frac{\lambda_{n}(2-\mu)}{2} \int_{\Omega_{n}} G\left(t, u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \geq \frac{d_{0} \lambda_{n}(2-\mu)}{4} \int_{\Omega_{n}}\left|u_{n}\right| \mathrm{d} t, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Omega_{n}:=\left\{t \in \mathbb{R}:\left|u_{n}(t)\right| \geq L_{0}\right\}$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{n}}\left|u_{n}\right| \mathrm{d} t \leq \frac{8}{d_{0}(2-\mu)}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_{0} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $n \in N$, define $\omega_{n}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\omega_{n}= \begin{cases}1, & t \in \Omega_{n} \\ 0, & t \notin \Omega_{n}\end{cases}
$$

Noticing that $\operatorname{dim} E^{-} \oplus E^{0}<\infty$ and $\operatorname{dim} E^{-}<\infty$, by the equivalence of the norms in finite-dimensional spaces, there exist two constants $a_{1}, a_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|u_{n}^{-}+u_{n}^{0}\right|_{1} \leq a_{1}\left|u_{n}^{-}+u_{n}^{0}\right|_{2},\left|u_{n}^{-}+u_{n}^{0}\right|_{\infty} \leq a_{1}\left|u_{n}^{-}+u_{n}^{0}\right|_{2}  \tag{3.13}\\
\left\|u_{n}^{-}+u_{n}^{0}\right\| \leq a_{1}\left|u_{n}^{-}+u_{n}^{0}\right|_{2}  \tag{3.14}\\
\left|u_{n}^{-}\right|_{1} \leq a_{2}\left|u_{n}^{-}\right|_{2},\left|u_{n}^{-}\right|_{\infty} \leq a_{2}\left|u_{n}^{-}\right|_{2}  \tag{3.15}\\
\left\|u_{n}^{-}\right\| \leq a_{2}\left|u_{n}^{-}\right|_{2} \tag{3.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

By Lemma 2.1, (3.12) and the Hölder inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|u_{n}^{-}+u_{n}^{0}\right|_{2}^{2} & =\left(u_{n}^{-}+u_{n}^{0}, u_{n}\right)_{2} \\
& =\left(u_{n}^{-}+u_{n}^{0},\left(1-\omega_{n}\right) u_{n}\right)_{2}+\left(u_{n}^{-}+u_{n}^{0}, \omega_{n} u_{n}\right)_{2} \\
& \leq\left|\left(1-\omega_{n}\right) u_{n}\right|_{\infty}\left|u_{n}^{-}+u_{n}^{0}\right|_{1}+\left|\omega_{n} u_{n}\right|_{1}\left|u_{n}^{-}+u_{n}^{0}\right|_{\infty} \\
& \leq a_{1}\left(L_{0}+\frac{8}{d_{0}(2-\mu)}\right)\left|u_{n}^{-}+u_{n}^{0}\right|_{2}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

By (3.14), we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}^{-}+u_{n}^{0}\right\| \leq a_{1}^{2}\left(L_{0}+\frac{8}{d_{0}(2-\mu)}\right), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, by Lemma 2.1, 3.15 3.16 and the Hölder inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}^{-}\right\| \leq a_{2}^{2}\left(L_{0}+\frac{8}{d_{0}(2-\mu)}\right), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_{0} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \geq 1$. Then by Lemma 2.1, (3.4) (3.17) and (3.18), for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_{0}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} & =\left\|u_{n}^{+}\right\|^{2}+\left\|u_{n}^{-}+u_{n}^{0}\right\|^{2} \\
& =2 \Phi_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)+\lambda_{n}\left\|u_{n}^{-}\right\|^{2}+\left\|u_{n}^{-}+u_{n}^{0}\right\|^{2}+2 \lambda_{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} G\left(t, u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \leq 4+\left(a_{1}^{4}+2 a_{2}^{4}\right)\left(L_{0}+\frac{8}{d_{0}(2-\mu)}\right)^{2}+8 c_{2}\left(\tau_{1+v}^{1+v}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{1+v}+\tau_{\mu}^{\mu}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{\mu}\right) \\
& \leq\left(4+\left(a_{1}^{4}+2 a_{2}^{4}\right)\left(L_{0}+\frac{8}{d_{0}(2-\mu)}\right)^{2}+8 c_{2} \tau_{1+v}^{1+v}+8 c_{2} \tau_{\mu}^{\mu}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $s=\max \{1+v, \mu\}$. By noting that $1<\mu<2$ and $\frac{1}{2} \leq v<1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\| \leq\left(4+\left(a_{1}^{4}+2 a_{2}^{4}\right)\left(L_{0}+\frac{8}{d_{0}(2-\mu)}\right)^{2}+8 c_{2} \tau_{1+v}^{1+v}+8 c_{2} \tau_{\mu}^{\mu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-s}} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant does not depend on $L_{1}$.
Since $E$ is embedded compactly into $L^{p}$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, by a standard argument, we obtain that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ possesses a strong convergent subsequence in $E$ for each $k \geq \max \left\{k_{1}, k_{2}\right\}$. Hence, by Proposition 2.2, system (3.6) possesses infinitely many
homoclinic solutions. By Lemma 3.3 and (3.11), we know that $\Phi_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{\lambda_{n}}^{k}\right)$ is bounded uniformly for $\forall k \geq \max \left\{k_{1}, k_{2}\right\}$. Set

$$
M:=\tau_{\infty}\left(4+\left(a_{1}^{4}+2 a_{2}^{4}\right)\left(L_{0}+\frac{8}{d_{0}(2-\mu)}\right)^{2}+8 c_{2} \tau_{1+v}^{1+v}+8 c_{2} \tau_{\mu}^{\mu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-s}}
$$

