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# OBLIQUE DERIVATIVE PROBLEMS FOR GENERALIZED RASSIAS EQUATIONS OF MIXED TYPE WITH SEVERAL CHARACTERISTIC BOUNDARIES 

GUO CHUN WEN


#### Abstract

This article concerns the oblique derivative problems for secondorder quasilinear degenerate equations of mixed type with several characteristic boundaries, which include the Tricomi problem as a special case. First we formulate the problem and obtain estimates of its solutions, then we show the existence of solutions by the successive iterations and the Leray-Schauder theorem. We use a complex analytic method: elliptic complex functions are used in the elliptic domain, and hyperbolic complex functions in the hyperbolic domain, such that second-order equations of mixed type with degenerate curve are reduced to the first order mixed complex equations with singular coefficients. An application of the complex analytic method, solves 1.1) below with $m=n=1, a=b=0$, which was posed as an open problem by Rassias.


## 1. Formulation of oblique derivative problems

Tricomi problems for second-order equations of mixed type with parabolic degenerate lines possess important applications to gas dynamics, and have been discussed in [1]-[15], [19, 20]. In this article, we generalize those results to second-order equations of mixed type with parabolic degeneracy and several characteristic boundaries.

Let $D$ be a simply connected bounded domain in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ with the boundary $\partial D=\Gamma \cup L$, where $\Gamma \subset\left\{\hat{y}=y-x^{n}>0\right\}$ and is an element in $C_{\mu}^{2}$ with $0<\mu<1$ and with end points $z_{*}=-R-i R^{n}, z^{*}=R+i R^{n}$; and $L=L_{1} \cup L_{2} \cup L_{3} \cup \cdots \cup L_{2 N}$, where $N$ is an odd positive integer, and for $l=1, \ldots, N$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{2 l-1} & =\left\{x+\int_{0}^{y-x^{n}} \sqrt{|K(t)|} d t=a_{l-1}, x \in\left[a_{l-1}, a_{l}\right]\right\} \\
L_{2 l} & =\left\{x-\int_{0}^{y-x^{n}} \sqrt{|K(t)|} d t=a_{l}, x \in\left[a_{l-1}, a_{l}\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Herein $-R=a_{0}<a_{1}<\cdots<a_{N-1}<a_{N}=R, K\left(y-x^{n}\right)=\operatorname{sgn}\left(y-x^{n}\right)\left|y-x^{n}\right|^{m}$, $R, m$ are positive constants, denote $D^{+}=D \cap\left\{y-x^{n}>0\right\}, D^{-}=D \cap\left\{y-x^{n}<0\right\}$, and $G\left(y-x^{n}\right)=\int_{0}^{y-x^{n}} \sqrt{|K(t)|} d t$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the boundary $\Gamma$ possesses the form $x=-R+\tilde{G}(\hat{y})$ and $x=R-\tilde{G}(\hat{y})$ near $z_{*}$ and

[^0]$z^{*}$ with the condition $d \tilde{G}(\hat{y}) / d \hat{y}= \pm \tilde{H}(\hat{y})=0$ at $z=z_{*}, z^{*}$ respectively. Otherwise through a conformal mapping as stated in [20], this requirement can be realized. In this paper, we use the hyperbolic unit $j$ with the condition $j^{2}=1$ in $\overline{D^{-}}$, and $x+j y, W(z)=U(z)+j V(z)=\left[H(\hat{y}) u_{x}-j u_{y}\right] / 2$ are called the hyperbolic number and hyperbolic complex function in $D^{-}$, and $x+i y, W(z)=U(z)+i V(z)=$ $\left[H(\hat{y}) u_{x}-i u_{y}\right] / 2$ are called the complex number and elliptic complex function in $\overline{D^{+}}$respectively (see [16]). Consider generalized Rassias equation of mixed type with parabolic degeneracy
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(y-x^{n}\right) u_{x x}+u_{y y}+a u_{x}+b u_{y}+c u+d=0 \quad \text { in } D, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $\hat{y}=y-x^{n}, a, b, c, d$ are real functions of $z \in \bar{D}, u, u_{x}, u_{y} \in \mathbb{R}$, and suppose that 1.1) satisfies the following conditions,
(C1) For continuously differentiable functions $u(z)$ in $D^{*}=\bar{D} \backslash\left\{\tilde{a}_{0}, \tilde{a}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{a}_{N}\right\}$, the coefficients $a, b, c, d$ satisfy

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{L}_{\infty}\left[\eta, D^{+}\right]=L_{\infty}\left[\eta, D^{+}\right]+L_{\infty}\left[\eta_{x}, D^{+}\right] \leq k_{0}, \quad \eta=a, b, c \\
\tilde{L}_{\infty}\left[d, D^{+}\right] \leq k_{1}, \quad \tilde{C}\left[d, \overline{D^{-}}\right]=C\left[d, \overline{D^{-}}\right]+C\left[d_{x}, \overline{D-}\right] \leq k_{1} \\
\tilde{C}\left[\eta, \overline{D^{-}}\right] \leq k_{0}, \quad \eta=a, b, c  \tag{1.2}\\
c \leq 0 \quad \text { in } D^{+} \\
|a(x, y)||\hat{y}|^{1-m / 2}=\varepsilon_{1}(\hat{y}) \quad \text { as } \hat{y} \rightarrow 0, m \geq 2, z \in \overline{D^{-}}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\tilde{a}_{l}=a_{l}+i a_{l}^{n}, l=0,1, \ldots, N, \hat{y}=y-x^{n}$, and $\varepsilon_{1}(\hat{y})$ is a non-negative function such that $\varepsilon_{1}(\hat{y}) \rightarrow 0$ as $\hat{y} \rightarrow 0$.
(C2) For any continuously differentiable functions $u_{1}(z), u_{2}(z)$ in $D^{*}$, the function $F\left(z, u, u_{z}\right)=a u_{x}+b u_{y}+c u+d$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& F\left(z, u_{1}, u_{1 z}\right)-F\left(z, u_{2}, u_{2 z}\right)  \tag{1.3}\\
& =\tilde{a}\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)_{x}+\tilde{b}\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)_{y}+\tilde{c}\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right) \quad \text { in } D
\end{align*}
$$

in which $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c}$ satisfy the same conditions as those of $a, b, c$ in 1.2 , and $k_{0}, k_{1}$ are positive constants such that $k_{0} \geq 2, k_{1} \geq \max \left[1,6 k_{0}\right]$.
To write the complex form of the above equation, denote

$$
\begin{gathered}
W(z)=U+i V=\frac{1}{2}\left[H\left(y-x^{n}\right) u_{x}-i u_{y}\right] \\
=u_{\tilde{z}}=\frac{H\left(y-x^{n}\right)}{2}\left[u_{x}-i u_{Y}\right]=H\left(y-x^{n}\right) u_{Z} \\
\begin{aligned}
H\left(y-x^{n}\right) W_{\bar{Z}} & =\frac{H\left(y-x^{n}\right)}{2}\left[W_{x}+i W_{Y}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[H\left(y-x^{n}\right) W_{x}+i W_{y}\right]=W_{\overline{\tilde{z}}} \quad \text { in } \overline{D^{+}}
\end{aligned}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $Z(z)=x+i Y=x+i G(\hat{y}), \hat{y}=y-x^{n}$ in $\overline{D^{+}}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K\left(y-x^{n}\right) u_{x x}+u_{y y} \\
& =H\left(y-x^{n}\right)\left[H\left(y-x^{n}\right) u_{x}-i u_{y}\right]_{x}+i\left[H\left(y-x^{n}\right) u_{x}-i u_{y}\right]_{y}-\left[i H_{y}+H H_{x}\right] u_{x} \\
& =2\left\{H[U+i V]_{x}+i[U+i V]_{y}\right\}-\left[i H_{y} / H+H_{x}\right] H u_{x} \\
& =4 H\left(y-x^{n}\right) W_{\bar{Z}}-\left[i H_{y} / H+H_{x}\right] H u_{x}=-\left[a u_{x}+b u_{y}+c u+d\right] ;
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
& H\left(y-x^{n}\right) W_{\bar{Z}} \\
& =H\left[W_{x}+i W_{Y}\right] / 2 \\
& =H\left[(U+i V)_{x}+i(U+i V)_{Y}\right] / 2 \\
& =\left\{\left(i H_{y} / H+H_{x}-a / H\right) H u_{x}-b u_{y}-c u-d\right\} / 4  \tag{1.4}\\
& =\left\{\left(i H_{y} / H+H_{x}-a / H\right)(W+\bar{W})+i b(\bar{W}-W)-c u-d\right\} / 4 \\
& =A_{1}(z, u, W) W+A_{2}(z, u, W) \bar{W}+A_{3}(z, u, W) u+A_{4}(z, u, W) \\
& =g(Z) \quad \text { in } D_{Z}^{+}
\end{align*}
$$

in which $D_{Z}^{+}=D_{Z}$ is the image domains of $D^{+}$with respect to the mapping $Z=Z(z)$. Moreover denote

$$
\begin{gathered}
W(z)=U+j V=\frac{1}{2}\left[H\left(y-x^{n}\right) u_{x}-j u_{y}\right] \\
=\frac{H\left(y-x^{n}\right)}{2}\left[u_{x}-j u_{Y}\right]=H\left(y-x^{n}\right) u_{Z} \\
H\left(y-x^{n}\right) W_{\bar{Z}}=\frac{H\left(y-x^{n}\right)}{2}\left[W_{x}+j W_{Y}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left[H\left(y-x^{n}\right) W_{x}+j W_{y}\right]=W_{\overline{\bar{z}}} \quad \text { in } \overline{D^{-}}
\end{gathered}
$$

