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# MULTIPLE SYMMETRIC POSITIVE SOLUTIONS TO FOUR-POINT BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEMS OF DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS WITH P-LAPLACIAN 
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#### Abstract

In this article, we study the four-point boundary-value problem with the one-dimensional $p$-Laplacian $$
\begin{array}{ll} \left(\phi_{p_{i}}\left(u_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+q_{i}(t) f_{i}\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=0, \quad t \in(0,1), & i=1,2 \\ u_{i}(0)-g_{i}\left(u_{i}^{\prime}(\xi)\right)=0, \quad u_{i}(1)+g_{i}\left(u_{i}^{\prime}(\eta)\right)=0, & i=1,2 \end{array}
$$

We obtain sufficient conditions such that by means of a fixed point theorem on a cone, there exist multiple symmetric positive solutions to the above boundary-value problem. As an application, we give an example that we illustrates our results.


## 1. Introduction

In this article, we discuss the existence of multiple symmetric positive solutions to the four-point boundary-value problem (BVP) for a differential system with the one-dimensional $p$-Laplacian,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\phi_{p_{i}}\left(u_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+q_{i}(t) f_{i}\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=0, \quad t \in(0,1), \quad i=1,2  \tag{1.1}\\
u_{i}(0)-g_{i}\left(u_{i}^{\prime}(\xi)\right)=0, \quad u_{i}(1)+g_{i}\left(u_{i}^{\prime}(\eta)\right)=0, \quad i=1,2 \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\phi_{p_{i}}(s)=|s|^{p_{i}-2} s, p_{i}>1,0<\xi<1 / 2, \xi+\eta=1$, and the functions $q_{i}, f_{i}$, $g_{i}, i=1,2$ satisfy the following conditions:
$(\mathrm{H} 1) q_{i} \in L^{1}[0,1]$ is nonnegative symmetric on $[0,1]$ (i.e., $q_{i}(t)=q_{i}(1-t)$, $t \in[0,1])$ and $q_{i}(t) \not \equiv 0$ on any subinterval of $[0,1] ;$
(H2) $f_{i} \in C([0,1] \times[0,+\infty) \times[0,+\infty),(0,+\infty))$ is symmetric on $[0,1]$ (i.e., $f_{i}\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=f_{i}\left(1-t, u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$, for $\left.t \in[0,1]\right)$;
(H3) $g_{i} \in C((-\infty,+\infty),(-\infty,+\infty))$ is strictly increasing, odd and satisfies the condition that there exists $m_{i}>0$ such that $0 \leq g_{i}(s) \leq m_{i} s$ for all $s \geq 0$.
Multipoint boundary-value problems for ordinary differential equations and systems arise in a variety of areas of applied mathematics and physics. The study of

[^0]multipoint BVPs for linear second-order ordinary differential equations was initiated by Il'in and Moiseev [13]. Since then, many authors studied more general nonlinear multi-point boundary-value problems by Leray-Schauder continuation theorem, coincidence degree theory, the method of lower and upper solutions, monotone iterative technique, fixed point theorem in cones and so on. We refer readers to [3, 6, 11, 12, 21, 25, 27, and the references cited therein. On the other hand, the existence of symmetric positive solutions of second or higher order boundary-value problems have received more and more attention in the recent literature. The results of existence of symmetric positive solutions were obtained by some authors, see [2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 15, 16, 23, 24, 29.

In recent years, there were many works done for a variety of nonlinear second order ordinary differential systems with different boundary conditions, see [1, 7, 20. 22, 26, 28. However, to the best of our knowledge, there were only a few results on the existence of multiple positive solutions to boundary-value problems for differential systems with the one-dimensional p-Laplacian. Especially, there were few papers on the existence of symmetric positive solutions.

Recently, Liu [18] studied the existence of positive solutions of singular boundary value systems with $p$-Laplacian,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+a_{1}(t) f(t, x(t), y(t))=0, \quad t \in(0,1), \\
\left(\phi_{p}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+a_{2}(t) g(t, x(t), y(t))=0, \quad t \in(0,1), \\
x(0)-\beta_{1} x^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad x(1)+\delta_{1} x^{\prime}(1)=0 \\
y(0)-\beta_{2} y^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad y(1)+\delta_{2} y^{\prime}(1)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

By using fixed-point index theory, the existence of one and multiple positive solutions for the boundary value systems under some conditions were established.

Liu and zhang [19] considered the existence of positive solutions for the nonlinear system

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\varphi_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+a(t) f(x, y)=0, \quad\left(\varphi_{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+b(t) g(x, y)=0, \quad t \in(0,1) \\
\alpha \varphi_{1}(x(0))-\beta \varphi_{1}\left(x^{\prime}(0)\right)=0, \quad \alpha \varphi_{2}(y(0))-\beta \varphi_{2}\left(y^{\prime}(0)\right)=0 \\
\alpha \varphi_{1}(x(1))-\beta \varphi_{1}\left(x^{\prime}(1)\right)=0, \quad \alpha \varphi_{2}(y(1))-\beta \varphi_{2}\left(y^{\prime}(1)\right)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}$ are the increasing homeomorphism and positive homomorphism and $\varphi_{1}(0)=0, \varphi_{2}(0)=0$. They showed the sufficient conditions for the existence of positive solutions by means of the norm type cone expansion-expression fixed point theorem.

