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# SOLVABILITY AND THE NUMBER OF SOLUTIONS OF HAMMERSTEIN EQUATIONS 

PETRONIJE S. MILOJEVIĆ


#### Abstract

We study the solvability and the number of solutions to Hammerstein operator equations in Banach spaces using a projection like method and degree theory for corresponsing vector fields. The linear part is assumed to be either selfadjoint or non-seladjoint. We present also applications to Hammerstein integral equations.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the solvability and the number of solutions to the Hammerstein operator equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x-K F x=f \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is linear and $F$ is a nonlinear map. We consider 1.1 in a general setting between two Banach spaces. To that end, we use two approaches. One is based on using the degree theory for $\phi$-condensing maps or applying the Brouwer degree theory directly to the finite dimensional approximations of the map $I-K F$ in conjunction with the (pseudo) $A$-proper mapping approach. The other one is based on splitting first the map $K$ as a product of two suitable maps and then using again these degree theories. The linear part $K$ is assumed to be either selfadjoint or nonselfadjoint. In the second case, we assume that $K$ is either positive in the sense of Krasnoselskii, potentially positive, $P$-positive (i.e., angle- bounded) or that it is P-quasi-positive, which means that its selfadjoint part has at most a finite number of negative eigenvalues of finite multiplicity. The nonlinear part is assumed to be such that either $I-K F$ is $A$-proper or $K F$ is $\phi$-condensing, or that the corresponding map in an equivalent reformulation of 1.1 is a k -ball contractive perturbation of a strongly monotone map and is therefore $A$-proper.

We begin with proving some continuation results on the number of solutions of general nonlinear operator equations. Then we use them to establish various results on the number of solutions of $\sqrt{1.1}$ ) assuming different conditions on the nonlinearity $F$ that imply a priori estimates on the solution set. In particular, depending on the structure of the linear part $K$, we assume that either $F$ has a linear growth, and/or $F$ satisfies a side estimate of the form $(F x, x) \leq a(x)$ for a suitable functional $a$. Unlike earlier studies, we also study (1.1) with nonlinearities that are the sum

[^0]of a strongly monotone and k -ball condensing maps. The last part of the paper is devoted to applications of these abstract results to Hammerstein integral equations. This work is a continuation of our study of these equations in [15, 19]. There is an extensive literature on Hammerstein equations and we refer to [5, 6, 23]. In particular, for the unique (approximation) solvability of these equations we refer to [23, 1, 15, 19 .

## 2. Some preliminaries on $A$-Proper maps

Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{Y_{n}\right\}$ be finite dimensional subspaces of Banach spaces $X$ and $Y$ respectively such that $\operatorname{dim} X_{n}=\operatorname{dim} Y_{n}$ for each $n$ and $\operatorname{dist}\left(x, X_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each $x \in X$. Let $P_{n}: X \rightarrow X_{n}$ and $Q_{n}: Y \rightarrow Y_{n}$ be linear projections onto $X_{n}$ and $Y_{n}$ respectively such that $P_{n} x \rightarrow x$ for each $x \in X$ and $\delta=\max \left\|Q_{n}\right\|<\infty$. Then $\Gamma=\left\{X_{n}, P_{n} ; Y_{n}, Q_{n}\right\}$ is a projection scheme for $(X, Y)$.

Definition 2.1. A map $T: D \subset X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be approximation-proper (A-proper for short) with respect to $\Gamma$ if (i) $Q_{n} T: D \cap X_{n} \rightarrow Y_{n}$ is continuous for each $n$ and (ii) whenever $\left\{x_{n_{k}} \in D \cap X_{n_{k}}\right\}$ is bounded and $\left\|Q_{n_{k}} T x_{n_{k}}-Q_{n_{k}} f\right\| \rightarrow 0$ for some $f \in Y$, then a subsequence $x_{n_{k(i)}} \rightarrow x$ and $T x=f . T$ is said to be pseudo $A$-proper with respect to $\Gamma$ if in (ii) above we do not require that a subsequence of $\left\{x_{n_{k}}\right\}$ converges to $x$ for which $T x=f$. If (ii) holds for a given $f$, we say that $T$ is (pseudo) $A$-proper at $f$.

For the developments of the (pseudo) $A$-proper mapping theory and applications to differential equations, we refer to [11, 18] and [21]. To demonstrate the generality and the unifying nature of the (pseudo) $A$-proper mapping theory, we state now a number of examples of $A$-proper and pseudo $A$-proper maps.

To look at $\phi$-condensing maps, we recall that the set measure of noncompactness of a bounded set $D \subset X$ is defined as $\gamma(D)=\inf \{d>0: D$ has a finite covering by sets of diameter less than $d\}$. The ball-measure of noncompactness of $D$ is defined as $\chi(D)=\inf \left\{r>0 \mid D \subset \cup_{i=1}^{n} B\left(x_{i}, r\right), x \in X, n \in N\right\}$. Let $\phi$ denote either the set or the ball-measure of noncompactness. Then a map $N: D \subset X \rightarrow X$ is said to be $k-\phi$ contractive ( $\phi$-condensing) if $\phi(N(Q)) \leq k \phi(Q)$ (respectively $\phi(N(Q))<\phi(Q))$ whenever $Q \subset D($ with $\phi(Q) \neq 0)$.

Recall that $N: X \rightarrow Y$ is $K$-monotone for some $K: X \rightarrow Y^{*}$ if $(N x-N y, K(x-$ $y)) \geq 0$ for all $x, y \in X$. It is said to be generalized pseudo- $K$-monotone (of type $(\mathrm{KM}))$ if whenever $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$ and $\lim \sup \left(N x_{n}, K\left(x_{n}-x\right)\right) \leq 0$ then $\left(N x_{n}, K\left(x_{n}-\right.\right.$ $x)) \rightarrow 0$ and $N x_{n} \rightharpoonup N x$ (then $\left.N x_{n} \rightharpoonup N x\right)$. Recall that $N$ is said to be of type $\left(K S_{+}\right)$if $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$ and $\limsup \left(N x_{n}, K\left(x_{n}-x\right)\right) \leq 0$ imply that $x_{n} \rightarrow x$. If $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$ implies that $\lim \sup \left(N x_{n}, K\left(x_{n}-x\right)\right) \geq 0, N$ is said to be of type (KP). If $Y=X^{*}$ and $K$ is the identity map, then these maps are called monotone, generalized pseudo monotone, of type (M) and $\left(S_{+}\right)$respectively. If $Y=X$ and $K=J$ the duality map, then $J$-monotone maps are called accretive. It is known that bounded monotone maps are of type (M). We say that $N$ is demicontinuous if $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ in $X$ implies that $N x_{n} \rightharpoonup N x$. It is well known that $I-N$ is $A$-proper if $N$ is ball-condensing and that $K$-monotone like maps are pseudo $A$-proper under some conditions on $N$ and $K$. Moreover, their perturbations by Fredholm or hyperbolic like maps are $A$-proper or pseudo $A$-proper (see [11, 12, 13, 16, 17, 18, ).

The following result states that ball-condensing perturbations of stable $A$-proper maps are also $A$-proper.

Theorem 2.1 ([7]). Let $D \subset X$ be closed, $T: X \rightarrow Y$ be continuous and $A$-proper with respect to a projectional scheme $\Gamma$ and $a$-stable, i.e. for some $c>0$ and $n_{0}$

$$
\left\|Q_{n} T x-Q_{n} T y\right\| \geq c\|x-y\| \quad \text { for } x, y \in X_{n} \text { and } n \geq n_{0}
$$

and $F: D \rightarrow Y$ be continuous. Then $T+F: D \rightarrow Y$ is $A$-proper with respect to $\Gamma$ if $F$ is $k$-ball contractive with $k \delta<c$, or it is ball-condensing if $\delta=c=1$.

Remark 2.2. The $A$-properness of $T$ in Theorem 2.1 is equivalent to $T$ being surjective. In particular, as $T$ we can take a $c$-strongly $K$ - monotone map for a suitable $K: X \rightarrow Y^{*}$, i.e., $(T x-T y, K(x-y)) \geq c\|x-y\|^{2}$ for all $x, y \in X$. In particular, since $c$-strongly accretive maps are surjective, we have the following important special case [7].

Corollary 2.3. Let $X$ be a $\pi_{1}$ space, $D \subset X$ be closed, $T: X \rightarrow X$ be continuous and c-strongly accretive and $F: D \rightarrow X$ be continuous and either $k$-ball contractive with $k<c$, or it is ball-condensing if $c=1$. Then $T+F: D \rightarrow X$ is A-proper with respect to $\Gamma$.

## 3. On the number of solutions of Hammerstein equations

In this section, we shall study the solvability and the number of solutions of (1.1) imposing various types of conditions on $K$ and $F$. Our results will be based on Theorems 3.13 .3 below. We shall study (1.1) directly as well as using splitting techniques for the map $K$.

We say that a map $T: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies condition $(+)$ if whenever $T x_{n} \rightarrow f$ in $Y$ then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $X$. $T$ is locally injective at $x_{0} \in X$ if there is a neighborhood $U\left(x_{0}\right)$ of $x_{0}$ such that $T$ is injective on $U\left(x_{0}\right)$. $T$ is locally injective on $X$ if it is locally injective at each point $x_{0} \in X$. A continuous map $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be locally invertible at $x_{0} \in X$ if there are a neighborhood $U\left(x_{0}\right)$ and a neighborhood $U\left(T\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ of $T\left(x_{0}\right)$ such that $T$ is a homeomorphism of $U\left(x_{0}\right)$ onto $U\left(T\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$. It is locally invertible on $X$ if it is locally invertible at each point $x_{0} \in X$.

Let $\Sigma$ be the set of all points $x \in X$ where $T$ is not locally invertible and let $\operatorname{card} T^{-1}(\{f\})$ be the cardinal number of the set $T^{-1}(\{f\})$.

We need the following basic theorem on the number of solutions of nonlinear equations for $A$-proper maps (see [17]).

Theorem 3.1. Let $T: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous $A$-proper map that satisfies condition ( + ). Then
(a) The set $T^{-1}(\{f\})$ is compact ( possibly empty) for each $f \in Y$.
(b) The range $R(T)$ of $T$ is closed and connected.
(c) $\Sigma$ and $T(\Sigma)$ are closed subsets of $X$ and $Y$, respectively, and $T(X \backslash \Sigma)$ is open in $Y$.
(d) card $T^{-1}(\{f\})$ is constant and finite (it may be 0) on each connected component of the open set $Y \backslash T(\Sigma)$.

We need the following homotopy version of Theorem 3.1.
Theorem 3.2. Let $H:[0,1] \times X \rightarrow Y$ be an $A$-proper homotopy with respect to $\Gamma$ and satisfy condition $(+)$, i.e. if $H\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right) \rightarrow f$ then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $X$. Let, for each $f \in Y$, the numbers $r_{f}>0$ and $n_{f} \geq 1$ be such that

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(P_{n} H_{0}, B\left(0, r_{f}\right) \cap X_{n}, 0\right) \neq 0 \quad \text { for all } n \geq n_{f}
$$

Then the equation $H(1, x)=f$ is approximation solvable with respect to $\Gamma$ for each $f \in Y$. Moreover, if $\Sigma=\left\{x \in X: H_{1}\right.$ is not invertible at $\left.x\right\}$ and $H_{1}$ is continuous, then $H_{1}^{-1}(\{f\})$ is compact for each $f \in Y$ and the cardinal number card $\left(H_{1}^{-1}(\{f\})\right)$ is constant, finite and positive on each connected component of the set $Y \backslash H_{1}(\Sigma)$.
Proof. The condition ( + ) implies that for each $f \in Y$ there is an $r>R$ and $\gamma>0$ such that

$$
\|H(t, x)-t f\| \geq \gamma \quad \text { for all } t \in[0,1], x \in \partial B(0, r)
$$

Indeed, if this were not the case, there would exist $t_{n} \in[0,1]$ and $x_{n} \in X$ such that $t_{n} \rightarrow t$ and $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$ and $H\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)-t_{n} f \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $H\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right) \rightarrow t f$ and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is unbounded, in contradiction to condition $(+)$. Since $H_{t}$ is an $A$-proper homotopy, this implies that there is an $n_{0} \geq 1$ such that

$$
P_{n} H(t, x) \neq t P_{n} f \quad \text { for all } t \in[0,1], x \in \partial B(0, r) \cap X_{n}, n \geq n_{0}
$$

By the Brouwer degree properties and the $A$-properness of $H_{1}$, there is an $x \in X$ such that $H(1, x)=f$. The other conclusions follow from Theorem 3.1.

