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# RESOLUTIONS OF PARABOLIC EQUATIONS IN NON-SYMMETRIC CONICAL DOMAINS 

AREZKI KHELOUFI


#### Abstract

This article is devoted to the analysis of a two-space dimensional linear parabolic equation, subject to Cauchy-Dirichlet boundary conditions. The problem is set in a conical type domain and the right hand side term of the equation is taken in a Lebesgue space. One of the main issues of this work is that the domain can possibly be non regular. This work is an extension of the symmetric case studied in Sadallah (13.


## 1. Introduction

Let $Q$ be an open set of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ defined by

$$
Q=\left\{\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}:\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \Omega_{t}, 0<t<T\right\}
$$

where $T$ is a finite positive number and for a fixed $t$ in the interval $] 0, T\left[, \Omega_{t}\right.$ is a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ defined by

$$
\Omega_{t}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: 0 \leq \frac{x_{1}^{2}}{\varphi^{2}(t)}+\frac{x_{2}^{2}}{h^{2}(t) \varphi^{2}(t)}<1\right\}
$$

Here, $\varphi$ is a continuous real-valued function defined on $[0, T]$, Lipschitz continuous on $[0, T]$ and such that

$$
\varphi(0)=0, \quad \varphi(t)>0
$$

for every $t \in] 0, T] . h$ is a Lipschitz continuous real-valued function defined on $[0, T]$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\delta \leq h(t) \leq \beta \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $t \in[0, T]$, where $\delta$ and $\beta$ are positive constants.
In $Q$, we consider the boundary-value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} u-\partial_{x_{1}}^{2} u-\partial_{x_{2}}^{2} u & =f \in L^{2}(Q)  \tag{1.2}\\
\left.u\right|_{\partial Q-\Gamma_{T}} & =0
\end{align*}
$$

where $L^{2}(Q)$ is the usual Lebesgue space on $Q, \partial Q$ is the boundary of $Q$ and $\Gamma_{T}$ is the part of the boundary of $Q$ where $t=T$.

The difficulty related to this kind of problems comes from this singular situation for evolution problems; i.e., $\varphi$ is allowed to vanish for $t=0$, which prevents the domain $Q$ from being transformed into a regular domain without the appearance of

[^0]some degenerate terms in the parabolic equation, see for example Sadallah [12. In order to overcome this difficulty, we impose a sufficient condition on the function $\varphi$; that is,
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime}(t) \varphi(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

and we obtain existence and regularity results for Problem (1.2) by using the domain decomposition method. More precisely, we will prove that Problem 1.2 has a solution with optimal regularity, that is a solution $u$ belonging to the anisotropic Sobolev space

$$
H_{0}^{1,2}(Q):=\left\{u \in H^{1,2}(Q):\left.u\right|_{\partial Q-\Gamma_{T}}=0\right\}
$$

with

$$
H^{1,2}(Q)=\left\{u \in L^{2}(Q): \partial_{t} u, \partial_{x_{1}}^{j} u, \partial_{x_{2}}^{j} u, \partial_{x_{1}} \partial_{x_{2}} u \in L^{2}(Q), j=1,2\right\}
$$

In Sadallah 13 the same problem has been studied in the case of a symmetric conical domain; i.e., in the case where $h=1$. Further references on the analysis of parabolic problems in non-cylindrical domains are: Alkhutov [1, 2], Degtyarev [4], Labbas, Medeghri and Sadallah [8, 9], Sadallah [12]. There are many other works concerning boundary-value problems in non-smooth domains (see, for example, Grisvard [6] and the references therein).

The organization of this article is as follows. In Section 2, first we prove an uniqueness result for Problem (1.2), then we derive some technical lemmas which will allow us to prove an uniform estimate (in a sense to be defined later). In Section 3, there are two main steps. First, we prove that Problem 1.2 admits a (unique) solution in the case of a domain which can be transformed into a cylinder. Secondly, for $T$ small enough, we prove that the result holds true in the case of a conical domain under the above mentioned assumptions on functions $\varphi$ and $h$. The method used here is based on the approximation of the conical domain by a sequence of subdomains $\left(Q_{n}\right)_{n}$ which can be transformed into regular domains (cylinders). We establish an uniform estimate of the type

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1,2}\left(Q_{n}\right)} \leq K\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{n}\right)}
$$

where $u_{n}$ is the solution of Problem $\sqrt{1.2}$ in $Q_{n}$ and $K$ is a constant independent of $n$. This allows us to pass to the limit. Finally, in Section 4 we complete the proof of our main result (Theorem 4.4).

## 2. Preliminaries

Proposition 2.1. Problem (1.2) is uniquely solvable.
Proof. Let us consider $u \in H_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ a solution of Problem 1.2 with a null righthand side term. So,

$$
\partial_{t} u-\partial_{x_{1}}^{2} u-\partial_{x_{2}}^{2} u=0 \quad \text { in } Q
$$

In addition $u$ fulfils the boundary conditions

$$
\left.u\right|_{\partial Q-\Gamma_{T}}=0
$$

Using Green formula, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{Q}\left(\partial_{t} u-\partial_{x_{1}}^{2} u-\partial_{x_{2}}^{2} u\right) u d t d x_{1} d x_{2}= & \int_{\partial Q}\left(\frac{1}{2}|u|^{2} \nu_{t}-\partial_{x_{1}} u \cdot u \nu_{x_{1}}-\partial_{x_{2}} u . u \nu_{x_{2}}\right) d \sigma \\
& +\int_{Q}\left(\left|\partial_{x_{1}} u\right|^{2}+\left|\partial_{x_{2}} u\right|^{2}\right) d t d x_{1} d x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\nu_{t}, \nu_{x_{1}}, \nu_{x_{2}}$ are the components of the unit outward normal vector at $\partial Q$. Taking into account the boundary conditions, all the boundary integrals vanish except $\int_{\partial Q}|u|^{2} \nu_{t} d \sigma$. We have