Recently, Ji, Feng and Ge [14 discussed the existence of symmetric positive solutions for the boundary-value system with $p$-Laplacian,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\phi_{p_{1}}\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+a_{1}(t) f(u, v)=0, \quad t \in(0,1) \\
\left(\phi_{p_{2}}\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+a_{2}(t) g(t, u, v)=0, \quad t \in(0,1) \\
u(0)-\alpha u^{\prime}(\xi)=0, \quad u(1)+\alpha u^{\prime}(\eta)=0 \\
v(0)-\alpha u^{\prime}(\xi)=0, \quad v(1)+\alpha v^{\prime}(\eta)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Motivated by the above works, our purpose in this paper is to give some conditions that guarantee the existence of multiple symmetric positive solutions for boundary value systems (1.1), (1.2).

The main tool of this article is the fixed point index theorem in cones.

Lemma 1.1 (10, 17). Let $K$ be a cone in a Banach space $X$. Let $D$ be an open bounded subset of $X$ with $D_{k}=D \cap K \neq \phi$ and $\bar{D}_{k} \neq K$. Assume that $A: \bar{D}_{k} \rightarrow K$ is a compact map such that $x \neq A x$ for $x \in \partial D_{k}$. Then the following results hold:
(1) If $\|A x\| \leq\|x\|, x \in \partial D_{k}$, then $i_{k}\left(A, D_{k}\right)=1$;
(2) If there exists $e \in K \backslash\{0\}$ such that $x \neq A x+\lambda e$ for all $x \in \partial D_{k}$ and all $\lambda>0$, then $i_{k}\left(A, D_{k}\right)=0$;
(3) Let $U$ be open in $X$ such that $\bar{U} \subset D_{k}$. If $i_{k}\left(A, D_{k}\right)=1$ and $i_{k}\left(A, U_{k}\right)=0$, then $A$ has a fixed point in $D_{k} \backslash \bar{U}_{k}$. The same results holds if $i_{k}\left(A, D_{k}\right)=0$ and $i_{k}\left(A, U_{k}\right)=1$.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $E=C[0,1] \times C[0,1]$, then $E$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|(u, v)\|=$ $\|u\|+\|v\|$, where $\|u\|=\max _{t \in[0,1]}|u(t)|,\|v\|=\max _{t \in[0,1]}|v(t)|$.
Definition 2.1. We define a partial ordering in $E$. For $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \in E$ : $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \leq\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ if and only if $u_{i}(t) \leq v_{i}(t), t \in[0,1], i=1,2$.

Definition 2.2. $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in E$ is concave and symmetric on $[0,1]$ if and only if $u_{i}(t), i=1,2$, is concave and symmetric on $[0,1]$.

So, define a cone $K \subset E \times E$ by
$K=\left\{\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in E \times E:\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right.$ is nonnegative, concave and symmetric on $\left.[0,1]\right\}$.
For $h_{i} \in L^{1}[0,1]$, let $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ be a solution of the BVP

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\phi_{p_{i}}\left(u_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+h_{i}(t)=0, \quad t \in(0,1), i=1,2  \tag{2.1}\\
u_{i}(0)-g_{i}\left(u_{i}^{\prime}(\xi)\right)=0, \quad u_{i}(1)+g_{i}\left(u_{i}^{\prime}(\eta)\right)=0, \quad i=1,2 \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

By integrating 2.1), it follows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{i}^{\prime}(t)=\phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(A_{h_{i}}-\int_{0}^{t} h_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right) \\
u_{i}(t)=u_{i}(0)+\int_{0}^{t} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(A_{h_{i}}-\int_{0}^{s} h_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right) d s \\
u_{i}(t)=u_{i}(1)-\int_{t}^{1} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(A_{h_{i}}-\int_{0}^{s} h_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right) d s
\end{gathered}
$$

Using the boundary condition 2.2 , we can easily obtain

$$
u_{i}(t)=g_{i} \circ \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(A_{h_{i}}-\int_{0}^{\xi} h_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right)+\int_{0}^{t} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(A_{h_{i}}-\int_{0}^{s} h_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right) d s
$$

or

$$
u_{i}(t)=-g_{i} \circ \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(A_{h_{i}}-\int_{0}^{\eta} h_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right)-\int_{t}^{1} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(A_{h_{i}}-\int_{0}^{s} h_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right) d s
$$

where $A_{h_{i}}$ satisfies 2.3).