Next, we have the following homotopy theorem for $\phi$-condensing maps.
Theorem 3.3. Let $F:[0,1] \times X \rightarrow X$ be a $\phi$-condensing homotopy and $H=I-F$ satisfy condition $(+)$. Let, for each $f \in X$, there be an $r_{f}>0$ such that

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(H_{0}, B\left(0, r_{f}\right), 0\right) \neq 0
$$

Then the equation $H(1, x)=f$ is solvable for each $f \in X$. Moreover, if $\Sigma=\{x \in$ $X: H_{1}$ is not invertible at $\left.x\right\}$ and $H_{1}$ is continuous, then $H_{1}^{-1}(\{f\})$ is compact for each $f \in X$ and the cardinal number card $\left(H_{1}^{-1}(\{f\})\right)$ is constant, finite and positive on each connected component of the set $X \backslash H_{1}(\Sigma)$.
Proof. As before, condition ( + ) implies that for each $f \in X$ there is an $r>R$ and $\gamma>0$ such that

$$
\|H(t, x)-t f\| \geq \gamma \quad \text { for all } t \in[0,1], x \in \partial B(0, r)
$$

By the $\phi$-condensing degree properties [20], there is an $x \in X$ such that $H(1, x)=$ $f$. The other conclusions follow from [22, Theorem 3.2] since its coercivity condition can be replaced by condition ( + ).

The existence part of the following result can be found in [15].
Theorem 3.4. Let $X$ and $Y$ be Banach spaces, $K: Y \rightarrow X$ be linear and continuous and $F: X \rightarrow Y$ be nonlinear and such that there are some constants $a$ and $b$ such that $a\|K\|<1$ and

$$
\|F x\| \leq a\|x\|+b \quad \text { for all }\|x\| \geq R
$$

a) Let $H_{t}=I-t K F: X \rightarrow X$ be A-proper with respect to a projection scheme $\Gamma=\left\{X_{n}, P_{n}\right\}$ for $X$ for each $t \in[0,1]$, or $H_{1}$ is $A$-proper with respect to $\Gamma$ and $\delta a\|K\|<1$, where $\delta=\max \left\|P_{n}\right\|$. Then (1.1) is approximation solvable for each $f \in X$. Moreover, if $\Sigma=\{x \in X: I-K F$ is not invertible at $x\}$ and $I-K F$ is continuous, then $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is compact for each $f \in X$, and the cardinal number card $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is constant, finite, and positive on each connected component of the set $X \backslash(I-K F)(\Sigma)$.
b) If $I-K F: X \rightarrow X$ is pseudo $A$-proper with respect to $\Gamma$ and $\delta a\|K\|<1$, then (1.1) is solvable for each $f \in X$.

Proof. (a) We shall show that the homotopy $H_{t}=I-t K F$ satisfies condition (+). Indeed, let $H\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)=x_{n}-t_{n} K F x_{n} \rightarrow f$ in $Y$ for some $t_{n} \in[0,1]$ and $x_{n} \in X$. It follows that for some $M>0$

$$
\left\|x_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|H\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right\|+\|K\|\|F x\| \leq M+\|K\|\left(a\left\|x_{n}\right\|+b\right)
$$

Hence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $X$ since $a\|K\|<1$. Moreover, for each $r>0$ and each $n \geq 1, \operatorname{deg}\left(P_{n} H_{0}, B(0, r) \cap X_{n}, 0\right) \neq 0$. Hence, the conclusions follow from Theorem 3.2. If only $H_{1}$ is $A$-proper, then it satisfies condition ( + ) as above and $x-K F x=f$ is approximation solvable for each $f \in X$ (see part b) ). Hence, Theorem 3.1 applies.
(b) If $I-K F$ is pseudo $A$-proper, then condition

$$
P_{n} H(t, x) \neq t P_{n} f \quad \text { for all } t \in[0,1], x \in \partial B(0, r) \cap X_{n}, n \geq n_{0}
$$

holds since $\delta a\|K\|<1$ and the solvability follows from the pseudo $A$-propernes of $I-K F$.

Since a ball condensing perturbation of the identity map is an $A$-proper map, we have the following special case.
Corollary 3.5. Let $K: Y \rightarrow X$ be linear and continuous and $F: X \rightarrow Y$ be nonlinear and such that $K F$ is a continuous $\phi$-condensing map and there are some constants $a$ and $b$ such that $a\|K\|<1$, and

$$
\|F x\| \leq a\|x\|+b \quad \text { for all }\|x\| \geq R
$$

Then (1.1) is approximation solvable for each $f \in X$ with respect to a projection scheme $\Gamma=\left\{X_{n}, P_{n}\right\}$ for $X$ with $\delta=\max \left\|P_{n}\right\|=1$ if $\phi=\chi$. It is solvable if $\phi=\gamma$. Moreover, if $\Sigma=\{x \in X: I-K F$ is not locally invertible at $x\}$, then $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is compact for each $f \in X$, and the cardinal number card $(I-$ $K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is constant, finite, and positive on each connected component of the set $X \backslash(I-K F)(\Sigma)$.

Next, we shall discuss other sets of conditions on $K$ and $F$ that imply the $A$ properness of an operator in an equivalent formulation of our equation. Recall that a map K acting in a Hilbert space H is called positive in the sense of Krasnoselski if there exists a number $\mu>0$ for which

$$
(K x, K x) \leq \mu(K x, x) \quad x \in H
$$

The infimum of all such numbers $\mu$ is called the positivity constant of $K$ and is denoted by $\mu(K)$. The simplest example of a positive map is provided by any bounded selfadjoint positive definite map $K$ on $H$. Then $\mu(K)=\|K\|$ for such maps. A compact normal map $K$ in a Hilbert space is positive on $H$ if and only if ( cf. 4] ) the number

$$
\left[\inf _{\lambda \in \sigma(K), \lambda \neq 0} \operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda^{-1}\right)\right]^{-1}
$$

is well defined and positive. In that case, it is equal to $\mu(K)$.
Let $X$ be a reflexive embeddable Banach space, that is, there is a Hilbert space $H$ such that $X \subset H \subset X^{*}$ so that $<y, x>=(y, x)$ for each $y \in H, x \in X$, where $<,>$ is the duality pairing of $X$ and $X^{*}$. Let $K: X^{*} \rightarrow X$ be a positive semidefinite bounded selfadjoint map in the sense that $<K x, y>=<x, K y>$ for all $x, y \in X^{*}$. Then the positive semidefinite square root $K_{H}^{1 / 2}$ of the restriction $K_{H}$ of $K$ to $H$
can be extended to a bounded linear map $T: X^{*} \rightarrow H$ such that $K=T^{*} T$, where the adjoint map $T^{*}=K_{H}^{1 / 2}$ of $T$ is a bounded map from $H$ to $X$ ( see [23] ).

We shall look at the following equivalent formulation of 1.1

$$
\begin{equation*}
y-T F C y=h, \quad h \in H . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need the following lemma (cf. [23]).
Lemma 3.6. Equations (1.1) and (3.1) are equivalent with $f$ restricted to $C(H)$; each solution $y$ of (3.1) determines a solution $x=C y$ of (1.1) and each solution $x$ of (1.1) with $f \in C(H)$ determines a solution $y=T F x+h$ of (3.1) with $f=C h$ and $x=C y$. Moreover, the map $C: S(h)=(I-T F C)^{-1}(\{h\}) \rightarrow S=$ $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{C h\})$ is bijective.
Proof. Let $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ be distinct solutions of (3.1). Applying $C$ to $y_{i}-T F C y_{i}=h$ and using the fact that $K=C T$, we get that $x_{1}=C y_{1}$ and $x_{2}=C y_{2}$ are solutions of (1.1). They are distinct since

$$
\begin{gathered}
0<\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|^{2}=\left(T F C y_{1}-T F C y_{2}, y_{1}-y_{2}\right)= \\
\left(F C y_{1}-F C y_{2}, C\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right)\right)=\left(F x_{1}-F x_{2}, x_{1}-x_{2}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Conversely, let $f \in C(H)$ and $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ be distinct solutions of (1.1). Let $f=C h$ for some $h \in H$. Set $y_{i}=T F x_{i}+h$. Then $C y_{i}=C T F x_{i}+h=K F x_{i}+f$ and so $x_{i}=C y_{i}$. Hence, $y_{i}=T F C y_{i}+h$, i.e., $y_{i}$ are solutions of (3.1). They are distinct since $y_{1}=y_{2}$ implies that $x_{1}=C y_{1}=C y_{2}=x_{2}$. These arguments show that $C: S(h) \rightarrow S$ is a bijection.

Corollary 3.7. Let $X$ be a reflexive embeddable Banach space ( $X \subset H \subset X^{*}$ ), $K: X^{*} \rightarrow X$ be a positive semidefinite bounded selfadjoint map, and $C=K_{H}^{1 / 2}$, where $K_{H}$ is the restriction of $K$ to $H, \mu(K)=\|C\|^{2}$ and $T: X^{*} \rightarrow H$ be a bounded linear extension of $K_{H}^{1 / 2}$. Let $F=F_{1}+F_{2}: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ be a nonlinear map, a and $b$ be constants and $c$ be the smallest number such that
(i) $\left(F_{1} x-F_{1} y, x-y\right) \leq c\|x-y\|^{2}$ for all $x, y \in X$, and either
(ii) $a\|K\|<1$ and $\|F x\| \leq a\|x\|+b$ for all $\|x\| \geq R$, or
(iii) $(a+c) \mu(K)<1$ and $\left\|F_{2} x\right\| \leq a\|x\|+b$ for all $\|x\| \geq R$,
and $T F_{2} C$ is a continuous $k$-ball contraction with $k<1-c \mu(K)$. Then (1.1) is approximation solvable in $X$ for each $f \in C(H) \subset X$ with respect to a projection scheme $\Gamma=\left\{X_{n}, P_{n}\right\}$ for $X, \delta=\max \left\|P_{n}\right\|=1$. Moreover, if $\Sigma_{H}=\{h \in H$ : $I-T F C$ is not locally invertible at $h\}$, then $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is compact for each $f \in C(H)$, and the cardinal number card $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is constant, finite, and positive on each connected component of the set $H \backslash(I-T F C)\left(\Sigma_{H}\right)$ intersected by $C(H)$.

Proof. Equation (1.1) is equivalent to (3.1). Hence, we shall consider this more suitable formulation. We claim that the map $I-T F_{1} C: H \rightarrow H$ is $1-c \mu(K)$ strongly monotone. Indeed, for $x, y \in H$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(x-T F_{1} C x-y+T F_{1} C y, x-y\right) & =\|x-y\|^{2}-\left(T F_{1} C x-T F_{1} C y, x-y\right) \\
& =\|x-y\|^{2}-\left(F_{1} C x-F_{1} C y, C x-C y\right) \\
& \geq(1-c \mu(K))\|x-y\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $T F_{2} C$ is $k$-ball contractive with $k<1-c \mu(K)$, we see that $I-t T F C$ is $A$ proper with respect to $\Gamma=\left\{H_{n}, P_{n}\right\}$ for $H$ by Corollary 2.3. Moreover, $I-t T F C$
satisfies condition ( + ). Indeed, let $H\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)=x_{n}-t_{n} T F C x_{n} \rightarrow g$. If (ii) holds, then

$$
\left\|x_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|H\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right\|+\|T\|\left(a\left\|C x_{n}\right\|+b\right) \leq M+a\|K\|\left\|x_{n}\right\|
$$

for some constant $M$ since $\|T\|=\|C\|=\|K\|^{1 / 2}$. It follows that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Next, let (iii) hold. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(H\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right), x_{n}\right) \\
& =\left(x_{n}-t_{n} T F C x_{n}, x_{n}\right) \\
& =\left(x_{n}-t_{n} T F_{1} C x_{n}+t_{n} T F_{1} 0, x_{n}\right)-t_{n}\left(T F_{1} 0, x_{n}\right)-t_{n}\left(T F_{2} C x_{n}, x_{n}\right) \\
& \geq(1-c \mu(K))\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\|T F_{1} 0\right\|\left\|x_{n}\right\|-a\left\|C x_{n}\right\|^{2}-b\left\|C x_{n}\right\| \\
& \geq(1-(a+c) \mu(K))\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left(\left\|T F_{1} 0\right\|+b\|C\|\right)\left\|x_{n}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, for otherwise dividing by $\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}$ and passing to the limit we get that $(a+c) \mu(K) \geq 1$, a contradiction. Hence, condition $(+)$ holds in either case.