By (3.19) we obtain $\left\|u^{k}\right\| \leq M, \forall k \geq \max \left\{k_{1}, k_{2}\right\}$, where $u^{k}$ is the limit of $\left\{u_{n}^{k}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Therefore, there exists a constant $M>0$ independent of $L_{1}$ such that $\left|u^{k}\right|_{\infty} \leq$ $M, \forall k \geq \max \left\{k_{1}, k_{2}\right\}$. Combining this with $F(t, u)=G(t, u)$ for $|u| \leq L_{1}$, we know that system (1.1) possesses infinitely many homoclinic solutions if $L_{1} \geq M$. The proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $M_{0}>0$, and let $\chi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and $C>0$ be such that $\chi(y) \equiv 1$, if $y \leq M_{0} ; \chi(y) \equiv 0$, if $y \geq M_{0}+1$; and $\left|\chi^{\prime}(y)\right|<C$, if $y \in\left(M_{0}, M_{0}+1\right)$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t, u):=\chi(|u|) F(t, u)+|u|(1-\chi(|u|)) . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $G \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and

$$
|G(t, u)| \leq a_{3}(1+|u|)
$$

for some $a_{3}>0$. Let

$$
\widetilde{\varphi}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(|\dot{u}|^{2}+\langle L(t) u, u\rangle\right) \mathrm{d} t-\int_{\mathbb{R}} G(t, u) \mathrm{d} t .
$$

Then $\widetilde{\varphi} \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ and the nontrivial critical points of $\widetilde{\varphi}$ in $E$ are the homoclinic solutions of system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}-L(t) u+G_{u}(t, u)=0, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi(u) & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(|\dot{u}|^{2}+\langle L(t) u, u\rangle\right) \mathrm{d} t-\chi(|u|) \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(t, u) \mathrm{d} t \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\chi(|u|) \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(t, u) \mathrm{d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, $\psi \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$. For $\|u\| \geq \tau_{\infty}^{-1}\left(M_{0}+1\right)$, we have $\psi(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}$, which implies that $\psi(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $\psi$ is coercive on $E$. Then $\psi(u)$ is bounded from below and, by noticing Lemma 2.1, it satisfies the (PS) condition. By (3.20), it is easy to see that $\psi(u)$ is even and $\psi(\theta)=0$. This shows that $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$ holds. By (F4), for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\delta>0$, such that $F(t, u) \geq \varepsilon^{-1}|u|^{2},|u| \leq \delta$. For any given $k$, let $E_{k}:=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}\right\}$. Then there exists a constant $\eta_{k}$ such that $|u|_{2} \geq \eta_{k}\|u\|$ for $u \in E_{k}$. Therefore, for any $u \in E_{k}$ with

$$
\|u\|=\rho<\min \left\{\tau_{\infty}^{-1} M_{0}, \tau_{\infty}^{-1} \delta, 2 \varepsilon^{-1} \eta_{k}\right\}
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is small enough, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi(u) & =\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\chi(|u|) \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(t, u) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\varepsilon^{-1} \eta_{k}^{2}\|u\|^{2}<0
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $A:=\left\{u \in E_{k}:\|u\|=\rho\right\} \subset\{u \in X: \psi(u)<0\}$. By Remark 2.4, we have that $\gamma(A)=k$ and $\gamma(\{u \in X: \psi(u)<0\}) \geq \gamma(A)=k$. Setting $A_{k}=$ $\{u \in X: \psi(u)<0\}$, then $A_{k} \in \Gamma_{k}$ and $\sup _{u \in \Gamma_{k}} \psi(u)<0$. This shows that $\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$ holds. Hence, by Proposition 2.5, we obtain that $\psi$ admits a sequence of nontrivial
solutions $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ such that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} u_{k}=\theta$. Then there exists $k_{1}>0$ such that $\left\|u_{k}\right\| \leq \tau_{\infty}^{-1} M_{0}$ for $k \geq k_{1}$. Since $\widetilde{\varphi}=\psi$ for $|u| \leq M_{0}$, we know that $\widetilde{\varphi}$ possesses infinitely many nontrivial nontrivial critical points $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ for $k \geq k_{1}$. Therefore, (3.21) possesses infinitely many nontrivial solutions. That is, system (1.1) has infinitely many solutions by noting that $F(t, u)=G(t, u)$ for $|u| \leq M_{0}$. The proof is completed.
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