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{i} \circ \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(A_{h_{i}}-\int_{0}^{\xi} h_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right)+g_{i} \circ \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(A_{h_{i}}-\int_{0}^{\eta} h_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right)  \tag{2.3}\\
& +\int_{0}^{1} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(A_{h_{i}}-\int_{0}^{s} h_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right) d s=0, \quad i=1,2
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 2.3. If $h_{i} \in L^{1}[0,1]$ is nonnegative on $[0,1]$ and $h_{i}(t) \not \equiv 0$ on any subinterval of $[0,1]$, then there exists a unique $A_{h_{i}} \in(-\infty,+\infty)$ satisfying 2.3). Moreover, there is a unique $\sigma_{h_{i}} \in(0,1)$ such that $A_{h_{i}}=\int_{0}^{\sigma_{h_{i}}} h_{i}(\tau) d \tau$ for $i=1,2$.
Proof. For any $h_{i}(t)$ in Lemma 2.3, define

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{h_{i}}(c)= & g_{i} \circ \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(c-\int_{0}^{\xi} h_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right)+g_{i} \circ \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(c-\int_{0}^{\eta} h_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right) \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(c-\int_{0}^{s} h_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right) d s, \quad i=1,2
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $H_{h_{i}}: R \rightarrow R$ is continuous and strictly increasing since $g_{i}$ is strictly increasing. It is easy to see that $H_{h_{i}}(0)<0, H_{h_{i}}\left(\int_{0}^{1} h_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right)>0$. Therefore, there exists a unique $A_{h_{i}} \in\left(0, \int_{0}^{1} h_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right) \subset(-\infty,+\infty)$ satisfying 2.3). Furthermore, if $F_{i}(t)=$ $\int_{0}^{t} h_{i}(\tau) d \tau$, then $F_{i}(t)$ is continuous and strictly increasing on $[0,1], F_{i}(0)=0$, and $F_{i}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} h_{i}(\tau) d \tau$. Thus,

$$
0=F_{i}(0)<A_{h_{i}}<F_{i}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} h_{i}(\tau) d \tau
$$

Therefore, the intermediate value theorem guarantees that there exists a unique $\sigma_{h_{i}} \in(0,1)$ such that $A_{h_{i}}=\int_{0}^{\sigma_{h_{i}}} h_{i}(\tau) d \tau, i=1,2$.
Remark 2.4. By Lemma 2.3, if $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ is the unique solution of $(2.1)-(2.2)$, then $u_{i}(i=1,2)$ can be rewritten as

$$
u_{i}(t)= \begin{cases}g_{i} \circ \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{\xi}^{\sigma_{h_{i}}} h_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right)+\int_{0}^{t} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{\sigma_{h_{i}}} h_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right) d s, & 0 \leq t \leq \sigma_{h_{i}}  \tag{2.4}\\ g_{i} \circ \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{\sigma_{h_{i}}}^{\eta} h_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right)+\int_{t}^{1} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{\sigma_{h_{i}}}^{s} h_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right) d s, & \sigma_{h_{i}} \leq t \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

for $i=1,2$.
Lemma 2.5. If $h_{i} \in L^{1}[0,1], i=1,2$, is nonnegative symmetric on $[0,1]$ and $h_{i}(t) \not \equiv 0$ on any subinterval of $[0,1]$, then the unique solution $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ of (2.1)(2.2) is nonnegative, concave and symmetric.

Proof. Suppose that $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ is the solution of 2.1 - 2.2 . From the fact that

$$
\left(\phi_{p_{i}}\left(u_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}(t)=-h_{i}(t) \leq 0, \quad i=1,2,
$$

we know that $\phi_{p_{i}}\left(u_{i}^{\prime}(t)\right)$ is non-increasing. It follows that $u_{i}^{\prime}(t)$ is also non-increasing. Thus, we know that the graph of $u_{i}$ is concave down on $[0,1]$.

It is easy to know that $H_{h_{i}}\left(\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} h_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right)=0$; i.e., $\sigma_{h_{i}}=1 / 2$ from the symmetry of $h_{i}(t)$. So, from (2.4) and for $t \in[0,1 / 2]$, by the transformation $\tau=1-\widehat{\tau}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{i}(t) & =g_{i} \circ \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{\xi}^{1 / 2} h_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right)+\int_{0}^{t} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{1 / 2} h_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right) d s \\
& =-g_{i} \circ \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{1-\xi}^{1 / 2} h_{i}(\widehat{\tau}) d \widehat{\tau}\right)-\int_{0}^{t} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{1-s}^{1 / 2} h_{i}(\widehat{\tau}) d \widehat{\tau}\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, let $s=1-\widehat{s}$; then

$$
u_{i}(t)=-g_{i} \circ \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{\eta}^{1 / 2} h_{i}(\widehat{\tau}) d \widehat{\tau}\right)+\int_{1}^{1-t} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{\widehat{s}}^{1 / 2} h_{i}(\widehat{\tau}) d \widehat{\tau}\right) d \widehat{s}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =g_{i} \circ \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{1 / 2}^{\eta} h_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right)+\int_{1-t}^{1} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{1 / 2}^{s} h_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right) d s \\
& =u_{i}(1-t), \quad i=1,2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $u_{i}$ is symmetric on $[0,1]$.
Combining the concavity and symmetry of $u_{i}$, we have $u_{i}(1 / 2)=\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1} u_{i}$ and $u_{i}^{\prime}(1 / 2)=0$. So, $u_{i}^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in(0,1 / 2)$ and $u_{i}^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ for $t \in(1 / 2,1)$. It follows that $u_{i}(0)=g\left(u_{i}^{\prime}(\xi)\right)=-g\left(u_{i}^{\prime}(\eta)\right)=u_{i}(1) \geq 0$. Therefore, $u_{i} \geq 0$ on $[0,1]$, $i=1,2$.

