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Abstract. We study the existence of solutions of a three-point boundaryvalue problem for a second-order differential inclusion,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\ddot{u}(t) \in F(t, u(t), \dot{u}(t)), \quad \text { a.e. } t \in[0,1] \\
u(0)=0, \quad u(\theta)=u(1)
\end{gathered}
$$

Here $F$ is a set-valued mapping from $[0,1] \times E \times E$ to $E$ with nonempty closed values satisfying a standard Lipschitz condition, and $E$ is a separable Banach space.

## 1. Introduction

We study the existence of solutions to the second-order differential inclusion

$$
\begin{gather*}
\ddot{u}(t) \in F(t, u(t), \dot{u}(t)), \quad \text { a.e. } t \in[0,1], \\
u(0)=0, \quad u(\theta)=u(1), \tag{1.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $F:[0,1] \times E \times E \rightarrow E$ is a nonempty closed valued multifunction and $\theta$ is a given number in $[0,1]$. Existence of solutions for (1.1) has been investigated by many authors [2, 3, 4, 9 ] under the assumption that $F$ is a convex bounded-valued multifunction upper semicontinuous on $E \times E$ and integrably compact.

The aim of this article is to provide existence of solutions for 1.1 under the standard Lipschitz condition for the multifunction $F$, when it is nonconvex.

After some preliminaries in section 3, we present our main result which is the existence of $\mathbf{W}_{E}^{2,1}([0,1])$-solutions for 1.1$)$. We suppose that $F$ is a closed valued multifunction satisfying the Lipschitz condition

$$
\mathcal{H}\left(F\left(t, x_{1}, y_{1}\right), F\left(t, x_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right) \leq k_{1}(t)\left\|x_{1}-y_{1}\right\|+k_{2}(t)\left\|x_{2}-y_{2}\right\|
$$

where $\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot)$ stands for the Hausdorff distance.
For first-order differential inclusions satisfying the standard Lipschitz condition we refer the reader to [5, 6, 7, 10] and the references therein.
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## 2. Notation and preliminaries

In this article, $(E,\|\cdot\|)$ is a separable Banach space and $E^{\prime}$ is its topological dual, $\overline{\mathbf{B}}_{E}$ is the closed unit ball of $E, \mathcal{L}([0,1])$ is the $\sigma$-algebra of Lebesgue-measurable sets of $[0,1], \lambda=d t$ is the Lebesgue measure on $[0,1]$, and $\mathcal{B}(E)$ is the $\sigma$-algebra of Borel subsets of $E$. By $L_{E}^{1}([0,1])$, we denote the space of all Lebesgue-Bochner integrable E -valued mappings defined on $[0,1]$.

Let $\mathbf{C}_{E}([0,1])$ be the Banach space of all continuous mappings $u:[0,1] \rightarrow E$, endowed with the supremum norm, and let $\mathbf{C}_{E}^{1}([0,1])$ be the Banach space of all continuous mappings $u:[0,1] \rightarrow E$ with continuous derivative, equipped with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{\mathbf{C}^{1}}=\max \left\{\max _{t \in[0,1]}\|u(t)\|, \max _{t \in[0,1]}\|\dot{u}(t)\|\right\}
$$

Recall that a mapping $v:[0,1] \rightarrow E$ is said to be scalarly derivable when there exists some mapping $\dot{v}:[0,1] \rightarrow E$ (called the weak derivative of $v$ ) such that, for every $x^{\prime} \in E^{\prime}$, the scalar function $\left\langle x^{\prime}, v(\cdot)\right\rangle$ is derivable and its derivative is equal to $\left\langle x^{\prime}, \dot{v}(\cdot)\right\rangle$. The weak derivative $\ddot{v}$ of $\dot{v}$ when it exists is the weak second derivative.

By $\mathbf{W}_{E}^{2,1}([0,1])$ we denote the space of all continuous mappings $u \in \mathbf{C}_{E}([0,1])$ such that their first usual derivatives are continuous and scalarly derivable and such that $\ddot{u} \in L_{E}^{1}([0,1])$.

For closed subsets $A$ and $B$ of $E$, the Hausdorff distance between $A$ and $B$ is defined by

$$
\mathcal{H}(A, B)=\sup (e(A, B)), e(B, A))
$$

where

$$
e(A, B)=\sup _{a \in A} d(a, B)=\sup _{a \in A}\left(\inf _{b \in B}\|a-b\|\right)
$$

stands for the excess of $A$ over $B$.