By Theorem 3.2, we have that the equation $y-T F C y=h$ is solvable for each $h \in H, S(h)=(I-T F C)^{-1}(\{h\}) \neq \emptyset$ and compact, and card $S(h)$ is constant, positive and finite on each connected component of the open set $H \backslash(I-T F C)\left(\Sigma_{H}\right)$, where $\Sigma_{H}=\{h \in H: I-T F C$ is not locally invertible at $h\}$.

Next, applying $C$ to $y-T F C y=h$ and using the fact that $K=C T$, we get that $x-K F x=f$ with $x=C y \in X$. By Lemma 3.6, we get that $\operatorname{card} S=$ $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{C h\})=\operatorname{card} S(h)$. Hence, $\operatorname{card}(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is constant, finite and positive on each connected component of $H \backslash(I-T F C)\left(\Sigma_{H}\right)$ intersected by $C(H)$.

Next, let us look at the case when $K$ is not selfadjoint. We begin by describing the setting of the problem. Let $X$ be an embeddable Banach space, $X \subset H \subset X^{*}$. Let $K: X^{*} \rightarrow X$ be a linear map and $K_{H}$ be the restriction of $K$ to $H$ such that $K_{H}: H \rightarrow H$. Let $A=\left(K+K^{*}\right) / 2$ denote the selfadjoint part of $K$ and $B=\left(K-K^{*}\right) / 2$ be the skew-adjoint part of $K$. Assume that $A$ is positive definite. Under our assumptions on $K$, both $A$ and $B$ map $X^{*}$ into $X$. We know that $A$ can be represented in the form $A=C C^{*}$, where $C=A^{1 / 2}$ is the square root of $A$, $C: H \rightarrow X$, and the adjoint map $C^{*}: X^{*} \rightarrow H$.

As in [1] and [19], we say that $K$ is $P$-positive if $C^{-1} K\left(C^{*}\right)^{-1}$ exists and is bounded in $H$. It is $S$-positive if $K\left(C^{*}\right)^{-1}$ exists and is bounded in $H$. Clearly, the $P$-positivity implies the $S$-positivity but not conversely. It is easy to see that $K$ is $P$-positive if and only if $C^{-1} B\left(C^{*}\right)^{-1}$ is bounded in $H$, and is $S$-positive if and only if $B\left(C^{*}\right)^{-1}$ is bounded in $H$. Moreover, $K$ is $P$-positive if and only if $K$ is angle-bounded, i.e.,

$$
|(K x, y)-(y, K x)| \leq a(K x, x)^{1 / 2}(K y, y)^{1 / 2}, \quad x, y \in H
$$

Denote by $M$ and $N$ the closure of the maps $C^{-1} K\left(C^{*}\right)^{-1}$ and $K\left(C^{*}\right)^{-1}$, respectively, in $H$. Note that $M$ and $N$ are defined on the closure ( in $H$ ) of the range of $C=A^{1 / 2}$ and suppose that their domains coincide with $H$. We require that the following decompositions hold

$$
K=C M C^{*}, \quad K=N C^{*}
$$

Note that $K, M$ and $N$ are related as: $N=C M, N^{*}=M^{*} C^{*}$ and we have $(M x, x)=\|x\|^{2}$ for all $x \in H$. Hence, both $M$ and $M^{*}$ have trivial nullspaces.

Denote by $\mu(K)=\|N\|^{2}$, which is the positivity constant of $K$ in the sense of Krasnoselski.

Let $F: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ be a nonlinear map and consider the Hammerstein equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x-K F x=f \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $f \in N(H)$, let $h \in H$ be a solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{*} h-N^{*} F N h=M^{*} k \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f=N k$ for some $k \in H$. Then $M^{*}\left(h-C^{*} F N h-k\right)=0$ since $N=C M$ and $N^{*}=M^{*} C^{*}$. Hence, $h=C^{*} F N h+k$ since $M^{*}$ is injective and therefore

$$
N h=N C^{*} F N h+N k=K F N h+f
$$

since $K=N C^{*}$. Thus $x=N h$ is a solution of (3.2). So the solvability of 3.2 is reduced to the solvability of (3.3). Actually these two equations are equivalent.

Lemma 3.8. Equations (3.2) and (3.3) are equivalent with $f$ restricted to $N(H)$; each solution $h$ of (3.3) determines a solution $x=N h$ of (3.2) and each solution $x$ of (3.2) with $f \in N(H)$ determines a solution $h=C^{*} F x+k$ of (3.3) with $f=N k$ and $x=N h$. Moreover, the map $N: S\left(M^{*} k\right)=\left(M^{*}-N^{*} F N\right)^{-1}\left(\left\{M^{*} k\right\}\right) \rightarrow S=$ $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{N k\})$ is bijective.

Proof. Let $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ be distinct solutions of (3.3). We have seen above that $x_{1}=N h_{1}$ and $x_{2}=N h_{2}$ are solutions of (3.2). They are distinct since

$$
\begin{aligned}
0<\left\|h_{1}-h_{2}\right\|^{2} & =\left(M\left(h_{1}-h_{2}\right), h_{1}-h_{2}\right) \\
& =\left(N^{*} F N h_{1}-N^{*} F N h_{2}, h_{1}-h_{2}\right) \\
& =\left(F N h_{1}-F N h_{2}, N\left(h_{1}-h_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(F x_{1}-F x_{2}, x_{1}-x_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Conversely, let $f \in N(H)$ and $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ be distinct solutions of (3.2). Let $f=N k$ for some $k \in H$. Set $h_{i}=C^{*} F x_{i}+k$. Then $N h_{i}=N C^{*} F x_{i}+N k=K F x_{i}+f$ and so $x_{i}=N h_{i}$. Hence, $M^{*} h_{i}=M^{*} C^{*} F N h_{i}+M^{*} k=N^{*} F N h_{i}+M^{*} k$, i.e., $h_{i}$ are solutions of (3.3). They are distinct since $h_{1}=h_{2}$ implies that $x_{1}=N h_{1}=$ $N h_{2}=x_{2}$. These arguments show that $N: S\left(M^{*} k\right) \rightarrow S$ is bijective.

Corollary 3.9. Let $X$ be a reflexive embeddable Banach space ( $X \subset H \subset X^{*}$ ) and $K: X^{*} \rightarrow X$ be a linear continuous $P$-positive map. Let $F=F_{1}+F_{2}: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ be a nonlinear map, $N^{*} F_{2} N$ be continuous and $k$-ball contractive with $k<1-c \mu(K)$ and there are positive constants $a$ and $b, R>0$ and $c$ be the smallest number such that
(i) $\left(F_{1} x-F_{1} y, x-y\right) \leq c\|x-y\|^{2}$ for allx, $y \in X$, and either
(ii) $a\|K\|<1$ and $\|F x\| \leq a\|x\|+b$ for all $\|x\| \geq R$, or
(iii) $(a+c) \mu(K)<1$ and $\left\|F_{2} x\right\| \leq a\|x\|+b$ for all $\|x\| \geq R$.

Then (1.1) is solvable in $X$ for each $f \in N(H) \subset X$. Moreover, if $\Sigma_{H}=\{h \in$ $H: M^{*}-N^{*} F N$ is not locally invertible at $\left.h\right\}$ then $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is compact for each $f \in N(H)$, and the cardinal number $\operatorname{card}(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is constant, finite, and positive on each connected component of the set $H \backslash\left(M^{*}-N^{*} F N\right)\left(\Sigma_{H}\right)$ intersected by $N(H)$.

Proof. Define the homotopy $H(t, x)=M^{*} x-t N^{*} F N x$ on $[0,1] \times H$. It suffices to show that the map $H_{t}=M^{*}-t N^{*} F N: H \rightarrow H$ is $A$-proper with respect to $\Gamma=\left\{H_{n}, P_{n}\right\}$ for each $t \in[0,1]$ and satisfies condition $(+)$. Set $H_{1 t}=M^{*}-$ $t N^{*} F_{1} N: H \rightarrow H$. Then, for each $x, y \in H$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(H_{1}(t, x)-H_{1}(t, y), x-y\right) & =\| x-y) \|^{2}-t\left(N^{*}\left(F_{1} N x-F_{1} N y\right), x-y\right) \\
& =\|x-y\|^{2}-t\left(F_{1} N x-F_{1} N y, N x-N y\right) \\
& \geq(1-c \mu(K))\|x-y\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $N^{*} F_{2} N$ is $k$-ball contraction, $H_{t}$ is $A$-proper with respect to $\Gamma$ by Corollary 2.3.

Next, let $f \in N(H) \subset X, f=N k$, be fixed. We claim that $H(t, x)-t M^{*} h$ satisfies condition $(+)$. If not, then there would exist $x_{n} \in H, t_{n} \in[0,1]$ such that $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$
y_{n}=H\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)-t_{n} M^{*} k \rightarrow g
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let (ii) hold. Then

$$
M^{*} x_{n}=y_{n}+t_{n} N^{*} F N x_{n}-t_{n} M^{*} k
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2} & =\left(M^{*} x_{n}, x_{n}\right)=\left(y_{n}, x_{n}\right)+t_{n}\left(F N x_{n}, N x_{n}\right)-t_{n}\left(M^{*} k, x_{n}\right) \\
& \leq\left(\left\|y_{n}\right\|+\left\|M^{*} k\right\|+b\|N\|\right)\left\|x_{n}\right\|+a \mu(K)\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing by $\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}$ and passing to the limit, we get that $1 \leq a \mu(K)$, a cotradiction. Hence, condition ( + ) holds.

Next, let (iii) hold. Then, as above,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(H\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right), x_{n}\right) \\
& =\left(M^{*} x_{n}-t_{n} N^{*} F N x_{n}, x_{n}\right) \\
& =\left(M^{*} x_{n}-t_{n} N^{*} F_{1} N x_{n}+t_{n} N^{*} F_{1} 0, x_{n}\right)-t_{n}\left(N^{*} F_{1} 0, x_{n}\right)-t_{n}\left(N^{*} F_{2} N x_{n}, x_{n}\right) \\
& \geq(1-c \mu(K))\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\|N^{*} F_{1} 0\right\|\left\|x_{n}\right\|-a\left\|N x_{n}\right\|^{2}-b\left\|N x_{n}\right\| \\
& \geq(1-(a+c) \mu(K))\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left(\left\|N^{*} F_{1} 0\right\|+b\|N\|\right)\left\|x_{n}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, for otherwise dividing by $\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}$ and passing to the limit we get that $(a+c) \mu(K) \geq 1$, a contradiction. Hence, condition $(+)$ holds in either case.

This and the $A$-properness of $M^{*}-N^{*} F N$ imply that $M^{*} h-N^{*} F N h=M^{*} k$ for some $h \in H$ by Theorem 3.2. As before, we get that $N h=N C^{*} F N h+N k=$ $K F N h+f$ since $K=N C^{*}$. Thus, $x-K F x=f$ with $x=N h \in X$. Next, we have that $Y=N(H)$ is a Banach subspace of X and $I-K F: Y \rightarrow Y$, since $N: H \rightarrow X$ is continuous and therefore it is closed. Moreover, $S\left(M^{*} k\right)$ is nonempty and compact, and card $S\left(M^{*} k\right)$ is constant and finite on each connected component of the open set $H \backslash\left(M^{*}-N^{*} F N\right)\left(\Sigma_{H}\right)$ by Theorem 3.2. By Lemma 3.8, we get $\operatorname{card} S=(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})=\operatorname{card} S\left(M^{*} k\right)$ with $f=N k$. Hence, $\operatorname{card}(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is constant, positive and finite on each connected component of $H \backslash\left(M^{*}-N^{*} F N\right)\left(\Sigma_{H}\right)$ intersected by $N(H)$.

Next, we shall look at the case when the selfadjoint part $A$ of $K$ is not positive definite. Suppose that $A$ is quasi-positive definite in $H$, i.e., it has at most a finite number of negative eigenvalues of finite multiplicity. Let $U$ be the subspace
spanned by the eigenvectors of $A$ corresponding to these negative eigenvalues of $A$ and $P: H \rightarrow U$ be the orthogonal projection onto $U$. Then $P$ commutes with $A$, but not necessarily with $B$, and acts both in $X$ and $X^{*}$. The operator $|A|=(I-2 P) A$ is easily seen to be positive definite. Hence, we have the decomposition $|A|=D D^{*}$, where $D=|A|^{1 / 2}: H \rightarrow X$ and $D^{*}: X^{*} \rightarrow H$.