Lemma 2.6. Let $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in K$, and $\delta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, then $\min _{\delta \leq t \leq 1-\delta}\left(u_{1}(t)+u_{2}(t)\right) \geq$ $\delta\left(\left\|u_{1}\right\|+\left\|u_{2}\right\|\right), t \in[\delta, 1-\delta]$.

The proof of the above lemma uses standard arguments only; we omit it here.
Lemma 2.7. Assume that (H1)-(H3) hold. For any $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in K$, define an operator

$$
T\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)(t)=\left(T_{1}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right), T_{2}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right)(t)
$$

where

$$
T_{i}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)(t)= \begin{cases}g_{i} \circ \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{\xi}^{1 / 2} q_{i}(\tau) f_{i}\left(\tau, u_{1}(\tau), u_{2}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right) \\ +\int_{0}^{t} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{1 / 2} q_{i}(\tau) f_{i}\left(\tau, u_{1}(\tau), u_{2}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right) d s, & 0 \leq t \leq 1 / 2 \\ g_{i} \circ \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{1 / 2}^{\eta} q_{i}(\tau) f_{i}\left(\tau, u_{1}(\tau), u_{2}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right) \\ +\int_{t}^{1} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{1 / 2}^{s} q_{i}(\tau) f_{i}\left(\tau, u_{1}(\tau), u_{2}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right) d s, & 1 / 2 \leq t \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

for $i=1,2$. Then $T: K \rightarrow K$ is completely continuous.
Proof. We first verify that $T: K \rightarrow K$. To do so, let $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in K$. According to the definition of $T$ and Lemma 2.5 it follows $\left(\phi_{p_{i}}\left(T_{i}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}(t)=$ $-q_{i}(t) f_{i}\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right) \leq 0$ this implies $T_{i}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ is concave on [0,1]. Again, from the definition of symmetry of $f_{i}$ and $q_{i}$, it is easy to know that $T_{i}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)(t)=$ $T_{i}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)(1-t)$ for $t \in[0,1 / 2]$, that is $T_{i}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ is symmetric on $[0,1]$. So, indeed $T K \subset K$ from definition 2.2,

Next we show that $T: K \rightarrow K$ is completely continuous.
(1) We prove $T$ is compact. Let $U \subset K$ is a bounded subset, then there exists a constant $D>0$, such that $\left\|\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right\| \leq D$ for any $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in U$. By the discussion about $T_{i}(i=1,2)$ and condition (H3), for any $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in U$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1 / 2$ (the case $1 / 2 \leq t \leq 1$ can be proved similarly), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T_{i}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right\|= & T_{i}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
= & g_{i} \circ \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{\xi}^{1 / 2} q_{i}(\tau) f_{i}\left(\tau, u_{1}(\tau), u_{2}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right) \\
& +\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{1 / 2} q_{i}(\tau) f_{i}\left(\tau, u_{1}(\tau), u_{2}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right) d s \\
\leq & {\left[m_{i} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{\xi}^{1 / 2} q_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right)+\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{1 / 2} q_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right) d s\right] } \\
& \times \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\sup \left\{f_{i}\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}\right): t \in[0,1],\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in U\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $T_{i}(U)(i=1,2)$ is bounded, this implies $T(U)$ is bounded.
Next, for any $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in U$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1 / 2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T_{i}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right\| & \leq \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{0}^{1 / 2} q_{i}(\tau) f_{i}\left(\tau, u_{1}(\tau), u_{2}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right) \\
& \leq \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{0}^{1 / 2} q_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right) \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\sup \left\{f_{i}\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}\right): t \in[0,1], \quad\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in U\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $T(U)$ is equicontinuous; that is, $T(U)$ is a relatively compact set according to the Ascoli-Arzela theorem.
(2) We show that $T$ is continuous. Let $\left(u_{1}^{(n)}, u_{2}^{(n)}\right) \in U$ and converge uniformly to $\left(u_{1}^{(0)}, u_{2}^{(0)}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{i}\left(u_{1}^{(n)}, u_{2}^{(n)}\right)(t) \\
& \leq T_{i}\left(u_{1}^{(n)}, u_{2}^{(n)}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
& = \begin{cases}g_{i} \circ \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{\xi}^{1 / 2} q_{i}(\tau) f_{i}\left(\tau, u_{1}^{(n)}(\tau), u_{2}^{(n)}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right) \\
+\int_{0}^{1 / 2} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{1 / 2} q_{i}(\tau) f_{i}\left(\tau, u_{1}^{(n)}(\tau), u_{2}^{(n)}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right) d s, & 0 \leq t \leq 1 / 2 \\
g_{i} \circ \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{1 / 2}^{\eta} q_{i}(\tau) f_{i}\left(\tau, u_{1}^{(n)}(\tau), u_{2}^{(n)}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right) \\
+\int_{1 / 2}^{1} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{1 / 2}^{s} q_{i}(\tau) f_{i}\left(\tau, u_{1}^{(n)}(\tau), u_{2}^{(n)}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right) d s, & 1 / 2 \leq t \leq 1\end{cases} \\
& \leq\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
{\left[m_{i} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{\xi}^{1 / 2} q_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right)+\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{1 / 2} q_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right) d s\right]} \\
\times \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\sup \left\{f_{i}\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}\right): t \in[0,1],\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in U\right\}\right), & 0 \leq t \leq 1 / 2 \\
{\left[m_{i} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{1 / 2}^{\eta} q_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right)+\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{1 / 2}^{s} q_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right) d s\right]} \\
\times \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\sup \left\{f_{i}\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}\right): t \in[0,1],\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in U\right\}\right) & 1 / 2 \leq t \leq 1
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, by the dominated convergence theorem, we can get the limit

