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# EXISTENCE OF PERIODIC SOLUTIONS FOR SECOND ORDER DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH IMPULSES 

LIJUN PAN

Abstract. Using the coincidence degree theory by Mawhin, we prove the existence of periodic solutions for the second-order delay differential equations with impulses

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+f\left(t, x^{\prime}(t)\right)+g\left(x(t-\tau(t))=p(t), \quad t \geq 0, t \neq t_{k},\right. \\
\Delta x\left(t_{k}\right)=I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \\
\Delta x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)=J_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

We obtain new existence results and illustrated them by an example.

## 1. Introduction

This article concerns the existence of periodic solutions for the second-order delay differential equations with impulses

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+f\left(t, x^{\prime}(t)\right)+g\left(x(t-\tau(t))=p(t), t \geq 0, t \neq t_{k}\right. \\
\Delta x\left(t_{k}\right)=I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right),  \tag{1.1}\\
\Delta x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)=J_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Delta x\left(t_{k}\right)=x\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right), x\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{k}^{+}} x(t), x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)=\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{k}^{-}} x(t)$ and $x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)=x\left(t_{k}\right) ;$ also $\Delta x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)=x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{k}^{+}} x^{\prime}(t), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)=$ $\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{k}^{-}} x^{\prime}(t)$ and $x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)=x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)$.

We assume that the following conditions:
(H1) $f \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and $f(t+T, x)=f(t, x), g \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), p, \tau \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with $\tau(t+T)=\tau(t), p(t+T)=p(t) ;$
(H2) $\left\{t_{k}\right\}$ satisfies $t_{k}<t_{k+1}$ and $\lim _{k \rightarrow \pm \infty} t_{k}= \pm \infty, k \in Z, I_{k}(x, y), J_{k}(x, y) \in$ $C\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, and there is a positive $n$ such that $\left\{t_{k}\right\} \cap[0, T]=\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}\right\}$, $t_{k+n}=t_{k}+T, I_{k+n}(x, y)=I_{k}(x, y), J_{k+n}(x, y)=J_{k}(x, y)$.
Impulsive differential equations are mathematical apparatus for simulations of process and phenomena observed in control theory,physics,chemistry, population dynamics, biotechnologies, industrial robotics, economics, etc. So there have been

[^0]quite a few results on properities of their solutions in recent years [1, 2, 15, 7, 15]. In paiticular, the existence of periodic solutions for first order differential equations with impulses has been studied in [14, 17]. Li and Shen [15] have studied the existence of periodic solutions for duffing equations with delays and impulses. In present paper, by using Mawhin's continuation theorem, we will establish some theorems on the existence of periodic solutions of (1.1). The results is related to not only $f(t, x)$ and $g(y)$ but also the impulses $I_{k}(x, y)$ and $J_{k}(x, y)$ and the delay $\tau(t)$. In addition, we give an example to illustrate our new results.

For background material on periodic solutions of first or second order differential equations without impulses, the references [3, 6, 9, [10, 11, [12, [13, 16] may be consulted.

## 2. Preliminaries

We establish the theorems of existence of periodic solution based on the following Mawhin's continuation theorem.

Let $P C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})=\left\{x: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x(t)\right.$ be continuous everywhere except for some $t_{k}$ at which $x\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)$and $x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)$exist and $\left.x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)=x\left(t_{k}\right)\right\}, P C^{1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})=\{x: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x(t)$ is continuous everywhere except for some $t_{k}$ at which $x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)$and $x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)$exist and $\left.x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)=x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right\}$. Let $X=\left\{x(t) \in P C^{1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), x(t+T)=x(t)\right\}$ with norm $\|x\|=$ $\max \left\{|x|_{\infty},\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{\infty}\right\}$, where $|x|_{\infty}=\sup _{t \in[0, T]}|x(t)|, Y=P C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$, with norm $\|y\|=\max \left\{|u|_{\infty},|c|\right\}$, where $u \in P C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), c=\left(c_{1}, \ldots c_{2 n}\right) \in R^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$, $|c|=\max _{1 \leq k \leq 2 n}\left\{\left|c_{k}\right|\right\}$. Then $X$ and $Y$ are Banach spaces. $L: D(L) \subset X \rightarrow Y$ is a Fredholm operator of index zero, where $D(L)$ denotes the domain of $L . P: X \rightarrow$ $X, Q: Y \rightarrow Y$ are projectors such that

$$
\operatorname{Im} P=\operatorname{ker} L, \quad \operatorname{ker} Q=\operatorname{Im} L, \quad X=\operatorname{ker} L \oplus \operatorname{ker} P, \quad Y=\operatorname{Im} L \oplus \operatorname{Im} Q
$$