in which $Z(z)=x+j Y=x+j G(\hat{y}), \hat{y}=y-x^{n}$ in $\overline{D^{-}}$. Then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -K\left(y-x^{n}\right) u_{x x}-u_{y y} \\
& =H\left(y-x^{n}\right)\left[H\left(y-x^{n}\right) u_{x}-j u_{y}\right]_{x}+j\left[H\left(y-x^{n}\right) u_{x}-j u_{y}\right]_{y}-\left[j H_{y}+H H_{x}\right] u_{x} \\
& =2\left\{H[U+j V]_{x}+j[U+j V]_{y}\right\}-\left[j H_{y} / H+H_{x}\right] H u_{x} \\
& =4 H\left(y-x^{n}\right) W_{\bar{Z}}-\left[j H_{y} / H+H_{x}\right] H u_{x} \\
& =a u_{x}+b u_{y}+c u+d, H\left(y-x^{n}\right) W_{\bar{Z}} \\
& =H\left[(U+j V)_{x}+j(U+j V)_{Y}\right] / 2 \\
& =\left\{\left(j H_{y} / H+H_{x}\right) H u_{x}+a u_{x}+b u_{y}+c u+d\right\} / 4 \\
& =\left\{\left(j H_{y} / H+H_{x}+a / H\right)(W+\bar{W})+j b(\bar{W}-W)+c u+d\right\} / 4 \\
& =H\left\{e_{1}\left[U_{x}+V_{Y}+V_{x}+U_{Y}\right] / 2+e_{2}\left[U_{x}+V_{Y}-V_{x}-U_{Y}\right] / 2\right\} \\
& =H\left\{e_{1}\left[(U+V)_{x}+(U+V)_{Y}\right] / 2+e_{2}\left[(U-V)_{x}-(U-V)_{Y}\right] / 2\right\} \\
& =H\left[e_{1}(U+V)_{\mu}+e_{2}(U-V)_{\nu}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{4}\left\{\left(e_{1}-e_{2}\right)\left[H_{y} / H\right] H u_{x}+\left(e_{1}+e_{2}\right)\left[\left(H_{x}+a / H\right) H u_{x}+b u_{y}+c u+d\right]\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and in $D^{-}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
(U+V)_{\mu} & =\frac{1}{4 H}\left\{2\left[H_{y} / H+H_{x}+a / H\right] U-2 b V+c u+d\right\}  \tag{1.5}\\
(U-V)_{\nu} & =\frac{1}{4 H}\left\{-2\left[H_{y} / H-H_{x}-a / H\right] U-2 b V+c u+d\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where $e_{1}=(1+j) / 2, e_{2}=(1-j) / 2,2 x=\mu+\nu, 2 Y=\mu-\nu, \partial x / \partial \mu=1 / 2=\partial Y / \partial \mu$ $\partial x / \partial \nu=1 / 2=-\partial Y / \partial \nu$. Hence the complex form of (1.1) can be written as

$$
W_{\bar{z}}=A_{1} W+A_{2} \bar{W}+A_{3} u+A_{4} \quad \text { in } \bar{D}
$$

$$
u(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{z_{*}}^{z}\left[\frac{U(z)}{H\left(y-x^{n}\right)}+i V(z)\right] d z+c_{0} \quad \text { in } \overline{D^{+}}  \tag{1.6}\\
2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{z_{*}}^{z}\left[\frac{U(z)}{H\left(y-x^{n}\right)}-j V(z)\right] d z+c_{0} \quad \text { in } \overline{D^{-}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $c_{0}=u\left(z_{*}\right)$, and the coefficients $A_{l}=A_{l}(z, u, W)$ are as follows

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{1}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{4}\left[-\frac{a}{H}+\frac{i H_{y}}{H}+H_{x}-i b\right], \\
\frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{a}{H}+\frac{j H_{y}}{H}+H_{x}-j b\right],\end{cases}  \tag{1.7}\\
A_{3}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\frac{c}{4}, \\
\frac{c}{4},
\end{array} \quad A_{4}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{4}\left[-\frac{a}{H}+\frac{i H_{y}}{H}+H_{x}+i b\right] \\
\frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{a}{H}+\frac{j H_{y}}{H}+H_{x}+j b\right] \\
-\frac{d}{4} & \text { in } \overline{D^{+}} \\
\frac{d}{4} & \text { in } \overline{D^{-}}\end{cases} \right.
\end{gather*}
$$

For convenience, sometimes $\tilde{a}_{l}=a_{l}+i a_{l}^{n}(l=0,1, \ldots, N)$ in the $z=x+i y$-plane are replaced by $\hat{t}_{1}=a_{0}, \hat{t}_{l}=a_{l-2}(l=3, \ldots, N+1), \hat{t}_{2}=a_{N}$ in $\hat{z}=x+i \hat{y}$-plane, and the hyperbolic complex number $\hat{z}=x+j \hat{y}$, the function $F[z(Z)]$ are simply written as $z=x+j \hat{y}, F(z)$ respectively.

The oblique derivative boundary-value problem for (1.1) may be formulated as follows:

Problem P. Find a continuous solution $u(z)$ of 1.1 in $\bar{D}$, where $u_{x}, u_{y}$ are continuous in $D^{*}$, and satisfy the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}=\frac{1}{H\left(y-x^{n}\right)} \operatorname{Re}\left[\overline{\lambda(z)} u_{\tilde{z}}\right]=\operatorname{Re}\left[\overline{\Lambda(z)} u_{z}\right]=r(z) \quad \text { on } \Gamma \cup \tilde{L}, u\left(\tilde{a}_{0}\right)=c_{0} \\
& \left.\frac{1}{H\left(y-x^{n}\right)} \operatorname{Im}\left[\overline{\lambda(z)} u_{\tilde{z}}\right]\right|_{z=z_{l}}=\left.\operatorname{Im}\left[\overline{\Lambda(z)} u_{\tilde{z}}\right]\right|_{z=z_{l}}=b_{l}, u\left(\tilde{a}_{l}\right)=c_{l}, \quad l=1, \ldots, N . \tag{1.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Herein $\tilde{L}=L_{1} \cup L_{3} \cup \cdots \cup L_{2 N-1}, \nu$ is a given vector at every point $z \in \Gamma \cup \tilde{L}$, $u_{\tilde{z}}=\left[H\left(y-x^{n}\right) u_{x}-i u_{y}\right] / 2, \Lambda(z)=\cos (\nu, x)-i \cos (\nu, y), \cos (\nu, x)$ means the cosine of angle between $\nu$ and $x, \lambda(z)=\operatorname{Re} \lambda(z)+i \operatorname{Im} \lambda(z)$, if $z \in \Gamma$, and $u_{\tilde{z}}=$ $\left[H\left(y-x^{n}\right) u_{x}-j u_{y}\right] / 2, \lambda(z)=\operatorname{Re} \lambda(z)+j \operatorname{Im} \lambda(z)$, if $z \in \tilde{L}, b_{l}, c_{l}(l=1, \ldots, N), c_{0}$ are real constants, and $r(z), b_{l}, c_{l}(l=1 \ldots, N), c_{0}$ satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
C_{\alpha}^{1}[\lambda(z), \Gamma] \leq k_{0}, \quad C_{\alpha}^{1}[\lambda(z), \tilde{L}] \leq k_{0}, \quad C_{\alpha}^{1}[r(z), \Gamma] \leq k_{2} \\
C_{\alpha}^{1}\left[r(z), \tilde{L}_{1}\right] \leq k_{2}, \quad \cos (\nu, n) \geq 0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma \\
\cos (\nu, n)<1 \quad \text { on } \tilde{L}  \tag{1.9}\\
\left|b_{l}\right|,\left|c_{l}\right|,\left|c_{0}\right| \leq k_{2}, \quad l=1, \ldots, N \\
\max _{z \in \tilde{L}} \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Re} \lambda(z)-\operatorname{Im} \lambda(z)|} \leq k_{0}
\end{gather*}
$$

in which $n$ is the outward normal vector at every point on $\Gamma, \alpha, k_{0}, k_{2}$ are positive constants with $0<\alpha<1$ and $k_{2} \geq k_{0}$ ).

The number

$$
K=\frac{1}{2}\left(K_{1}+K_{2}+\cdots+K_{N+1}\right)
$$

is called the index of Problem P, where $K_{l}=\left[\frac{\phi_{l}}{\pi}\right]+J_{l}, \quad J_{l}=0$ or 1,

$$
e^{i \phi_{l}}=\frac{\lambda\left(\hat{t}_{l}-0\right)}{\lambda\left(\hat{t}_{l}+0\right)}, \quad \gamma_{l}=\frac{\phi_{l}}{\pi}-K_{l}, \quad l=1,2, \ldots, N+1
$$

in which $\hat{t}_{1}=a_{0}, \hat{t}_{2}=a_{N}, \hat{t}_{3}=a_{1}, \ldots, \hat{t}_{N+1}=a_{N-1}, \lambda(t)=e^{i \pi / 2}$ on $L_{0}, L_{0}=$ $D \cap\left\{y-x^{n}=0\right\}$ on $x$-axis, and $\lambda\left(\hat{t}_{1}+0\right)=\lambda\left(\hat{t}_{3}-0\right)=\lambda\left(\hat{t}_{3}+0\right)=\cdots=$ $\lambda\left(\hat{t}_{N}-0\right)=\lambda\left(\hat{t}_{N}+0\right)=\lambda\left(\hat{t}_{2}-0\right)=\exp (i \pi / 2)$. Here $K=-1 / 2$ or $(N-1) / 2$ on the boundary $\partial D^{+}$of $D^{+}$can be chosen, in the last case we can add $N$ point conditions $u\left(\tilde{a}_{l}\right)=c_{l}(l=1, \ldots, N)$. It is clear that we can require that $-1 / 2 \leq$ $\gamma_{l}<1 / 2(l=0,1, \ldots, N)$. Moreover if $\cos (\nu, n) \equiv 0$ on $\Gamma$, the case is just the boundary condition of Tricomi problem, from 1.8), we can determine the value $u\left(z^{*}\right)$ by the value $u\left(z_{*}\right)$, namely
$u\left(z^{*}\right)=2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{z_{*}}^{z^{*}} u_{z} d z+u\left(z_{*}\right)=2 \int_{0}^{S} \operatorname{Re}\left[z^{\prime}(s) u_{z}\right] d s+c_{0}=2 \int_{0}^{S} r(z) d s+c_{0}=c_{N}$,
and

