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# EXISTENCE AND MULTIPLICITY OF SOLUTIONS FOR A SINGULAR SEMILINEAR ELLIPTIC PROBLEM IN $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ 

MANASSÉS DE SOUZA


#### Abstract

Using minimax methods we study the existence and multiplicity of nontrivial solutions for a singular class of semilinear elliptic nonhomogeneous equation where the potentials can change sign and the nonlinearities may be unbounded in $x$ and behaves like $\exp \left(\alpha s^{2}\right)$ when $|s| \rightarrow+\infty$. We establish the existence of two distinct solutions when the perturbation is suitable small.


## 1. Introduction

In this article, we consider the semilinear elliptic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+V(x) u=\frac{g(x) f(u)}{|x|^{a}}+h(x) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a \in[0,2)$, the functions $V, g: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous with $f(0)=0$ and $h \in\left(H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right)^{*} \equiv H^{-1}$ is a small perturbation, $h \not \equiv 0$. We are interested in finding nontrivial solutions of (1.1) when the nonlinearity $f(s)$ has the maximal growth which allows to treat 1.1 variationally in the Sobolev space $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.

On the potentials we assume the hypothesis
(V1) There exist $D>0$ such that $V(x) \geq-D$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$;
(V2) $\lambda_{1}=\inf _{u \in E \backslash\{0\}}\|u\|_{E}^{2} /\|u\|_{2}^{2}>0$;
where $E$ is the following subspace of $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$

$$
E=\left\{u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right): \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} V(x) u^{2} \mathrm{~d} x<\infty\right\}
$$

which is a Hilbert space endowed with the scalar product

$$
\langle u, v\rangle_{E}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}[\nabla u \cdot \nabla v+V(x) u v] \mathrm{d} x
$$

to which corresponds the norm $\|u\|_{E}=\langle u, u\rangle_{E}^{1 / 2}$ (see [17, Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 3.1]). Here, as usual, $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ denotes the Sobolev spaces modelled in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$

[^0]with norm
$$
\|u\|_{1,2}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+|u|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

To ensure the continuous imbedding of $E$ into $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, we assume the condition (V2) on the first eigenvalue of the operator $A=-\Delta+V(x)$ (see [17, Proposition 2.2]).

We use the following notation: if $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is open and $s \geq 2$, we set

$$
\nu_{s}(\Omega)=\inf _{u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega}\left[|\nabla u|^{2}+V(x) u^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} x}{\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{s} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{2 / s}},
$$

and we put $\nu_{s}(\emptyset)=\infty$. To obtain a compactness result, we shall consider the following assumptions:
(V3) $\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \bar{B}_{R}\right)=\infty$.
(V4) There exist a function $K(x) \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, with $K(x) \geq 1$, and constants $\alpha>1, c_{0}, R_{0}>0$ such that

$$
K(x) \leq c_{0}\left[1+\left(V^{+}(x)\right)^{1 / \alpha}\right]
$$

for all $|x| \geq R_{0}$, where $V^{+}(x)=\max _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}}\{0, V(x)\}$.
It is also well known that assumptions (V3)-(V4) imply that the imbeddings of $E$ into $L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ are compact for all $2 \leq q<\infty$ (see [17, Proposition 3.1]).

Concerning the function $g$, we assume that it is strictly positive and does not have to be bounded in $x$ provided that the growth of $g$ is controlled by the growth of $V(x)$. More precisely:
(H1) There exists $a_{0}, b_{0}>0$ such that $a_{0} \leq g(x) \leq b_{0} K(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$.
Moreover, we suppose that $f(s)$ satisfies the following conditions:
(H2) $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(s)}{s}=0$.
(H3) There is a number $\mu>2$ such that for all $s \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$

$$
0<\mu F(s):=\mu \int_{0}^{s} f(t) \mathrm{d} t \leq s f(s)
$$

Motivated by Trudinger-Moser inequality (see [14, 19]) and by pioneer works of Adimurthi 11 and de Figueiredo et al. 6] we treat the so-called subcritical case, which we define next. We say that a function $f(s)$ has subcritical growth at $+\infty$ if for all $\beta>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|s| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{|f(s)|}{e^{\beta s^{2}}}=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout this paper, we denote by $H^{-1}$ the dual space of $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ with the usual norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^{-1}}$.