It follows that

$$
\left.L\right|_{D(L) \cap \text { ker } P}: D(L) \cap \operatorname{ker} P \rightarrow \operatorname{Im} L
$$

is invertible and we define the inverse of that map by $K_{p}$. Let $\Omega$ be an open bounded subset of $X, D(L) \cap \bar{\Omega} \neq \emptyset$, the map $N: X \rightarrow Y$ will be called $L$-compact in $\bar{\Omega}$, if $Q N(\bar{\Omega})$ is bounded and $K_{p}(I-Q) N: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow X$ is compact.

Lemma 2.1 (5]). Let $L$ be a Fredholm operator of index zero and let $N$ be Lcompact on $\bar{\Omega}$. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) $L x \neq \lambda N x, \forall x \in \partial \Omega \cap D(L), \lambda \in(0,1)$;
(ii) $Q N x \neq 0$, for all $x \in \partial \Omega \cap \operatorname{ker} L$;
(iii) $\operatorname{deg}\{J Q N x, \Omega \bigcap \operatorname{ker} L, 0\} \neq 0$, where $J: \operatorname{Im} Q \rightarrow \operatorname{ker} L$ is an isomorphism.

Then the equation $L x=N x$ has at least one solution in $\bar{\Omega} \bigcap D(L)$.
We define the operators $L: D(L) \subset X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L x=\left(x^{\prime \prime}, \Delta x\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, \Delta x\left(t_{n}\right), \Delta x^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, \Delta x^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)\right), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $N: X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
N x= & \left(-f\left(t, x^{\prime}(t)\right)-g(x(t-\tau(t)))+p(t),\right. \\
& \left.I_{1}\left(x\left(t_{1}\right)\right), \ldots, I_{n}\left(x\left(t_{n}\right)\right), J_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\right), \ldots, J_{n}\left(x^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)\right)\right) . \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to see that (1.1) can be converted into the abstract equation $L x=N x$.

Lemma 2.2 ([8). L is a Fredholm operator of index zero with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ker} L=\{x(t)=c, t \in R\} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Im} L= & \left\{\left(y, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \in Y:\right. \\
& \left.\int_{0}^{T} y(s) d s+\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{k}\left(T-t_{k}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}+x^{\prime}(0) T=0\right\} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, let the linear continuous projector operator $P: X \rightarrow X$ and $Q: Y \rightarrow$ $Y$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P x=x(0), \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q\left(y, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \\
& \left.=\frac{2}{T^{2}}\left[\int_{0}^{T}(T-s) y(s) d s+\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{k}\left(T-t_{k}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}+x^{\prime}(0) T\right], 0, \ldots, 0\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the linear operator $K_{p}: \operatorname{Im} L \rightarrow D(L) \cap \operatorname{ker} P$ can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{p}\left(y, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \\
& =\int_{0}^{T}(T-s) y(s) d s+\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{k}\left(T-t_{k}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}+x^{\prime}(0) T \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 2.3. Suppose $\Omega \subset X$ is bounded open set,then $N$ is L-compact in $\bar{\Omega}$.
Proof. It is easy to see that $Q N(\bar{\Omega})$ is bound. By using the Ascoli-Arzela theorem, we can prove that $K_{p}(I-Q) N x$ is compact. Thus $N$ is $L$-compact in $\bar{\Omega}$.
Lemma $2.4(\boxed{10})$. Suppose $\alpha>0, x(t) \in P C^{1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with $x(t+T)=x(t)$, Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{t-\alpha}^{t}\left|x^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s d t=\alpha \int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{t}^{t+\alpha}\left|x^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s d t=\alpha \int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{1}(t, \alpha)=\sum_{t-\alpha \leq t_{k} \leq t} a_{k}, \quad A_{2}(t, \alpha)=\sum_{t \leq t_{k} \leq t+\alpha} a_{k} \\
B_{1}(t, \alpha)=\sum_{t-\alpha \leq t_{k} \leq t} a_{k}^{\prime}, \quad B_{2}(t, \alpha)=\sum_{t \leq t_{k} \leq t+\alpha} a_{k}^{\prime} \\
A(\alpha)=\left(\int_{0}^{T} A_{1}^{2}(t, \alpha) d t\right)^{1 / 2}+\left(\int_{0}^{T} A_{2}^{2}(t, \alpha) d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
B(\alpha)=\left(\int_{0}^{T} B_{1}^{2}(t, \alpha) d t\right)^{1 / 2}+\left(\int_{0}^{T} B_{2}^{2}(t, \alpha) d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
C(\alpha)=\int_{0}^{T} A_{1}^{2}(t, \alpha) d t+\int_{0}^{T} A_{2}^{2}(t, \alpha) d t \\
D(\alpha)=\int_{0}^{T} A_{1}(t, \alpha) B_{1}(t) d t+\int_{0}^{T} A_{2}(t, \alpha) B_{2}(t) d t
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
E(\alpha)=\int_{0}^{T} B_{1}^{2}(t, \alpha) d t+\int_{0}^{T} B_{2}^{2}(t, \alpha) d t
$$