$$
u(z)=2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{\tilde{a}_{0}}^{z} u_{z} d z+u\left(\tilde{a}_{0}\right)=2 \int_{0}^{s} \operatorname{Re}\left[z^{\prime}(s) u_{z}\right] d s+c_{0}=2 \int_{0}^{s} r(z) d s+c_{0}=\phi(z)
$$

on $\Gamma$, and for $l=0,1, \ldots, N-1$,

$$
u(z)=2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{\tilde{a}_{l}}^{z} u_{z} d \bar{z}+u\left(\tilde{a}_{l}\right)=2 \int_{0}^{s_{l}} \operatorname{Re}\left[\overline{z^{\prime}(s)} u_{z}\right] d s+c_{l}=2 \int_{0}^{s_{l}} r(z) d s+c_{l}=\psi(z)
$$

on $L_{2 l+1}$, in which $\overline{\Lambda(z)}=z^{\prime}(s)$ on $\Gamma, z(s)$ is a parameter expression of arc length $s$ of $\Gamma$ with the condition $z(0)=z_{*}, S$ is the length of the boundary $\Gamma$, and $\overline{\Lambda(z)}=\overline{z^{\prime}(s)}$ on $L_{l}, z(s)$ is a parameter expression of arc length $s$ of $L_{l}$ with the condition $z(0)=\tilde{a}_{l}, l=0, \ldots, N-1$. If we consider

$$
\operatorname{Re}[\overline{\lambda(z)}(U+j V)]=0 \quad \text { on } L_{0},
$$

where $\lambda(z)=1=e^{i 0 \pi}$, then $\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{2}=-1 / 2, \gamma_{l}=0(l=2, \ldots, N+1)$ or $\gamma_{1}=1 / 2$, $\gamma_{2}=-1 / 2, \gamma_{l}=0(l=2, \ldots, N+1)$, thus $K=0$ or $-1 / 2$.

For 1.1 with $c=0$, when $K=-1 / 2$ or $(N-1) / 2$, the last point condition in (1.8) can be replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L u_{\tilde{z}}\left(z_{l}^{\prime}\right)=\left.\operatorname{Im}\left[\overline{\lambda(z)} u_{\tilde{z}}\right]\right|_{z=z_{l}^{\prime}}=H\left(y_{l}^{\prime}-x_{l}^{\prime n}\right) c_{l}=c_{l}^{\prime}, \quad l=1, \ldots, N \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{l}^{\prime}=x_{l}^{\prime}+i y_{l}^{\prime}=x_{l}^{\prime}+i x_{l}^{\prime n}(l=1, \ldots, N)$ are distinct points on $\Gamma \backslash\left\{\tilde{a}_{0} \cup \tilde{a}_{N}\right\}$, and $c_{l}(l=1, \ldots, N)$ are real constants, in this case the condition $\cos (\nu, n) \geq 0$ on $\Gamma$ in 1.9 can be cancelled. The boundary value problem is called Problem Q.

Noting that $\lambda(z), r(z) \in C_{\alpha}^{1}(\Gamma), \lambda(z), r(z) \in C_{\alpha}^{1}(\tilde{L})(0<\alpha<1)$, we can find two twice continuously differentiable functions $u_{0}^{ \pm}(z)$ in $\overline{D^{ \pm}}$, for instance, which are the solutions of the oblique derivative problem with the boundary condition in 1.8 for harmonic equations in $D^{ \pm}$(see [17), thus the functions $v(z)=v^{ \pm}(z)=u(z)-u_{0}^{ \pm}(z)$ in $D^{ \pm}$is the solution of the following boundary value problem in the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
K\left(y-x^{n}\right) v_{x x}+v_{y y}+\hat{a} v_{x}+\hat{b} v_{y}+\hat{c} v+\hat{d}=0 \quad \text { in } D,  \tag{1.11}\\
\operatorname{Re}\left[\overline{\lambda(z)} v_{\tilde{z}}(z)\right]=R(z) \quad \text { on } \Gamma \cup \tilde{L}, \\
v\left(\tilde{a}_{0}\right)=c_{0}  \tag{1.12}\\
\operatorname{Im}\left[\overline{\lambda\left(z_{l}\right)} v_{\tilde{z}}\left(z_{l}\right)\right]=b_{l}^{\prime}, \quad v\left(\tilde{a}_{l}\right)=c_{l} \text { or } \operatorname{Im}\left[\overline{\lambda\left(z_{l}^{\prime}\right)} v_{\tilde{z}}\left(z_{l}^{\prime}\right)\right]=c_{l}^{\prime}, \quad l=1, \ldots, N .
\end{gather*}
$$

Herein $W(z)=U+i V=v_{\tilde{z}}^{+}$in $D^{+}, W(z)=U+j V=v_{\tilde{z}}^{-}$in $\overline{D^{-}}, R(z)=0$ on $\Gamma \cup \tilde{L}, b_{l}=0, c_{0}=c_{l}=0, l=1, \ldots, N$. Hence later on we only discuss the case of the homogeneous boundary condition and the index $K=(N-1) / 2$, the other case can be similarly discussed. From $v(z)=v^{ \pm}(z)=u(z)-u_{0}^{ \pm}(z)$ in $\overline{D^{ \pm}}$, we have $u(z)=v^{+}(z)+u_{0}^{+}(z)$ in $\overline{D^{+}}, u(z)=v^{-}(z)+u_{0}^{-}(z)$ in $\overline{D^{-}}$, $v^{+}(z)=v^{-}(z)-u_{0}^{+}(z)+u_{0}^{-}(z), v_{y}^{+}=v_{y}^{-}-u_{0 y}^{+}+u_{0 y}^{-}=2 \hat{R}_{0}(x)$, and $v_{y}^{-}=2 \tilde{R}_{0}(x)$ on $L_{0}=D \cap\{y=0\}$, where $\hat{R}_{0}(x), \tilde{R}_{0}(x)$ are undetermined real functions. The boundary vale problem $(1.11),(1.12)$ is called Problem $\tilde{P}$ or $\tilde{Q}$.

Here we mention that if the domain $D$ is general, then we can choose a univalent conformal mapping, such that $D$ is transformed onto a special domain with the partial boundary $\Gamma$ as stated before, then the $u_{x}$ in Conditions (C1),(C2) should be replaced by $u_{z}$. For the boundary condition 1.8 on the boundary $\partial D$ of general domain $D$, we require that the boundary conditions about $u(z)$ and $u_{x}$ in 1.8 satisfy the similar conditions.

## 2. Representation of solutions to oblique derivative problems

The representation of solutions of Problem P or Q for equation 1.1 is as follows.
Theorem 2.1. Under Conditions (C1), (C2), any solution $u(z)$ of Problem P or $Q$ for equation (1.1) in $D^{-}$can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
u(z)= & \int_{0}^{y-x^{n}} V(z) d y+u(x)=2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{z_{*}}^{z}\left[\frac{\operatorname{Re} W}{H(\hat{y})}+\binom{i}{-j} \operatorname{Im} W\right] d z+c_{0} \\
& i n\left(\frac{\overline{D^{+}}}{D^{-}}\right), \\
W(z)= & \Phi[Z(z)]+\Psi[(Z(z)]=\hat{\Phi}[Z(z)]+\hat{\Psi}[(Z(z)], \Psi(Z)=T(Z)-\overline{T(\bar{Z})}, \\
\hat{\Psi}(Z)= & T(Z)+\overline{T(\bar{Z})}, \quad T(Z)=-\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{D_{t}^{+}} \frac{f(t)}{t-Z} d \sigma_{t} \quad i n \overline{D_{Z}^{+}}  \tag{2.1}\\
W(z)= & \phi(z)+\psi(z)=\xi(z) e_{1}+\eta(z) e_{2} \quad i n \overline{D^{-}}, \\
\xi(z)= & \zeta(z)+\int_{0}^{y-x^{n}} g_{1}(z) d t=\int_{S_{1}} g_{1}(z) d t+\int_{0}^{y-x^{n}} g_{1}(z) d t, \quad z \in s_{1}, \\
\eta(z)= & \theta(z)+\int_{0}^{y-x^{n}} g_{2}(z) d t=\int_{S_{2}} g_{2}(z) d t+\int_{0}^{y-x^{n}} g_{2}(z) d t, \quad z \in s_{2}, \\
g_{l}(z)= & \tilde{A}_{l}(U+V)+\tilde{B}_{l}(U-V)+2 \tilde{C}_{l} U+\tilde{D}_{l} u+\tilde{E}_{l}, \quad l=1,2 .
\end{align*}
$$