$$
\int_{\partial Q}|u|^{2} \nu_{t} d \sigma=\int_{\Gamma_{T}}|u|^{2} d x_{1} d x_{2}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q}\left(\partial_{t} u-\partial_{x_{1}}^{2} u-\partial_{x_{2}}^{2} u\right) u d t d x_{1} d x_{2} \\
& =\int_{\Gamma_{T}} \frac{1}{2}|u|^{2} d x_{1} d x_{2}+\int_{Q}\left(\left|\partial_{x_{1}} u\right|^{2}+\left|\partial_{x_{2}} u\right|^{2}\right) d t d x_{1} d x_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\int_{Q}\left(\partial_{t} u-\partial_{x_{1}}^{2} u-\partial_{x_{2}}^{2} u\right) u d t d x_{1} d x_{2}=0
$$

yields

$$
\int_{Q}\left(\left|\partial_{x_{1}} u\right|^{2}+\left|\partial_{x_{2}} u\right|^{2}\right) d t d x_{1} d x_{2}=0
$$

because

$$
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{T}}|u|^{2} d x_{1} d x_{2} \geq 0
$$

This implies $\left|\partial_{x_{1}} u\right|^{2}+\left|\partial_{x_{2}} u\right|^{2}=0$ and consequently $\partial_{x_{1}}^{2} u=\partial_{x_{2}}^{2} u=0$. Then, the hypothesis $\partial_{t} u-\partial_{x_{1}}^{2} u-\partial_{x_{2}}^{2} u=0$ gives $\partial_{t} u=0$. Thus, $u$ is constant. The boundary conditions imply that $u=0$ in $Q$. This proves the uniqueness of the solution of Problem 1.2.

Remark 2.2. In the sequel, we will be interested only by the question of the existence of the solution of Problem 1.2 .

The following result is well known (see, for example, [11)
Lemma 2.3. Let $D(0,1)$ be the unit disc of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Then, the Laplace operator $\Delta$ : $H^{2}(D(0,1)) \cap H_{0}^{1}(D(0,1)) \rightarrow L^{2}(D(0,1))$ is an isomorphism. Moreover, there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\|v\|_{H^{2}(D(0,1))} \leq C\|\Delta v\|_{L^{2}(D(0,1))}, \forall v \in H^{2}(D(0,1))
$$

In the above lemma, $H^{2}$ and $H_{0}^{1}$ are the usual Sobolev spaces defined, for instance, in Lions-Magenes 11. In section 3, we will need the following result.

Lemma 2.4. Let $t \in] \alpha_{n}, T\left[\right.$, where $\left(\alpha_{n}\right)_{n}$ is a decreasing sequence to zero. Then, there exists a constant $C>0$ independent of $n$ such that for each $u_{n} \in H^{2}\left(\Omega_{t}\right)$, we have
(a) $\left\|\partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{t}\right)}^{2} \leq C \varphi^{2}(t)\left\|\Delta u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{t}\right)}^{2}$,
(b) $\left\|\partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{t}\right)}^{2} \leq C \varphi^{2}(t)\left\|\Delta u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{t}\right)}^{2}$.

Proof. It is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.3. Indeed, let $t \in] \alpha_{n}, T$ [ and define the following change of variables

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(0,1) & \rightarrow \Omega_{t} \\
\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & \mapsto\left(\varphi(t) x_{1}, h(t) \varphi(t) x_{2}\right)=\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Set

$$
v\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=u_{n}\left(\varphi(t) x_{1}, h(t) \varphi(t) x_{2}\right)
$$

then if $v \in H^{2}(D(0,1)), u_{n}$ belongs to $H^{2}\left(\Omega_{t}\right)$.
(a) We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\partial_{x_{1}} v\right\|_{L^{2}(D(0,1))}^{2} & =\int_{D(0,1)}\left(\partial_{x_{1}} v\right)^{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2} \\
& =\int_{\Omega_{t}}\left(\partial_{x_{1}^{\prime}} u_{n}\right)^{2}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right) \varphi^{2}(t) \frac{1}{h(t) \varphi^{2}(t)} d x_{1}^{\prime} d x_{2}^{\prime} \\
& =\frac{1}{h(t)} \int_{\Omega_{t}}\left(\partial_{x_{1}^{\prime}} u_{n}\right)^{2}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right) d x_{1}^{\prime} d x_{2}^{\prime} \\
& =\frac{1}{h(t)}\left\|\partial_{x_{1}^{\prime}} u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{t}\right)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\Delta v\|_{L^{2}(D(0,1))}^{2} & =\int_{D(0,1)}\left[\left(\partial_{x_{1}}^{2} v+\partial_{x_{2}}^{2} v\right)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]^{2} d x_{1} d x_{2} \\
& =\int_{\Omega_{t}}\left(\varphi^{2}(t) \partial_{x_{1}^{\prime}}^{2} u_{n}+(h \varphi)^{2}(t) \partial_{x_{2}^{\prime}}^{2} u_{n}\right)^{2}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right) \frac{d x_{1}^{\prime} d x_{2}^{\prime}}{\left(h \varphi^{2}\right)(t)} \\
& =\frac{\varphi^{2}(t)}{h(t)} \int_{\Omega_{t}}\left(\partial_{x_{1}^{\prime}}^{2} u_{n}+h^{2}(t) \partial_{x_{2}^{\prime}}^{2} u_{n}\right)^{2}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right) d x_{1}^{\prime} d x_{2}^{\prime} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \varphi^{2}(t)\left\|\Delta u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{t}\right)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta$ is the constant which appears in 1.1. Using Lemma 2.3 and the condition (1.1), we obtain the desired inequality.
(b) We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\partial_{x_{2}} v\right\|_{L^{2}(D(0,1))}^{2} & =\int_{D(0,1)}\left(\partial_{x_{2}} v\right)^{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2} \\
& =\int_{\Omega_{t}}\left(\partial_{x_{2}^{\prime}} u_{n}\right)^{2}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right) h^{2}(t) \varphi^{2}(t) \frac{1}{h(t) \varphi^{2}(t)} d x_{1}^{\prime} d x_{2}^{\prime} \\
& =h(t) \int_{\Omega_{t}}\left(\partial_{x_{2}^{\prime}} u_{n}\right)^{2}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right) d x_{1}^{\prime} d x_{2}^{\prime} \\
& =h(t)\left\|\partial_{x_{2}^{\prime}} u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{t}\right)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\|\Delta v\|_{L^{2}(D(0,1))}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \varphi^{2}(t)\left\|\Delta u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{t}\right)}^{2}
$$