The following Lemma is crucial for us to establish theorems related to the delay $\tau(t)$ and $I_{k}(x, y)$.
Lemma 2.5. Suppose $\tau(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with $\tau(t+T)=\tau(t)$ and $\tau(t) \in[-\alpha, \alpha]$ for all $t \in[0, T], x(t) \in P C^{1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with $x(t+T)=x(t)$ and there is a positive $n$ such that $\left\{t_{k}\right\} \cap[0, T]=\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}\right\}, \Delta x\left(t_{k}\right)=\lambda I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)$ for all $\lambda \in(0,1)$ and $t_{k+n}=t_{k}+T, I_{k+n}(x, y)=I_{k}(x, y)$. Furthermore there exist nonnegative constants $a_{k}, a_{k}$ such that $\left|I_{k}(x, y)\right| \leq a_{k}|x|+a_{k}^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}|x(t)-x(t-\tau(t))|^{2} d t \\
& \leq 2 \alpha^{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t+2 \alpha A(\alpha)|x(t)|_{\infty}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{2.10}\\
& \quad+2 \alpha B(\alpha)\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}+C(\alpha)|x(t)|_{\infty}^{2}+D(\alpha)|x(t)|_{\infty}+E(\alpha)
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. If $\tau(t) \in[0, \alpha]$, then for all $t \in[0, T]$, using Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|x(t)-x(t-\tau(t))|^{2} \\
&= \mid \int_{t-\tau(t)}^{t} x^{\prime}(s) d s+\lambda \sum_{t-\tau(t) \leq t_{k}<t} I_{k}\left(\left.x\left(t_{k}\right)\right|^{2}\right. \\
& \leq\left(\int_{t-\alpha}^{t}\left|x^{\prime}(s)\right| d s\right)^{2}+2 \lambda\left(\int_{t-\alpha}^{t}\left|x^{\prime}(s)\right| d s\right) \sum_{t-\alpha \leq t_{k}<t} \mid I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right) \mid\right. \\
&+\left(\lambda \sum_{t-\alpha \leq t_{k}<t}\left|I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right|\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \alpha \int_{t-\alpha}^{t}\left|x^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s+2 \int_{t-\alpha}^{t}\left|x^{\prime}(s)\right| d s \sum_{t-\alpha \leq t_{k}<t}\left[a_{k}|x(t)|_{\infty}+a_{k}^{\prime}\right] \\
&+\left[\sum_{t-\alpha \leq t_{k}<t}\left(a_{k}|x(t)|_{\infty}+a_{k}^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Schwarz inequality and Lemma 2.4 , we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T}|x(t)-x(t-\tau(t))|^{2} d t \\
& \leq \alpha \int_{0}^{T} \int_{t-\alpha}^{t}\left|x^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s d t \\
& \quad+2|x(t)|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{T} A_{1}(t, \alpha) \int_{t-\alpha}^{t}\left|x^{\prime}(s)\right| d s d t+2 \int_{0}^{T} B_{1}(t, \alpha) \int_{t-\alpha}^{t}\left|x^{\prime}(s)\right| d s d t \\
& \quad+|x(t)|_{\infty}^{2} \int_{0}^{T} A_{1}^{2}(t, \alpha) d t+|x(t)|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{T} A_{1}(t, \alpha) B_{1}(t, \alpha) d t+\int_{0}^{T} B_{1}^{2}(t, \alpha) d t \\
& \leq \alpha \int_{0}^{T} \int_{t-\alpha}^{t}\left|x^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s d t+2|x(t)|_{\infty}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \times\left(\int_{0}^{T} A_{1}^{2}(t, \alpha) d t\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left(\int_{t-\alpha}^{t}\left|x^{\prime}(s)\right| d s\right)^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& +2\left(\int_{0}^{T} B_{1}^{2}(t, \alpha) d t\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left(\int_{t-\alpha}^{t}\left|x^{\prime}(s)\right| d s\right)^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& +|x(t)|_{\infty}^{2} \int_{0}^{T} A_{1}^{2}(t, \alpha) d t+|x(t)|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{T}\left[A_{1}(t, \alpha) B_{1}(t, \alpha)\right] d t+\int_{0}^{T} B_{1}^{2}(t, \alpha) d t \\
\leq & \alpha^{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t+2 \alpha|x(t)|_{\infty}\left(\int_{0}^{T} A_{1}^{2}(t, \alpha) d t\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& +2 \alpha\left(\int_{0}^{T} B_{1}^{2}(t, \alpha) d t\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& +|x(t)|_{\infty}^{2} \int_{0}^{T} A_{1}^{2}(t, \alpha) d t+|x(t)|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{T} A_{1}(t, \alpha) B_{1}(t, \alpha) d t+\int_{0}^{T} B_{1}^{2}(t, \alpha) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\tau(t) \in[-\alpha, 0]$, then for all $t \in[0, T]$, similarly, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T}|x(t)-x(t-\tau(t))|^{2} d t \\
& \leq \alpha^{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t+2 \alpha|x(t)|_{\infty}\left(\int_{0}^{T} A_{2}^{2}(t, \alpha) d t\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
&+2 \alpha\left(\int_{0}^{T} B_{2}^{2}(t, \alpha) d t\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
&+|x(t)|_{\infty}^{2} \int_{0}^{T} A_{2}^{2}(t, \alpha) d t+|x(t)|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{T} A_{2}(t, \alpha) B_{2}(t, \alpha) d t+\int_{0}^{T} B_{2}^{2}(t, \alpha) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\Delta_{1}=\{t: t \in[0, T], \tau(t) \geq 0\}, \Delta_{2}=\{t: t \in[0, T], \tau(t)<0\}$. Then for for all $t \in[0, T]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T}|x(t)-x(t-\tau(t))|^{2} d t \\
& =\int_{\Delta_{1}}|x(t)-x(t-\tau(t))|^{2} d t+\int_{\Delta_{2}}|x(t)-x(t-\tau(t))|^{2} d t \\
& \leq 2 \alpha^{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t+2 \alpha A(\alpha)|x(t)|_{\infty}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad+2 \alpha B(\alpha)\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}+C(\alpha)|x(t)|_{\infty}^{2}+D(\alpha)|x(t)|_{\infty}+E(\alpha)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Main Results