Herein $Z=x+j G\left(y-x^{n}\right), f(Z)=g(Z) / H, U=H u_{x} / 2, V=-u_{y} / 2,\binom{i}{-j}$ is a $2 \times 1$ matrix, $\xi(z)=\int_{S_{1}} g_{1}(z) d t$ in $D^{-}, \zeta(x)+\theta(x)=0$ on $L_{0}, s_{1}, s_{2}$ are two families of characteristics in $D^{-}$:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}: \frac{d x}{d y}=H\left(y-x^{n}\right), \quad s_{2}: \frac{d x}{d y}=-H\left(y-x^{n}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

passing through $z=x+j\left(y-x^{n}\right) \in \overline{D^{-}}, S_{1}, S_{2}$ are characteristic curves from the points on $\tilde{L}=L_{1} \cup L_{3} \cup \cdots \cup L_{2 N-1}, \tilde{L}^{\prime}=L_{2} \cup L_{4} \cup \cdots \cup L_{2 N}$ to two points on $L_{0}$
respectively, and

$$
\begin{gather*}
W(z)=U(z)+j V(z)=\frac{1}{2} H u_{x}-\frac{j}{2} u_{y} \\
\xi(z)=\operatorname{Re} W(z)+\operatorname{Im} W(z), \quad \eta(z)=\operatorname{Re} W(z)-\operatorname{Im} W(z) \\
\tilde{A}_{1}=\tilde{B}_{2}=-\frac{b}{2}, \quad \tilde{A}_{2}=\tilde{B}_{1}=\frac{b}{2}, \quad \tilde{C}_{1}=\frac{a}{2 H}+\frac{m\left(1-n x^{n-1} H\right)}{4\left(y-x^{n}\right)},  \tag{2.3}\\
\tilde{C}_{2}=-\frac{a}{2 H}+\frac{m\left(1+n x^{n-1} H\right)}{4\left(y-x^{n}\right)}, \quad \tilde{D}_{1}=-\tilde{D}_{2}=\frac{c}{2}, \quad \tilde{E}_{1}=-\tilde{E}_{2}=\frac{d}{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

in which we choose $H\left(y-x^{n}\right)=\left|y-x^{n}\right|^{m / 2}$, where $m$ is as stated before.
Proof. From (1.5), 1.6, we see that equation 1.1 in $\overline{D^{-}}$can be reduced to the system of integral equations: (2.1). Moreover we can derive $H(0) u_{x} / 2=U(x)=$ $[\zeta(x)+\theta(x)] / 2=0$, i.e. $\zeta(x)=-\theta(x)$ on $L_{0}$, and then $\zeta(z)=\int_{S_{1}} g_{1}(z) d t$, $\theta(z)=-\zeta\left(x+G\left(y-x^{n}\right)\right)$ in $\overline{D^{-}}$. Here we mention that by using the way of symmetrical extension with respect to $L_{l}(l=1,2, \ldots, 2 N)$, we can extend the function $W(Z), u(z)$ from $\overline{D^{-}}$onto the exterior of $D^{-}$.

In the following, we prove the uniqueness of solutions of Problem P for (1.1).
Theorem 2.2. Suppose that (1.1) satisfies Condition (C1), (C2). Then Problem $P$ for (1.1) in $D$ has a unique solution.

Proof. Let $u_{1}(z), u_{2}(z)$ be two solutions of Problem P for 1.1). Then $u(z)=$ $u_{1}(z)-u_{2}(z)$ is a solution of the generalized Rassias homogeneous equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(y-x^{n}\right) u_{x x}+u_{y y}+\tilde{a} u_{x}+\tilde{b} u_{y}+\tilde{c} u=0 \quad \text { in } D \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}=\frac{1}{H(\hat{y})} \operatorname{Re}\left[\overline{\lambda(z)} u_{\tilde{z}}(z)\right]=0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma \cup \tilde{L}  \tag{2.5}\\
u\left(\tilde{a}_{0}\right)= & 0, \quad \operatorname{Im}\left[\overline{\lambda\left(z_{l}\right)} u_{\tilde{z}}\left(z_{l}\right)\right]=0, \quad u\left(\tilde{a}_{l}\right)=0, \quad l=1, \ldots, N,
\end{align*}
$$

where the function $W(z)=U(z)+j V(z)=\left[H(\hat{y}) u_{x}-j u_{y}\right] / 2$ in the hyperbolic domain $D^{-}$can be expressed in the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
W(z)=\phi(x)+\psi(z)=\xi(z) e_{1}+\eta(z) e_{2} \\
\xi(z)=\zeta(z)+\int_{0}^{y-x^{n}}\left[\tilde{A}_{1}(U+V)+\tilde{B}_{1}(U-V)+2 \tilde{C}_{1} U+\tilde{D}_{1} u\right] d y, \quad z \in s_{1},  \tag{2.6}\\
\eta(z)=\theta(z)+\int_{0}^{y-x^{n}}\left[\tilde{A}_{2}(U+V)+\tilde{B}_{2}(U-V)+2 \tilde{C}_{2} U+\tilde{D}_{2} u\right] d y, \quad z \in s_{2},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\phi(z)=\zeta(z) e_{1}+\theta(z) e_{2}$ is a solution of equation $W_{\overline{\tilde{z}}}=0$ in $D^{-}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(z)=2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{z_{*}}^{z}\left[\frac{\operatorname{Re} W(z)}{H\left(y-x^{n}\right)}+\binom{i}{-j} \operatorname{Im} W\right] d z \quad \text { in }\left(\overline{D^{+}}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By a similar way as in [20, Section 2, Chapter V], we can verify $u(z)=0$ in $\overline{D^{-}}$, especially $u_{\hat{y}}=0$ on $L_{0}$.

Now we verify that the above solution $u(z) \equiv 0$ in $D^{+}$. If the maximum $M=$ $\max _{\overline{D^{+}}} u(z)>0$, it is clear that the maximum point $z^{\prime} \notin D^{+}$. If the maximum $M$ attains at a point $z^{\prime} \in \Gamma$ and $\cos (\nu, n)>0$ at $z^{\prime}$, we get $\partial u / \partial \nu>0$ at $z^{\prime}$, which
contradicts the first formula of 2.5 . If $\cos (\nu, n)=0$ at $z^{\prime}$, denote by $\Gamma^{\prime}$ the longest curve of $\Gamma$ including the point $z^{\prime}$, so that $\cos (\nu, n)=0$ and $u(z)=M$ on $\Gamma^{\prime}$, then there exists a point $z_{0} \in \Gamma \backslash \Gamma^{\prime}$, such that at $z_{0}, \cos (\nu, n)>0, \partial u / \partial n>0, \cos (\nu, s)>$ $0(<0), \partial u / \partial s \geq 0(\leq 0)$, hence the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}=\cos (\nu, n) \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}+\cos (\nu, s) \frac{\partial u}{\partial s}>0 \quad \text { at } z_{0} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, in which $s$ is the tangent vector at $z_{0} \in \Gamma$, it is impossible. Thus $u(z)$ attains its positive maximum at a point $z=z^{\prime} \in L_{0}$. By the Hopf Lemma, we can see that it is also impossible. Hence $u(z)=u_{1}(z)-u_{2}(z)=0$ in $\overline{D^{+}}$, thus we have $u_{1}(z)=u_{2}(z)$ in $\bar{D}$. This completes the proof.

## 3. Solvability of oblique derivative problems

In this section, we prove the existence of solutions of Problem $P$ for equation (1.1). From the discussion in Section 1, we can only discuss the complex equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\overline{\tilde{z}}}=A_{1}(z, u, W) W+A_{2}(z, u, W) \bar{W}+A_{3}(z, u, W) u+A_{4}(z, u, W) \quad \text { in } \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the relation

$$
u(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{z_{*}}^{z}\left[\frac{\operatorname{Re} W(z)}{H\left(y-x^{n}\right)}+i \operatorname{Im} W(z)\right] d z+c_{0} \quad \text { in } \overline{D^{+}}  \tag{3.2}\\
2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{z_{*}}^{z}\left[\frac{\operatorname{Re} W(z)}{H\left(y-x^{n}\right)}-j \operatorname{Im} W(z)\right] d z+c_{0} \quad \text { in } \overline{D^{-}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and the homogeneous boundary conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Re}[\overline{\lambda(z)} W(z)]=R(z) \quad \text { on } \Gamma \cup \tilde{L}, \\
u\left(\tilde{a}_{0}\right)=c_{0}  \tag{3.3}\\
\operatorname{Im}\left[\overline{\lambda\left(z_{l}\right)} u_{\tilde{z}}\left(z_{l}\right)\right]=b_{l}^{\prime}, u\left(\tilde{a}_{l}\right)=c_{l} \text { or } \operatorname{Im}\left[\overline{\lambda\left(z_{l}^{\prime}\right)} u_{\tilde{z}}\left(z_{l}^{\prime}\right)\right]=c_{l}^{\prime}, \quad l=1, \ldots, N,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $R(z)=0$ on $\Gamma \cup L_{1}$ and $c_{0}=b_{l}^{\prime}=c_{l}=c_{l}^{\prime}=0, l=1, \ldots, N$. The boundary value problem (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) is called Problem $\tilde{A}$, which is corresponding to Problem $\tilde{P}$ or $\tilde{Q}$. It is clear that Problem $\tilde{A}$ can be divided into two problems, i.e. Problem $A_{1}$ of equation (3.1), (3.2) in $D^{+}$and Problem $A_{2}$ of equation (3.1), (3.2) in $D^{-}$. The boundary conditions of Problems $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Re}[\overline{\lambda(z)} W(z)]=R(z) \quad \text { on } \Gamma \cup L_{0}, \\
u\left(\tilde{a}_{l}\right)=c_{l} \text { or } \operatorname{Im}\left[\overline{\lambda\left(z_{l}^{\prime}\right)} W\left(z_{l}^{\prime}\right)\right]=c_{l}^{\prime}, \quad l=1, \ldots, N, \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\lambda(z)=-i, R(x)=\hat{R}_{0}(x)$ on $L_{0}$, and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Re}[\overline{\lambda(z)} W(z)]=R(z) \quad \text { on } \tilde{L} \cup L_{0}  \tag{3.5}\\
\operatorname{Im}\left[\overline{\lambda\left(z_{l}\right)} W\left(z_{l}\right)\right]=b_{l}^{\prime}, \quad l=1, \ldots, N
\end{gather*}
$$

in which $\lambda(z)=a(z)+j b(z), R(z)=0$ on $\Gamma \cup \tilde{L}$ in $1.12, \lambda(z)=1+j, R(z)=$ $-\tilde{R}_{0}(x)$ on $L_{0}, \hat{R}_{0}(x), \tilde{R}_{0}(x)$ on $L_{0}$ are as stated in 1.12 , because $\operatorname{Re} W(x)=0$ on $L_{0}$, thus $1+j$ can be replaced by $j$.