Using the inequality

$$
\left\|\partial_{x_{2}} v\right\|_{L^{2}(D(0,1))}^{2} \leq C\|\Delta v\|_{L^{2}(D(0,1))}^{2}
$$

of Lemma 2.3 and condition (1.1), we obtain the desired inequality

$$
\left\|\partial_{x_{2}^{\prime}} u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{t}\right)}^{2} \leq C \varphi^{2}(t)\left\|\Delta u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{t}\right)}^{2}
$$

## 3. Local in time result

3.1. Case of a truncated domain $Q_{\alpha}$. In this subsection, we replace $Q$ by $Q_{\alpha}$

$$
Q_{\alpha}=\left\{\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}: \frac{1}{\alpha}<t<T, 0 \leq \frac{x_{1}^{2}}{\varphi^{2}(t)}+\frac{x_{2}^{2}}{h^{2}(t) \varphi^{2}(t)}<1\right\}
$$

with $\alpha>0$.
Theorem 3.1. The problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{t} u-\partial_{x_{1}}^{2} u-\partial_{x_{2}}^{2} u=f \in L^{2}\left(Q_{\alpha}\right), \\
\left.u\right|_{\partial Q_{\alpha}-\Gamma_{T}}=0, \tag{3.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

admits a unique solution $u \in H^{1,2}\left(Q_{\alpha}\right)$.
Proof. The change of variables

$$
\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \mapsto\left(t, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\left(t, \frac{x_{1}}{\varphi(t)}, \frac{x_{2}}{h(t) \varphi(t)}\right)
$$

transforms $Q_{\alpha}$ into the cylinder $\left.P_{\alpha}=\right] \frac{1}{\alpha}, T\left[\times D\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}, 1\right)\right.$, where $D\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}, 1\right)$ is the unit disk centered on $\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}, 0,0\right)$. Putting $u\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=v\left(t, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ and $f\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=g\left(t, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$, then Problem 3.1) is transformed, in $P_{\alpha}$ into the variable-coefficient parabolic problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\partial_{t} v-\frac{1}{\varphi^{2}(t)} \partial_{y_{1}}^{2} v-\frac{1}{h^{2}(t) \varphi^{2}(t)} \partial_{y_{2}}^{2} v-\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(t) y_{1}}{\varphi(t)} \partial_{y_{1}} v-\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}(t) y_{2}}{h(t) \varphi(t)} \partial_{y_{2}} v=g \\
\left.v\right|_{\partial P_{\alpha}-\Gamma_{T}}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

This change of variables conserves the spaces $H^{1,2}$ and $L^{2}$. In other words

$$
\begin{gathered}
f \in L^{2}\left(Q_{\alpha}\right) \Rightarrow g \in L^{2}\left(P_{\alpha}\right) \\
u \in H^{1,2}\left(Q_{\alpha}\right) \Rightarrow v \in H^{1,2}\left(P_{\alpha}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Proposition 3.2. The operator

$$
-\left[\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(t) y_{1}}{\varphi(t)} \partial_{y_{1}}+\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}(t) y_{2}}{h(t) \varphi(t)} \partial_{y_{2}}\right]: H_{0}^{1,2}\left(P_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(P_{\alpha}\right)
$$

is compact.
Proof. $P_{\alpha}$ has the horn property of Besov (see [3]). So, for $j=1,2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{y_{j}} \quad H_{0}^{1,2}\left(P_{\alpha}\right) & \rightarrow H^{\frac{1}{2}, 1}\left(P_{\alpha}\right) \\
& \mapsto
\end{aligned}
$$

is continuous. Since $P_{\alpha}$ is bounded, the canonical injection is compact from $H^{\frac{1}{2}, 1}\left(P_{\alpha}\right)$ into $L^{2}\left(P_{\alpha}\right)$ (see for instance [3]), where

$$
H^{1 / 2,1}\left(P_{\alpha}\right)=L^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}, T ; H^{1}\left(D\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}, 1\right)\right)\right) \cap H^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}, T ; L^{2}\left(D\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}, 1\right)\right)\right)
$$

For the complete definitions of the $H^{r, s}$ Hilbertian Sobolev spaces see for instance (11.

Consider the composition

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\partial_{y_{j}}: \quad H_{0}^{1,2}\left(P_{\alpha}\right) & \rightarrow & H^{\frac{1}{2}, 1}\left(P_{\alpha}\right) & \rightarrow \\
L^{2}\left(P_{\alpha}\right) \\
v & \mapsto & \partial_{y_{j}} v & \mapsto
\end{array} \partial_{y_{j}} v,
$$

then $\partial_{y_{j}}$ is a compact operator from $H_{0}^{1,2}\left(P_{\alpha}\right)$ into $L^{2}\left(P_{\alpha}\right)$. Since $-\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(t)}{\varphi(t)},-\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}(t)}{h(t) \varphi(t)}$ are bounded functions, the operators $-\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(t) y_{1}}{\varphi(t)} \partial_{y_{1}},-\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}(t) y_{2}}{h(t) \varphi(t)} \partial_{y_{2}}$ are also compact from $H_{0}^{1,2}\left(P_{\alpha}\right)$ into $L^{2}\left(P_{\alpha}\right)$. Consequently,

$$
-\left[\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(t) y_{1}}{\varphi(t)} \partial_{y_{1}}+\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}(t) y_{2}}{h(t) \varphi(t)} \partial_{y_{2}}\right]
$$