For the next theorem we use the following conditions:
(H3) There are constants $\sigma, \beta \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& |f(t, x)| \leq \sigma|x|, \quad \forall(t, x) \in[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}  \tag{3.1}\\
& x f(t, x) \geq \beta|x|^{2}, \quad \forall(t, x) \in[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

(H4) there are constants $\beta_{i} \geq 0(i=1,2,3)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(x)| \geq \beta_{1}+\beta_{2}|x| \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(x)-g(y)| \leq \beta_{3}|x-y| \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(H5) there are constants $\gamma_{i}>0(i=1,2,3)$, such that $\left|\int_{x}^{x+\lambda I_{k}(x, y)} g(s) d s\right| \leq$ $\left|I_{k}(x, y)\right|\left(\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}|x|+\gamma_{3}\left|I_{k}(x, y)\right|\right), \quad \forall \lambda \in(0,1) ;$
(H6) there are constants $a_{k}, a_{k}^{\prime} \geq 0$ such that $\left|I_{k}(x, y)\right| \leq a_{k}|x|+a_{k}^{\prime}$;
(H7) $y J_{k}(x, y) \leq 0$ and there are constants $b_{k} \geq 0$ such that $\left|J_{k}(x, y)\right| \leq b_{k}$.
Theorem 3.1. Suppose (H1)-(H7) hold. Then 1.1) has at least one T-periodic solution provided the following two conditions hold