Introduce a function

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(Z)=\prod_{l=1}^{N+1}\left(Z-\hat{t}_{l}\right)^{\eta_{l}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{t}_{1}=-R, \hat{t}_{2}=R, \hat{t}_{l}=a_{l-2}, l=3, \ldots, N+1$, the numbers $\eta_{l}=1-2 \gamma_{l}$ if $\gamma_{l} \geq 0, \eta_{l}=\max \left(-2 \gamma_{l}, 0\right)$ if $\gamma_{l}<0, \gamma_{l}(l=1,2)$ are as stated in Section 1, $\eta_{3}=\cdots=\eta_{N+1}=1$, where we choose a branch of multi-valued function $X(Z)$ such that $\arg X(x)=\eta_{2} \pi / 2$ on $L_{0} \cap\left\{x>a_{N-1}\right\}$. Obviously that $X(Z) W[z(Z)]$ satisfies the complex equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
[X(Z) W]_{\bar{Z}}=X(Z)\left[A_{1} W+A_{2} \bar{W}+A_{3} u+A_{4}\right] / H=X(Z) g(Z) / H \operatorname{in} D_{Z} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Re}[\overline{\hat{\lambda}(z)} X(Z) W(z)]=R(z)=0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma, \\
\operatorname{Re}[\overline{\hat{\lambda}(z)} X(Z) W(z)]=0 \quad \text { on } \tilde{L}, \\
u\left(\tilde{a}_{0}\right)=0, \quad \operatorname{Im}\left[\overline{\lambda\left(z_{l}\right)} W\left(z_{l}\right)\right]=0, \quad u\left(\tilde{a}_{l}\right)=0, \quad l=1, \ldots, N,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $D_{Z}=Z_{Z}^{+}, \hat{\lambda}(z)=\lambda(z) e^{i \arg X(Z)}$. Noting that

$$
\begin{gathered}
e^{i \hat{\phi}_{l}}=\frac{\hat{\lambda}\left(\hat{t}_{l}-0\right)}{\hat{\lambda}\left(\hat{t_{l}}+0\right)}=\frac{\lambda\left(\hat{t}_{l}-0\right)}{\lambda\left(\hat{t}_{l}+0\right)} \frac{e^{i \arg X\left(\hat{t}_{l}-0\right)}}{e^{i \arg X\left(\hat{t}_{l}+0\right)}}=e^{i\left(\phi_{l}+\tilde{\eta}_{l}\right)} \\
\tau_{l}=\frac{\hat{\phi}_{l}}{\pi}-\hat{K}_{l}=0, \quad l=1, \ldots, N+1
\end{gathered}
$$

in which $\tilde{\eta}_{l}=\eta_{l} \pi / 2, l=1,2, \tilde{\eta}_{l}=\eta_{l} \pi, l=3, \ldots, N+1$, which are corresponding to the numbers $\gamma_{l}(1 \leq l \leq N+1)$ in Section 1. If $\hat{K}_{l}=-1, \hat{K}_{l}=1, l=2, \ldots, N+1$, or $\hat{K}_{l}=-1, \hat{K}_{l}=0, l=2, \ldots, N+1$, then the index $\hat{K}=\left(\hat{K}_{1}+\cdots+\hat{K}_{N+1}\right) / 2=$ $(N-1) / 2$ or $-1 / 2$ of $\hat{\lambda}(z)$ on $\Gamma \cup L_{0}$ is chosen. For the case $\hat{K}=(N-1) / 2$, we need to add $N$ point conditions $u\left(\tilde{a}_{l}\right)=c_{l}(l=1, \ldots, N)$ in 1.8) and 1.10, such that Problem $\tilde{P}$ or $\tilde{Q}$ is well-posed.

Theorem 3.1. Let (1.1) satisfy Conditions (C1), (C2). Then any solution of Problem $A_{1}$ for (1.1) in $D^{+}$satisfies the estimate

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{C}_{\delta}\left[W(z), \overline{D^{+}}\right]=C_{\delta}\left[X(Z)(\operatorname{Re} W(Z) / H+i \operatorname{Im} W(Z)), \overline{D^{+}}\right]+C_{\delta}\left[u(z), \overline{D^{+}}\right] \leq M_{1} \\
\hat{C}_{\delta}\left[W(z), \overline{D^{+}}\right] \leq M_{2}\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $X(Z)$ is as stated in (3.6), $\delta<\min [2, m] /(m+2)$ is a sufficiently small positive constant, $M_{1}=M_{1}\left(\delta, k, H, D^{+}\right), M_{2}=M_{2}\left(\delta, k_{0}, H, D^{+}\right)$are positive constants, and $k=\left(k_{0}, k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$.

Proof. We first assume that any solution $[W(z), u(z)]$ of Problem $A_{1}$ satisfies the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{C}\left[W(z), \overline{D^{+}}\right]=C\left[X(Z)\left(\operatorname{Re} W(Z) / H+i \operatorname{Im} W(Z), \overline{D_{Z}}\right]+C\left[u(z), \overline{D^{+}}\right] \leq M_{3}\right. \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{3}$ is a non-negative constant, and then give that $[W(z), u(z)]$ satisfy the Hölder continuous estimates in $\overline{D_{Z}}$.

Firstly, we verify the Hölder continuity of solutions $[W(z), u(z)]$ in

$$
\overline{D_{Z}} \cap\left\{\operatorname{dist}\left(Z,\left\{\hat{t}_{1} \cup \hat{t}_{2} \cup \cdots \cup \hat{t}_{N+1}\right\}\right) \geq \varepsilon\right\}
$$

in which $\varepsilon$ is a sufficiently small positive constant. Substituting the solution $[W(z), u(z)]$ into (3.7) and noting $\operatorname{Re} W(Z)=R(x)=0$ on $L_{0}$, we can extend
the function $X(Z) W[z(Z)]$ onto the symmetrical domain $\tilde{D}_{Z}$ of $D_{Z}$ with respect to the real axis $\operatorname{Im} Z=0$, namely set

$$
\tilde{W}(Z)= \begin{cases}X(Z) W[z(Z)] & \text { in } D_{Z} \\ -\overline{X(\bar{Z}) W[z(\bar{Z})]} & \text { in } \tilde{D}_{Z}\end{cases}
$$

which satisfies the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Re}[\bar{\lambda}(Z) \tilde{W}(Z)]=0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma \cup \tilde{\Gamma},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is the symmetrical curve of $\Gamma$ about $\operatorname{Im} Z=0$. It is easy to see that the corresponding function $u(z)$ in 3.2 can be extended to the function $\tilde{u}(Z)$, where $\tilde{u}(Z)=u[z(Z)]$ in $D_{Z}\left(=D_{Z}^{+}\right)$and $\tilde{u}(Z)=-u[z(\bar{Z})]$ in $\tilde{D}_{Z}$. Noting (C1), (C2) and the condition (3.9), we see that the function $\tilde{f}(Z)=X(Z) g(Z) / H$ in $D_{Z}$ and $\tilde{f}(Z)=-\overline{X(\bar{Z}) g(\bar{Z}) / H}$ in $\tilde{D}_{Z}$ satisfies the condition $L_{\infty}\left[y^{\tau} H \tilde{f}(Z), D_{Z}^{\prime}\right] \leq M_{4}$, in which $D_{Z}^{\prime}=D_{Z} \cup \tilde{D}_{Z} \cup L_{0}, \tau=\max (1-m / 2,0), M_{4}=M_{4}\left(\delta, k, H, D, M_{3}\right)$ is a positive constant. On the basis of [20, Lemma 2.1, Chapter I], we can verify that the function $\tilde{\Psi}(Z)=T(Z)-\overline{T(\bar{Z})}\left(T(Z)=-1 / \pi \iint_{D_{t}}[\tilde{f}(t) /(t-Z)] d \sigma_{t}\right\}$ over $\left.D_{Z}\right)$ satisfies the estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\beta}\left[\tilde{\Psi}(Z), \overline{D_{Z}}\right] \leq M_{5}, \quad \tilde{\Psi}(Z)-\tilde{\Psi}\left(\hat{t}_{l}\right)=O\left(\left|Z-\hat{t}_{l}\right|^{\beta_{l}}\right), \quad 1 \leq l \leq N+1 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $\beta=\min (2, m) /(m+2)-2 \delta=\beta_{l}(1 \leq l \leq N+1), \delta$ is a constant as stated in (3.8), and $M_{5}=M_{5}\left(\delta, k, H, D, M_{3}\right)$ is a positive constant. On the basis of Theorem 2.1, the solution $X(Z) \tilde{W}(z)$ can be expressed as $X(Z) \tilde{W}(Z)=\tilde{\Phi}(Z)+\tilde{\Psi}(Z)$, where $\tilde{\Phi}(Z)$ is an analytic function in $D_{Z}$ satisfying the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Re}[\overline{\tilde{\lambda}(Z)} \tilde{\Phi}(Z)]=-\operatorname{Re}[\bar{\lambda}(Z) \tilde{\Psi}(Z)]=\hat{R}(Z) \quad \text { on } \Gamma \cup \tilde{L}, \\
u\left(\tilde{a}_{0}\right)=0, u\left(\tilde{a}_{l}\right)=0 \text { or } \operatorname{Im}\left[\overline{\lambda\left(z_{l}^{\prime}\right)} \tilde{W}\left(z_{l}^{\prime}\right)\right]=0, \quad l=1, \ldots, N .
\end{gathered}
$$