## 3. Existence results under Lipschitz condition

We begin with a proposition that summarizes some properties of some Green type function (see [1, 2, 8, 9]). It will use it in the study of our boundary value problems.

Proposition 3.1. Let $E$ be a separable Banach space and let $G:[0,1] \times[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined by

$$
G(t, s)= \begin{cases}-s & \text { if } 0 \leq s \leq t \\ -t & \text { if } t<s \leq \theta \\ t(s-1) /(1-\theta) & \text { if } \theta<s \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

if $0 \leq t<\theta$, and

$$
G(t, s)= \begin{cases}-s & \text { if } 0 \leq s<\theta \\ (\theta(s-t)+s(t-1)) /(1-\theta) & \text { if } \theta \leq s \leq t \\ t(s-1) /(1-\theta) & \text { if } t<s \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

if $\theta \leq t \leq 1$. Then the following assertions hold.
(1) If $u \in \mathbf{W}_{E}^{2,1}([0,1])$ with $u(0)=0$ and $u(\theta)=u(1)$, then

$$
u(t)=\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) \ddot{u}(s) d s, \quad \forall t \in[0,1] .
$$

(2) $G(\cdot, s)$ is derivable on $[0,1]$ for every $s \in[0,1]$, and its derivative is given by

$$
\frac{\partial G}{\partial t}(t, s)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } 0 \leq s \leq t \\ -1 & \text { if } t<s \leq \theta \\ (s-1) /(1-\theta) & \text { if } \theta<s \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

if $0 \leq t<\theta$, and

$$
\frac{\partial G}{\partial t}(t, s)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } 0 \leq s<\theta \\ (s-\theta) /(1-\theta) & \text { if } \theta \leq s \leq t \\ (s-1) /(1-\theta) & \text { if } t<s \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

if $\theta \leq t \leq 1$.
(3) $G(\cdot, \cdot)$, and $\frac{\partial G}{\partial t}(\cdot, \cdot)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t, s \in[0,1]}|G(t, s)| \leq 1, \quad \sup _{t, s \in[0,1]}\left|\frac{\partial G}{\partial t}(t, s)\right| \leq 1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4) For $f \in L_{E}^{1}([0,1])$ and for the mapping $u_{f}:[0,1] \rightarrow E$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{f}(t)=\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f(s) d s, \quad \forall t \in[0,1] \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

one has $u_{f}(0)=0$ and $u_{f}(\theta)=u_{f}(1)$. Furthermore, the mapping $u_{f}$ is derivable, and its derivative $\dot{u}_{f}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{u_{f}(t+h)-u_{f}(t)}{h}=\dot{u}_{f}(t)=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial t}(t, s) f(s) d s \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in[0,1]$. Consequently, $\dot{u}_{f}$ is a continuous mapping from $[0,1]$ into the space $E$.
(5) The mapping $\dot{u}_{f}$ is scalarly derivable; that is, there exists a mapping $\ddot{u}_{f}$ : $[0,1] \rightarrow E$ such that, for every $x^{\prime} \in E^{\prime}$, the scalar function $\left\langle x^{\prime}, \dot{u}_{f}(\cdot)\right\rangle$ is derivable with $\frac{d}{d t}\left\langle x^{\prime}, \dot{u}_{f}(t)\right\rangle=\left\langle x^{\prime}, \ddot{u}_{f}(t)\right\rangle$; furthermore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}_{f}=f \quad \text { a.e. on }[0,1] . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us mention a useful consequence of Proposition 3.1.
Proposition 3.2. Let $E$ be a separable Banach space and let $f:[0,1] \rightarrow E$ be a continuous mapping (respectively a mapping in $\left.L_{E}^{1}([0,1])\right)$. Then the mapping

$$
u_{f}(t)=\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f(s) d s, \quad \forall t \in[0,1]
$$

is the unique $\mathbf{C}_{E}^{2}([0,1])$-solution (respectively $\mathbf{W}_{E}^{2,1}([0,1])$-solution) to the differential equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ddot{u}(t)=f(t), \quad \forall t \in[0,1] \\
& u(0)=0, \quad u(\theta)=u(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we are able to state and prove our main result. The approach below used some techniques and arguments from [2, 6, 7].