Following [1, 19, we call the map $K P$-quasi-positive if the map $D^{-1} K\left(D^{*}\right)^{-1}$ exists and is bounded in $H$, and $S$-quasi-positive if the map $K\left(D^{*}\right)^{-1}$ exists and is bounded in $H$. Let $M$ and $N$ denote the closure in $H$ of the the bounded maps $D^{-1} K\left(D^{*}\right)^{-1}$ and $K\left(D^{*}\right)^{-1}$ respectively. Assume that they are both defined on the whole space $H$. We assume that we have the following decompositions

$$
K=D M D^{*}, \quad K=N D^{*}
$$

Then we have $N=D M, N^{*}=M^{*} D^{*}$, and $\langle M h, h\rangle=\|h\|^{2}-2\|P h\|^{2}$ for all $h \in H$. Define the number

$$
\nu(K)=\sup \left\{\nu: \nu>0,\|N h\| \geq(\nu)^{1 / 2}\|P h\|, h \in H\right\}
$$

Note that for a selfadjoint map $K, \nu(K)$ is the absolute value of the largest negative eigenvalue of $K$.

Lemma 3.10. Equations (3.2) and (3.3) are equivalent with $f$ restricted to $N(H)$; each solution $h$ of (3.3) determines a solution $x=N h$ of (3.2) and each solution $x$ of (3.2) with $f \in N(H)$ determines a solution $h=D^{*} F x+k$ of (3.3) with $f=N k$ and $x=N h$. Moreover, the map $N: S\left(M^{*} k\right) \rightarrow S=(I-K F)^{-1}(\{N k\})$ is bijective.
Proof. Let $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ be distinct solutions of (3.3). Since $N=D M, K=N D^{*}$ and $M$ is injective, we get as before that $x_{1}=N h_{1}$ and $x_{2}=N h_{2}$ are solutions of (3.2). They are distinct since

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \neq\left\|h_{1}-h_{2}\right\|^{2}-2\left\|P\left(h_{1}-h_{2}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left(M\left(h_{1}-h_{2}\right), h_{1}-h_{2}\right)=\left(N^{*} F N h_{1}-N^{*} F N h_{2}, h_{1}-h_{2}\right) \\
& =\left(F N h_{1}-F N h_{2}, N\left(h_{1}-h_{2}\right)\right)=\left(F x_{1}-F x_{2}, x_{1}-x_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Conversely, let $f \in N(H)$ and $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ be distinct solutions of 3.2. Let $f=N k$ for some $k \in H$. Set $h_{i}=D^{*} F x_{i}+k$. Then $N h_{i}=N D^{*} F x_{i}+N k=K F x_{i}+f$ and so $x_{i}=N h_{i}$. Hence, $M^{*} h_{i}=M^{*} D^{*} F N h_{i}+M^{*} k=N^{*} F N h_{i}+M^{*} k$, i.e., $h_{i}$ are solutions of (3.3). They are distinct since $h_{1}=h_{2}$ implies that $x_{1}=N h_{1}=$ $N h_{2}=x_{2}$. These arguments show that $N: S\left(M^{*} k\right) \rightarrow S$ is bijective.

We have the following result when $K$ is $P$-quasi-positive.
Corollary 3.11. Let $X$ be a reflexive embeddable Banach space $\left(X \subset H \subset X^{*}\right)$ and $K: X^{*} \rightarrow X$ be a linear continuous $P$-quasi-positive map with $c \nu(K)<-1$. Let $F=F_{1}+F_{2}: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ be a nonlinear map, $N^{*} F_{2} N$ be continuous and $k$-ball contractive with $k<-(1+c \nu(K))$ and there are positive constants a and $b, R>0$ and let $c$ be the smallest number such that $1+(a+c) \nu(K)<0$ and
(i) $\left(F_{1} x-F_{1} y, x-y\right) \leq c\|x-y\|^{2}$ for all $x, y \in X$
(ii) $\left\|F_{2} x\right\| \leq a\|x\|+b$ for all $\|x\| \geq R$,

Then (1.1) is solvable in $X$ for each $f \in N(H) \subset X$. Moreover, if $\Sigma_{H}=\{h \in$ $H: M^{*}-N^{*} F N$ is not invertible at $\left.h\right\}$ then $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is compact for each $f \in N(H)$, and the cardinal number $\operatorname{card}(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is constant, finite, and
positive on each connected component of the set $H \backslash\left(M^{*}-N^{*} F N\right)\left(\Sigma_{H}\right)$ intersected by $N(H)$.

Proof. Define the homotopy $H(t, x)=M^{*} x-t N^{*} F N x$ on $[0,1] \times H$. Again, it suffices to show that he map $H_{t}=M^{*}-t N^{*} F N: H \rightarrow H$ is $A$-proper with respect to $\Gamma=\left\{H_{n}, P_{n}\right\}$ for each $t \in[0,1]$ and satisfies condition $(+)$. Set $H_{1 t}=$ $M^{*}-t N^{*} F_{1} N: H \rightarrow H$. Then, for each $x, y \in H$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(H_{1}(t, x)-H_{1}(t, y), x-y\right)=\left(M^{*} x-M^{*} y, x-y\right)-t\left(N^{*}\left(F_{1} N x-F_{1} N y\right), x-y\right) \\
& =\|x-y\|^{2}-2\|P(x-y)\|^{2}-t\left(F_{1} N x-F_{1} N y, N x-N y\right) \\
& \left.\geq\|x-y\|^{2}-2\|P(x-y)\|^{2}-t c\|N x-N y\|^{2}\right) \\
& \geq\|x-y\|^{2}-2\|P(x-y)\|^{2}-c \nu(K)\|P(x-y)\|^{2} \\
& \geq\|x-y\|^{2}-(2+c \nu(K))\|P(x-y)\|^{2}=-(1+c \nu(K))\|x-y\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $N^{*} F_{2} N$ is a $k$-ball contraction, $H_{t}$ is $A$-proper with respect to $\Gamma$ by Corollary 2.3 .

Next, let $f \in N(H) \subset X, f=N k$, be fixed. We claim that $H(t, x)-t M^{*} h$ satisfies condition $(+)$. If not, then there would exist $x_{n} \in H, t_{n} \in[0,1]$ such that $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$
y_{n}=H\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)-t_{n} M^{*} k \rightarrow g
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, as above,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(H\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right), x_{n}\right)= & \left(M^{*} x_{n}-t_{n} N^{*} F N x_{n}, x_{n}\right) \\
= & \left(M^{*} x_{n}, x_{n}\right)-t_{n}\left(N^{*} F_{1} N x_{n}, x_{n}\right)-t_{n}\left(N^{*} F_{2} N x_{n}, x_{n}\right) \\
= & \left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-2\left\|P x_{n}\right\|^{2}-t_{n}\left(N^{*} F_{1} N x_{n}+t_{n} N^{*} F_{1} 0, x_{n}\right) \\
& -t_{n}\left(F_{1} 0, N x_{n}\right)-t_{n}\left(F_{2} N x_{n}, N x_{n}\right) \\
\geq & -(1+c \nu(K))\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\|N^{*} F_{1} 0\right\|\left\|x_{n}\right\|-a\left\|N x_{n}\right\|^{2}-b\left\|N x_{n}\right\| \\
\geq & \left.-(1+(a+c) \nu(K))\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\|N^{*} F_{1} 0\right\|\left\|x_{n}\right\|-b \nu(K)^{1 / 2}\left\|P x_{n}\right\|\right) \\
\geq & -(1+(a+c) \nu(K))\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left(\left\|N^{*} F_{1} 0\right\|-b \nu(K)^{1 / 2}\right)\left\|x_{n}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\left(H\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right), x_{n}\right)=\left(y_{n}, x_{n}\right)+t_{n}\left(M^{*} k, x_{n}\right) \leq C\left\|x_{n}\right\|
$$

for some constant $C$, we get that

$$
-(1+(a+c) \nu(K))\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left(\left\|N^{*} F_{1} 0\right\|-b \nu(K)^{1 / 2}\right)\left\|x_{n}\right\| \leq C\left\|x_{n}\right\| .
$$

It follows that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, for otherwise dividing by $\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}$ and passing to the limit we get that $1+(a+c) \nu(K) \geq 0$, a contradiction. Hence, condition $(+)$ holds in either case.

Next, we shall continue our study of (1.1) assuming that the nonlinearity has a one sided estimate and the linear map $K$ is either positive or $P$-(quasi)-positive.

Theorem 3.12. Let $X$ be a reflexive embeddable Banach space ( $X \subset H \subset X^{*}$ ), $K: X^{*} \rightarrow X$ be a map such that the restriction of $K$ to $H, K_{H}$, is selfadjoint and positive semidefinite and $F: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ be such that $I-t T F C$ is $A$-proper in $H$ for each $t \in[0,1]$, and for some constants $a, b, d, R>0$ and $\gamma \in(0,2]$,

$$
(F x, x) \leq a\|x\|^{2}+b\|x\|^{2-\gamma}+d, \quad x \in X \backslash B(0, R)
$$

and $a \lambda<1$, where $\lambda$ is the leading eigenvalue of $K$. Then 1.1) is solvable for each $f \in C(H) \subset X$. Further, if $\Sigma_{H}=\{h \in H: I-T F C$ is not locally invertible at $h\}$ then $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is compact for each $f \in C(H)$, and the cardinal number $\operatorname{card}(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is constant, finite, and positive on each connected component of the set $H \backslash(I-T F C)\left(\Sigma_{H}\right)$ intersected by $C(H)$.

Proof. The map $K$ can be represented as $K=C C^{*}$, where $C: H \rightarrow X$ and $C^{*}: X^{*} \rightarrow H$. The restriction of $C$ to $H$ coincides with the selfadjoint positive semidefinite square root of $K$. Moreover, $\|C\|=\lambda^{1 / 2}$ when considered as a map in $H$. Consider the homotopy $H(t, x)=x-t T F C x$ on $[0,1] \times H$. We claim that $H(t, x)-t f$ satisfies condition $(+)$. Indeed, let $\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)$ be such that $H\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)-$ $t_{n} f \rightarrow g$. If $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$, then for some $M$,

$$
\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}=\left(H\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)-t_{n} f, x_{n}\right)+t(T F C x, x)
$$

$$
\leq M\left\|x_{n}\right\|+(T F C x, x)
$$

$$
\leq M\left\|x_{n}\right\|+(F C x, C x)
$$

$$
\leq M\left\|x_{n}\right\|+a\left\|C x_{n}\right\|^{2}+b\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2-\gamma}+d \leq M\left\|x_{n}\right\|+a \lambda\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}+b\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2-\gamma}+d
$$

Dividing by $\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}$, we get

$$
1 \leq a \lambda+M\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{-1}+b\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{-\gamma}+d\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{-2}
$$

Passing to the limit, we get that $1 \leq a \lambda$, a contradiction. Hence, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded and condition $(+)$ holds. By Theorem 3.2, we get a solution $y$ of $y-C^{*} F C y=h$ for each $h \in H$ and $x=C y$ is a solution of $x-K F x=f$. The other conclusions follow as in Corollary 3.7.

Remark 3.13. The one sided condition on $F$ in Theorem 3.12, as well as in other results below where it appears, can be replaced by

$$
(F x, x) \leq a(x) \quad \text { for all } x \in X \backslash B(0, R)
$$

for a suitable function $a: X \rightarrow R^{+}$.
An easy consequence of Theorems 3.3 and 3.12 is the following result.
Corollary 3.14. Let $X$ be a reflexive embeddable Banach space $\left(X \subset H \subset X^{*}\right)$, $K: X^{*} \rightarrow X$ be a map such that the restriction of $K$ to $H, K_{H}$, is selfadjoint and positive semidefinite and $F: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ be such that TFC is $\phi$-condensing, and for some constants $a, b$, $d$ and $\gamma \in(0,2]$

$$
(F x, x) \leq a\|x\|^{2}+b\|x\|^{2-\gamma}+d, \quad x \in X \backslash B(0, R)
$$

and $a \lambda<1$, where $\lambda$ is the leading eigenvalue of $K$. Then 1.1) is solvable for each $f \in C(H) \subset X$. Further, if $\Sigma_{H}=\{h \in H: I-T F C$ is not locally invertible at $h\}$ then $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is compact for each $f \in C(H)$, and the cardinal number $\operatorname{card}(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is constant, finite, and positive on each connected component of the set $H \backslash(I-T F C)\left(\Sigma_{H}\right)$ intersected by $C(H)$.