There is no harm in assuming that $\tilde{\Psi}\left(\hat{t}_{l}\right)=0$, otherwise it suffices to replace $\tilde{\Psi}(Z)$ by $\tilde{\Psi}(Z)-\tilde{\Psi}\left(\hat{t}_{l}\right)(1 \leq l \leq N+1)$. For giving the estimates of $\tilde{\Phi}(Z)$ in $D_{Z} \cap$ $\{\operatorname{dist}(Z, \Gamma) \geq \varepsilon(>0)\}$, from the integral expression of solutions of the discontinuous Riemann-Hilbert problem for analytic functions, we can write the representation of the solution $\tilde{\Phi}(Z)$ of Problem $A_{1}$ for analytic functions, namely

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{\Phi}[Z(\zeta)]=\frac{X_{0}(\zeta)}{2 \pi i}\left[\int_{\partial D_{t}} \frac{(t+\zeta) \tilde{\lambda}[Z(t)] \hat{R}[Z(t)] d t}{(t-\zeta) t X_{0}(t)}+Q(\zeta)\right] \\
Q(\zeta)= \\
+\sum_{k=0}^{[\hat{K}]}\left(c_{k} \zeta^{k}+\overline{c_{k}} \zeta^{-k}\right) \\
+ \begin{cases}0, & \text { when } 2 \hat{K}=N-1 \text { is even, } \\
i c_{*} \frac{\zeta_{1}+\zeta}{\zeta_{1}-\zeta}, c_{*}=i \int_{\partial D_{t}} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}[Z(t)] \hat{R}[Z(t)] d t}{X_{0}(t) t}, & \text { when } 2 \hat{K}=N-1 \text { is odd },\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

(see [17, 18), where $X_{0}(\zeta)=\Pi_{l=1}^{N+1}\left(\zeta-\hat{t}_{l}\right)^{\tau_{l}}, \tau_{l}(l=1, \ldots, N+1)$ are as before, $Z=Z(\zeta)$ is the conformal mapping from the unit disk $D_{\zeta}=\{|\zeta|<1\}$ onto the domain $D_{Z}$ such that the three points $\zeta=-1, i, 1$ are mapped onto $Z=-1, Z^{\prime}(\in$ $\Gamma), 1$ respectively. Taking into account

$$
\left|X_{0}(\zeta)\right|=O\left(\left|\zeta-\hat{t}_{l}\right|^{\tau_{l}}\right), \quad\left|\hat{\lambda}[Z(\zeta)] \hat{R}[Z(\zeta)] / X_{0}(\zeta)\right|=O\left(\left|\zeta-\hat{t}_{l}\right|^{\tilde{\eta}_{l}-\tau_{l}}\right)
$$

and according to the results in [17, we see that the function $\tilde{\Phi}(Z)$ determined by the above integral in $D_{Z} \cap\{\operatorname{dist}(Z, \Gamma) \geq \varepsilon(>0)\}$ is Hölder continuous and $\tilde{\Phi}\left(\hat{t}_{l}\right)=0(1 \leq l \leq N+1)$. Thus, from (3.10) and the above integral representation of $\tilde{\Phi}(Z)$, we can give the following estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\delta}\left[\tilde{\Phi}(Z), D_{\varepsilon}\right] \leq M_{6}, \quad C_{\delta}\left[X(Z) u_{x}, D_{\varepsilon}\right] \leq M_{6}, \quad C_{\delta}\left[X(Z) u_{y}, D_{\varepsilon}\right] \leq M_{6} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{\varepsilon}=\overline{D_{Z}} \cap\left\{\operatorname{dist}\left(Z, L_{0}\right) \geq \varepsilon\right\}, \varepsilon$ is arbitrary small positive constant, $M_{6}=$ $M_{6}\left(\delta, k, H, D_{\varepsilon}, M_{3}\right)$ is a non-negative constant. Similarly we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\delta}\left[H(\hat{y}) u_{x}, D_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right] \leq M_{7}, \quad C_{\delta}\left[u_{y}, D_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right] \leq M_{7} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $D_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}=\overline{D_{Z}} \cap\{\operatorname{dist}(Z, \Gamma \cup \tilde{\Gamma}) \geq \varepsilon\}, \varepsilon$ is arbitrary small positive constant, and $M_{7}=M_{7}\left(\delta, k, H, D_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}, M_{3}\right)$ is a non-negative constant.

Next, for giving the estimates of $X(Z) u_{x}, X(Z) u_{x}$ in $\tilde{D}_{l}=D_{l} \cap \overline{D_{Z}}\left(D_{l}=\right.$ $\left.\left\{\left|Z-\hat{t}_{l}\right|<\varepsilon(>0)\right\}, 1 \leq l \leq 2\right)$ separately, denote $X(Z)=\tilde{X}+i \tilde{Y}$ as in (3.6), we first conformally map the domain $D_{Z}^{\prime}=D_{Z} \cup \tilde{D}_{Z} \cup L_{0}$ onto a domain $D_{\zeta}$, such that $L_{0}$ is mapped onto himself, where $D_{\zeta}$ is a domain with the partial boundary $\Gamma \cup \tilde{\Gamma}$, and $\Gamma \cup \tilde{\Gamma}$ is a smooth curve including the line segment $\operatorname{Re} \zeta=\hat{t}_{l}$ near $\zeta=\hat{t}_{l}(1 \leq$ $l \leq 2)$. Through the above mapping, the index $\tilde{K}=(N-1) / 2$ is not changed, and the function $\tilde{\Psi}[Z(\zeta)]$ in the neighborhood $\zeta\left(D_{l}\right)$ of $\hat{t}_{l}(1 \leq l \leq 2)$ is Hölder continuous. For convenience denote by $D_{Z}, D_{l}, \tilde{W}(Z)$ the domains and function $D_{\zeta}, \zeta\left(D_{l}\right), \tilde{W}[Z(\zeta)]$ again. Secondly reduce the the above boundary condition to this case, i.e. the corresponding function $\tilde{\lambda}(Z)=1$ on $\Gamma \cup \tilde{\Gamma}$ near $Z=\hat{t}_{l}(1 \leq l \leq 2)$. In fact there exists an analytic function $S(Z)$ in $D_{Z}^{\prime}=D_{Z} \cup \tilde{D}_{Z} \cup L_{0}$ satisfying the boundary condition

$$
\operatorname{Re} S(Z)=-\arg \tilde{\lambda}(Z) \quad \text { on } \Gamma \cup \tilde{\Gamma} \quad \text { near } \hat{t}_{l}, \quad \operatorname{Im} S\left(\hat{t}_{l}\right)=0
$$

and the estimate

$$
C_{\alpha}\left[S(Z), D_{l} \cap D_{Z}^{\prime}\right] \leq M_{8}=M_{8}\left(\delta, k, H, D, M_{3}\right)<\infty
$$

then the function $e^{j S(Z)} X(Z) W(Z)$ is satisfied the boundary condition

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left[e^{i S(Z)} X(Z) W(Z)\right]=0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma \cup \tilde{\Gamma} \quad \text { near } Z=\hat{t}_{l}(1 \leq l \leq 2)
$$

Next we symmetrically extend the function $\Phi^{*}(Z)$ in $D_{Z}^{\prime}$ onto the symmetrical domain $D_{Z}^{*}$ with respect to $\operatorname{Re} Z=\hat{t}_{l}(1 \leq l \leq 2)$, namely let

$$
\hat{W}(Z)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
e^{i S(Z)} X(Z) W(Z) \quad \text { in } D_{Z}^{\prime} \\
-\overline{e^{i S\left(Z^{\prime}\right)} X\left(Z^{\prime}\right) W\left(Z^{\prime}\right)} \quad \text { in } D_{Z}^{*}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $Z^{\prime}=-\overline{\left(Z-\hat{t}_{l}\right)}+\hat{t}_{l}$, later on we shall omit the secondary part $e^{i S(Z)}$.
After the above discussion, as stated in 2.1, the solution $X(Z) W(z)$ can be also expressed as $X(Z) W(Z)=\Phi(Z)+\Psi(Z)$, where $X(Z)=\tilde{X}+i \tilde{Y}, X(Z)$ is as stated in (3.6), $\Psi(Z)$ in $\hat{D}_{Z}=\left\{D_{Z}^{*} \cup D_{Z}^{\prime}\right\} \cap\left\{Y=G\left(y-x^{n}\right)>0\right\}$ is Hölder continuous,
and $\Phi(Z)$ is an analytic function in $\hat{D}_{Z}$ satisfying the boundary conditions in the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Re}[\overline{\tilde{\lambda}(Z)} \Phi(Z)]=\hat{R}(Z) \quad \text { on } \Gamma \cup L_{0} \\
u\left(\hat{t}_{l}\right)=0, \quad l=1, \ldots, N+1
\end{gathered}
$$

because in the above case the index of $\tilde{\lambda}(Z)$ on $\partial D_{Z}$ is $\tilde{K}=(N-1) / 2$. Hence by the similar way as in the proof of (3.12), we have

$$
C_{\delta}\left[X(Z) H(\hat{y}) u_{x}, \tilde{D}_{l}\right] \leq M_{9}, \quad C_{\delta}\left[X(Z) u_{y}, \tilde{D}_{l}\right] \leq M_{10}, \quad 1 \leq l \leq 2
$$

where $M_{l}=M_{l}\left(\delta, k, H, D, M_{3}\right)(l=9,10)$ is a non-negative constant. As for the solution of Problem P in the neighborhood of $\hat{t}_{l}(3 \leq l \leq N+1)$, we can use a similar way.