Theorem 3.3. Let $E$ be a separable Banach space and let $F:[0,1] \times E \times E \rightarrow E$ be a measurable multifunction with nonempty closed values. Let $g \in L_{E}^{1}([0,1])$ and let $u_{g}:[0,1] \rightarrow E$ be the mapping defined by

$$
u_{g}(t)=\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) g(s) d s, \quad \forall t \in[0,1]
$$

Assume that for some $r \in] 0,+\infty]$ and

$$
\mathbf{X}_{r}=\left\{(t, x, y) \in[0,1] \times E \times E:\left\|x-u_{g}(t)\right\|<r ;\left\|y-\dot{u}_{g}(t)\right\|<r\right\}
$$

the following conditions hold:
(i) there exist two functions $k_{1}, k_{2} \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^{1}([0,1])$ with $k_{1}(t) \geq 0$ and $k_{2}(t) \geq 0$ satisfying $\left\|k_{1}+k_{2}\right\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}^{1}}<1$ such that

$$
\mathcal{H}\left(F\left(t, x_{1}, y_{1}\right), F\left(t, x_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right) \leq k_{1}(t)\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|+k_{2}(t)\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|
$$

for all $\left(t, x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(t, x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \in \mathbf{X}_{r}$;
(ii) there is $\eta \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^{1}([0,1])$ satisfying $\|\eta\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}^{1}}<\left[1-\left\|k_{1}+k_{2}\right\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}^{1}}\right] r$, such that

$$
d\left(g(t), F\left(t, u_{g}(t), \dot{u}_{g}(t)\right)\right) \leq \eta(t), \quad \forall t \in[0,1] .
$$

Then the differential inclusion 1.1) has at least one solution $u \in \mathbf{W}_{E}^{2,1}([0,1])$, with

$$
\|u(t)\| \leq r+\|g(t)\|, \quad\|\dot{u}(t)\| \leq r+\|g(t)\|, \quad \forall t \in[0,1]
$$

Proof. Step 1. Since $\left\|k_{1}+k_{2}\right\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}^{1}}<1$ and $\|\eta\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}^{1}}<\left[1-\left\|k_{1}+k_{2}\right\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}^{1}}\right] r$ we may choose some real number $\alpha>0$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+\alpha)\left\|k_{1}+k_{2}\right\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}^{1}}<1, \quad(1+\alpha)\|\eta\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}^{1}}<\left[1-(1+\alpha)\left\|k_{1}+k_{2}\right\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}^{1}}\right] r \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will define a sequence of mappings $f_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, of $L_{E}^{1}([0,1])$ such that the following conditions are fulfilled (see 3.2 for the definition of $u_{f}$ ).

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{n} \in L_{E}^{1}([0,1]), \quad f_{n}(t) \in F\left(t, u_{f_{n-1}}(t), \dot{u}_{f_{n-1}}(t)\right), \quad \text { a.e. } t \in[0,1] ;  \tag{3.6}\\
\left\|f_{n}(t)-f_{n-1}(t)\right\| \leq(1+\alpha) d\left(f_{n-1}(t), F\left(t, u_{f_{n-1}}(t), \dot{u}_{f_{n-1}}(t)\right)\right), \quad \forall t \in[0,1] ;  \tag{3.7}\\
\operatorname{gph}\left(u_{f_{n}}(\cdot), \dot{u}_{f_{n}}(\cdot)\right)=\left\{\left(u_{f_{n}}(t), \dot{u}_{f_{n}}(t)\right): t \in[0,1]\right\} \subset \mathbf{X}_{r} . \tag{3.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