is compact from $H_{0}^{1,2}\left(P_{\alpha}\right)$ to $L^{2}\left(P_{\alpha}\right)$.
So, to complete the proof of Theorem[3.1, it is sufficient to show that the operator

$$
\partial_{t}-\frac{1}{\varphi^{2}(t)} \partial_{y_{1}}^{2}-\frac{1}{h^{2}(t) \varphi^{2}(t)} \partial_{y_{2}}^{2}
$$

is an isomorphism from $H_{0}^{1,2}\left(P_{\alpha}\right)$ into $L^{2}\left(P_{\alpha}\right)$.
Lemma 3.3. The operator

$$
\partial_{t}-\frac{1}{\varphi^{2}(t)} \partial_{y_{1}}^{2}-\frac{1}{h^{2}(t) \varphi^{2}(t)} \partial_{y_{2}}^{2}
$$

is an isomorphism from $H_{0}^{1,2}\left(P_{\alpha}\right)$ to $L^{2}\left(P_{\alpha}\right)$.
Proof. Since the coefficients $\frac{1}{\varphi^{2}(t)}$ and $\frac{1}{h^{2}(t) \varphi^{2}(t)}$ are bounded in $\overline{P_{\alpha}}$, the optimal regularity is given by Ladyzhenskaya-Solonnikov-Ural'tseva 10 .

We shall need the following result to justify the calculus of this section.
Lemma 3.4. The space

$$
\left\{u \in H^{4}\left(P_{\alpha}\right):\left.u\right|_{\partial_{p} P_{\alpha}}=0\right\}
$$

is dense in the space

$$
\left\{u \in H^{1,2}\left(P_{\alpha}\right):\left.u\right|_{\partial_{p} P_{\alpha}}=0\right\} .
$$

Here, $\partial_{p} P_{\alpha}$ is the parabolic boundary of $P_{\alpha}$ and $H^{4}$ stands for the usual Sobolev space defined, for instance, in Lions-Magenes [11].

The proof of the above lemma can be found in [7.
Remark 3.5. In Lemma 3.4, we can replace $P_{\alpha}$ by $Q_{\alpha}$ with the help of the change of variables defined above.
3.2. Case of a conical type domain. In this case, we define $Q$ by

$$
Q=\left\{\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}: 0<t<T, 0 \leq \frac{x_{1}^{2}}{\varphi^{2}(t)}+\frac{x_{2}^{2}}{h^{2}(t) \varphi^{2}(t)}<1\right\}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(0)=0, \quad \varphi(t)>0, \quad t \in] 0, T] . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that the functions $h$ and $\varphi$ satisfy conditions 1.1) and 1.3). For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, we define $Q_{n}$ by

$$
Q_{n}=\left\{\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}: \frac{1}{n}<t<T, 0 \leq \frac{x_{1}^{2}}{\varphi^{2}(t)}+\frac{x_{2}^{2}}{h^{2}(t) \varphi^{2}(t)}<1\right\}
$$

and we denote $f_{n}=f_{/ Q_{n}}$ and $u_{n} \in H^{1,2}\left(Q_{n}\right)$ the solution of Problem 1.2 in $Q_{n}$. Such a solution exists by Theorem 3.1.

Proposition 3.6. There exists a constant $K_{1}$ independent of $n$ such that

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1,2}\left(Q_{n}\right)} \leq K_{1}\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{n}\right)} \leq K_{1}\|f\|_{L^{2}(Q)}
$$

where $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1,2}\left(Q_{n}\right)}=\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(Q_{n}\right)}^{2}+\sum_{i, j=1}^{2}\left\|\partial_{x_{i}} \partial_{x_{j}} u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{n}\right)}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$.
To prove Proposition 3.6, we need the following result which is a consequence of Lemma 2.4 and Grisvard-Looss [5] (see Theorem 2.2).
Lemma 3.7. There exists a constant $C>0$ independent of $n$ such that

$$
\left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{2} u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{n}\right)}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x_{2}}^{2} u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{n}\right)}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x_{1} x_{2}}^{2} u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{n}\right)}^{2} \leq C\left\|\Delta u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{n}\right)}^{2}
$$

Proof of Proposition 3.6. Let us denote the inner product in $L^{2}\left(Q_{n}\right)$ by $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{n}\right)}^{2} & =\left\langle\partial_{t} u_{n}-\Delta u_{n}, \partial_{t} u_{n}-\Delta u_{n}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\|\partial_{t} u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{n}\right)}^{2}+\left\|\Delta u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{n}\right)}^{2}-2\left\langle\partial_{t} u_{n}, \Delta u_{n}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Estimation of $-2\left\langle\partial_{t} u_{n}, \Delta u_{n}\right\rangle$ : We have

$$
\partial_{t} u_{n} \cdot \Delta u_{n}=\partial_{x_{1}}\left(\partial_{t} u_{n} \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right)+\partial_{x_{2}}\left(\partial_{t} u_{n} \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \partial_{t}\left[\left(\partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right)^{2}+\left(\partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right)^{2}\right] .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2\left\langle\partial_{t} u_{n}, \Delta u_{n}\right\rangle= & -2 \int_{Q_{n}} \partial_{t} u_{n} . \Delta u_{n} d t d x_{1} d x_{2} \\
= & -2 \int_{Q_{n}}\left[\partial_{x_{1}}\left(\partial_{t} u_{n} \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right)+\partial_{x_{2}}\left(\partial_{t} u_{n} \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right)\right] d t d x_{1} d x_{2} \\
& +\int_{Q_{n}} \partial_{t}\left[\left(\partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right)^{2}+\left(\partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right)^{2}\right] d t d x_{1} d x_{2} \\
= & \int_{\partial Q_{n}}\left[\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} \nu_{t}-2 \partial_{t} u_{n}\left(\partial_{x_{1}} u_{n} \nu_{x_{1}}+\partial_{x_{2}} u_{n} \nu_{x_{2}}\right)\right] d \sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\nu_{t}, \nu_{x_{1}}, \nu_{x_{2}}$ are the components of the unit outward normal vector at $\partial Q_{n}$. We shall rewrite the boundary integral making use of the boundary conditions. On the part of the boundary of $Q_{n}$ where $t=\frac{1}{n}$, we have $u_{n}=0$ and consequently $\partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}=\partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}=0$. The corresponding boundary integral vanishes. On the part of the boundary where $t=T$, we have $\nu_{x_{1}}=0, \nu_{x_{2}}=0$ and $\nu_{t}=1$. Accordingly the corresponding boundary integral