Finally we use the reduction to absurdity, suppose that 3.9 is not true, then there exist sequences of coefficients $\left\{A_{l}^{(m)}\right\}(l=1,2,3,4),\left\{\lambda^{(m)}\right\},\left\{r^{(m)}\right\}$ and $\left\{c_{l}^{(m)}\right\}(l=0,1, \ldots, N)$, which satisfy the same conditions of coefficients as stated in 1.8, 1.9, such that $\left\{A_{l}^{(m)}\right\}(l=1,2,3,4),\left\{\lambda^{(m)}\right\},\left\{r^{(m)}\right\},\left\{c_{l}^{(m)}\right\}$ in $\overline{D^{+}}$, $\Gamma, L_{0}$ weakly converge or uniformly converge to $A_{l}^{(0)}(l=1,2,3,4), \lambda^{(0)}, r^{(0)},\left\{c_{l}^{(0)}\right\}$ $(l=0,1, \ldots, N)$ respectively, and the solutions of the corresponding boundary value problems

$$
\begin{gathered}
W_{\bar{Z}}^{(m)}=F^{(m)}\left(z, u^{(m)}, W^{(m)}\right), F^{(m)}\left(z, u^{(m)}, W^{(m)}\right) \\
= \\
A_{1}^{(m)} W^{(m)}+A_{2}^{(m)} \overline{W^{(m)}}+A_{3}^{(m)} u^{(m)}+A_{4}^{(m)} \quad \text { in } \overline{D^{+}}, \\
\\
\operatorname{Re}\left[\overline{\lambda^{(m)}(z)} W^{(m)}(z)\right]=R^{(m)}(z) \quad \text { on } \Gamma \cup L_{0}, \\
u^{(m)}\left(\tilde{a}_{0}\right)=c_{0}^{(m)}, \quad u^{(m)}\left(\tilde{a}_{l}\right)=c_{l}^{(m)} \text { or } L W^{(m)}\left(z_{l}^{\prime}\right)=c_{l}^{\prime(m)}, \quad l=1, \ldots, N,
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
u^{(m)}(z) & =u^{(m)}(x)-2 \int_{0}^{y} V^{(m)}(z) d y \\
& =2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{z_{*}}^{z}\left[\frac{\operatorname{Re} W^{(m)}}{H(\hat{y})}+i \operatorname{Im} W^{(m)}\right] d z+c_{0}^{(m)} \quad \text { in } \overline{D^{+}}
\end{aligned}
$$

have the solutions $\left[W^{(m)}(z), u^{(m)}(z)\right]$, but $\hat{C}\left[W^{(m)}(z), \overline{D^{+}}\right](m=1,2, \ldots)$ are unbounded, hence we can choose a subsequence of $\left[W^{(m)}(z), u^{(m)}(z)\right.$ ] denoted by $\left[W^{(m)}(z), u^{(m)}(z)\right]$ again, such that $h_{m}=\hat{C}\left[W^{(m)}(z), \overline{D^{+}}\right] \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, we can assume $h_{m} \geq \max \left[k_{1}, k_{2}, 1\right]$. It is obvious that $\left[\tilde{W}^{(m)}(z), \tilde{u}^{(m)}(z)_{m}\right]=$ $\left[W^{(m)}(z) / h_{m}, u^{(m)}(z)_{m} / h_{m}\right]$ are solutions of the boundary value problems

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{W}_{\bar{Z}}^{(m)}=\tilde{F}^{(m)}\left(z, \tilde{u}^{(m)}, \tilde{W}^{(m)}\right), \\
\tilde{F}^{(m)}\left(z, \tilde{u}^{(m)}, \tilde{W}^{(m)}\right)=A_{1}^{(m)} \tilde{W}^{(m)}+A_{2}^{(m)} \overline{\tilde{W}^{(m)}}+A_{3}^{(m)} \tilde{u}^{(m)}+A_{4}^{(m)} / h_{m} \quad \text { in } \overline{D^{+}}, \\
\operatorname{Re}\left[\overline{\lambda^{(m)}(z)} \tilde{W}^{(m)}(z)\right]=R^{(m)}(z) / h_{m} \quad \text { on } \Gamma \cup L_{0}, \\
\tilde{u}^{(m)}\left(\tilde{a}_{0}\right)=c_{0}^{(m)} / h_{m}, \\
\tilde{u}^{(m)}\left(\tilde{a}_{l}\right)=c_{l}^{(m)} / h_{m} \text { or } L \tilde{W}^{(m)}\left(z_{l}^{\prime}\right)=c_{l}^{(m)} / h_{m}, \quad l=1, \ldots, N,
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{u}^{(m)}(z) & =\frac{u^{(m)}(x)}{h_{m}}-2 \int_{0}^{\hat{y}} \tilde{V}^{(m)}(z) d y \\
& =2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{z_{*}}^{z}\left[\frac{\operatorname{Re} \tilde{W}^{(m)}}{H(\hat{y})}+i \operatorname{Im} \tilde{W}^{(m)}\right] d z+\frac{c_{0}^{(m)}}{h_{m}} \quad \text { in } \overline{D^{+}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We see that the functions in the above boundary value problems satisfy the same conditions. From the representation 2.1, the above solutions can be expressed as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{u}^{(m)}(z)=\frac{u^{(m)}(x)}{h_{m}}-2 \int_{0}^{y} \tilde{V}^{(m)}(z) d y \\
= \\
2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{z_{*}}^{z}\left[\frac{\operatorname{Re} \tilde{W}^{(m)}}{H(\hat{y})}+i \operatorname{Im} \tilde{W}^{(m)}\right] d z+\frac{c_{0}^{(m)}}{h_{m}} \quad \text { in } \overline{D^{+}}, \\
\\
\tilde{W}^{(m)}(z)=\tilde{\Phi}^{(m)}[Z(z)]+\tilde{\Psi}^{(m)}[Z(z)], \\
\tilde{\Psi}^{(m)}(Z)=T(Z)-\overline{T(\bar{Z})}, \quad T(Z)=-\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{D^{+}} \frac{\tilde{f}^{(m)}(t)}{t-Z} d \sigma_{t}, \quad \text { in } \overline{D^{+}},
\end{gathered}
$$

As in the proof of 3.10, and notice that $y^{\tau} H(\hat{y}) \tilde{f}^{(m)}(Z)=y^{\tau} X(Z) g^{(m)}(Z) \in$ $L_{\infty}\left(D_{Z}\right), \tau=\max (0,1-m / 2)$, we can verify that

$$
C_{\beta}\left[\tilde{\Psi}(Z), \overline{D^{+}}\right] \leq M_{11},\left.\quad \tilde{\Psi}(Z)\right|_{Z=t_{j}}=O\left(\left|Z-t_{j}\right|^{\beta_{j}}\right), \quad j=1,2
$$

where $M_{13}=M_{13}\left(\delta, k, H, D^{+}\right)$is a non-negative constant.
Noting that Conditions (C1), (C2) and the complex equation and boundary conditions about $\tilde{W}_{x}^{(m)}$, which satisfy the conditions similar to those about $\tilde{W}^{(m)}(Z)$, we have

$$
C\left[X(Z) \tilde{W}_{x}^{(m)}(Z), \overline{D^{+}}\right] \leq M_{12}=M_{12}\left(\delta, k, H, \overline{D^{+}}\right)
$$

Hence we can derive that sequence of functions:

$$
\left\{X(Z)\left(\operatorname{Re} \tilde{W}^{(m)}(Z) / H(\hat{y})+i \operatorname{Im} \tilde{W}^{(m)}(Z)\right)\right\}
$$

satisfies the estimate

$$
\hat{C}_{\delta}\left[\tilde{W}^{(m)}(Z), \overline{D_{Z}}\right] \leq M_{13}=M_{13}\left(\delta, k, H, D^{+}\right)<\infty
$$

Hence from $\left\{X(Z)\left[\operatorname{Re} \tilde{W}^{(m)}(z) / H+i \operatorname{Im} \tilde{W}^{(m)}(z)\right]\right\}$ and the sequence of corresponding functions $\left\{\tilde{u}^{(m)}(z)\right\}$, we can choose the subsequences denoted by

$$
\left\{X(Z)\left[\operatorname{Re} \tilde{W}^{(m)}(z) / H+i \operatorname{Im} \tilde{W}^{(m)}(z)\right]\right\}, \quad\left\{\tilde{u}^{(m)}(z)\right\}
$$

again, which uniformly converge to $X(Z)\left[\operatorname{Re} \tilde{W}^{(0)}(z) / H+i \operatorname{Im} \tilde{W}^{(0)}(z)\right], \tilde{u}^{(0)}(z)$ respectively, it is clear that $\left[\tilde{W}^{(0)}(z), \tilde{u}^{(0)}(z)\right]$ is a solution of the homogeneous problem of Problem $A_{1}$. On the basis of Theorem 2.2, the solution $\tilde{W}^{(0)}(z)=0$, $\tilde{u}^{(0)}(z)=0$ in $\overline{D^{+}}$, however, from $\hat{C}\left[\tilde{W}^{(m)}(z), \overline{D^{+}}\right]=1$, we can derive that there exists a point $z^{*} \in \overline{D^{+}}$, such that $\hat{C}\left[\tilde{W}^{(0)}\left(z^{*}\right), \overline{D^{+}}\right]=1$, it is impossible. This shows that (3.9) is true, where the constant $M_{3}=M_{3}\left(\delta, k, H, D^{+}\right)$, and then the first estimate in (3.8) can be derived. The second estimate in 3.8 is easily verified from the first estimate in (3.8).