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{i}\left(u_{1}^{(n)}, u_{2}^{(n)}\right)(t) \\
& = \begin{cases}g_{i} \circ \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{\xi}^{1 / 2} q_{i}(\tau) f_{i}\left(\tau, u_{1}^{(0)}(\tau), u_{2}^{(0)}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right) \\
+\int_{0}^{t} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{1 / 2} q_{i}(\tau) f_{i}\left(\tau, u_{1}^{(0)}(\tau), u_{2}^{(0)}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right) d s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1 / 2 \\
g_{i} \circ \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{1 / 2}^{\eta} q_{i}(\tau) f_{i}\left(\tau, u_{1}^{(0)}(\tau), u_{2}^{(0)}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right) \\
+\int_{t}^{1} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{1 / 2}^{s} q_{i}(\tau) f_{i}\left(\tau, u_{1}^{(0)}(\tau), u_{2}^{(0)}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right) d s, \quad 1 / 2 \leq t \leq 1\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e., $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{i}\left(u_{n}, u_{n}\right)(t)=T_{i}\left(u_{0}, u_{0}\right)(t)$. So $T_{i}(i=1,2)$ is continuous on $U$. It follows that $T(U)$ is continuous on $U$. Hence we complete the proof of Lemma 2.7 .
3. Existence of multiple symmetric positive solutions to $1.1-(1.2)$

Now for convenience we use the following notation. Let

$$
\bar{\gamma}_{i}=\frac{\delta \int_{\delta}^{1 / 2} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{1 / 2} q_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right) d s}{m_{i} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{\xi}^{1 / 2} q_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right)+\int_{0}^{1 / 2} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{1 / 2} q_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right) d s}, \quad i=1,2,
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\gamma_{i}=\delta \bar{\gamma}_{i}, \gamma=\min \left\{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right\}, K_{\rho}=\left\{\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in K:\left\|\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right\|<\rho\right\}, \\
\Omega_{\rho}=\left\{\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in K: \min _{\delta \leq t \leq 1-\delta}\left(u_{1}(t)+u_{2}(t)\right)<\gamma \rho\right\}, \\
=\left\{\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in K, \gamma\left\|\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right\| \leq \min _{\delta \leq t \leq 1-\delta}\left(u_{1}(t)+u_{2}(t)\right)<\gamma \rho\right\}, \\
f_{i[\gamma \rho, \rho]}=\min \left\{\min _{t \in[\delta, 1-\delta]} \frac{f_{i}\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}\right)}{\phi_{p_{i}}(\rho)}: u_{1}+u_{2} \in[\gamma \rho, \rho]\right\}, \\
f_{i}^{[0, \rho]}=\max \left\{\max _{t \in[0,1]} \frac{f_{i}\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}\right)}{\phi_{p_{i}}(\rho)}: u_{1}+u_{2} \in[0, \rho]\right\}, \\
f_{i \alpha}=\liminf _{\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \rightarrow \alpha}^{\min _{t \in[\delta, 1-\delta]} \frac{f_{i}\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}\right)}{\phi_{p_{i}}\left(u_{1}+u_{2}\right)},} \\
f_{i}^{\alpha}=\limsup _{\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \rightarrow \alpha} \max _{t \in[0,1]} \frac{f_{i}\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}\right)}{\phi_{p_{i}}\left(u_{1}+u_{2}\right)}, \quad(\alpha:=\infty, \text { or } 0), \\
\frac{1}{N_{i}}=2\left[m_{i} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{\xi}^{1 / 2} q_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right)+\int_{0}^{1 / 2} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{1 / 2} q_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right) d s\right], \\
\frac{1}{M_{i}}=2 \delta \int_{\delta}^{1 / 2} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{1 / 2} q_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right) d s,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $i=1,2$ and $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \rightarrow \alpha$ if and only if $\left\|u_{1}\right\|+\left\|u_{2}\right\| \rightarrow \alpha$
Remark 3.1. By (H1) it is to show that $0<N_{i}, M_{i}<\infty$ and $M_{i} \gamma \leq M_{i} \gamma_{i}=$ $M_{i} \delta \bar{\gamma}_{i}=\delta N_{i}<N_{i}, i=1,2$.

Lemma 3.2 ([17]). The set $\Omega_{\rho}$ defined above has the following properties:
(a) $\Omega_{\rho}$ is open relative to $K$;
(b) $K_{\gamma \rho} \subset \Omega_{\rho} \subset K_{\rho}$;
(c) $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in \partial \Omega_{\rho}$ if and only if $\min _{\delta \leq t \leq 1-\delta}\left(u_{1}(t)+u_{2}(t)\right)=\gamma \rho$;
(d) If $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in \partial \Omega_{\rho}$, then $\gamma \rho \leq u_{1}+u_{2} \leq \rho$ for $t \in[\delta, 1-\delta]$.