Next we state our existence result.
Theorem 1.1. If $f(s)$ has subcritical growth at $+\infty$ and (V1)-(V4), (H1)-(H3) are satisfied then problem (1.1) has a weak solution with positive energy if $h \equiv 0$. Moreover, if $h \not \equiv 0$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that if $\|h\|_{H^{-1}}<\delta$, problem (1.1) has at least two weak solutions. One of them with positive energy, while the other one with negative energy.

The results in this paper were in part motivated by several recent papers on elliptic problems involving exponential growth. See for example de Souza [7] for the singular and homogeneous case, Giacomoni-Sreenadh [13] for the singular and
nonhomogeneous case, do Ó et al. 12 and Tonkes [18] for the nonsingular and nonhomogeneous case, Cao [5], de Figueiredo et al. 6] and do Ó 11 for the nonsingular and homogeneous case. Our paper is closely related to the recent works of do Ó et al. 12 and Rabelo [15]. Indeed, we improve and complement the results in do Ó et al. [12] for the subcritical case in the sense that we use nonlinearities unbounded in $x$ and potentials which can change sign. Moreover in [12] was studied the existence and multiplicity of weak solutions of (1.1) in terms of the Trudinger-Moser inequality for the nonsingular case. We point out that ours results are closely related with results in [3, 7, 8, 9, 10].

The proofs of our existence results rely on minimization methods in combination with the mountain-pass theorem. In the subcritical case we are able to prove that the associated functional satisfies the Palais-Smale compactness condition which allow us to obtain critical points for the functional. As a consequence we can distinguish the local minimum solution from the mountain-pass solution.

Remark 1.2. The study of such a class of problem has been motivated in part by the search for standing waves for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (see for instance [4] and [16])

$$
i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}=-\Delta \psi+W(x) \psi-G(|\psi|) \psi-e^{i \lambda t} L(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}
$$

where $\psi=\psi(t, x), \psi: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \lambda$ is a positive constant, $W: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a given potential and for suitable functions $G: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, L: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

This article is organized as follows. Section 2 contains some preliminary results including a singular Trudinger-Moser inequality. In Section 3, contains the variational framework and we also check the geometric conditions of the associated functional. In Section 4, we prove some properties of the Palais-Smale sequences. Finally, in section 5 we complete the proofs of our main results.

## 2. Preliminary Results

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, we know by the Trudinger-Moser inequality that for all $\beta>0$ and $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), e^{\beta u^{2}} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ (see [14, [19]). Moreover, there exists a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\sup _{u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega):\|\nabla u\|_{2} \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} e^{\beta u^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C|\Omega| \quad \text { if } \beta \leq 4 \pi
$$

where $|\Omega|$ denotes Lebesgue measure of $\Omega$. This inequality is optimal, in the sense that for any growth $e^{\beta u^{2}}$ with $\beta>4 \pi$ the correspondent supremum is infinite. Adimurthi-Sandeep [2] proved a singular Trudinger-Moser inequality, which in the case $N=2$ reads:

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{e^{\beta u^{2}}}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x<\infty \quad \text { for all } u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), \beta>0
$$

where $\Omega$ is a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ containing the origin and $a \in[0,2)$. Moreover, there exists a positive constant $C(\beta, a)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega):\|\nabla u\|_{2} \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} \frac{e^{\beta u^{2}}}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C(\beta, a)|\Omega| \quad \text { if and only if } \quad \beta / 4 \pi+a / 2 \leq 1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we shall use the following extension of these results for the whole space $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ obtained by Giacomoni and Sreenadh in [13] (see also [7]):
Lemma 2.1. If $\beta>0, a \in[0,2)$ and $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{\left(e^{\beta u^{2}}-1\right)}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x<\infty \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $\beta / 4 \pi+a / 2<1$ and $\|u\|_{2} \leq M$, then there exists a positive constant $C=C(\beta, M)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\|\nabla u\|_{2} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{\left(e^{\beta u^{2}}-1\right)}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C(\beta, M) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our choice of the variational setting $E$ ensures that the imbedding is continuous in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and compact in $L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, for $s \geq 2$ (see [17, Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 3.1]). This lemma in [17] provides a inequality which will be needed throughout the paper:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{E}^{2} \geq \zeta \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\zeta>0$ and for all $u \in E$.
Lemma 2.2. Let $\beta>0$ and $r \geq 1$. Then for each $\theta>r$ there exists a positive constant $C=C(\theta)$ such that for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\left(e^{\beta s^{2}}-1\right)^{r} \leq C\left(e^{\theta \beta s^{2}}-1\right)
$$