$$
A=\int_{\Gamma_{T}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x_{1} d x_{2}
$$

is nonnegative. On the part of the boundary where $\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{\varphi^{2}(t)}+\frac{x_{2}^{2}}{h^{2}(t) \varphi^{2}(t)}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu_{x_{1}} & =\frac{h(t) \cos \theta}{\sqrt{\left(\varphi^{\prime}(t) h(t) \cos ^{2} \theta+(h \varphi)^{\prime}(t) \sin ^{2} \theta\right)^{2}+(h(t) \cos \theta)^{2}+\sin ^{2} \theta}} \\
\nu_{x_{2}} & =\frac{\sin \theta}{\sqrt{\left(\varphi^{\prime}(t) h(t) \cos ^{2} \theta+(h \varphi)^{\prime}(t) \sin ^{2} \theta\right)^{2}+(h(t) \cos \theta)^{2}+\sin ^{2} \theta}} \\
\nu_{t} & =\frac{-\left(\varphi^{\prime}(t) h(t) \cos ^{2} \theta+(h \varphi)^{\prime}(t) \sin ^{2} \theta\right)}{\sqrt{\left(\varphi^{\prime}(t) h(t) \cos ^{2} \theta+(h \varphi)^{\prime}(t) \sin ^{2} \theta\right)^{2}+(h(t) \cos \theta)^{2}+\sin ^{2} \theta}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $u_{n}(t, \varphi(t) \cos \theta, h(t) \varphi(t) \sin \theta)=0$. Differentiating with respect to $t$ then with respect to $\theta$ we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\partial_{t} u_{n}=-\varphi^{\prime}(t) \cos \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}-(h \varphi)^{\prime}(t) \sin \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n} \\
\sin \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}=h(t) \cos \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}
\end{gathered}
$$

Consequently the corresponding boundary integral is

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{n}= & -2 \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{1 / n}^{T} \partial_{t} u_{n} \cdot\left(h \varphi \cos \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}+h \varphi \sin \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right) d t d \theta \\
& -\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{1 / n}^{T}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\left((h \varphi)^{\prime} \varphi \sin ^{2} \theta+\varphi^{\prime}(h \varphi) \cos ^{2} \theta\right) d t d \theta \\
= & 2 \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{1 / n}^{T}\left\{\left(\varphi^{\prime} \cos \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}+(h \varphi)^{\prime} \sin \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times\left(h \varphi \cos \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}+h \varphi \sin \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right)\right\} d t d \theta \\
& -\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{1 / n}^{T}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\left((h \varphi)^{\prime} \varphi \sin ^{2} \theta+\varphi^{\prime} h \varphi \cos ^{2} \theta\right) d t d \theta \\
= & 2 \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{1 / n}^{T}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\left((h \varphi)^{\prime} \varphi \sin ^{2} \theta+\varphi^{\prime} h \varphi \cos ^{2} \theta\right) d t d \theta \\
& -\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{1 / n}^{T}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\left((h \varphi)^{\prime} \varphi \sin ^{2} \theta+\varphi^{\prime} h \varphi \cos ^{2} \theta\right) d t d \theta \\
= & \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{1 / n}^{T}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\left((h \varphi)^{\prime} \varphi \sin ^{2} \theta+\varphi^{\prime} h \varphi \cos ^{2} \theta\right) d t d \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally,

$$
\begin{align*}
-2\left\langle\partial_{t} u_{n}, \Delta u_{n}\right\rangle= & \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{1 / n}^{T}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\left((h \varphi)^{\prime} \varphi \sin ^{2} \theta+\varphi^{\prime} h \varphi \cos ^{2} \theta\right) d t d \theta  \tag{3.3}\\
& +\int_{\Gamma_{T}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\left(T, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 3.8. One has

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2\left\langle\partial_{t} u_{n}, \Delta u_{n}\right\rangle= & 2 \int_{Q_{n}}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi} x_{1} \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}+\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}}{h \varphi} x_{2} \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right) \Delta u_{n} d t d x_{1} d x_{2} \\
& +\int_{\Gamma_{T}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\left(T, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. For $\frac{1}{n}<t<T$, consider the following parametrization of the domain $\Omega_{t}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(0,2 \pi) & \rightarrow \Omega_{t} \\
\theta & \rightarrow(\varphi(t) \cos \theta, h(t) \varphi(t) \sin \theta)=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Let us denote the inner product in $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{t}\right)$ by $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$, and set

$$
I_{n}=\left\langle\Delta u_{n}, \frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi} x_{1} \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}+\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}}{h \varphi} x_{2} \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right\rangle
$$