We are now ready to apply Lemma 1.1 to the operator $T$ to give sufficient conditions for the existence of multiple symmetric positive solutions to $\sqrt[1.1]{ }-(\sqrt{1.2})$.

Theorem 3.3. Assume that (H1)-(H3) hold, and suppose that $f_{i}$ satisfies the following conditions:
(H4) There exist $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \rho_{3} \in(0, \infty)$, with $\rho_{1}<\gamma \rho_{2}<\rho_{2}<\rho_{3}$ such that

$$
f_{i}^{\left[0, \rho_{1}\right]}<\phi_{p_{i}}\left(N_{i}\right), \quad f_{i\left[\gamma \rho_{2}, \rho_{2}\right]}>\phi_{p_{i}}\left(\gamma M_{i}\right), \quad f_{i}^{\left[0, \rho_{3}\right]} \leq \phi_{p_{i}}\left(N_{i}\right), \quad i=1,2 .
$$

Then (1.1)-1.2 has three symmetric positive solutions in $K$.
Proof. Recall that $(1.1)-(\sqrt{1.2})$ has a solution $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ if and only if the operator $T$ has a fixed point. Thus we set out to verify that the operator $T$ satisfies Lemma 1.1 which will prove the existence of three fixed points of $T$ which satisfies the conclusion of the theorem.

Firstly, we show that $i_{k}\left(T, K_{\rho_{1}}\right)=1$. In fact, by the definition of $T$ and $f_{i}^{\left[0, \rho_{1}\right]}<$ $\phi_{p_{i}}\left(N_{i}\right)$, for $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in \partial K_{\rho_{1}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T_{i}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right\| & =\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|T_{i}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)(t)\right|=T_{i}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)(1 / 2) \\
& =g_{i} \circ \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{\xi}^{1 / 2} q_{i}(\tau) f_{i}\left(\tau, u_{1}(\tau), u_{2}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\int_{0}^{1 / 2} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{1 / 2} q_{i}(\tau) f_{i}\left(\tau, u_{1}(\tau), u_{2}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right) d s \\
& <m_{i} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{\xi}^{1 / 2} q_{i}(\tau) d \tau \phi_{p_{i}}\left(\rho_{1} N_{i}\right)\right) \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{1 / 2} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{1 / 2} q_{i}(\tau) d \tau \phi_{p_{i}}\left(\rho_{1} N_{i}\right)\right) d s \\
& \leq \rho_{1} N_{i}\left[m_{i} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{\xi}^{1 / 2} q_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right)+\int_{0}^{1 / 2} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{1 / 2} q_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right) d s\right] \\
& =\frac{\rho_{1}}{2}=\frac{\left\|\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right\|}{2}, \quad i=1,2
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\left\|T\left(u_{1} u_{2}\right)\right\|=\left\|\left(T_{1}\left(u_{1} u_{2}\right), T_{2}\left(u_{1} u_{2}\right)\right)\right\|=\left\|\left(T_{1}\left(u_{1} u_{2}\right)\|+\| T_{2}\left(u_{1} u_{2}\right)\right)\right\|<\left\|\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right\|
$$

by Lemma 1.1 (1), one has $i_{k}\left(T, K_{\rho_{1}}\right)=1$.
Secondly, we show that $i_{k}\left(T, \Omega_{\rho_{2}}\right)=0$. Let $\left(e_{1}(t), e_{2}(t)\right) \equiv\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ for $t \in[0,1]$, then $\left(e_{1}(t), e_{2}(t)\right) \in \partial K_{1}$. We claim that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \neq T\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)+\lambda\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) & =\left(T_{1}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right), T_{2}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right)+\lambda\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) \\
& =\left(T_{1}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)+\lambda e_{1}, T_{2}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)+\lambda e_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, $u_{i} \neq T_{i}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)+\lambda e_{i}$, for $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in \partial \Omega_{\rho_{2}}, \lambda>0, i=1,2$.
In fact, if not, there exist $\left(u_{1}^{0}, u_{2}^{0}\right) \in \partial \Omega_{\rho_{2}}, \lambda_{0}>0$ such that $\left(u_{1}^{0}, u_{2}^{0}\right)=T\left(u_{1}^{0}, u_{2}^{0}\right)+$ $\lambda_{0}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$. From Lemma 2.6 and $f_{i\left[\gamma \rho_{2}, \rho_{2}\right]}>\phi_{p_{i}}\left(\gamma M_{i}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{i}^{0}(t)= & T_{i}\left(u_{1}^{0}, u_{2}^{0}\right)(t)+\lambda_{0} e_{i}(t) \\
\geq & \delta\left\|T_{i}\left(u_{1}^{0}, u_{2}^{0}\right)\right\|+\frac{\lambda_{0}}{2}=\delta T_{i}\left(u_{1}^{0}, u_{2}^{0}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)+\frac{\lambda_{0}}{2} \\
= & \delta\left[g_{i} \circ \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{\xi}^{1 / 2} q_{i}(\tau) f_{i}\left(\tau, u_{1}^{0}(\tau), u_{2}^{0}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{1 / 2} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{1 / 2} q_{i}(\tau) f_{i}\left(\tau, u_{1}^{0}(\tau), u_{2}^{0}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right) d s\right]+\frac{\lambda_{0}}{2} \\
\geq & \delta \int_{\delta}^{1 / 2} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{1 / 2} q_{i}(\tau) f_{i}\left(\tau, u_{1}^{0}(\tau), u_{2}^{0}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right) d s+\frac{\lambda_{0}}{2} \\
> & \delta \int_{\delta}^{1 / 2} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{1 / 2} q_{i}(\tau) d \tau \phi_{p_{i}}\left(\gamma \rho_{2} M_{i}\right)\right) d s+\frac{\lambda_{0}}{2} \\
= & \delta \gamma \rho_{2} M_{i} \int_{\delta}^{1 / 2} \phi_{p_{i}}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{1 / 2} q_{i}(\tau) d \tau\right) d s+\frac{\lambda_{0}}{2} \\
= & \frac{\gamma \rho_{2}+\lambda_{0}}{2}, i=1,2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $u_{1}^{0}(t)+u_{2}^{0}(t)>\gamma \rho_{2}+\lambda_{0}$. This implies that $\gamma \rho_{2}>\gamma \rho_{2}+\lambda_{0}$, which is a contradiction. Hence by Lemma 1.1 (2), it follows that $i_{k}\left(T, \Omega_{\rho_{2}}\right)=0$.