In particular, for $r \in[1, \alpha)$, we have that $K(x)^{r} \frac{\left(e^{\beta u^{2}}-1\right)^{r}}{|x|^{a}}$ belongs to $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ for all $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.
Proof. The proof of the inequality above is a consequence of L'Hospital Rule (see [12, Lemma 2.2] for a proof). Now, as $K(x) \in L_{\text {loc }}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, for $R>1$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} K(x)^{r} \frac{\left(e^{\beta u^{2}}-1\right)^{r}}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq C_{1} \int_{|x| \leq R} \frac{\left(e^{\beta u^{2}}-1\right)^{r}}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{|x|>R} K(x)^{r}\left(e^{\beta u^{2}}-1\right)^{r} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq C_{2} \int_{|x| \leq R} \frac{\left(e^{\theta \beta u^{2}}-1\right)}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x+C_{3} \int_{|x|>R} K(x)^{r}\left(e^{\theta \beta u^{2}}-1\right) \mathrm{d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

From Lemma 2.1 it follows that the first term is integrable. To estimate the other term, we note that

$$
\int_{|x|>R} K(x)^{r}\left(e^{\theta \beta u^{2}}-1\right) \mathrm{d} x=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\theta \beta)^{m}}{m!} \int_{|x|>R} K(x)^{r}|u|^{2 m} \mathrm{~d} x .
$$

By (V4) and Hölder inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} K(x)^{r}|u|^{2 m} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq C_{4}\|u\|_{2 m}^{2 m}+C_{5} \int_{|x|>R_{0}}\left(V^{+}(x)\right)^{r / \alpha}|u|^{2 m} \mathrm{~d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leq C_{4}\|u\|_{2 m}^{2 m}+C_{5}\left[\int_{|x|>R_{0}} V^{+}(x)|u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right]^{r / \alpha}\left[\int_{|x|>R_{0}}|u|^{2(m \alpha-r) /(\alpha-r)}\right]^{(\alpha-r) / \alpha}
$$

By (V2) and the continuous imbedding $E \hookrightarrow L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, for all $s \geq 2$, we can conclude that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} K(x)^{r}|u|^{2 m} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C\|u\|_{E}^{2 m}
$$

Thus, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} K(x)^{r} \frac{\left(e^{\beta u^{2}}-1\right)^{r}}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq C_{1} \int_{|x| \leq R} \frac{\left(e^{\theta \beta u^{2}}-1\right)}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x+C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!}\left(\theta \beta\|u\|_{E}^{2}\right)^{m}  \tag{2.5}\\
& \leq C_{1} \int_{|x| \leq R} \frac{\left(e^{\theta \beta u^{2}}-1\right)}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x+C\left[\exp \left(\theta \beta\|u\|_{E}^{2}\right)-1\right]<\infty
\end{align*}
$$

which completes the proof.
Corollary 2.3. If $v \in E, \beta>0, q>0$ and $\|v\|_{E} \leq M$ with $\frac{\beta M^{2}}{4 \pi \zeta}+\frac{a}{2}<1$, then there exists $C=C(\beta, M, q, \zeta)>0$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} K(x)|v|^{q} \frac{\left(e^{\beta v^{2}}-1\right)}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C\|v\|_{E}^{q}
$$

Proof. By Hölder inequality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} K(x)|v|^{q} \frac{\left(e^{\beta v^{2}}-1\right)}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x \leq\|v\|_{q s}^{q}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} K(x)^{r} \frac{\left(e^{\beta v^{2}}-1\right)^{r}}{|x|^{a r}} \mathrm{~d} x\right]^{1 / r} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r>1$ is close to 1 and $s=r /(r-1)$. Now, we consider $\theta>r$ close to $r$ such that $\frac{\theta \beta M^{2}}{4 \pi \zeta}+\frac{a r}{2}<1$. By 2.5 and Lemma 2.1. we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} K(x)|v|^{q} \frac{\left(e^{\beta v^{2}}-1\right)}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq\left\{C_{1} \int_{|x| \leq R} \frac{\left[e^{\frac{\theta \beta M^{2}}{\zeta}}\left(\frac{v}{\|\nabla v\|_{2}}\right)^{2}-1\right]}{|x|^{a r}} \mathrm{~d} x+C_{2}\left[\exp \left(\theta \beta M^{2}\right)-1\right]\right\}^{1 / r}\|v\|_{q s}^{q}  \tag{2.7}\\
& \leq C_{3}\|v\|_{E}^{q}
\end{align*}
$$