We put $f_{0}=g$ and $u_{f_{0}}(t)=\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f_{0}(s) d s=u_{g}(t)$, for all $t \in[0,1]$. Let us consider the multifunction $H_{0}:[0,1] \rightarrow E$ defined by
$H_{0}(t)=\left\{v \in F\left(t, u_{f_{0}}(t), \dot{u}_{f_{0}}(t)\right):\left\|v-f_{0}(t)\right\| \leq(1+\alpha) d\left(f_{0}(t), F\left(t, u_{f_{0}}(t), \dot{u}_{f_{0}}(t)\right)\right)\right\}$.
Observe first that $H_{0}(t) \neq \emptyset$ for any $t \in[0,1]$.
Since $F\left(\cdot, u_{f_{0}}(\cdot), \dot{u}_{f_{0}}(\cdot)\right)$ is measurable, the multifunction $H_{0}$ is also measurable with nonempty closed values. In view of the existence theorem of measurable selections (see [5]), there is a measurable mapping $f_{1}:[0,1] \rightarrow E$ such that $f_{1}(t) \in$ $H_{0}(t)$, for all $t \in[0,1]$. This yields, for all $t \in[0,1], f_{1}(t) \in F\left(t, u_{f_{0}}(t), \dot{u}_{f_{0}}(t)\right)$ and $\left\|f_{1}(t)-f_{0}(t)\right\| \leq(1+\alpha) d\left(f_{0}(t), F\left(t, u_{f_{0}}(t), \dot{u}_{f_{0}}(t)\right)\right)$, and hence according to the assumption (ii),

$$
\left\|f_{1}(t)-f_{0}(t)\right\| \leq(1+\alpha) \eta(t)
$$

So, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{1}(t)\right\| \leq\left\|f_{1}(t)-f_{0}(t)\right\|+\left\|f_{0}(t)\right\| \leq(1+\alpha) \eta(t)+\left\|f_{0}(t)\right\| . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\eta \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^{1}([0,1])$ and $f_{0} \in L_{E}^{1}([0,1])$, the last inequality shows that $f_{1} \in$ $L_{E}^{1}([0,1])$. Then we define the mapping $u_{f_{1}}:[0,1] \rightarrow E$ by

$$
u_{f_{1}}(t)=\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f_{1}(s) d s, \quad \forall t \in[0,1]
$$

and by relation (3.3) in Proposition 3.1

$$
\dot{u}_{f_{1}}(t)=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial t}(t, s) f_{1}(s) d s, \quad \forall t \in[0,1]
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{f_{1}}(t)-u_{f_{0}}(t)\right\| & =\left\|\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s)\left(f_{1}(s)-f_{0}(s)\right) d s\right\| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left\|f_{1}(s)-f_{0}(s)\right\| d s \\
& \leq(1+\alpha) \int_{0}^{1} d\left(f_{0}(s), F\left(t, u_{f_{0}}(s), \dot{u}_{f_{0}}(s)\right)\right) d s \\
& \leq(1+\alpha)\|\eta\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}} \\
& <\left[1-(1+\alpha)\left\|k_{1}+k_{2}\right\|_{L_{E}^{1}}\right] r<r
\end{aligned}
$$

the first inequality being due to (3.1) and the fourth one to 3.5 . Similarly we have

$$
\left\|\dot{u}_{f_{1}}(t)-\dot{u}_{f_{0}}(t)\right\|=\left\|\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial t}(t, s)\left(f_{1}(s)-f_{0}(s)\right) d s\right\| \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left\|f_{1}(s)-f_{0}(s)\right\| d s<r .
$$