Finally, similar to the proof of $i_{k}\left(T, K_{\rho_{1}}\right)=1$, we can obtain that $i_{k}\left(T, K_{\rho_{3}}\right)=1$. Therefore, it follows from Lemma 1.1 that $T$ has three fixed points $u_{1} \in K_{\rho_{1}}$, $u_{2} \in \Omega_{\rho_{2}} \backslash \bar{K}_{\rho_{1}}$ and $u_{3} \in K_{\rho_{3}} \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{\rho_{2}}$.

Theorem 3.4. Assume that (H1)-(H3) hold, and suppose that $f_{i}$ satisfies the conditions:
(H5) There exist $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \rho_{3} \in(0, \infty)$, with $\rho_{1}<\rho_{2}<\gamma \rho_{3}$ such that

$$
f_{i\left[\gamma \rho_{1}, \rho_{1}\right]}>\phi_{p_{i}}\left(\gamma M_{i}\right), \quad f_{i}^{\left[0, \rho_{2}\right]}<\phi_{p_{i}}\left(N_{i}\right), \quad f_{i\left[\gamma \rho_{3}, \rho_{3}\right]} \geq \phi_{p_{i}}\left(\gamma M_{i}\right), \quad i=1,2
$$

Then (1.1)- 1.2 has two symmetric positive solutions in $K$.
The proof of the above theorem is similar to that of Theorem 3.3 we omit it here. As a special case of Theorem 3.3, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.5. Assume that (H1)-(H3) hold. In addition, if there exists $\rho \in(0, \infty)$ such that
(H6) $0 \leq f_{i}^{0}<\phi_{p_{i}}\left(N_{i}\right), f_{i[\gamma \rho, \rho]}>\phi_{p_{i}}\left(\gamma M_{i}\right), 0 \leq f_{i}^{\infty}<\phi_{p_{i}}\left(N_{i}\right), i=1,2$.
Then (1.1)-1.2 has three symmetric positive solutions in $K$.
Proof. We show that (H6) implies (H4). It is easy to verify that $0 \leq f_{i}^{0}<$ $\phi_{p_{i}}\left(N_{i}\right)$ implies that there exists $\rho_{1} \in(0, \gamma \rho)$ such that $f_{i}^{\left[0, \rho_{1}\right]}<\phi_{p_{i}}\left(N_{i}\right)$. Let $k_{i} \in\left(f_{i}^{\infty}, \phi_{p_{i}}\left(N_{i}\right)\right)$, then there exists $r>\rho$ such that $\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1} f_{i}\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \leq$ $k_{i} \phi_{p_{i}}\left(u_{1}+u_{2}\right)$ for $u_{1}+u_{2} \in[r, \infty)$ since $0 \leq f_{i}^{\infty}<\phi_{p_{i}}\left(N_{i}\right)$. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
\beta_{i}=\max \left\{\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1} f_{i}\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}\right): 0 \leq u_{1}+u_{2} \leq r\right\} \\
\rho_{3}>\max \left\{\phi_{p_{1}}^{-1}\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\phi_{p_{1}}\left(N_{1}\right)-k_{1}}\right), \phi_{p_{2}}^{-1}\left(\frac{\beta_{2}}{\phi_{p_{2}}\left(N_{2}\right)-k_{2}}\right), \rho\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then

$$
\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1} f_{i}\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \leq k_{i} \phi_{p_{i}}\left(u_{1}+u_{2}\right)+\beta_{i} \leq k_{i} \phi_{p_{i}}\left(\rho_{3}\right)+\beta_{i}<\phi_{p_{i}}\left(N_{i}\right) \phi_{p_{i}}\left(\rho_{3}\right)
$$

for $u_{1}+u_{2} \in\left[0, \rho_{3}\right]$. This implies $f_{i}^{\left[0, \rho_{3}\right]} \leq \phi_{p_{i}}\left(N_{i}\right)$ and (H4) holds.
Similarly, as a special case of Theorem 3.4, we obtain the following result.
Corollary 3.6. Assume that (H1)-(H3) hold. In addition, if there exists $\rho \in(0, \infty)$ such that the following conditions hold
(H7) $\phi_{p_{i}}\left(M_{i}\right)<f_{i 0} \leq \infty, f_{i}^{[0, \rho]}<\phi_{p_{i}}\left(N_{i}\right), \phi_{p_{i}}\left(M_{i}\right)<f_{i \infty} \leq \infty, i=1,2$.
Then 1.1-1.2 has two symmetric positive solutions in $K$.
By an argument similar to that of Theorem 3.3, we can obtain the following results.