To show that the weak limit of a Palais-Smale sequence in $E$ is a weak solution of (1.1) we will use the following convergence result, which is a version of Lemma 2.1 in [6].
Lemma 2.4. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be a bounded domain and $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuous function. Then for any sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ in $L^{1}(\Omega)$ such that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{1}(\Omega)$,

$$
\frac{g(x) f\left(u_{n}\right)}{|x|^{a}} \in L^{1}(\Omega) \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{g(x)\left|f\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}\right|}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C_{1}
$$

up to a subsequence we have

$$
\frac{g(x) f\left(u_{n}\right)}{|x|^{a}} \rightarrow \frac{g(x) f(u)}{|x|^{a}} \quad \text { in } L^{1}(\Omega)
$$

Proof. It suffices to prove

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|g(x) f\left(u_{n}\right)\right|}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \frac{|g(x) f(u)|}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

Since $u, g(x) f(u) /|x|^{a} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$, for each $\epsilon>0$ there is a $\delta>0$ such that for any measurable subset $A \subset \Omega$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{A}|u| \mathrm{d} x<\epsilon \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{A} \frac{|g(x) f(u)|}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x<\epsilon \quad \text { if }|A| \leq \delta . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next using the fact that $u \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ we find $M_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\{x \in \Omega:|u(x)| \geq M_{1}\right\}\right| \leq \delta \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $M=\max \left\{M_{1}, C_{1} / \epsilon\right\}$. We write

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|g(x) f\left(u_{n}\right)\right|}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\Omega} \frac{|g(x) f(u)|}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x\right| \leq I_{1, n}+I_{2, n}+I_{3, n}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{1, n}=\int_{\left[\left|u_{n}\right| \geq M\right]} \frac{\left|g(x) f\left(u_{n}\right)\right|}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x, \\
I_{2, n}=\left|\int_{\left[\left|u_{n}\right|<M\right]} \frac{\left|g(x) f\left(u_{n}\right)\right|}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{[|u|<M]} \frac{|g(x) f(u)|}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x\right|, \\
I_{3, n}=\int_{[|u| \geq M]} \frac{|g(x) f(u)|}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x .
\end{gathered}
$$

Now we estimate each integral separately.

$$
I_{1, n}=\int_{\left[\left|u_{n}\right| \geq M\right]} \frac{\left|g(x) f\left(u_{n}\right)\right|}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{\left[\left|u_{n}\right| \geq M\right]} \frac{\left|g(x) f\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}\right|}{\left|u_{n}\right||x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x \leq \frac{C_{1}}{M} \leq \epsilon
$$

From (2.8 and 2.9), we have $I_{3, n} \leq \epsilon$.
Next we claim $I_{2, n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2, n} \leq & \left|\int_{\Omega} \frac{\mathcal{X}_{\left[\left|u_{n}\right|<M\right]}\left(\left|g(x) f\left(u_{n}\right)\right|-|g(x) f(u)|\right)}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x\right| \\
& +\left|\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(\mathcal{X}_{\left[\left|u_{n}\right|<M\right]}-\mathcal{X}_{[|u|<M]}\right)|g(x) f(u)|}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

and $g_{n}(x)=\mathcal{X}_{\left[\left|u_{n}\right|<M\right]}\left(\left|g(x) f\left(u_{n}\right)\right|-|g(x) f(u)|\right) \rightarrow 0$ almost everywhere in $\Omega$. Moreover,

$$
\left|g_{n}(x)\right| \leq \begin{cases}|g(x) f(u)| & \text { if }\left|u_{n}(x)\right| \geq M \\ C+|g(x) f(u)| & \text { if }\left|u_{n}(x)\right|<M\end{cases}
$$

where $C=\sup \{|g(x) f(t)|:(x, t) \in \bar{\Omega} \times[-M, M]\}$. So, by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we obtain