Corollary 3.15. Let $X$ be a reflexive embeddable Banach space ( $X \subset H \subset X^{*}$ ), $K: X^{*} \rightarrow X$ be a positive semidefinite bounded selfadjoint map in $H$, and $C=$ $K_{H}^{1 / 2}$, where $K_{H}$ is the restriction of $K$ to $H, \mu(K)=\|C\|^{2}$ and $T: X^{*} \rightarrow H$ be a bounded linear extension of $K_{H}^{1 / 2}$. Let $F=F_{1}+F_{2}: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ be a nonlinear map,
a $b, d$ and $\gamma \in(0,2]$ be constants such that $a \lambda<1, R>0$ and $c$ be the smallest number such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(F_{1} x-F_{1} y, x-y\right) \leq c\|x-y\|^{2} \quad \text { for all } x, y \in X \\
(F x, x) \leq a\|x\|^{2}+b\|x\|^{2-\gamma}+d, \quad x \in X \backslash B(0, R)
\end{gathered}
$$

and $T F_{2} C$ is a continuous $k$-ball contraction with $k<1-c \mu(K)$. Then (1.1) is approximation solvable in $X$ for each $f \in C(H) \subset X$ with respect to a projection scheme $\Gamma=\left\{X_{n}, P_{n}\right\}$ for $X, \delta=\max \left\|P_{n}\right\|=1$. Moreover, if $\Sigma_{H}=\{h \in H$ : $I-T F C$ is not locally invertible at $h\}$ then $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is compact for each $f \in C(H)$, and the cardinal number card $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is constant, finite, and positive on each connected component of the set $H \backslash(I-T F C)\left(\Sigma_{H}\right)$ intersected by $C(H)$.

Proof. We have shown before that $I-t T F C: H \rightarrow H$ is $A$-proper with respect to $\Gamma=\left\{H_{n}, P_{n}\right\}, t \in[0,1]$. Then the conclusions follow from Theorem 3.12,

Next, we shall give an extension of Theorem 3.12 to non-selfadjoint $K$.
Theorem 3.16. Let $X$ be a reflexive embeddable Banach space ( $X \subset H \subset X^{*}$ ), $K: X^{*} \rightarrow X$ be a linear continuous $P$-positive map and $F: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ be such that $M^{*}-t N^{*} F N$ is A-proper with respect to $\Gamma$ for each $t \in[0,1]$, and for some constants $a, b, d, \gamma \in(0,2]$ and $R>0$,

$$
(F x, x) \leq a\|x\|^{2}+b\|x\|^{2-\gamma}+d, \quad x \in X \backslash B(0, R)
$$

and $a \mu(K)<1$. Then 1.1) is solvable for each $f \in N(H) \subset X$. Moreover, if $\Sigma_{H}=\left\{h \in H: M^{*}-N^{*} F N\right.$ is not locally invertible at $\left.h\right\}$ then $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is compact for each $f \in N(H)$, and the cardinal number $\operatorname{card}(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is constant, finite, and positive on each connected component of the set $H \backslash\left(M^{*}-\right.$ $\left.N^{*} F N\right)\left(\Sigma_{H}\right)$ intersected by $N(H)$.

Proof. The homotopy $H(t, x)=M^{*} x-t N^{*} F N x$ on $[0,1] \times H$ is $A$-proper with respect to $\Gamma=\left\{H_{n}, P_{n}\right\}$. By Theorem 3.2 , it is left to show that it satisfies condition $(+)$. Let $f \in N(H) \subset X, f=N k$, be fixed. We claim that $H(t, x)-t M^{*} h$ satisfies condition $(+)$. If not, then there would exist $x_{n} \in H, t_{n} \in[0,1]$ such that $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$
y_{n}=H\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)-t_{n} M^{*} k \rightarrow g
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$
M^{*} x_{n}=y_{n}+t_{n} N^{*} F N x_{n}-t_{n} M^{*} k
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2} & =\left(M^{*} x_{n}, x_{n}\right) \\
& =\left(y_{n}, x_{n}\right)+t_{n}\left(F N x_{n}, N x_{n}\right)-t_{n}\left(M^{*} k, x_{n}\right) \\
& \leq\left(\left\|y_{n}\right\|+\left\|M^{*} k\right\|\right)\left\|x_{n}\right\|+b\left(\|N\|\left\|x_{n}\right\|\right)^{2-\gamma}+d+a \mu(K)\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing by $\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}$ and passing to the limit, we get that $1 \leq a \mu(K)$, a contradiction. Hence, condition $(+)$ holds. This and the $A$-properness of $M^{*}-N^{*} F N$ imply that $M^{*} h-N^{*} F N h=M^{*} k$ for some $h \in H$. The rest of the proof follows as in Corollary 3.9.

Corollary 3.17. Let $X$ be a reflexive embeddable Banach space ( $X \subset H \subset X^{*}$ ), $K: X^{*} \rightarrow X$ be a linear P-positive map and $F: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ be such that $N^{*} F N$ is ball condensing, and for some constants $a, b, d, \gamma \in(0,2]$ and $R>0$

$$
(F x, x) \leq a\|x\|^{2}+b\|x\|^{2-\gamma}+d, \quad x \in X \backslash B(0, R)
$$

and $a \mu(K)<1$. Then (1.1) is solvable for each $f \in N(H) \subset X$. Moreover, if $\Sigma_{H}=$ $\left\{h \in H: M^{*}-N^{*} F N\right.$ is not invertible at $\left.h\right\}$ then $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is compact for each $f \in N(H)$, and the cardinal number card $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is constant, finite, and positive on each connected component of the set $H \backslash\left(M^{*}-N^{*} F N\right)\left(\Sigma_{H}\right)$ intersected by $N(H)$.

Proof. It suffices to observe that $M^{*}-N^{*} F N$ is $A$-proper with respect to $\Gamma$ by Corollary 2.3 .

Corollary 3.18. Let $X$ be a reflexive embeddable Banach space ( $X \subset H \subset X^{*}$ ) and $K: X^{*} \rightarrow X$ be a linear continuous $P$-positive map. Let $F=F_{1}+F_{2}: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ be a nonlinear map, $N^{*} F_{2} N$ be continuous and $k$-ball contraction with $k<1-c \mu(K)$ and there are positive constants $a, b, d, \gamma \in(0,2]$ and $R>0$ with $a \mu(K)<1$, and let $c$ be the smallest number such that
(i) $\left(F_{1} x-F_{1} y, x-y\right) \leq c\|x-y\|^{2} \quad x, y \in X$
(ii) $(F x, x) \leq a\|x\|^{2}+b\|x\|^{2-\gamma}+d, x \in X \backslash B(0, R)$

Then (1.1) is solvable in $X$ for each $f \in N(H) \subset X$. Moreover, if $\Sigma_{H}=\{h \in$ $H: M^{*}-N^{*} F N$ is not invertible at $\left.h\right\}$ then $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is compact for each $f \in N(H)$, and the cardinal number $\operatorname{card}(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is constant, finite, and positive on each connected component of the set $H \backslash\left(M^{*}-N^{*} F N\right)\left(\Sigma_{H}\right)$ intersected by $N(H)$.

Proof. As in the proof of Corollary 3.11, we have that $M^{*}-t N^{*} F N$ is $A$-proper with respect to $\Gamma$ for each $t \in[0,1]$. Hence, the conclusions follow from Theorem 3.16

For $P$-quasi-positive $K$ we have the following statement.
Theorem 3.19. Let $X$ be a reflexive embeddable Banach space $\left(X \subset H \subset X^{*}\right)$, $K: X^{*} \rightarrow X$ be a linear continuous $P$-quasi-positive map and $F: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ be such that $M^{*}-t N^{*} F N$ is A-proper with respect to $\Gamma$ for each $t \in[0,1]$, and for some constants $a, b, d, \gamma \in(0,2]$ and $R>0$

$$
(F x, x) \leq a\|x\|^{2}+b\|x\|^{2-\gamma}+d, \quad x \in X \backslash B(0, R)
$$

and $-(1+a \nu(K))>0$. Then (1.1) is solvable for each $f \in N(H) \subset X$. Moreover, if $\Sigma_{H}=\left\{h \in H: M^{*}-N^{*} F N\right.$ is not invertible at $\left.h\right\}$ then $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is compact for each $f \in N(H)$, and the cardinal number $\operatorname{card}(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is constant, finite, and positive on each connected component of the set $H \backslash\left(M^{*}-\right.$ $\left.N^{*} F N\right)\left(\Sigma_{H}\right)$ intersected by $N(H)$.

Proof. The homotopy $H(t, x)=M^{*} x-t N^{*} F N x$ on $[0,1] \times H$ is $A$-proper with respect to $\Gamma=\left\{H_{n}, P_{n}\right\}$. By Theorem 3.2, it is left to show that it satisfies condition $(+)$. Let $f \in N(H) \subset X, f=N k$, be fixed. We claim that $H(t, x)-t M^{*} h$ satisfies condition $(+)$. If not, then there would exist $x_{n} \in H, t_{n} \in[0,1]$ such that $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$
y_{n}=H\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)-t_{n} M^{*} k \rightarrow g
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$
M^{*} x_{n}=y_{n}+t_{n} N^{*} F N x_{n}-t_{n} M^{*} k
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(y_{n}, x_{n}\right) \\
& =\left(M^{*} x_{n}, x_{n}\right)-t_{n}\left(F N x_{n}, N x_{n}\right)+t_{n}\left(M^{*} k, x_{n}\right) \\
& \geq\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-2\left\|P x_{n}\right\|^{2}-t_{n} a\left\|N x_{n}\right\|^{2}-t_{n} b\left\|N x_{n}\right\|^{2-\gamma}-t_{n} d-t_{n}\left\|M^{*} k\right\|\left\|x_{n}\right\| \\
& \geq\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-(2+a \nu(K))\left\|P x_{n}\right\|^{2}-b\left(\nu(K)^{1 / 2}\left\|P_{n} x_{n}\right\|\right)^{2-\gamma}-\left\|M^{*} k\right\|\left\|x_{n}\right\|-d \\
& \geq-(1+a \nu(K))\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-b\left(\nu(K)^{1 / 2}\left\|x_{n}\right\|\right)^{2-\gamma}-\left\|M^{*} k\right\|\left\|x_{n}\right\|-d .
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing by $\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}$ and passing to the limit, we get that $1+a \nu(K) \geq 0$, a contradiction. Hence, condition $(+)$ holds.

This and the $A$-properness of $M^{*}-N^{*} F N$ imply that $M^{*} h-N^{*} F N h=M^{*} k$ for some $h \in H$. The rest of the proof follows as in Corollary 3.9

Corollary 3.20. Let $X$ be a reflexive embeddable Banach space ( $X \subset H \subset X^{*}$ ), $K: X^{*} \rightarrow X$ be a linear P-quasi-positive map and $F: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ be such that $N^{*} F N$ is ball condensing, and for some constants $a, b, d, \gamma \in(0,2]$ and $R>0$

$$
(F x, x) \leq a\|x\|^{2}+b\|x\|^{2-\gamma}+d, \quad x \in X \backslash B(0, R)
$$

and $-(1+a \nu(K))>0$. Then 1.1 is solvable for each $f \in N(H) \subset X$. Moreover, if $\Sigma_{H}=\left\{h \in H: M^{*}-N^{*} F N\right.$ is not invertible at $\left.h\right\}$ then $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is compact for each $f \in N(H)$, and the cardinal number $\operatorname{card}(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is constant, finite, and positive on each connected component of the set $H \backslash\left(M^{*}-\right.$ $\left.N^{*} F N\right)\left(\Sigma_{H}\right)$ intersected by $N(H)$.

Proof. We have that $M^{*}-t N^{*} F N+2 P$ is $A$-proper with respect to $\Gamma$ for each $t \in[0,1]$ by Corollary 2.3 since $\left(M^{*} x+2 P x, x\right)=\|x\|^{2}$. But, $P$ is a compact map and therefore the map $M^{*}-t N^{*} F N$ is $A$-proper as a compact perturbation of an $A$-proper map. Hence, the conclusions follow by Theorem 3.19.

Corollary 3.21. Let $X$ be a reflexive embeddable Banach space ( $X \subset H \subset X^{*}$ ) and $K: X^{*} \rightarrow X$ be a linear continuous $P$-quasi-positive map. Let $F=F_{1}+F_{2}$ : $X \rightarrow X^{*}$ be a nonlinear map, $N^{*} F_{2} N$ be continuous and $k$-ball contractive with $k<1-c \mu(K)$ and there are positive constants a, $b, d, \gamma \in(0,2]$ and $R>0$ with $-(1+a \nu(K))<0$, and let $c$ be the smallest number such that
(i) $\left(F_{1} x-F_{1} y, x-y\right) \leq c\|x-y\|^{2} \quad x, y \in X$
(ii) $(F x, x) \leq a\|x\|^{2}+b\|x\|^{2-\gamma}+d, \quad x \in X \backslash B(0, R)$.