Theorem 3.2. Under the same conditions as in Theorem 3.1, Problem $A_{1}$ for (3.1), (3.2) in $D^{+}$is solvable, and then Problem $Q$ for (1.1) with $c=0$ in $D^{+}$has a solution. Moreover, Problem P for 1.1 in $D^{+}$is solvable.

Proof. Applying using the estimates in Theorem 3.1 and the Leray-Schauder theorem, we can prove the existence of solutions of Problem $A_{1}$ for 3.1 with $A_{3}=0$ in $D^{+}$. We consider the equation and boundary conditions with the parameter $t \in[0,1]:$

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\overline{\tilde{z}}}-t F(z, u, W)=0, \quad F(z, u, W)=A_{1} W+A_{2} \bar{W}+A_{4} \quad \text { in } \overline{D_{Z}} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and introduce a bounded open set $B_{M}$ of the Banach space $B=\hat{C}_{\delta}\left(\overline{D_{Z}}\right)$, whose elements are functions $w(z)$ satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(Z) \in \hat{C}_{\delta}\left(\overline{D^{+}}\right), \quad \hat{C}_{\delta}\left[w(Z), \overline{D_{Z}}\right]<M_{14}=1+M_{1} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta, M_{1}$ are constants as stated in (3.8). We choose an arbitrary function $w(Z) \in B_{M}$ and substitute it in the position of $W$ in $F(Z, u, W)$. By Theorem 2.1, we can find a solution $w(z)=\Phi(Z)+\Psi(Z)=w_{0}(Z)+T(t F)$ of Problem $A_{1}$ for the complex equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\bar{Z}}=t F(z, u, w) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that $y^{\tau} H F[z(Z), u(z(Z)), w(z(Z))] \in L_{\infty}\left(\overline{D_{Z}}\right)$, where $\tau=1-m / 2$, from Theorem 2.2, we know that the above solution of Problem $A_{1}$ for $\sqrt{3.13}$ ) is unique. Denote by $W(z)=T[w, t](0 \leq t \leq 1)$ the mapping from $w(z)$ to $W(z)$. On the basis of Theorem 3.1, we know that if $W(z)$ is a solution of Problem $A_{1}$ for the equation

$$
W_{\bar{Z}}=t F(Z, u, W) \quad \text { in } D_{Z}
$$

then the function $W(Z)$ satisfies the estimate

$$
\hat{C}_{\delta}\left[W(Z), \overline{D_{Z}}\right]<M_{14}
$$

We can verify the three conditions of the Leray-Schauder theorem:

1. For every $t \in[0,1], T[w, t]$ continuously maps the Banach space $B$ into itself, and is completely continuous on $B_{M}$. In fact, arbitrarily select a sequence $w_{n}(z)$ in $B_{M}, n=0,1,2, \ldots$, such that $\hat{C}_{\delta}\left[w_{n}-w_{0}, \overline{D_{Z}}\right] \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By (C1), (C2), we can derive that $L_{\infty}\left[\left(y-x^{n}\right)^{\tau} X(Z) H\left(y-x^{n}\right)\left(F\left(z, u_{n}, w_{n}\right)-F\left(z, u_{0}, W_{0}\right)\right), \overline{D_{Z}}\right] \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, from $W=T\left[w_{n}, t\right], W_{0}=T\left[w_{0}, t\right]$, it is easy to see that $W_{n}-W_{0}$ is a solution of Problem $A_{1}$ for the complex equation

$$
\left(W_{n}-W_{0}\right)_{\bar{Z}}=t\left[F\left(z, u_{n}, w_{n}\right)-F\left(z, u_{0}, w_{0}\right)\right] \text { in } D_{Z}
$$

and then we can obtain the estimate

$$
\hat{C}_{\delta}\left[W_{n}-W_{0}, \overline{D_{Z}}\right] \leq 2 k_{0} \hat{C}\left[w_{n}(z)-w_{0}(z), \overline{D_{Z}}\right]
$$

Hence $\hat{C}_{\delta}\left[W-W_{0}, \overline{D_{Z}}\right] \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Afterwards for $w_{n}(z) \in B_{M}, n=1,2, \ldots$, we can choose a subsequence $\left\{w_{n_{k}}(z)\right\}$ of $\left\{w_{n}(z)\right\}$, such that $\hat{C}\left[w_{n_{k}}-w_{0}, \overline{D_{Z}}\right] \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, where $w_{0}(z) \in B_{M}$. Let $W_{n_{k}}=T\left[w_{n_{k}}, t\right]$ with $n=n_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots$, and $W_{0}=T\left[w_{0}, t\right]$, we can verify that

$$
\hat{C}_{\delta}\left[W_{n_{k}}-W_{0}, \overline{D_{Z}}\right] \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

This shows that $W=T[w, t]$ is completely continuous in $B_{M}$. Applying the similar method, we can also prove that for $w(Z) \in B_{M}, T[w, t)$ is uniformly continuous with respect to $t \in[0,1]$.
2. For $t=0$, it is evident that $W=T[w, 0]=\Phi(Z) \in B_{M}$.
3. From the estimate (3.8), we see that $W=T[w, t](0 \leq t \leq 1)$ does not have a solution $W(z)$ on the boundary $\partial B_{M}=\overline{B_{M}} \backslash B_{M}$.

Hence there exists a function $W(z) \in B_{M}$, such that $W(z)=T[W(z), 1]$, and the function $W(z) \in \hat{C}_{\delta}\left(\overline{D_{Z}}\right)$ is just a solution of Problem $A_{1}$ for the complex equation (3.1) with $A_{3}=0$.

Next, substituting the solution $W(z)$ into the formula 3.2 , it is clear that the function $u(z)$ is a solution of the corresponding Problem $Q$ for linear equation 1.1) in $D^{+}$with $c=0$. Let $u_{0}(z)$ be a solution of Problem $Q$ for the linear equation (1.1) with $c=0$, if $u_{0}(z)$ satisfies the last $N$ point conditions in 1.8), then the solution is also a solution of Problem P for the equation. Otherwise we can find $N$ solutions $\left[u_{1}(z), \ldots, u_{N}(z)\right]$ of Problem Q for the homogeneous linear equation with $c=0$ satisfying the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Re}\left[\overline{\lambda(z)} u_{l \tilde{z}}\right]=0, \quad z \in \Gamma, u_{l}\left(\tilde{a}_{0}\right)=0 \\
\left.\operatorname{Im}\left[\overline{\lambda(z)} u_{l \tilde{z}}\right]\right|_{z=z_{k}^{\prime}}=\delta_{l k}, \quad l, k=1, \ldots, N .
\end{gathered}
$$

It is obvious that $U(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{N} u_{k}(z) \not \equiv 0$ in $D^{+}$, moreover we can verify that

$$
J=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
u_{1}\left(\tilde{a}_{1}\right) & \ldots & u_{N}\left(\tilde{a}_{1}\right) \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
u_{1}\left(\tilde{a}_{N}\right) & \ldots & u_{N}\left(\tilde{a}_{N}\right)
\end{array}\right| \neq 0
$$

thus there exist $N$ real constants $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{N}$, which are not all equal to zero, such that

$$
d_{1} u_{1}\left(\tilde{a}_{l}\right)+\cdots+d_{N} u_{N}\left(\tilde{a}_{l}\right)=u_{0}\left(\tilde{a}_{l}\right)-c_{l}, \quad l=1, \ldots, N
$$

thus the function

$$
u(z)=u_{0}(z)-\sum_{k=1}^{N} d_{k} u_{k}(z) \quad \text { in } D^{+}
$$

is just a solution of Problem $P$ for the linear equation (1.1) with $c=0$. Moreover by using the method of parameter extension and the Schauder fixed-point theorem as stated in [20, Chapter II], we can find a solution of Problem $P$ for the general equation (1.1).

Theorem 3.3. Suppose that (1.1) satisfies (C1), (C2). Then the oblique derivative problem (Problem P) for (1.1) is solvable.

Sketch of Proof. The solvability of Problem $A_{2}$ can be obtained by the similar methods as in [19, 20, and then the solution $u(z)=v(z)+u_{0}(z)$ of Problem P for (1.1) in $D^{-}$is found. The boundary value $u_{y}(x) / 2=-\operatorname{Im} W$ of above Problem P on $L_{0}$ can be as a part of boundary value of Problem $A_{1}$ for $(3.1),(3.2)$, thus from Theorem 3.2, we can find the solution of Problem $A_{1}$ for (3.1), (3.2) in $\overline{D^{+}}$. Hence the existence of Problem P for 1.1 in $D$ is proved.

Finally we mention that the boundary conditions in (1.8) on $\tilde{L}=L_{1} \cup L_{3} \cup \cdots \cup$ $L_{2 N-1}$ are replaced by the corresponding boundary conditions on $\tilde{L}^{\prime}=L_{2} \cup L_{4} \cup$ $\cdots \cup L_{2 N}$, we can also derive the similar results, and the coefficient $K\left(y-x^{n}\right)$ in equation (1.1) can be replaced by the generalized Rassias-Gellerstadt function

$$
K(x, y)=\operatorname{sgn}\left(y-x^{n}\right)\left|y-x^{n}\right|^{m} h(x, y)
$$

where the positive numbers $m, n$ are as stated before, and $h(x, y)$ is continuously differentiable positive function.
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