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} \frac{\mathcal{X}_{\left[\left|u_{n}\right|<M\right]}\left(\left|g(x) f\left(u_{n}\right)\right|-|g(x) f(u)|\right)}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x\right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Moreover,

$$
\left\{x \in \Omega:\left|u_{n}(x)\right|<M\right\} \backslash\{x \in \Omega:|u(x)|<M\} \subset\{x \in \Omega:|u(x)| \geq M\}
$$

Hence by 2.8),

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(\mathcal{X}_{\left[\left|u_{n}\right|<M\right]}-\mathcal{X}_{[|u|<M]}\right)|g(x) f(u)|}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x\right| \leq \int_{[|u| \geq M]} \frac{|g(x) f(u)|}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x<\epsilon,
$$

which completes the proof.

## 3. The variational framework

We now consider the functional $I$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left[|\nabla u|^{2}+V(x) u^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{g(x) F(u)}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} h(x) u \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under our assumptions we have that $I$ is well-defined and is $C^{1}$ on $E$. Indeed, by $\left(H_{2}\right)$, given $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that $|f(s)| \leq \varepsilon|s|$ always that $|s|<\delta$. On the other hand, for $\beta>0$ we have that there exists $C>0$ such that $|f(s)| \leq$ $C\left(e^{\beta s^{2}}-1\right)$ for all $s \geq \delta$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(s)| \leq \varepsilon|s|+C_{1}\left(e^{\beta s^{2}}-1\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. By (H1), (H3), (V4) and Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{g(x) F(u)}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x \leq & \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{K(x) u^{2}}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x+C_{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{K(x)|u|\left(e^{\beta u^{2}}-1\right)}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
\leq & C_{1} \int_{|x| \leq 1} \frac{u^{2}}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x+\varepsilon \int_{|x|>1} K(x) u^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +C_{2}\|u\|_{s}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} K(x)^{r} \frac{\left(e^{\beta u^{2}}-1\right)^{r}}{|x|^{a r}} \mathrm{~d} x\right]^{1 / r}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r \in[1, \alpha)$ and $s=r /(r-1)$, with $a r<2$. Considering the continuous imbedding $E \hookrightarrow L_{K(x)}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ for $s \geq 2, a \in[0,2)$ and Lemma 2.2, it follows that $g(x) F(u) /|x|^{a} \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ which implies that $I$ is well defined.

Next, we show that $I$ is in $C^{1}$ on $E$. Indeed, letting $N(u)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} g(x) F(u) /|x|^{a} \mathrm{~d} x$, we have by dominated convergence theorem that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), \phi\right\rangle & =\langle u, \phi\rangle_{E}-\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t}[N(u+t \phi)-N(u)]-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} h(x) \phi \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\langle u, \phi\rangle_{E}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{g(x) f(u) \phi}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} h(x) \phi \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\phi \in E$. As $I^{\prime}(u)$ is linear and bounded, it suffices to show that the Gateaux derivative of $I$ is continuous. It is clear that the first and last term are $C^{1}$. Hence, it remains to prove that $N$ is $C^{1}$. Let $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $E$. By Proposition 2.7 in [12], there exists a subsequence $\left(u_{n_{k}}\right)$ in $E$ and $\ell(x) \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ such that $u_{n_{k}}(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ and $\left|u_{n_{k}}(x)\right| \leq \ell(x)$ almost everywhere in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Given $\xi \in E$, we define

$$
H_{n_{k}}(x)=\frac{g(x) f\left(u_{n_{k}}(x)\right) \xi(x)}{|x|^{a}}
$$

Then

$$
H_{n_{k}}(x) \rightarrow H(x)=\frac{g(x) f(u(x)) \xi(x)}{|x|^{a}} \quad \text { almost everywhere in } \mathbb{R}^{2}
$$

Using 3.2 and Lemma 2.1, we obtain that $H_{n_{k}}(x)$ is integrable, it follows by dominated convergence theorem that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} H_{n_{k}}(x) \mathrm{d} x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} H(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