Then (1.1) is solvable in $X$ for each $f \in N(H) \subset X$. Moreover, if $\Sigma_{H}=\{h \in$ $H: M^{*}-N^{*} F N$ is not invertible at $\left.h\right\}$ then $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is compact for each $f \in N(H)$, and the cardinal number $\operatorname{card}(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is constant, finite, and positive on each connected component of the set $H \backslash\left(M^{*}-N^{*} F N\right)\left(\Sigma_{H}\right)$ intersected by $N(H)$.

Proof. As in the proof of Corollary 3.11, we have that $M^{*}-t N^{*} F N$ is $A$-proper with respect to $\Gamma$ for each $t \in[0,1]$. Hence, the conclusions follow by Theorem 3.19

For our next result, assume that a Hilbert space $H$ is in a duality with a Banach space $Y$ with $H \subset Y$ and $K: H \rightarrow H$ is positive. Then its selfadjoint part
$A=1 / 2\left(K+K^{*}\right)$ is positive semidefinite and therefore its square root $C=A^{1 / 2}$ : $H \rightarrow H$ is also positive and semidefinite. Assume that $K$ has a decomposition of the form $K=N C: H \rightarrow Y$ for some continuous linear map $N: H \rightarrow Y$.
Lemma 3.22. Equations 1.1) and $y-F K y=h$ with $h \in H$ and $f \in K(H)$ are equivalent; each solution $y$ of $y-F K y=h$ determines a solution $x=K y$ of (1.1) and each solution $x$ of (1.1) with $f \in K(H)$ determines a solution $y=F x+h$ of $y-F K y=h$ with $f=K h$ and $x=K h$. Moreover, the map $K: S(h)=$ $(I-F K)^{-1}(\{h\}) \rightarrow S=(I-K F)^{-1}(\{K h\})$ is bijective.

Proof. Let $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ be distinct solutions of $y-F K y=h$. Applying $K$ to $y_{i}-$ $F K y_{i}=h$, we get that $x_{1}=K y_{1}$ and $x_{2}=K y_{2}$ are solutions of (1.1). They are distinct since

$$
0<\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|^{2}=\left(F K y_{1}-F K y_{2}, y_{1}-y_{2}\right)
$$

implies that $F K y_{1} \neq F K y_{2}$ and therefore $x_{1}=K y_{1} \neq x_{2}=K y_{2}$. Conversely, let $f \in K(H)$ and $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ be distinct solutions of 1.1). Let $f=K h$ for some $h \in H$. Set $y_{i}=F x_{i}+h$. Then $K y_{i}=K F x_{i}+f$ and so $x_{i}=K y_{i}$. Hence, $y_{i}=F K y_{i}+h$, i.e., $y_{i}$ are solutions of $y-F K y=h$. They are distinct since $y_{1}=y_{2}$ implies that $x_{1}=K y_{1}=K y_{2}=x_{2}$. These arguments show that $K: S(h) \rightarrow S$ is a bijection.

Theorem 3.23. Let a Hilbert space $H$ be in a duality with a Banach space $Y$ with $H \subset Y$ and $K: H \rightarrow H$ be positive and $K=N C: H \rightarrow Y$ for some continuous linear map $N: H \rightarrow Y$. Let $F: Y \rightarrow H$ be a bounded nonlinear map such that $I-F K: H \rightarrow H$ is A-proper and

$$
(F x, x) \leq a\|x\|^{2}+b\|x\|^{2-\gamma}+d, \quad x \in Y \backslash B(0, R)
$$

for some constants $a, b, d, \gamma \in(0,2], R>0$ and $a \mu(K)<1$. Then 1.1) is solvable for each $f \in K(H)$. Moreover, if $\Sigma=\{x \in H: I-F K$ is not invertible at $x\}$ and $I-F K$ is continuous, then $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is compact for each $f \in K(H)$, and the cardinal number $\operatorname{card}(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is constant, finite, and positive on each connected component of the set $H \backslash(I-F K)(\Sigma)$ intersected by $K(H)$.

Proof. Let $h \in H$ and and $f=K h$. Consider the homotopy $H(t, y)=y-t F K y$ on $[0,1] \times H$. We claim that $H(t, y)-t h$ satisfies condition $(+)$ for each $h \in H$. Let $t_{n} \in[0,1]$ and $y_{n} \in H$ be such that $u_{n}=y_{n}-t_{n} F K y_{n}-t_{n} h \rightarrow g$. Then the positivity of $K$ implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leq\left(K y_{n}, y_{n}\right) & \leq\left(u_{n}, K y_{n}\right)+t_{n}\left(F K y_{n}+h, K y_{n}\right) \\
& \leq\left\|u_{n}\right\|\left\|K y_{n}\right\|+a\left\|K y_{n}\right\|^{2}+b\left\|K y_{n}\right\|^{2-\gamma}+\|h\|\left\|K y_{n}\right\|+d \\
& \leq a \mu(K)\left(y_{n}, K y_{n}\right)+b\left\|K y_{n}\right\|^{2-\gamma}+\left(\|h\|+\mid u_{n} \|\right)\left\|K y_{n}\right\|+d
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\left(K y_{n}, y_{n}\right) \leq(1-a \mu(K))^{-1}\left(b\left\|K y_{n}\right\|^{2-\gamma}+\left(\|h\|+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right)\left\|K y_{n}\right\|+d\right)
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(K y_{n}, y_{n}\right) & =\left(A y_{n}, y_{n}\right)=\left(C y_{n}, C y_{n}\right) \\
& \leq(1-a \mu(K))^{-1}\left(b\left\|K y_{n}\right\|^{2-\gamma}+\left(\|h\|+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right)\left\|K y_{n}\right\|+d\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

But, $K=N C$ and therefore,

$$
\left\|K y_{n}\right\| \leq\|N\|\left\|C y_{n}\right\| \leq c_{1}\left\|K y_{n}\right\|^{1-\gamma / 2}+c_{2}\left\|K y_{n}\right\|^{1 / 2}+c_{3}
$$

for some constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$. Since the real function $f(t)=t-c_{1} t^{1-\gamma / 2}-c_{2} t^{1 / 2}$ tends to infinity as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and for each $n$

$$
\left\|K y_{n}\right\|-c_{1}\left\|\left.K y_{n}\right|^{1-\gamma / 2}-c_{2}\right\| K y_{n} \|^{1 / 2} \leq c_{3}
$$

it follows that $\left\{\left\|K y_{n}\right\|: n=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is a bounded set. Thus

$$
\left\|y_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|u_{n}\right\|+\left\|F K y_{n}\right\|+\|h\| \leq c_{4}
$$

for some constant $c_{4}$ and all $n$ by the boundedness of $F$. This shows that $H_{t}$ satisfies condition (+). By Theorem 3.2. we have that the equation $y-F K y=h$ is solvable for each $h \in H, S(h)=(I-F K)^{-1}(\{h\}) \neq \emptyset$ and compact, and card $S(h)$ is constant and finite on each connected component of the open set $H \backslash(I-F K)(\Sigma)$.

Next, applying $K$ to $y-F K y=h$ we get that $x-K F x=f$ with $x=K y \in H$. By Lemma 3.22, we get that $\operatorname{card} S=(I-K F)^{-1}(\{K h\})=\operatorname{card} S(h)$. Hence, $\operatorname{card}(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is constant, finite and positive on each connected component of $H \backslash(I-F K)(\Sigma)$ intersected by $K(H)$.

Corollary 3.24. Let a Hilbert space $H$ be in a duality with a Banach space $Y$ with $H \subset Y$ and $K: H \rightarrow H$ be positive and $K=N C: H \rightarrow Y$ for some continuous linear map $N: H \rightarrow Y$. Let $F: Y \rightarrow H$ be a bounded nonlinear map such that $F K: H \rightarrow H$ is continuous and $\phi$-condensing, and

$$
(F x, x) \leq a\|x\|^{2}+b\|x\|^{2-\gamma}+d, \quad x \in Y \backslash B(0, R)
$$

for some constants $a, b, d, \gamma \in(0,2], R>0$ and $a \mu(K)<1$. Then (1.1) is solvable for each $f \in K(H)$. Moreover, if $\Sigma=\{x \in H: I-F K$ is not invertible at $x\}$, then $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is compact for each $f \in K(H)$, and the cardinal number $\operatorname{card}(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is constant, finite, and positive on each connected component of the set $H \backslash(I-F K)(\Sigma)$ intersected by $K(H)$.

Proof. If $K F$ is ball condensing, Theorem 3.23 applies. If $K F$ is set condensing, then arguing as in Theorem 3.23 we can prove this case again.

Remark 3.25. If $K: H \rightarrow H$ is a positive, normal and compact map, then there is a map $N: H \rightarrow Y$ such that $K=N C$ (cf. 4]). In this case $F K$ is compact and Corollary 3.24 is applicable.

Next, assuming only the positivity of $K$, we can still prove the solvability of (1.1) by requiring additionally that $F$ has a linear growth.

Theorem 3.26. Let $X$ be a reflexive embeddable Banach space $\left(X \subset H \subset X^{*}\right)$, $K: X^{*} \rightarrow X$ be a continuous map such that the restriction $K_{H}$ of $K$ to $H$ is positive and $F: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ be such that $I-K F$ is $A$-proper,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|F x\| \leq a\|x\|+b, \quad x \in X \\
(F x, x) \leq c\|x\|^{2}+d, \quad x \in X \backslash B(0, R)
\end{gathered}
$$

for some constants $a, b, c, d, R>0$ and $c \mu(K)<1$. Then 1.1 is approximation solvable for each $f \in X$. Moreover, if $\Sigma=\{x \in X: I-K F$ is not invertible at $x\}$ and $I-K F$ is continuous, then $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is compact for each $f \in X$, and the cardinal number card $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is constant, finite, and positive on each connected component of the set $X \backslash(I-K F)(\Sigma)$.

Proof. Consider the homotopy $H(t, x)=x-t K F x$ on $[0,1] \times X$. We claim that $H(t, x)-t f$ satisfies condition $(+)$ for each $f \in X$. Indeed, let $t_{n} \in[0,1]$ and $x_{n} \in X$ be such that $u_{n}=x_{n}-t_{n} K F x_{n}-t_{n} f \rightarrow g$. Then $F x_{n}=F\left(u_{n}+t_{n} K F x_{n}+t_{n} f\right)$ and set $y_{n}=t_{n} F x_{n}$. Then $y_{n}=t_{n} F\left(u_{n}+K y_{n}+t_{n} f\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(y_{n}, K y_{n}\right) \\
& =\left(t_{n} F\left(u_{n}+K y_{n}+t_{n} f\right), K y_{n}\right) \\
& =t_{n}\left(F\left(u_{n}+K y_{n}+t_{n} f\right), u_{n}+K y_{n}+t_{n} f\right)-\left(F\left(u_{n}+K y_{n}+t_{n} f\right), u_{n}+t_{n} f\right) \\
& \leq c\left\|u_{n}+K y_{n}+t_{n} f\right\|^{2}+\left\|F\left(u_{n}+K y_{n}+t_{n} f\right)\right\|\left\|u_{n}+t_{n} f\right\|+d \\
& \leq c\left\|K y_{n}\right\|^{2}+(a+2 c)\left\|u_{n}+t_{n} f\right\|\left\|K y_{n}\right\|+(a+c)\left\|u_{n}+t_{n} f\right\|^{2} \\
& \left.\quad+b\left\|u_{n}+t_{n} f\right\|+c_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $(y, K y) \geq 1 / \mu(K)\|K y\|^{2}$ for all $y \in H$ and $H$ is dense in $X^{*}$, we have that $(y, K y) \geq 1 / \mu(K)\|K y\|^{2}$ for all $y \in X^{*}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|K y_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq & \mu(K)\left(y_{n}, K y_{n}\right) \\
\leq & \mu(K)\left(c\left\|K y_{n}\right\|^{2}+(a+2 c)\left\|u_{n}+t_{n} f\right\|\left\|K y_{n}\right\|+(a+c)\left\|u_{n}+t_{n} f\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+b\left\|u_{n}+t_{n} f\right\|+c_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we have that

$$
\left\|x_{n}\right\|=\left\|u_{n}+K y_{n}+t_{n} f\right\| \leq\left\|u_{n}\right\|+\left\|K y_{n}\right\|+\|f\| \leq M+\left\|K y_{n}\right\|
$$

for some constant $M$. If $K y_{n} \rightarrow 0$, it follows that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. If $\left\{K y_{n}\right\}$ does not converge to zero, then after dividing the above inequality by $\left\|K y_{n}\right\|$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|K y_{n}\right\| \leq & (1-c \mu(K))^{-1} \mu(K)\left[(a+2 c)\left\|u_{n}+t_{n} f\right\|\right. \\
& \left.+\left((a+c)\left\|u_{n}+t_{n} f\right\|^{2}+b\left\|u_{n}+t_{n} f\right\|+c_{1}\right) /\left\|K y_{n}\right\|\right] \leq M_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $n$ and some constant $M_{1}$. Hence, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in either case and condition $(+)$ holds. The conclusions now follow from Theorem 3.2 since

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(P_{n} H_{0}, B(0, r) \cap H_{n}, 0\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(I, B(0, r) \cap H_{n}, 0\right) \neq 0
$$

for all $n \geq n_{0}$.
Corollary 3.27. Let $X$ be a reflexive embeddable Banach space ( $X \subset H \subset X^{*}$ ), $K: X^{*} \rightarrow X$ be a map such that the restriction $K_{H}$ of $K$ to $H$ is positive and $F: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ be nonlinear and such that $K F$ is a continuous $\phi$-condensing map and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|F x\| \leq a\|x\|+b, \quad x \in X \\
(F x, x) \leq c\|x\|^{2}+d, \quad x \in X \backslash B(0, R)
\end{gathered}
$$

for some constants $a, b, c, d$ and $c \mu(K)<1$. Then 1.1 is solvable for each $f \in X$. Moreover, if $\Sigma=\{x \in X: I-K F$ is not invertible at $x\}$, then $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is compact for each $f \in X$, and the cardinal number card $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is constant, finite, and positive on each connected component of the set $X \backslash(I-K F)(\Sigma)$.