then we have

$$
I_{n}=\int_{\Omega_{t}}\left(\partial_{x_{1}}^{2} u_{n}+\partial_{x_{2}}^{2} u_{n}\right)\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi} x_{1} \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}+\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}}{h \varphi} x_{2} \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \int_{\Omega_{t}}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi} x_{1} \partial_{x_{1}}^{2} u_{n} \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}+\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}}{h \varphi} x_{2} \partial_{x_{2}}^{2} u_{n} \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2} \\
& +\int_{\Omega_{t}}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi} x_{1} \partial_{x_{2}}^{2} u_{n} \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}+\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}}{h \varphi} x_{2} \partial_{x_{1}}^{2} u_{n} \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Green formula, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{n}= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{t}}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi} x_{1} \partial_{x_{1}}\left(\partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right)^{2}+\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}}{h \varphi} x_{2} \partial_{x_{2}}\left(\partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right)^{2}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2} \\
& +\int_{\Omega_{t}}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi} x_{1} \partial_{x_{2}}\left(\partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right) \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}+\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}}{h \varphi} x_{2} \partial_{x_{1}}\left(\partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right) \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2} \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega_{t}}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi} x_{1} \nu_{x_{1}}\left(\partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right)^{2}+\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}}{h \varphi} x_{2} \nu_{x_{2}}\left(\partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right)^{2}\right) d \sigma \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{t}}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi}\left(\partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right)^{2}+\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}}{h \varphi}\left(\partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right)^{2}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2} \\
& +\int_{\partial \Omega_{t}}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi} x_{1} \nu_{x_{2}}+\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}}{h \varphi} x_{2} \nu_{x_{1}}\right) \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n} \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n} d \sigma \\
& -\int_{\Omega_{t}}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi} x_{1} \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n} \partial_{x_{1} x_{2}}^{2} u_{n}+\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}}{h \varphi} x_{2} \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n} \partial_{x_{1} x_{2}}^{2} u_{n}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\nu_{x_{1}}, \nu_{x_{2}}$ are the components of the unit outward normal vector at $\partial \Omega_{t}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{n}= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega_{t}}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi} x_{1} \nu_{x_{1}}\left(\partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right)^{2}+\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}}{h \varphi} x_{2} \nu_{x_{2}}\left(\partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right)^{2}\right) d \sigma \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{t}}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi}\left(\partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right)^{2}+\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}}{h \varphi}\left(\partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right)^{2}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2} \\
& +\int_{\partial \Omega_{t}}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi} x_{1} \nu_{x_{2}}+\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}}{h \varphi} x_{2} \nu_{x_{1}}\right) \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n} \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n} d \sigma \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{t}}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi} x_{1} \partial_{x_{1}}\left(\partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right)^{2}+\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}}{h \varphi} x_{2} \partial_{x_{2}}\left(\partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right)^{2}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{n}= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega_{t}}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi} x_{1} \nu_{x_{1}}\left(\partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right)^{2}+\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}}{h \varphi} x_{2} \nu_{x_{2}}\left(\partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right)^{2}\right) d \sigma \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{t}}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi}\left(\partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right)^{2}+\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}}{h \varphi}\left(\partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right)^{2}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2} \\
& +\int_{\partial \Omega_{t}}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi} x_{1} \nu_{x_{2}}+\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}}{h \varphi} x_{2} \nu_{x_{1}}\right) \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n} \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n} d \sigma \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega_{t}}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi} x_{1} \nu_{x_{1}}\left(\partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right)^{2}+\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}}{h \varphi} x_{2} \nu_{x_{2}}\left(\partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right)^{2}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{t}}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi}\left(\partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right)^{2}+\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}}{h \varphi}\left(\partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right)^{2}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and then

$$
I_{n}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega_{t}}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi} x_{1} \nu_{x_{1}}\left(\partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right)^{2}+\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}}{h \varphi} x_{2} \nu_{x_{2}}\left(\partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right)^{2}\right) d \sigma
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\int_{\partial \Omega_{t}}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi} x_{1} \nu_{x_{2}}+\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}}{h \varphi} x_{2} \nu_{x_{1}}\right) \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n} \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n} d \sigma \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega_{t}}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi} x_{1} \nu_{x_{1}}\left(\partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right)^{2}+\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}}{h \varphi} x_{2} \nu_{x_{2}}\left(\partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right)^{2}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{n}= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi} \varphi h \varphi\left(\cos \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right)^{2}+\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}}{h \varphi} \varphi h \varphi\left(\sin \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right)^{2}\right) d \theta \\
& +\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi} \varphi^{2}+\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}}{h \varphi}(h \varphi)^{2}\right) \sin \theta \cos \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n} \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n} d \theta \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi} \varphi h \varphi\left(\cos \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right)^{2}+\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}}{h \varphi} \varphi h \varphi\left(\sin \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right)^{2}\right) d \theta \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\varphi^{\prime} h \varphi\left(\cos \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right)^{2}+\varphi(h \varphi)^{\prime}\left(\sin \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right)^{2}\right) d \theta \\
& +\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\varphi^{\prime} \varphi+(h \varphi)^{\prime} h \varphi\right) \sin \theta \cos \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n} \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n} d \theta \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\varphi^{\prime} h \varphi\left(\cos \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right)^{2}+\varphi(h \varphi)^{\prime}\left(\sin \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right)^{2}\right) d \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

The boundary condition $u_{n}(t, \varphi(t) \cos \theta, h(t) \varphi(t) \sin \theta)=0$ leads to

$$
\sin \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}=h(t) \cos \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}
$$

then

$$
\sin \theta \cos \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n} \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}=h(t)\left(\cos \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right)^{2}
$$