Thus, for each $\xi \in E$ with $\|\xi\|_{E}=1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|N^{\prime}\left(u_{n_{k}}\right)-N^{\prime}(u)\right\|_{E^{*}} & =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{\|\xi\|_{E}=1}\left|\left\langle N^{\prime}\left(u_{n_{k}}\right)-N^{\prime}(u), \xi\right\rangle\right| \\
& =\sup _{\|\xi\|_{E}=1} \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{g(x)\left[f\left(u_{n_{k}}\right)-f(u)\right] \xi}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x=0
\end{aligned}
$$

and the proof is complete.
The geometric conditions of the mountain-pass theorem for the functional $I$ are established by our next two lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that (V1)-(V2), (V4), (H1)-(H3) and $\sqrt{1.2}$ ) are satisfied. Then there exists $\delta>0$ such that for each $h \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ with $\|h\|_{H^{-1}}<\delta$, there exists $\rho_{h}>0$ such that

$$
I(u)>0 \quad \text { whenever } \quad\|u\|_{E}=\rho_{h} .
$$

Proof. In the same manner that $\sqrt{3.2}$ was obtained, we can see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(s)| \leq \varepsilon|s|+C_{1}|s|^{q}\left(e^{\beta s^{2}}-1\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $q>2$. Thus, considering the continuous imbedding $E \hookrightarrow L_{K(x)}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ for $s \geq 2$ (see [17, Proposition 3.1]), we obtain for $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(u) & \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{E}^{2}-\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{K(x) u^{2}}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x-C_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{K(x)|u|^{q+1}\left(e^{\beta u^{2}}-1\right)}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} h(x) u \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \geq\left(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon\right)\|u\|_{E}^{2}-C_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{K(x)|u|^{q+1}\left(e^{\beta u^{2}}-1\right)}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} h(x) u \mathrm{~d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

and since $\frac{\beta \sigma^{2}}{4 \pi \zeta}+\frac{a}{2}<1$ if $\|u\|_{E}<\sigma$ is sufficiently small, we can apply Corollary 2.3 to conclude that

$$
I(u) \geq\left(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon\right)\|u\|_{E}^{2}-C\|u\|_{E}^{q+1}-\|h\|_{H^{-1}}\|u\|_{E}
$$

Thus there exists $\rho_{h}>0$ such that $I(u)>0$ whenever $\|u\|_{E}=\rho_{h}$ and $\|h\|_{H^{-1}}$ is sufficiently small. Indeed, for $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small and $q>2$, we may choose $\rho_{h}>0$ such that

$$
\left(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon\right) \rho_{h}-C_{1} \rho_{h}^{q}>0
$$

Thus, for $\|h\|_{H^{-1}}$ sufficiently small there exists $\rho_{h}>0$ such that $I(u)>0$ if $\|u\|_{E}=\rho_{h}$.

Lemma 3.2. Assume that (H1), (H3) and 1.2 are satisfied. Then there exists $e \in E$ with $\|e\|_{E}>\rho_{h}$ such that

$$
I(e)<\inf _{\|u\|=\rho_{h}} I(u)
$$

Proof. Let $u \in E \backslash\{0\}$ with compact support and $u \geq 0$. Integrating (H3) we obtain that there exist $c, d>0$ such that

$$
F(s) \geq c s^{\mu}-d
$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, denoting $K=\operatorname{supp}(u)$ and using (H1), we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(t u) & \leq \frac{t^{2}}{2}\|u\|_{E}^{2}-c t^{\mu} \int_{K} \frac{g(x) u^{\mu}}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x+d \int_{K} \frac{g(x)}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x-t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} h(x) u \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \frac{t^{2}}{2}\|u\|_{E}^{2}-C_{1} t^{\mu} \int_{K} \frac{u^{\mu}}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x+C_{2}(|K|)-t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} h(x) u \mathrm{~d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $t>0$, which implies that $I(t u) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Setting $e=t u$ with $t$ large enough, the proof is complete.

To find an appropriate ball to use a minimization argument we need the following result.