Proof. Consider the homotopy $H(t, x)=x-t K F x-t f$. Then the conclusions follow from Theorems 3.3 and 3.26 .

Next, we shall extend Theorem 3.26 to potentially positive maps. Recall that a map $K: H \rightarrow H$ is called potentially positive (from below) (cf. [4]) if there exists a $\lambda \in R$ such that the map $I-\lambda K$ is continuously invertible and the map $K_{\lambda}=(I-\lambda K)^{-1} K$ is positive on $H$. Clearly, any positive map is potentially positive. Moreover, a completely continuous selfadjoint map is potentially positive if and only if it has a finite number of negative eigenvalues.

Equation (1.1) can be written in the following equivalent form

$$
x-K_{\lambda} F_{\lambda} x=(I-\lambda K)^{-1}(f)
$$

where $F_{\lambda}=F-\lambda I$. Clearly,

$$
S(f)=(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})=S_{\lambda}\left((I-\lambda K)^{-1} f\right)=\left(I-K_{\lambda} F_{\lambda}\right)^{-1}\left(\left\{(I-\lambda K)^{-1} f\right\}\right)
$$

Moreover, $I-K F: X \rightarrow X$ is locally invertible at $x_{0} \in X$ if and only if ( $I-$ $\lambda K)^{-1}(I-K F): X \rightarrow X$ is locally invertible at $x_{0} \in X$ since $I-\lambda K: H \rightarrow H$ is a homeomorphism. Hence, $\Sigma=\{x \in X: I-K F$ is not invertible at $x\}=$ $\Sigma_{\lambda}=\left\{x \in X:(I-\lambda K)^{-1}(I-K F)\right.$ is not locally invertible at $\left.x\right\}=\{x \in X:$ $I-(I-\lambda K)^{-1} K(F-\lambda I)$ is not locally invertible at $\left.x\right\}$. We have the following extension of Theorem 3.26 to potentially positive maps.

Theorem 3.28. Let $X$ be a reflexive embeddable Banach space ( $X \subset H \subset X^{*}$ ), $K: X^{*} \rightarrow X$ be a map such that the restriction $K_{H}$ of $K$ to $H$ is potentially positive and $F: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ be such that $I-K_{\lambda} F_{\lambda}$ is $A$-proper, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|F x\| & \leq a\|x\|+b, \quad x \in X \\
(F x, x) & \leq c\|x\|^{2}+c_{1}, \quad x \in X
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constants $a, b, c, c_{1}$ and $(c-\lambda) \mu(K)<1$. Then 1.1 is approximation solvable for each $f \in X$. Moreover, if $\Sigma=\{x \in X: I-K F$ is not invertible at $x\}$ and $I-K F$ is continuous, then $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is compact for each $f \in X$, and the cardinal number card $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is constant, finite, and positive on each connected component of the set $X \backslash(I-K F)(\Sigma)$.
Proof. Let $F_{\lambda}=F-\lambda I$ and consider the homotopy $H_{\lambda}(t, x)=x-t K_{\lambda} F_{\lambda} x-t f$. Then arguing as in Theorem 3.26 , we get that $S(f)=(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})=S_{\lambda}((I-$ $\left.\lambda K)^{-1} f\right)$ is not empty and compact, and card $S(f)$ is constant, finite and positive on each connected component $U_{i}$ of the open set $X \backslash\left(I-K_{\lambda} F_{\lambda}\right)(\Sigma)=\cup_{i} U_{i}$. Since $(I-\lambda K)\left(X \backslash\left(I-K_{\lambda} F_{\lambda}\right)(\Sigma)\right)=X \backslash(I-\lambda K)\left(I-K_{\lambda} F_{\lambda}\right)(\Sigma)=X \backslash(I-K F)(\Sigma)$, we get that $X \backslash(I-K F)(\Sigma)=\cup_{i}(I-\lambda K) U_{i}$. Hence, $f \in(I-\lambda K) U_{i}$ if and only if $f=(I-\lambda K) g$ with $g \in U_{i}$. Therefore, $\operatorname{card}(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is constant, finite, and positive on each connected component of the set $X \backslash(I-K F)(\Sigma)$.

Corollary 3.29. Let $X$ be a reflexive embeddable Banach space ( $X \subset H \subset X^{*}$ ), $K: X^{*} \rightarrow X$ be a map such that the restriction $K_{H}$ of $K$ to $H$ is potentially positive and $F: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ be such that $K_{\lambda} F_{\lambda}$ is $\phi$-condensing, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|F x\| & \leq a\|x\|+b, \quad x \in X \\
(F x, x) & \leq c\|x\|^{2}+c_{1}, \quad x \in X
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constants $a, b, c, c_{1}$ and $(c-\lambda) \mu(K)<1$. Then 1.1) is solvable for each $f \in X$. Moreover, if $\Sigma=\{x \in X: I-K F$ is not invertible at $x\}$ and $I-K F$ is continuous, then $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is compact for each $f \in X$, and the cardinal
number card $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is constant, finite, and positive on each connected component of the set $X \backslash(I-K F)(\Sigma)$.

Proof. Let $F_{\lambda}=F-\lambda I$ and consider the homotopy $H_{\lambda}(t, x)=x-t K_{\lambda} F_{\lambda} x-t f$. Then the conclusions follow from Theorems 3.3 and 3.28 ,

We say that $T$ satisfies condition $(++)$ if whenever $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded and $T x_{n} \rightarrow$ $f$, then $T x=f$ for some $x \in X$. Let $\sigma(K)$ denote the spectrum of $K$. Our next result involves a suitable Leray-Schauder type of condition.

Theorem 3.30. Let $K: X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous linear map, $\lambda^{-1} \notin \sigma(K), F:$ $X \rightarrow X$ be nonlinear, $T_{p}=p I-(I-\lambda K)^{-1} K(F-\lambda I): X \rightarrow X$ for $p \geq 1, T_{1}$ satisfy condition $(+)$ and either $F$ is odd or, for some $R>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(F-\lambda I) x \neq t(I-\lambda K) x \quad \text { for }\|x\| \geq R, t>1 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

a) If $T_{1}$ is $A$-proper with respect to $\Gamma$, then (1.1) is approximation solvable for each $f \in X$. Moreover, if $\Sigma=\{x \in X: I-K F$ is not invertible at $x\}$ and $I-K F$ is continuous, then $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is compact for each $f \in X$, and the cardinal number card $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is constant, finite, and positive on each connected component of the set $X \backslash(I-K F)(\Sigma)$.
b) If $T_{p}$ is $A$-proper with respect to $\Gamma$ for each $p>1$ and $T_{1}$ satisfies condition $(++)$, then 1.1 is solvable for each $f \in X$.

Proof. Equation (1.1) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
A x-N x=f \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A=I-\lambda K$ and $N=K(F-\lambda I)$. It is easy to see that (3.4) implies that

$$
N x \neq t A x \text { for }\|x\| \geq R, t>1
$$

Hence, the (approximate) solvability of (1.1) follows from [9, Theorem 4.1]. Next, set $\Sigma_{1}=\left\{x \in X: I-A^{-1} N\right.$ is not invertible at $\left.x\right\}$. Then $\left\{\left(I-A^{-1} N\right)^{-1}(\{h\})\right\}$ is compact for each $h \in X$ and the cardinal number $\operatorname{card}\left(I-A^{-1} N\right)^{-1}(\{h\})$ is constant, finite and positive on each connected component of $X \backslash\left(I-A^{-1} N\right)\left(\Sigma_{1}\right)$ by Theorem 3.1. Since $A$ is a homeomorphism and $\Sigma=\Sigma_{1}$, we have that card $((I-$ $\left.K F)^{-1}(\{f\})\right)=\operatorname{card}\left(\left(I-A^{-1} N\right)^{-1}\left(\left\{A^{-1}(f)\right\}\right)\right.$ on each connected component $U_{i}$ of $X \backslash\left(I-A^{-1} N\right)(\Sigma)$. As before, we get that $\operatorname{card}(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is constant, finite, and positive on each connected component of the set $X \backslash(I-K F)(\Sigma)$.

An easy consequence of Theorem 3.30 is the following result.
Corollary 3.31. Let $K: X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous linear map, $\lambda^{-1} \notin \sigma(K)$, $F: X \rightarrow X$ be nonlinear, $T_{p}=p I-(I-\lambda K)^{-1} K(F-\lambda I): X \rightarrow X$ for $p \geq 1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F-\lambda I|=\limsup _{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty}\|F x-\lambda x\| /\|x\|<\left\|(I-\lambda K)^{-1} K\right\|^{-1} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

a) If $T_{1}$ is $A$-proper with respect to $\Gamma$, then (1.1) is approximation solvable for each $f \in X$. Moreover, if $\Sigma=\{x \in X: I-K F$ is not invertible at $x\}$ and $T_{1}$ is continuous, then $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is compact for each $f \in X$, and the cardinal number card $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is constant, finite, and positive on each connected component of the set $X \backslash(I-K F)(\Sigma)$.
b) If $T_{p}$ is $A$-proper with respect to $\Gamma$ for each $p>1$ and $T_{1}$ satisfies condition $(++)$, then 1.1 is solvable for each $f \in X$.

Corollary 3.32. Let $X$ be a uniformly convex space with a scheme $\Gamma=\left\{X_{n}, P_{n}\right\}$, $\max \left\|P_{n}\right\|=1, K: X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous linear map, $\lambda^{-1} \notin \sigma(K)$ and $F: X \rightarrow$ $X$ be nonlinear such that $(I-\lambda K)^{-1} K(F-\lambda I): X \rightarrow X$ is nonexpensive and (3.6) hold. Then 1.1 is solvable for each $f \in X$.

Let us now look at some special cases.
Corollary 3.33. Let $K: H \rightarrow H$ be a positive, compact and normal linear map, $\lambda^{-1} \notin \sigma(K), F: X \rightarrow X$ be a nonlinear map such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(|F-\lambda I|+\lambda) \mu(K)<1 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (1.1) is approximation solvable for each $f \in H$. Moreover, if $\Sigma=\{x \in X$ : $I-K F$ is not invertible at $x\}$, then $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is compact for each $f \in X$, and the cardinal number card $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is constant, finite, and positive on each connected component of the set $X \backslash(I-K F)(\Sigma)$.