Theorem 3.7. Assume that (H1)-(H3) hold. In addition, one of the following two condition holds
(H8) There exist $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2} \in(0, \infty)$ with $\rho_{1}<\gamma \rho_{2}$ such that

$$
f_{i}^{\left[0, \rho_{1}\right]} \leq \phi_{p_{i}}\left(N_{i}\right), \quad f_{i\left[\gamma \rho_{2}, \rho_{2}\right]} \geq \phi_{p_{i}}\left(\gamma M_{i}\right) \quad i=1,2
$$

(H9) There exist $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2} \in(0, \infty)$ with $\rho_{1}<\rho_{2}$ such that

$$
f_{i\left[\gamma \rho_{1}, \rho_{1}\right]} \geq \phi_{p_{i}}\left(\gamma M_{i}\right), \quad f_{i}^{\left[0, \rho_{2}\right]} \leq \phi_{p_{i}}\left(N_{i}\right) \quad i=1,2
$$

Then (1.1)-1.2 has one symmetric positive solution in $K$.
Corollary 3.8. Assume that (H1)-(H3) hold. In addition, one of the following two conditions holds
(H10) $0 \leq f_{i}^{0}<\phi_{p_{i}}\left(N_{i}\right), \phi_{p_{i}}\left(M_{i}\right)<f_{i \infty} \leq \infty, i=1,2$.
(H11) $0 \leq f_{i}^{\infty}<\phi_{p_{i}}\left(N_{i}\right), \phi_{p_{i}}\left(M_{i}\right)<f_{i 0} \leq \infty, i=1,2$.
Then (1.1)-1.2 has one symmetric positive solution in $K$.

## 4. Example

Let $p_{i}=3, q_{i}(t)=2, i=1,2$, in 1.1 and $\xi=1 / 3, \eta=2 / 3$, and $g_{i}$ satisfies (H3) with $m_{i}=1, i=1,2$, in 1.2 . We consider the boundary-value problem

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\left|u_{i}^{\prime}\right| u_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}(t)+q_{i}(t) f_{i}\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=0, \quad t \in(0,1), \quad i=1,2 \\
u_{i}(0)-g_{i}\left(u_{i}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\right)=0, \quad u_{i}(1)+g_{i}\left(u_{i}^{\prime}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)\right)=0, \quad i=1,2 \tag{4.2}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1}\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}\right) & = \begin{cases}t(1-t)\left(u_{1}+u_{2}\right)^{14}+\frac{1}{1000}, & 0 \leq u+v \leq 3 \\
t(1-t) \cdot 3^{14}+\frac{1}{1000}, & u+v>3\end{cases} \\
f_{2}\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}\right) & = \begin{cases}\sqrt{t(1-t)}\left(u_{1}+u_{2}\right)^{13}+\frac{1}{1000}, & 0 \leq u+v \leq 3 \\
\sqrt{t(1-t)} \cdot 3^{13}+\frac{1}{1000}, & u+v>3\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Choose $\rho_{1}=1, \rho_{2}=64(\sqrt{6}+\sqrt{2}), \rho_{3}=1500, \delta=\frac{1}{4}$. we note that

$$
M_{i}=24, \quad N_{i}=\frac{3(\sqrt{6}-\sqrt{2})}{4}, \quad \gamma=\frac{\sqrt{6}-\sqrt{2}}{128}
$$

Consequently, $f_{i}\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}\right), i=1,2$, satisfies

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
f_{1}^{\left[0, \rho_{1}\right]}=0.25<\phi_{p_{1}}\left(N_{1}\right)=0.60, & f_{2}^{\left[0, \rho_{1}\right]}=0.5<\phi_{p_{1}}\left(N_{1}\right)=0.60 \\
f_{1\left[\gamma \rho_{2}, \rho_{2}\right]}=0.05>\phi_{p_{1}}\left(\gamma M_{1}\right)=0.04, & f_{2\left[\gamma \rho_{2}, \rho_{2}\right]}=0.06>\phi_{p_{1}}\left(\gamma M_{1}\right)=0.04, \\
f_{1}^{\left[0, \rho_{3}\right]}=0.53<\phi_{p_{1}}\left(N_{1}\right)=0.60, & f_{2}^{\left[0, \rho_{3}\right]}=0.35<\phi_{p_{1}}\left(N_{1}\right)=0.60 .
\end{array}
$$

Then all the conditions for Theorem 3.3 hold. Thus, $1.1-(1.2$ has three symmetric positive solutions in $K$.
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