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}<1,  \tag{3.5}\\
{\left[\gamma_{2}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}\right)+\gamma_{3}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}^{2}\right)\right] M^{2}+\beta_{3}\left[2|\tau(t)|_{\infty}^{2}\right.}  \tag{3.6}\\
\left.+2|\tau(t)|_{\infty} A\left(|\tau(t)|_{\infty}\right) M+C\left(|\tau(t)|_{\infty}\right) M^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}<\beta
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
M=\frac{1}{1-\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}}\left(\frac{\sigma}{\beta_{2} T^{1 / 2}}+T^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

Proof. Consider the equation $L x=\lambda N x$, with $\lambda \in(0,1)$, where $L$ and $N$ are defined by 2.1 and 2.2 . Let

$$
\Omega_{1}=\{x \in D(L): \operatorname{ker} L, L x=\lambda N x \text { for some } \lambda \in(0,1)\}
$$

For $x \in \Omega_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+\lambda f\left(t, x^{\prime}(t)\right)+\lambda g\left(t, x(t-\tau(t))=\lambda p(t), \quad t \neq t_{k}\right. \\
\Delta x\left(t_{k}\right)=\lambda I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)  \tag{3.7}\\
\Delta x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)=\lambda J_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Integrating them on $[0, T]$, using Schwarz inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mid \int_{0}^{T} g(x(t-\tau(t)) d t \mid \\
& =\left|\int_{0}^{T} p(t) d t-\int_{0}^{T} f\left(t, x^{\prime}(t)\right) d t+\sum_{k=1}^{n} J_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right| \\
& \leq T|p(t)|_{\infty}+\sigma \int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right| d t+\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{k} \\
& \leq \sigma T^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}+T|p(t)|_{\infty}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the above formula, there is a $t_{0} \in[0, T]$ such that

$$
\left\lvert\, g\left(x ( t _ { 0 } - \tau ( t _ { 0 } ) ) \left|\leq \frac{\sigma}{T^{1 / 2}}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}+|p(t)|_{\infty}+\frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{k}\right.\right.\right.
$$

It follows from (3.3) that

$$
\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\left|x\left(t_{0}-\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right)\right| \leq \frac{\sigma}{T^{1 / 2}}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}+|p(t)|_{\infty}+\frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{k}
$$

Thus

$$
\left|x\left(t_{0}-\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right)\right| \leq \frac{\sigma}{\beta_{2} T^{1 / 2}}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}+d
$$

where $d=\left(\left||p(t)|_{\infty}+\frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{k}-\beta_{1}\right|\right) / \beta_{2}$. So there must be an integer $m$ and a point $t_{1} \in[0, T]$ such that $t_{0}-\tau\left(t_{0}\right)=m T+t_{1}$. Hence

$$
\left|x\left(t_{1}\right)\right|=\left|x\left(t_{0}-\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right)\right| \leq \frac{\sigma}{\beta_{2} T^{1 / 2}}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}+d
$$

which implies

$$
x(t)=x\left(t_{1}\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} x^{\prime}(s) d s+\sum_{t_{1} \leq t_{k}<t} I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right) .
$$

This yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
|x(t)|_{\infty} & \leq\left|x\left(t_{1}\right)\right|+\int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left|x^{\prime}(s)\right| d s+\sum_{t_{1} \leq t_{k}<t}\left|I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{\sigma}{\beta_{2} T^{1 / 2}}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}+d+\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right| d t+\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}|x|_{\infty}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}^{\prime} \\
& \leq|x|_{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}+\left(\frac{\sigma}{\beta_{2} T^{1 / 2}}+T^{1 / 2}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}+d+\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
|x(t)|_{\infty} & \leq \frac{d+\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}^{\prime}}{1-\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}}+\frac{1}{1-\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}}\left(\frac{\sigma}{\beta_{2} T^{1 / 2}}+T^{1 / 2}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{3.8}\\
& =u_{1}+M\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u_{1}$ is a positive constant. On the other hand, multiplying both side of 3.7) by $x^{\prime}(t)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T} x^{\prime \prime}(t) x^{\prime}(t) d t+\lambda \int_{0}^{T} f\left(t, x^{\prime}(t)\right) x^{\prime}(t) d t \quad+\lambda \int_{0}^{T} g\left(t, x(t-\tau(t)) x^{\prime}(t) d t\right. \\
& =\lambda \int_{0}^{T} p(t) x^{\prime}(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\int_{0}^{T} x^{\prime \prime}(t) x^{\prime}(t) d t=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\left(x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)\right)^{2}-\left(x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)^{2}\right]
$$