This shows that $\operatorname{gph}\left(u_{f_{1}}(\cdot), \dot{u}_{f_{1}}(\cdot)\right) \subset \mathbf{X}_{r}$.
Suppose that $f_{i}$ and $u_{f_{i}}$ have been defined on $[0,1]$ satisfying (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8) for $i=0,1, \ldots, n$. Let us consider the multifunction $H_{n}:[0,1] \rightarrow E$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{n}(t)=\{ & v \in F\left(t, u_{f_{n}}(t), \dot{u}_{f_{n}}(t)\right):\left\|v-f_{n}(t)\right\| \leq(1+\alpha) d\left(f_{n}(t),\right. \\
& \left.F\left(t, u_{f_{n}}(t), \dot{u}_{f_{n}}(t)\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe first that $H_{n}(t) \neq \emptyset$ for any $t \in[0,1]$.
Since $F\left(\cdot, u_{f_{n}}(\cdot), \dot{u}_{f_{n}}(\cdot)\right)$ is measurable, the multifunction $H_{n}$ is also measurable with nonempty closed values. As above, in view of the existence theorem of measurable selections (see [5]), there is a measurable mapping $f_{n+1}:[0,1] \rightarrow E$ such that $f_{n+1}(t) \in H_{n}(t)$, for all $t \in[0,1]$. This yields for all $t \in[0,1], f_{n+1}(t) \in$ $F\left(t, u_{f_{n}}(t), \dot{u}_{f_{n}}(t)\right)$ and $\left\|f_{n+1}(t)-f_{n}(t)\right\| \leq(1+\alpha) d\left(f_{n}(t), F\left(t, u_{f_{n}}(t), \dot{u}_{f_{n}}(t)\right)\right.$. The second inequality implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|f_{n+1}(t)-f_{n}(t)\right\| \\
& \leq(1+\alpha) d\left(f_{n}(t), F\left(t, u_{f_{n}}(t), \dot{u}_{f_{n}}(t)\right)\right) \\
& \leq(1+\alpha) \mathcal{H}\left(F\left(t, u_{f_{n-1}}(t), \dot{u}_{f_{n-1}}(t)\right), F\left(t, u_{f_{n}}(t), \dot{u}_{f_{n}}(t)\right)\right)  \tag{3.10}\\
& \leq(1+\alpha)\left[k_{1}(t)\left\|u_{f_{n}}(t)-u_{f_{n-1}}(t)\right\|+k_{2}(t)\left\|\dot{u}_{f_{n}}(t)-\dot{u}_{f_{n-1}}(t)\right\|\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where the last inequality follows from assumption (i). However, the mapping $f_{n-1}$ and $f_{n}$ being integrable by the induction assumption, we have on the one hand

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{f_{n}}(t)-u_{f_{n-1}}(t)\right\| & =\left\|\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f_{n}(s) d s-\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f_{n-1}(s) d s\right\| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1}|G(t, s)|\left\|f_{n}(s)-f_{n-1}(s)\right\| d s \\
& \leq\left\|f_{n}-f_{n-1}\right\|_{L_{E}^{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows from the first inequality in (3.1). On the other hand using the second inequality in (3.6), we may write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\dot{u}_{f_{n}}(t)-\dot{u}_{f_{n-1}}(t)\right\| & =\left\|\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial t}(t, s) f_{n}(s) d s-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial t}(t, s) f_{n-1}(s) d s\right\| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left|\frac{\partial G}{\partial t}(t, s)\right|\left\|f_{n}(s)-f_{n-1}(s)\right\| d s \\
& \leq\left\|f_{n}-f_{n-1}\right\|_{L_{E}^{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining those last inequalities and 3.10, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{n+1}(t)-f_{n}(t)\right\| \leq(1+\alpha)\left(k_{1}(t)+k_{2}(t)\right)\left\|f_{n}-f_{n-1}\right\|_{L_{E}^{1}} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $k_{1}, k_{2} \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^{1}([0,1])$ and $f_{n}, f_{n-1} \in L_{E}^{1}([0,1])$, we see that $f_{n+1} \in L_{E}^{1}([0,1])$. We may then integrate (3.11),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1}\left\|f_{n+1}(t)-f_{n}(t)\right\| d t & \leq(1+\alpha) \int_{0}^{1}\left(k_{1}(t)+k_{2}(t)\right)\left\|f_{n}-f_{n-1}\right\|_{L_{E}^{1}} d t \\
& =(1+\alpha)\left\|k_{1}+k_{2}\right\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}^{1}}\left\|f_{n}-f_{n-1}\right\|_{L_{E}^{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{n+1}-f_{n}\right\|_{L_{E}^{1}} \leq(1+\alpha)\left\|k_{1}+k_{2}\right\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}^{1}}\left\|f_{n}-f_{n-1}\right\|_{L_{E}^{1}} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking (3.2) into account, we define the mapping $u_{f_{n+1}}:[0,1] \rightarrow E$ by

$$
u_{f_{n+1}}(t)=\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f_{n+1}(s) d s, \quad \forall t \in[0,1]
$$

and relation 3.3 in Proposition 3.1 says that $u_{f_{n+1}}$ is derivable with

$$
\dot{u}_{f_{n+1}}(t)=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial t}(t, s) f_{n+1}(s) d s, \quad \forall t \in[0,1] .
$$