and

$$
h(t) \sin \theta \cos \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n} \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}=\left(\sin \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right)^{2} .
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{n}= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\varphi^{\prime} h \varphi\left(\cos \theta . \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right)^{2}+\varphi(h \varphi)^{\prime}\left(\sin \theta . \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right)^{2}\right) d \theta \\
& +\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\varphi^{\prime} h \varphi\left(\cos \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right)^{2}+\varphi(h \varphi)^{\prime}\left(\sin \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right)^{2}\right) d \theta \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\varphi^{\prime} h \varphi\left(\cos \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right)^{2}+\varphi(h \varphi)^{\prime}\left(\sin \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right)^{2}\right) d \theta \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\varphi^{\prime} h \varphi\left(\cos \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right)^{2}+\varphi(h \varphi)^{\prime}\left(\sin \theta . \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right)^{2}\right) d \theta \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\varphi^{\prime} h \varphi\left(\cos \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right)^{2}+\varphi(h \varphi)^{\prime}\left(\sin \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right)^{2}\right) d \theta \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left\{\varphi^{\prime} h \varphi\left(\cos \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right)^{2}+\varphi(h \varphi)^{\prime}\left(\sin \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right)^{2}\right. \\
+ & \left.\varphi^{\prime} h \varphi\left(\cos \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right)^{2}+\varphi(h \varphi)^{\prime}\left(\sin \theta \cdot \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right)^{2}\right\} d \theta \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[\left(\partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right)^{2}+\left(\partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right)^{2}\right]\left(\varphi(h \varphi)^{\prime} \sin ^{2} \theta+\varphi^{\prime} h \varphi \cos ^{2} \theta\right) d \theta .
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
I_{n}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\left(\varphi(h \varphi)^{\prime} \sin ^{2} \theta+\varphi^{\prime} h \varphi \cos ^{2} \theta\right) d \theta
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{1 / n}^{T} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\left(\varphi(h \varphi)^{\prime} \sin ^{2} \theta+\varphi^{\prime} h \varphi \cos ^{2} \theta\right) d t d \theta \\
& =2 \int_{Q_{n}}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi} x_{1} \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}+\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}}{h \varphi} x_{2} \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right) \Delta u_{n} d t d x_{1} d x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, by (3.3), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2\left\langle\partial_{t} u_{n}, \Delta u_{n}\right\rangle= & 2 \int_{Q_{n}}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi} x_{1} \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}+\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}}{h \varphi} x_{2} \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right) \Delta u_{n} d t d x_{1} d x_{2} \\
& +\int_{\Gamma_{T}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\left(T, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we continue the proof of Proposition 3.6. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{Q_{n}}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi} x_{1} \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}+\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}}{h \varphi} x_{2} \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right) \Delta u_{n} d t d x_{1} d x_{2}\right| \\
& \leq\left\|\Delta u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{n}\right)}\left\|\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi} x_{1} \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{n}\right)}+\left\|\Delta u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{n}\right)}\left\|\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}}{h \varphi} x_{2} \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{n}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

but Lemma 2.4 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi} x_{1} \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{n}\right)}^{2} & =\int_{1 / n}^{T} \varphi^{\prime 2}(t) \int_{\Omega_{t}}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\varphi(t)}\right)^{2}\left(\partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right)^{2} d t d x_{1} d x_{2} \\
& \leq \int_{1 / n}^{T} \varphi^{\prime 2}(t) \int_{\Omega_{t}}\left(\partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}\right)^{2} d t d x_{1} d x_{2} \\
& \leq C^{2} \int_{1 / n}^{T}\left(\varphi(t) \varphi^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} \int_{\Omega_{t}}\left(\Delta u_{n}\right)^{2} d t d x_{1} d x_{2} \\
& \leq C^{2} \epsilon^{2}\left\|\Delta u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{n}\right)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\left(\varphi(t) \varphi^{\prime}(t)\right) \leq \epsilon$. Similarly, we have

$$
\left\|\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}}{h \varphi} x_{2} \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{n}\right)}^{2} \leq C^{2} \epsilon^{2}\left\|\Delta u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{n}\right)}^{2}
$$

Then

$$
\left|\int_{Q_{n}}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi} x_{1} \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}+\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}}{h \varphi} x_{2} \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right) \Delta u_{n} d t d x_{1} d x_{2}\right| \leq 2 C \epsilon\left\|\Delta u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{n}\right)}^{2}
$$

Therefore, Lemma 3.8 shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|2\left\langle\partial_{t} u_{n}, \Delta u_{n}\right\rangle\right| \geq & -2\left|\int_{Q_{n}}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi} x_{1} \partial_{x_{1}} u_{n}+\frac{(h \varphi)^{\prime}}{h \varphi} x_{2} \partial_{x_{2}} u_{n}\right) \Delta u_{n} d t d x_{1} d x_{2}\right| \\
& +\int_{\Gamma_{T}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\left(T, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2} \\
\geq & -4 C \epsilon\left\|\Delta u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{n}\right)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{n}\right)}^{2} & =\left\|\partial_{t} u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{n}\right)}^{2}+\left\|\Delta u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{n}\right)}^{2}-2\left\langle\partial_{t} u_{n}, \Delta u_{n}\right\rangle \\
& \geq\left\|\partial_{t} u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{n}\right)}^{2}+(1-4 C \epsilon)\left\|\Delta u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{n}\right)}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, it is sufficient to choose $\epsilon$ such that $1-4 C \epsilon>0$ to get a constant $K_{0}>0$ independent of $n$ such that

$$
\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{n}\right)} \geq K_{0}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1,2}\left(Q_{n}\right)}
$$

and since

$$
\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{n}\right)} \leq\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{n}\right)}
$$

there exists a constant $K_{1}>0$, independent of $n$ satisfying

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1,2}\left(Q_{n}\right)} \leq K_{1}\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{n}\right)} \leq K_{1}\|f\|_{L^{2}(Q)}
$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 3.6
Passage to the limit. We are now in position to prove the main result of this work.

Theorem 3.9. Assume that the functions $h$ and $\varphi$ verify the conditions (1.1), (1.3) and (3.2). Then, for $T$ small enough, Problem (1.2) admits a unique solution $u \in H^{1,2}(Q)$.
Proof. Choose a sequence $Q_{n} n=1,2, \ldots$, of truncated conical domains (see subsection 3.2) such that $Q_{n} \subseteq Q$. Then we have $Q_{n} \rightarrow Q$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Consider the solution $u_{n} \in H^{1,2}\left(Q_{n}\right)$ of the Cauchy-Dirichlet problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\partial_{t} u_{n}-\partial_{x_{1}}^{2} u_{n}-\partial_{x_{2}}^{2} u_{n}=f \quad \text { in } Q_{n} \\
\left.u_{n}\right|_{\partial Q_{n}-\Gamma_{T}}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\Gamma_{T}$ is the part of the boundary of $Q_{n}$ where $t=T$. Such a solution $u_{n}$ exists by Theorem 3.1. Let $\widetilde{u}_{n}$ the 0 -extension of $u_{n}$ to $Q$. By Proposition 3.6, we know that there exists a constant $C$ such that

$$
\left\|\widetilde{u}_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(Q)}+\left\|\partial_{t} \widetilde{u}_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(Q)}+\sum_{i, j=0,1 \leq i+j \leq 2}^{2}\left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{j} \widetilde{u}_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(Q)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{2}(Q)}
$$