it follows from assumption (H7) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)\right)^{2}-\left(x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)+x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\left(x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-\left(x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right. \\
& =\Delta x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\left(2 x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)+\Delta x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
& =\lambda J_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\left(2 x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)+\lambda J_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right. \\
& =2 \lambda J_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right) x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)+\left[\lambda J_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right]^{2} \leq b_{k}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of 3.2, by Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta \int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t \\
& \leq-\int_{0}^{T} g\left(x(t-\tau(t)) x^{\prime}(t) d t+\int_{0}^{T} p(t) x^{\prime}(t) d t+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{k}^{2}\right. \\
&= \int_{0}^{T}\left[g \left(x(t)-g(x(t-\tau(t))] x^{\prime}(t) d t-\int_{0}^{T} g(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t) d t\right.\right. \\
&+\int_{0}^{T} p(t) x^{\prime}(t) d t+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{k}^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T} \mid g(x(t))-g\left(x(t-\tau(t))| | x^{\prime}(t)\left|d t+|p(t)|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{T}\right| x^{\prime}(t) \mid d t\right. \\
&+\left|\int_{0}^{T} g(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t) d t\right|+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{k}^{2} \\
& \leq {\left[\left(\int_{0}^{T}|g(x(t))-g(x(t-\tau(t)))|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}+|p(t)|_{\infty} T^{1 / 2}\right]\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} } \\
&+\left|\int_{0}^{T} g(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t) d t\right|+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{k}^{2} . \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

From (H5) and (H6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{0}^{T} g(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t) d t\right| \\
& =\left|\int_{x(0)}^{x\left(t_{1}\right)} g(s) d s+\int_{x\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)}^{x\left(t_{2}\right)} g(s) d s+\cdots+\int_{x\left(t_{n}^{+}\right)}^{x(T)} g(s) d s\right| \\
& =\left|\int_{x(0)}^{x(T)} g(s) d s-\sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{x\left(t_{k}\right)}^{x\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)} g(s) d s\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|\int_{x\left(t_{k}\right)}^{x\left(t_{k}\right)+\lambda I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)} g(s) d s\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left[\left|I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right|\left(\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}\left|x\left(t_{k}\right)\right|+\gamma_{3}\left|I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right|\right)\right] \\
& \leq\left[\gamma_{2}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}\right)+\gamma_{3}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}^{2}\right)\right]|x(t)|_{\infty}^{2}+u_{2}|x(t)|_{\infty}+u_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u_{2}, u_{3}$ are positive constants. From (3.8), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{0}^{T} g(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t) d t\right| \\
& \leq\left[\gamma_{2}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}\right)+\gamma_{3}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}^{2}\right)\right] M^{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t+u_{4}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}+u_{5} \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u_{4}, u_{5}$ are positive constants. Applying Lemma 2.5 we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T} \mid g\left(x(t)-\left.g(x(t-\tau(t)))\right|^{2} d t\right. \\
& \leq \beta_{3}^{2} \int_{0}^{T}|x(t)-x(t-\tau(t))|^{2} d t \\
& \leq \beta_{3}^{2}\left[2|\tau(t)|_{\infty}^{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t+2|\tau(t)|_{\infty} A\left(|\tau(t)|_{\infty}\right)|x(t)|_{\infty}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}\right. \\
& \quad+2|\tau(t)|_{\infty} B\left(|\tau(t)|_{\infty}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}+C\left(|\tau(t)|_{\infty}\right)|x(t)|_{\infty}^{2} \\
& \left.\quad+D\left(|\tau(t)|_{\infty}\right)|x(t)|_{\infty}+E\left(|\tau(t)|_{\infty}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting (3.8) into the above inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T} \mid g\left(x(t)-\left.g(x(t-\tau(t)))\right|^{2} d t\right. \\
& \leq \beta_{3}^{2}\left[2|\tau(t)|_{\infty}^{2}+2|\tau(t)|_{\infty} A\left(|\tau(t)|_{\infty}\right) M\right. \\
& \left.\quad+C\left(|\tau(t)|_{\infty}\right) M^{2}\right] \int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t+u_{6}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}+u_{7}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u_{6}, u_{7}$ are positive constants. Using the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a+b)^{1 / 2} \leq a^{1 / 2}+b^{1 / 2} \quad \text { for } \quad a \geq 0, b \geq 0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{0}^{T}|g(x(t))-g(x(t-\tau(t)))|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq \beta_{3}\left[2|\tau(t)|_{\infty}^{2}+2|\tau(t)|_{\infty} A\left(|\tau(t)|_{\infty}\right) M\right. \\
& \left.\quad+C\left(|\tau(t)|_{\infty}\right) M^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}+u_{6}^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 4}+u_{7}^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting the above formula and (3.10) in (3.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\beta-\left[\gamma_{2}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}\right)+\gamma_{3}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}^{2}\right)\right] M^{2}-\beta_{3}\left[2|\tau(t)|_{\infty}^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+2|\tau(t)|_{\infty} A\left(|\tau(t)|_{\infty}\right) M+C\left(|\tau(t)|_{\infty}\right) M^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\right\} \int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t \\
& \leq u_{8}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{\frac{3}{4}}+u_{9}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}+u_{10}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u_{8}, u_{9}, u_{10}$ are positive constants. Then there is a constant $M_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t \leq M_{1} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.8), we have