Lemma 3.3. If $f(s)$ satisfies 1.2 and $h \neq 0$, there exist $\eta>0$ and $v \in E$ with $\|v\|_{E}=1$ such that $I(t v)<0$ for all $0<t<\eta$. In particular,

$$
\inf _{\|u\| \leq \eta} I(u)<0
$$

Proof. For each $h \in H^{-1}$, by applying the Riesz representation theorem in the space $E$, the problem

$$
-\Delta v+V(x) v=h, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}
$$

has a unique weak solution $v$ in $E$. Thus,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} h(x) v \mathrm{~d} x=\|v\|_{E}^{2}>0 \quad \text { for each } h \neq 0
$$

Since $f(0)=0$, by continuity, it follows that there exists $\eta>0$ such that

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} I(t v)=t\|v\|_{E}^{2}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{g(x) f(t v) v}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} h(x) v \mathrm{~d} x<0
$$

for all $0<t<\eta$. Using that $I(0)=0$, it must hold that $I(t v)<0$ for all $0<t<\eta$.

## 4. Palais-Smale sequences

To prove that a Palais-Smale sequence converges to a solution of problem 1.1) we need to establish the following lemma.
Lemma 4.1. Assume (H3) and that $f(s)$ satisfies 1.2 . Let $\left(u_{n}\right)$ in $E$ such that $I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Then $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{E} \leq C$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{g(x)\left|f\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}\right|}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{g(x) F\left(u_{n}\right)}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C .
$$

Proof. Let $\left(u_{n}\right) \subset E$ be a sequence such that $I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, that is, for any $\varphi \in E$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{E}^{2}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{g(x) F\left(u_{n}\right)}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} h(x) u_{n} \mathrm{~d} x=c+\delta_{n} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left[\nabla u_{n} \nabla \varphi+V(x) u_{n} \varphi\right] \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{g(x) f\left(u_{n}\right) \varphi}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} h(x) \varphi \mathrm{d} x\right| \leq \varepsilon_{n}\|\varphi\|_{E} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Taking $\varphi=u_{n}$ in 4.2) and using (H3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu\left(c+\delta_{n}\right)+\varepsilon_{n}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{E}+(\mu-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} h(x) u_{n} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \geq\left(\frac{\mu}{2}-1\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{E}^{2}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{g(x)\left[\mu F\left(u_{n}\right)-f\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}\right]}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \geq\left(\frac{\mu}{2}-1\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{E}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{E} \leq C$ and by (4.1) and 4.2), we obtain

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{g(x) F\left(u_{n}\right)}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{g(x)\left|f\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}\right|}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C
$$

Corollary 4.2. Let $\left(u_{n}\right)$ a Palais-Smale sequence for $I$. Then $\left(u_{n}\right)$ has a subsequence, still denoted by $\left(u_{n}\right)$, which is weakly convergent to a weak solution of (1.1).

Proof. Using Lemma 4.1, up to a subsequence, we can assume that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $E$. Now, from 4.2, taking the limit and using Lemma 2.4 we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}(\nabla u \nabla \varphi+V(x) u \varphi) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{g(x) f(u)}{|x|^{a}} \varphi \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} h(x) \varphi \mathrm{d} x=0
$$

for all $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. Since $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ is dense in $E$, we conclude that $u$ is a weak solution of 1.1 .

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $\left(u_{n}\right)$ in $E$ such that $I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. We will use the MountainPass Theorem to obtain a nontrivial solution of 1.1. Since

$$
\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{E}^{2}=\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-I^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{g(x)\left[f\left(u_{n}\right)-f(u)\right]}{|x|^{a}}\left(u_{n}-u\right) \mathrm{d} x
$$

we have that the Palais-Smale condition is satisfied if

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{g(x)\left[f\left(u_{n}\right)-f(u)\right]}{|x|^{a}}\left(u_{n}-u\right) \mathrm{d} x=0
$$

By (3.2) and Hölder inequality, we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{g(x)\left[f\left(u_{n}\right)-f(u)\right]}{|x|^{a}}\left|u_{n}-u\right| \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq \\
& \quad C_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} K(x)|x|^{-a}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|+|u|\right)\left|u_{n}-u\right| \mathrm{d} x \\
& \quad+C_{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} K(x)\left[\frac{\left(e^{\beta u_{n}^{2}}-1\right)}{|x|^{a}}+\frac{\left(e^{\beta u^{2}}-1\right)}{|x|^{a}}\right]\left|u_{n}-u\right| \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq \\
& \quad C_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} K(x)|x|^{-a}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|+|u|\right)\left|u_{n}-u\right| \mathrm{d} x \\
& \quad+C_{2}\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{s}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} K(x)^{r}\left[\frac{\left(e^{\beta u_{n}^{2}}-1\right)^{r}}{|x|^{a r}}+\frac{\left(e^{\beta u^{2}}-1\right)^{r}}{|x|^{a r}}\right] \mathrm{d} x\right\}^{1 / r}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $r>1$ close to 1 such that $a r<2$ and $s=r /(r-1)$. Since $f(s)$ has subcritical growth and $E \hookrightarrow L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ is compact for $s \geq 2$, the second term converges to zero.