Next, let us prove that the graph of $\left(u_{f_{n+1}}(\cdot), \dot{u}_{f_{n+1}}(\cdot)\right)$ is contained in $\mathbf{X}_{r}$. Setting $\gamma=(1+\alpha)\left\|k_{1}+k_{2}\right\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}^{1}}$ and using successively relation 3.12), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{n+1}-f_{n}\right\|_{L_{E}^{1}} \leq \gamma^{n}\left\|f_{1}-f_{0}\right\| \leq \gamma^{n}(1+\alpha)\|\eta\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}^{1}} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\gamma<1$, the last inequality being due to $(3.9)$. On the other hand, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|u_{f_{n+1}}(t)-u_{f_{n}}(t)\right\| \leq\left\|f_{n+1}-f_{n}\right\|_{L_{E}^{1}}, \\
& \left\|\dot{u}_{f_{n+1}}(t)-\dot{u}_{f_{n}}(t)\right\| \leq\left\|f_{n+1}-f_{n}\right\|_{L_{E}^{1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

(3.13) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{f_{n+1}}-u_{f_{n}}\right\|_{\mathbf{C}^{1}} \leq\left\|f_{n+1}-f_{n}\right\|_{L_{E}^{1}} \leq \gamma^{n}(1+\alpha)\|\eta\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}^{1}} . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Writing,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{f_{n+1}}(t)-u_{f_{0}}(t)\right\| & \leq\left\|u_{f_{n+1}}(t)-u_{f_{n}}(t)\right\|+\left\|u_{f_{n}}(t)-u_{f_{0}}(t)\right\| \\
& \leq \gamma^{n}(1+\alpha)\|\eta\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}}+\left\|u_{f_{n}}(t)-u_{f_{0}}(t)\right\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

and using successively this relation, we obtain thanks to the second inequality of (3.11),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{f_{n+1}}(t)-u_{f_{0}}(t)\right\| \leq\left(\sum_{p=0}^{n} \gamma^{p}\right)(1+\alpha)\|\eta\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}^{1}} \leq \frac{1}{1-\gamma}(1+\alpha)\|\eta\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}^{1}}<r \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using again (3.14) to write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\dot{u}_{f_{n+1}}(t)-\dot{u}_{f_{0}}(t)\right\| & \leq\left\|\dot{u}_{f_{n+1}}(t)-\dot{u}_{f_{n}}(t)\right\|+\left\|\dot{u}_{f_{n}}(t)-\dot{u}_{f_{0}}(t)\right\| \\
& \leq \gamma^{n}(1+\alpha)\|\eta\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}}+\left\|\dot{u}_{f_{n}}(t)-\dot{u}_{f_{0}}(t)\right\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain, in a similar way,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\dot{u}_{f_{n+1}}(t)-\dot{u}_{f_{0}}(t)\right\|<r . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently the sequences $\left(f_{n}\right)$ and $\left(u_{f_{n}}\right)$ are well defined satisfying (3.6, 3.7) and (3.8).

Step 2. By 3.13 we see that $\left(f_{n}\right)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L_{E}^{1}([0,1])$, hence it converges to some mapping $f \in L_{E}^{1}([0,1])$. In the same way (3.14) shows that $\left(u_{f_{n}}\right)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbf{C}_{E}^{1}([0,1])$, consequently it converges to some mapping $w \in \mathbf{C}_{E}^{1}([0,1])$. Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{f_{n}}(t)-u_{f}(t)\right\| & =\left\|\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f_{n}(s) d s-\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f(s) d s\right\| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left\|f_{n}(s)-f(s)\right\| d s=\left\|f_{n}-f\right\|_{L_{E}^{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\dot{u}_{f_{n}}(t)-\dot{u}_{f}(t)\right\| & =\left\|\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial t}(t, s) f_{n}(s) d s-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial t}(t, s) f(s) d s\right\| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left\|f_{n}(s)-f(s)\right\| d s=\left\|f_{n}-f\right\|_{L_{E}^{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which, according to the strong convergence in $L_{E}^{1}([0,1])$ of $\left(f_{n}\right)$ to the mapping $f$ means that $\left(u_{f_{n}}\right)$ converges in $\left(\mathbf{C}_{E}^{1}([0,1]),\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{C}^{1}}\right)$ to $u_{f}$. Thus we get $w=u_{f}$, and by Proposition 3.1 (relations (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4)) we have $\ddot{u}_{f}=f$, with $u_{f}(0)=0$, $u_{f}(\theta)=u_{f}(1)$.