$$
|x(t)|_{\infty} \leq d+M\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} \leq d+M\left(M_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Then there is a constant $M_{2}>0$ such that $|x(t)|_{\infty} \leq M_{2}$. Furthermore, integrating 3.7) on $[0, T]$, using Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| d t & =\int_{0}^{T}|-f(t, x(t))-g(x(t-\tau(t)))+p(t)| d t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T}\left|f\left(t, x^{\prime}(t)\right)\right| d t+\int_{0}^{T}|g(x(t-\tau(t)))| d t+\int_{0}^{T}|p(t)| d t \\
& \leq \sigma \int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right| d t+g_{\delta} T+T|p(t)|_{\infty} \\
& \leq \sigma T^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}+g_{\delta} T+T|p(t)|_{\infty} \\
& \leq \sigma T^{1 / 2}\left(M_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}+g_{\delta} T+T|p(t)|_{\infty}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h_{\delta}=\max _{|x| \leq \delta}|g(x)|$. That is to say that there is a constant $M_{3}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| d t \leq M_{3} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.12), it is easy to see that there are $t_{2} \in[0, T]$ and $u_{11}>0$ such that $\left|x^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)\right| \leq u_{11}$, then for $t \in[0, T]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|_{\infty} \leq\left|x^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)\right|+\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| d t+\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{k} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence there is a constant $M_{4}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|_{\infty} \leq M_{4} . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that there is a constant $B>\max \left\{M_{2}, M_{4}\right\}$ such that $\|x\| \leq B$, Thus $\Omega_{1}$ is bounded.

Let $\Omega_{2}=\{x \in \operatorname{ker} L, Q N x=0\}$. Suppose $x \in \Omega_{2}$, then $x(t)=c \in R$ and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q N(x, 0)=\left(-\frac{2}{T^{2}} \int_{0}^{T}[f(t, 0)+g(c)-p(t)] d t, 0, \ldots, 0\right)=0 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}[f(t, 0)+g(c)-p(t)] d t=0 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.17) that there must be a $t_{0} \in[0, T]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(c)=-f\left(t_{0}, 0\right)+p\left(t_{0}\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.18) and assumption (H3), (H4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}|c| \leq|g(c)| \leq\left|f\left(t_{0}, 0\right)\right|+\left|p\left(t_{0}\right)\right| \leq \sigma \times 0+|p(t)|_{\infty} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
|c| \leq \frac{\left||p(t)|_{\infty}-\beta_{1}\right|}{\beta_{2}} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies $\Omega_{2}$ is bounded. Let $\Omega$ be a non-empty open bounded subset of $X$ such that $\Omega \supset \overline{\Omega_{1}} \cup \overline{\Omega_{2}} \cup \overline{\Omega_{3}}$, where $\Omega_{3}=\left\{x \in X:|x|<\left||p(t)|_{\infty}-\beta_{1}\right| / \beta_{2}+1\right\}$. By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we can see that $L$ is a Fredholm operator of index zero and $N$ is $L$-compact on $\bar{\Omega}$. Then by the above argument,
(i) $L x \neq \lambda N x$ for all $x \in \partial \Omega \cap D(L), \lambda \in(0,1)$;
(ii) $Q N x \neq 0$ for all $x \in \partial \Omega \cap \operatorname{ker} L$.