This means that $\widetilde{u}_{n}, \partial_{t} \widetilde{u}_{n}, \partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{j} \widetilde{u}_{n}$ for $1 \leq i+j \leq 2$ are bounded functions in $L^{2}(Q)$. So for a suitable increasing sequence of integers $n_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots$, there exist functions $u, v, v_{i, j}, 1 \leq i+j \leq 2$ in $L^{2}(Q)$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\widetilde{u}_{n_{k}} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}(Q) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \\
\partial_{t} \widetilde{u}_{n_{k}} \rightharpoonup v \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}(Q) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \\
\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{j} \widetilde{u}_{n_{k}} \rightharpoonup v_{i, j} \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}(Q) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty, 1 \leq i+j \leq 2
\end{gathered}
$$

Clearly,

$$
v=\partial_{t} u, \quad v_{i, j}=\partial_{x_{1}}^{i} \partial_{x_{2}}^{j} u, \quad 1 \leq i+j \leq 2
$$

in the sense of distributions in $Q$ and so in $L^{2}(Q)$. So, $u \in H^{1,2}(Q)$ and

$$
\partial_{t} u-\partial_{x_{1}}^{2} u-\partial_{x_{2}}^{2} u=f \quad \text { in } Q
$$

On the other hand, the solution $u$ satisfies the boundary conditions $\left.u\right|_{\partial Q-\Gamma_{T}}=0$ since $\left.u\right|_{Q_{n}}=u_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$. This proves the existence of a solution to Problem (1.2).

Assume that $Q$ satisfies $(3.2)$. In the case where $T$ is not in the neighborhood of zero, we set $Q=D_{1} \cup D_{2} \cup \Gamma_{T_{1}}$ where

$$
\begin{gathered}
D_{1}=\left\{\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}: 0<t<T_{1}, 0 \leq \frac{x_{1}^{2}}{\varphi^{2}(t)}+\frac{x_{2}^{2}}{(h \varphi)^{2}(t)}<1\right\} \\
D_{2}=\left\{\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}: T_{1}<t<T, 0 \leq \frac{x_{1}^{2}}{\varphi^{2}(t)}+\frac{x_{2}^{2}}{(h \varphi)^{2}(t)}<1\right\} \\
\Gamma_{T_{1}}=\left\{\left(T_{1}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}: 0 \leq \frac{x_{1}^{2}}{\varphi^{2}\left(T_{1}\right)}+\frac{x_{2}^{2}}{(h \varphi)^{2}\left(T_{1}\right)}<1\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

with $T_{1}$ small enough.
In the sequel, $f$ stands for an arbitrary fixed element of $L^{2}(Q)$ and $f_{i}=\left.f\right|_{D_{i}}$, $i=1,2$.

Theorem 3.9 applied to the conical domain $D_{1}$, shows that there exists a unique solution $u_{1} \in H^{1,2}\left(D_{1}\right)$ of the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{t} u_{1}-\partial_{x_{1}}^{2} u_{1}-\partial_{x_{2}}^{2} u_{1}=f_{1}, \quad f_{1} \in L^{2}\left(D_{1}\right) \\
\left.u_{1}\right|_{\partial D_{1}-\Gamma_{T_{1}}}=0 . \tag{4.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Hereafter, we denote the trace $u_{1 / \Gamma_{T_{1}}}$ by $\psi$ which is in the Sobolev space $H^{1}\left(\Gamma_{T_{1}}\right)$ because $u_{1} \in H^{1,2}\left(D_{1}\right)$ (see [11]).

Now, consider the following problem in $D_{2}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{t} u_{2}-\partial_{x_{1}}^{2} u_{2}-\partial_{x_{2}}^{2} u_{2}=f_{2} \quad f_{2} \in L^{2}\left(D_{2}\right) \\
u_{2 / \Gamma_{T_{1}}}=\psi  \tag{4.2}\\
\left.u_{2}\right|_{\partial D_{2}-\left(\Gamma_{\left.T_{1} \cup \Gamma_{T}\right)}\right)}=0
\end{gather*}
$$

We use the following result, which is a consequence of [11, Theorem 4.3, Vol. 2], to solve Problem 4.2.

Proposition 4.1. Let $Q$ be the cylinder $] 0, T\left[\times D(0,1), f \in L^{2}(Q)\right.$ and $\psi \in H^{1}\left(\gamma_{0}\right)$. Then, the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\partial_{t} u-\partial_{x_{1}}^{2} u-\partial_{x_{2}}^{2} u=f \text { in } Q \\
\left.u\right|_{\gamma_{0}}=\psi \\
\left.u\right|_{\gamma_{0} \cup \gamma_{1}}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\left.\gamma_{0}=\{0\} \times D(0,1), \gamma_{1}=\right] 0, T[\times \partial D(0,1)$, admits a (unique) solution $u \in$ $H^{1,2}(Q)$.

Remark 4.2. In the application of [11, Theorem 4.3, Vol.2], we can observe that there are no compatibility conditions to satisfy because $\partial_{x} \psi$ is only in $L^{2}\left(\gamma_{0}\right)$.

Thanks to the transformation

$$
\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \mapsto\left(t, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\left(t, \varphi(t) x_{1},(h \varphi)(t) x_{2}\right)
$$

we deduce the following result.
Proposition 4.3. Problem 4.2 admits a (unique) solution $u_{2} \in H^{1,2}\left(D_{2}\right)$.

So, the function $u$ defined by

$$
u= \begin{cases}u_{1} & \text { in } D_{1} \\ u_{2} & \text { in } D_{2}\end{cases}
$$

is the (unique) solution of Problem (1.2) for an arbitrary $T$. Our second main result is as follows.

Theorem 4.4. Assume that the functions $h$ and $\varphi$ verify conditions (1.1), 1.3) and (3.2). Then, Problem (1.2) admits a unique solution $u \in H^{1,2}(Q)$.
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