Let us prove now that $u_{f}$ is a solution of the problem (1.1). For this purpose, let us prove that, for each $t \in[0,1]$, the graph of the multifunction $(x, y) \mapsto F(t, x, y)$ is closed relatively to $\mathbf{X}_{r}(t) \times E$ where

$$
\mathbf{X}_{r}(t)=\left\{(x, y) \in E \times E:(t, x, y) \in \mathbf{X}_{r}\right\}
$$

Let $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}, v_{n}\right)_{n}$ be a sequence in $\operatorname{gph}(F(t, \cdot, \cdot))$ converging to $(x, y, v) \in \mathbf{X}_{r}(t) \times E$. For each integer $n, v_{n} \in F\left(t, x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$, and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(v, F(t, x, y)) & \leq\left\|v-v_{n}\right\|+d\left(v_{n}, F(t, x, y)\right) \\
& \leq\left\|v-v_{n}\right\|+\mathcal{H}\left(F\left(t, x_{n}, y_{n}\right), F(t, x, y)\right) \\
& \leq\left\|v-v_{n}\right\|+k_{1}(t)\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|+k_{2}(t)\left\|y_{n}-y\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the last member goes to 0 as $n$ tends to $+\infty$, this says that $v \in F(t, x, y)$ according to the closedness of this set. Consequently the graph of $F(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ is closed relatively to $\mathbf{X}_{r}(t) \times E$. Since $\left(f_{n}\right)$ converges to $f$ strongly in $L_{E}^{1}([0,1])$, by extracting a subsequence we may suppose that $\left(f_{n}\right)$ converges to $f$ almost everywhere on $[0,1]$. As $f_{n+1}(t) \in F\left(t, u_{f_{n}}(t), \dot{u}_{f_{n}}(t)\right)$ and as $\left(u_{f_{n}}\right)$ converges to $u_{f}$ in $\mathbf{C}_{E}^{1}([0,1])$ and $\left(t, u_{f_{n}}(t), \dot{u}_{f_{n}}(t)\right),\left(t, u_{f}(t), \dot{u}_{f}(t)\right) \in \mathbf{X}_{r}$, we conclude that $f(t) \in F\left(t, u_{f}(t), \dot{u}_{f}(t)\right)$, a.e., equivalently $\ddot{u}_{f}(t) \in F\left(t, u_{f}(t), \dot{u}_{f}(t)\right)$, a.e., with $u_{f}(0)=0 ; u_{f}(\theta)=u_{f}(1)$. Furthermore, the relations 3.15) and 3.16 show that

$$
\left\|u_{f}(t)\right\| \leq r+\|g(t)\|, \quad\left\|\dot{u}_{f}(t)\right\| \leq r+\|g(t)\|, \quad \forall t \in[0,1] .
$$

This completes the proof of our theorem.
The following corollary translates the above result in a more amenable way.
Corollary 3.4. Let $E$ be a separable Banach space and $F:[0,1] \times E \times E \rightarrow E$ be a measurable multifunction with nonempty closed values such that
(i) there exist two functions $k_{1}, k_{2} \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^{1}([0,1])$ with $k_{1}(t) \geq 0$ and $k_{2}(t) \geq 0$ satisfying $\left\|k_{1}+k_{2}\right\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}^{1}}<1$ such that

$$
\mathcal{H}\left(F\left(t, x_{1}, y_{1}\right), F\left(t, x_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right) \leq k_{1}(t)\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|+k_{2}(t)\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|
$$

for all $\left(t, x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(t, x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \in[0,1] \times E \times E$;
(ii) the function $t \mapsto d(0, F(t, 0,0))$ is integrable.

Then the differential inclusion (1.1) has at least a solution $u \in \mathbf{W}_{E}^{2,1}([0,1])$.
Proof. Taking $g \equiv 0$ and $r=+\infty$, we see in Theorem 3.3 that $\mathbf{X}_{r}=[0,1] \times E \times E$. Further putting $\eta(t)=d(0, F(t, 0,0))$, the function $\eta$ is integrable and satisfies the assumption (ii) of Theorem 3.3 . We may then conclude that the corollary is a consequence of Theorem 3.3
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