At last we prove that (iii) of Lemma 2.1 is satisfied. We take $H(x, \mu): \Omega \times[0,1] \rightarrow$ $X$,

$$
H(x, \mu)=\mu x+\frac{2(1-\mu)}{T^{2}} \int_{0}^{T}\left[-f\left(t, x^{\prime}(t)\right)+g(x(t-\tau(t))+p(t)] d t\right.
$$

From assumptions (H3) and (H4), we can easily obtain $H(x, \mu) \neq 0$, for all $(x, \mu) \in$ $\partial \Omega \cap \operatorname{ker} L \times[0,1]$, which results in

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg}\{J Q N x, \Omega \cap \operatorname{ker} L, 0\} & =\operatorname{deg}\{H(x, 0), \Omega \cap \operatorname{ker} L, 0\} \\
& =\operatorname{deg}\{H(x, 1), \Omega \cap \operatorname{ker} L, 0\} \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $J(x, 0, \ldots, 0)=x$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.1. Equation (1.1) has at least one $T$-periodic solution.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose (H1)-(H2), (H4)-(H6) hold and the following two conditions hold:
(H8) there is an constant $\sigma \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |f(t, x)| \leq \sigma|x|, \quad \forall(t, x) \in[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \\
& x f(t, x) \leq-\beta|x|^{2}, \forall(t, x) \in[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

(H9) $y J_{k}(x, y) \geq 0$ and there are constants $b_{k} \geq 0$ such that $\left|J_{k}(x, y)\right| \leq b_{k}$.
Then (1.1) has at least one T-periodic solution provided 3.5 and 3.6 hold.
The proof of the above theorem is similar to that of Theorem 3.1, so we omit it.
Example. Consider the equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+\frac{1}{3} x^{\prime}(t)+\frac{1}{15} x\left(t-\frac{1}{10} \cos t\right)=\sin t, \quad t \neq k \\
\Delta x(k)=\frac{\sin (k \pi / 3)}{120} x(k)+\frac{x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)}{1+x^{\prime 2}\left(t_{k}\right)}  \tag{3.21}\\
\Delta x^{\prime}(k)=-\frac{2 x^{2}\left(t_{k}\right) x^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)}{1+x^{4}\left(t_{k}\right) x^{\prime 2}\left(t_{k}\right)}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $t_{k}=k, f(t, x)=\frac{1}{3} x, g(y)=\frac{1}{15} y, p(t)=\sin t, \tau(t)=\frac{1}{10} \cos t, I_{k}(x, y)=$ $\frac{\sin \frac{k \pi}{3}}{120} x+\frac{y}{1+y^{2}}, J_{k}(x, y)=-\frac{2 x^{2} y}{1+x^{4} y^{2}}$, it is easy to see that $|\tau(t)|_{\infty}=\frac{1}{10}, T=2 \pi,\{k\} \cap$ $[0,2 \pi]=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}, \sigma=\beta=\frac{1}{3}, \beta_{1}=0, \beta_{2}=\beta_{3}=\frac{1}{15}$. Since $\left|I_{k}(x, y)\right| \leq$ $\frac{1}{120}|x|+\frac{1}{2},\left|J_{k}(x, y)\right| \leq 1,\left|\int_{x}^{x+I_{k}(x, y)} g(s) d s\right| \leq\left|I_{k}(x, y)\right|\left(\frac{1}{15}|x|+\frac{1}{30}\left|I_{k}(x, y)\right|\right)$, then we take $a_{k}=\frac{1}{120}, a_{k}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}, b_{k}^{\prime}=1(k=1,2,3,4,5,6), \gamma_{1}=0, \gamma_{2}=1 / 15, \gamma_{3}=1 / 30$. Thus assumption (H1)-(H7) hold and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{k=1}^{6} a_{k}=\frac{1}{20}<1, \\
M=\frac{1}{1-\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}}\left(\frac{\sigma}{\beta_{2} T^{1 / 2}}+T^{1 / 2}\right)=\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{20}}\left(\frac{\frac{1}{3}}{\frac{1}{15}(2 \pi)^{1 / 2}}+(2 \pi)^{1 / 2}\right)<6 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus

$$
\left[\gamma_{2}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}\right)+\gamma_{3}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}^{2}\right)\right] M^{2}+\beta_{3}\left[2|\tau(t)|_{\infty}^{2}\right.
$$

$$
\left.+2|\tau(t)|_{\infty} A\left(|\tau(t)|_{\infty}\right) M+C\left(|\tau(t)|_{\infty}\right) M^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}<\beta
$$

By Theorem 3.1. Equation (3.21) has at least one $2 \pi$-periodic solution.
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