Now, to estimate the other term we will use Hölder inequality, Young inequality and that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{E} \leq C$, thus we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} K(x)|x|^{-a}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|+|u|\right)\left|u_{n}-u\right| \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq \sqrt{2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{K(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{2}}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{K(x)|u|^{2}}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{K(x)\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2}}{|x|^{a}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq C_{1}\left\{C_{2}\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{s}^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} K(x)\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right\}^{1 / 2} \tag{5.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (V4), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} K(x)\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =\int_{|x| \leq R_{0}} K(x)\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{|x|>R_{0}} K(x)\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \max _{|x| \leq R_{0}}\{K(x)\} \int_{|x| \leq R_{0}}\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x  \tag{5.2}\\
& \quad+\int_{|x|>R_{0}} c_{0}\left[1+\left(V^{+}(x)\right)^{1 / \alpha}\right]\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq C\left\{\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{|x|>R_{0}} V^{+}(x)^{1 / \alpha}\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

By Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{|x|>R_{0}} V^{+}(x)^{1 / \alpha}\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq\left[\int_{|x|>R_{0}} V^{+}(x)\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right]^{1 / \alpha}\left[\int_{|x|>R_{0}}\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{(2 \alpha-2) /(\alpha-1)} \mathrm{d} x\right]^{(\alpha-1) / \alpha} \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and by (V1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{|x|>R_{0}} V^{+}(x)\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} V(x)\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{|x| \leq R_{0}} V(x)\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{|x|>R_{0}} V^{-}(x)\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left[\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}-u\right)\right|^{2}+V(x)\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} x . \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

From (5.3, 5.4) in (5.2) and using (V3), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} K(x)\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq C\left\{\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left(\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{E}^{2}+D\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)^{1 / \alpha}\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{(2 \alpha-2) /(\alpha-1)}^{(2 \alpha-2) / \alpha}\right\}  \tag{5.5}\\
& \leq C\left\{\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left(1+\frac{D}{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{1 / \alpha}\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{E}^{2 / \alpha}\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{(2 \alpha-2) /(\alpha-1)}^{(2 \alpha-2) / \alpha}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, by (5.1),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} K(x)|x|^{-a}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|+|u|\right)\left|u_{n}-u\right| \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq C_{1}\left\{C_{2}\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{s}^{2}+\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{2}^{2}+C_{3}\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{E}^{2 / \alpha}\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{2}^{2(\alpha-1) / \alpha}\right\}^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By compact embedding of $E$ in $L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ for any $s \geq 2$, we obtain

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} K(x)|x|^{-a}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|+|u|\right)\left|u_{n}-u\right| \mathrm{d} x \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty
$$

Hence the Palais-Smale condition is satisfied. Therefore, the functional $I$ has a critical point $u_{M}$ at minimax level

$$
\begin{gathered}
c_{M}=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I(\gamma(t))>0, \\
\Gamma=\{\gamma \in C(E, \mathbb{R}): \gamma(0)=0, \gamma(1)=e\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

On the other hand, if $h \not \equiv 0$, then we obtain a second solution of 1.1 with negative energy. Indeed, let $\rho_{h}$ be as in Lemma 3.1. Since $\bar{B}_{\rho_{h}}$ is a complete metric space with the metric given by norm of $E$, convex and the functional $I$ is of class $C^{1}$ and bounded below on $\bar{B}_{\rho_{h}}$, it follows by Ekeland variational principle that there exists a sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ in $\bar{B}_{\rho_{h}}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{0}=\inf _{\|u\|_{E} \leq \rho_{h}} I(u) \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{E^{\prime}} \rightarrow 0 \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now apply the argument above again to conclude that 1.1) possesses a solution $u_{0}$ such that $I\left(u_{0}\right)=c_{0}<0$.
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