Proof. Since $K$ is compact, it suffices to show that (3.7) implies (3.6). We know that (3.7) is equivalent to

$$
|F-\lambda I|+\lambda<\inf _{\gamma \in \sigma(K), \gamma \neq 0} \operatorname{Re}\left(\gamma^{-1}\right)
$$

and the spectrum is $\sigma\left(K_{\lambda}\right)=\{\gamma /(1-\lambda \gamma): \gamma \in \sigma(K)\}$. Hence

$$
|F-\lambda I|<\inf _{\gamma \in \sigma(K), \gamma \neq 0} \operatorname{Re}\left(\gamma^{-1}\right)-\lambda=\inf _{\gamma \in \sigma(K), \gamma \neq 0} \operatorname{Re}((1-\lambda \gamma) / \gamma)
$$

Thus,

$$
|F-\lambda I|^{2}<\inf _{\gamma \in \sigma(K), \gamma \neq 0}\left\{\left[\operatorname{Re}\left(\gamma^{-1}\right)-\lambda\right]^{2}+\left(\operatorname{Im} \lambda^{-1}\right)^{2}\right\}
$$

which is equivalent to $|F-\lambda I|\left\|K_{\lambda}\right\|<1$. Hence, (3.6) holds.
Let $\Sigma(K)$ be the set of characteristic values of $K$, i.e., $\Sigma(K)=\{\mu: 1 / \mu \in \sigma(K)\}$.
Theorem 3.34. Let $K: H \rightarrow H$ be a selfadjoint map, $\lambda \notin \Sigma(K), F: H \rightarrow H$ be nonlinear and continuous and $T_{p}=p I-(I-\lambda K)^{-1} K(F-\lambda I): H \rightarrow H$ for $p \geq 1$. Suppose that for some $k$ with $k \delta<d=\operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \Sigma(K))$

$$
\limsup _{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty}\|F x-\lambda x\| /\|x\|<k
$$

(a) If $T_{1}$ is $A$-proper with respect to $\Gamma$, then 1.1 is approximation solvable for each $f \in H$. Moreover, if $\Sigma=\{x \in X: I-K F$ is not invertible at $x\}$ and $T_{1}$ is continuous, then $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is compact for each $f \in X$, and the cardinal number card $(I-K F)^{-1}(\{f\})$ is constant, finite, and positive on each connected component of the set $H \backslash(I-K F)(\Sigma)$.
(b) If $T_{p}$ is $A$-proper with respect to $\Gamma$ for each $p>1$ and $T_{1}$ satisfies condition $(++)$, then 1.1 is solvable for each $f \in X$.

Proof. Equation (1.1) is equivalent to $x=(I-\lambda K)^{-1} K(F-\lambda) x+(I-\lambda K)^{-1} f$. Since $(I-\lambda K)^{-1} K=-1 / \lambda+1 / \lambda(I-\lambda K)^{-1}$, we have that, [4],

$$
\left\|(I-\lambda K)^{-1} K\right\|=\sup _{\mu \in \sigma(K)}\left|-1 / \lambda+1 / \lambda(1-\lambda \mu)^{-1}\right|=\sup _{\mu \in \Sigma(K)}\left|(\mu-\lambda)^{-1}\right|=d^{-1}
$$

Then the conclusions follow from Corollary 3.31 .

## 4. Hammerstein integral equations

Let $Q \subset R^{n}$ be a bounded domain, $k(t, s): Q \times Q \rightarrow R$ be measurable and $f(s, u): Q \times R \rightarrow R$ be a Caratheodory function. We consider the problem of finding a solution $u \in L_{2}(Q)$ of the Hammerstein integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=\int_{Q} k(t, s) f(s, u(s)) d s+g(t) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ is a measurable function. There is a vast literature on the solvability of (4.1) and we just mention the books by Krasnoselskii [5] and Vainberg [23]. Define the linear map

$$
K u(t)=\int_{Q} k(t, s) u(s) d s
$$

in $H=L_{2}(Q)$. Define $F u=f(s, u(s))$ and note that 4.1) can be written in the form $u-K F u=g$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $K: H \rightarrow H$ be compact and selfadjoint, $\Sigma(K)=\left\{\lambda: \lambda^{-1} \in\right.$ $\sigma(K)\}$ and assume that either one of the following conditions holds
(i) Let $\lambda \notin \Sigma(K)$ and $a<\operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \Sigma(K))$ be such that for some $h \in L_{2}(Q)$,

$$
|f(s, u)-\lambda u| \leq a|u|+h(s) \quad \text { for all } s \in Q, u \in R
$$

(ii) There are $\lambda, \mu \in \Sigma(K)$ such that $(\lambda, \mu) \cap \Sigma(K)=\emptyset$ and $\lambda<\alpha<\beta<\mu$ and $\epsilon>0$ such that for $s \in Q$

$$
\alpha+\epsilon \leq f_{-}(s)=\liminf _{|u| \rightarrow \infty}(f(s, u) / u) \leq f_{+}(s)=\limsup _{|u| \rightarrow \infty}(f(s, u) / u) \leq \beta-\epsilon
$$

(iii) Let $K$ be positive and compact normal map in $H$ with

$$
\begin{gathered}
|f(s, u)| \leq c|u|+c(s), \quad s \in Q, u \in R, c(s) \in L_{2}(Q), \\
u f(s, u) \leq k u^{2}+b(s), \quad s \in Q, u \in R, b(s) \in L_{1}(Q) \\
\left\|(I-\gamma K)^{-1} K\right\|(c+k) / 2<1
\end{gathered}
$$

Then (4.1) is approximation solvable in $L_{2}$ for each $g \in L_{2}$ and the number of its solutions is constant and finite on each connected component of $L_{2}(Q) \backslash(I-K F)(\Sigma)$, where $\Sigma=\left\{u \in L_{2}(Q): I-K F\right.$ is not invertible at $\left.u\right\}$.

Proof. We shall show first that (ii) implies (i). From (ii), we get that there is $R>0$ such that

$$
\alpha<f_{-}(s)-\epsilon \leq f(s, u) / u \leq f_{+}(s)+\epsilon<\beta, \quad \text { for all } s \in Q \text { and }|u| \geq R .
$$

Hence, for each $s \in Q$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{f(s, u)}{u}-\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2}\right| & \leq \min \left(f_{+}(s)+\epsilon-\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2}, \frac{\lambda+\mu}{2}-f_{-}(s)+\epsilon\right) \\
& \leq \min \left(\beta-\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2}, \frac{\lambda+\mu}{2}+\alpha\right)=a \\
& <\frac{\mu-\lambda}{2}=\operatorname{dist}\left(\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2}, \Sigma(K)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, (i) holds.
Next, we shall show that (iii) also implies (i).The inequalities in (iii) imply that

$$
|f(s, u)-(k-c) / 2 u| \leq(k+c) / 2|u|+b_{1}(s), \quad b_{1}(s) \in L_{2}(Q)
$$

Since $k>0$ and $c>k$, we see that (i) holds with $\lambda=(k-c) / 2$ and $a=(c+k) / 2$. Hence, the conclusion holds by Theorem 3.34 and Corollary 3.31 .

Let us now look at the case when $K$ is not selfadjoint nor compact. Suppose first that $K$ is $P$-positive in $H=L_{2}(Q)$. Suppose that $K$ acts from $L_{q}$ into $L_{p}$ for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $q=p /(p-1)$ with $q=1$ if $p=\infty$. As before, let $A=1 / 2\left(K+K^{*}\right)$ be the selfadjoint part of $K$ and $B=1 / 2\left(K-K^{*}\right)$ be the skew-adjoint part of $K$. They both act from $L_{q}$ into $L_{p}$. Assume that $A$ is positive definite. Then it can be represented in the form $A=C C^{*}$, where $C=A^{1 / 2}: L_{2} \rightarrow L_{p}$ and the adjoint operator $C^{*}: L_{q} \rightarrow L_{2}$. Assume that $K$ is $P$-positive operator in $L_{2}$. Denote by $M$ and $N$ the closure of the maps $C^{-1} K\left(C^{*}\right)^{-1}$ and $K\left(C^{*}\right)^{-1}$, respectively, in $L_{2}$. Note that $M$ and $N$ are defined on the closure (in $L_{2}$ ) of the range of $C=A^{1 / 2}$. This closure coincides with $L_{2}$ in our case. Since $K$ is $P$-positive, the following decompositions hold (cf. [1])

$$
K=C M C^{*}, K=N C^{*}
$$

Note that $K, M$ and $N$ are related as: $N=C M, N^{*}=M^{*} C^{*}$ and we have $(M h, h)=\|h\|^{2}$ for all $h \in L_{2}$. Hence, both $M$ and $M^{*}$ have trivial nullspaces. Denote by $\mu(K)=\|N\|^{2}$, which is the positivity constant of $K$ in the sense of Krasnoselski. Set $F x(s)=f(s, x(s))$.

Theorem 4.2. Suppose that $K$ is $P$-positive in $L_{2}(Q), f=f_{1}+f_{2}$ satisfies the Caratheodory condition, $F: L_{p} \rightarrow L_{q}$, and there are constants $a, b c$ and $k$ such that $a\|K\|<1, k<1-c \mu(K)$ and
(i) $|f(s, u)| \leq a|u|+b(s \in Q, u \in \mathbb{R})$
(ii) $\left(f_{1}(s, u)-f_{1}(s, v), u-v\right) \leq c|u-v|^{2} \quad(s \in Q, u, v \in \mathbb{R})$
(iii) $\left|f_{2}(s, u)-f_{2}(s, v)\right| \leq k|u-v| \quad(s \in Q, u, v \in \mathbb{R})$.

Then 4.1 is approximation solvable in $L_{2}$ for each $g \in N\left(L_{2}\right)$ and the number of its solutions is constant and finite on each connected component of $L_{2}(Q) \backslash\left(M^{*}-\right.$ $\left.N^{*} F N\right)\left(\Sigma_{L_{2}}\right)$ intersected by $N\left(L_{2}\right)$, where
$\Sigma_{L_{2}}=\left\{u \in L_{2}: M^{*}-N^{*} F N\right.$ is not invertible at $\left.u\right\}$.
The above theorem follows from Corollary 3.9 .
Theorem 4.3. Suppose that $K$ is $P$-positive in $L_{2}(Q), f=f_{1}+f_{2}$ satisfies the Caratheodory condition, $F: L_{p} \rightarrow L_{q}$, and there are constants $a, b, d, \gamma \in(0,2], c$ and $k$ such that $a \mu(K)<1, k<1-c \mu(K)$ and
(i) $(f(s, u), u) \leq a|u|^{2}+b|u|^{2-\gamma}+d(s \in Q, u \in \mathbb{R})$
(ii) $\left(f_{1}(s, u)-f_{1}(s, v), u-v\right) \leq c|u-v|^{2}(s \in Q, u, v \in \mathbb{R})$
(iii) $\left|f_{2}(s, u)-f_{2}(s, v)\right| \leq k|u-v|(s \in Q, u, v \in \mathbb{R})$.

Then (4.1) is approximation solvable in $L_{2}$ for each $g \in N\left(L_{2}\right)$ and the number of its solutions is constant and finite on each connected component of $L_{2}(Q) \backslash\left(M^{*}-\right.$
$\left.N^{*} F N\right)\left(\Sigma_{L_{2}}\right)$ intersected by $N\left(L_{2}\right)$, where
$\Sigma_{L_{2}}=\left\{u \in L_{2}: M^{*}-N^{*} F N\right.$ is not invertible at $\left.u\right\}$.
The above theorem follows from Corollary 3.18.
Next, we shall look at the case when the selfadjoint part $A$ of $K$ is not positive definite. Suppose that $A$ is quasi-positive definite in $L_{2}$, i.e., it has at most a finite number of negative eigenvalues of finite multiplicity. Let $U$ be the subspace spanned by the eigenvectors of $A$ corresponding to these negative eigenvalues of $A$ and $P: L_{2} \rightarrow U$ be the orthogonal projection onto $U$. Then $P$ commutes with $A$, but
not necessarily with $B$, and acts both in $L_{p}$ and $L_{q}$. The operator $|A|=(I-2 P) A$ is easily seen to be positive definite. Hence, we have the decomposition $|A|=D D^{*}$, where $D=|A|^{1 / 2}: L_{2} \rightarrow L_{p}$ and $D^{*}: L_{q} \rightarrow L_{p}$.

As before, the map $K \stackrel{P}{P}$-quasi-positive if the map $D^{-1} K\left(D^{*}\right)^{-1}$ exists and is bounded in $L_{2}$, and $S$-quasi-positive if the map $K\left(D^{*}\right)^{-1}$ exists and is bounded in $H$. Let $M$ and $N$ denote the closure in $L_{2}$ of the the bounded maps $D^{-1} K\left(D^{*}\right)^{-1}$ and $K\left(D^{*}\right)^{-1}$ respectively. They are both defined on the whole space $L_{2}$ (cf. [1]) and have the following decompositions

$$
K=D M D^{*}, \quad K=N D^{*}
$$

Then we have $N=D M, N^{*}=M^{*} D^{*}$, and $\langle M h, h\rangle=\|h\|^{2}-2\|P h\|^{2}$ for all $h \in H$. Define the number

$$
\nu(K)=\sup \left\{\nu: \nu>0,\|N h\| \geq(\nu)^{1 / 2}\|P h\|, h \in H\right\}
$$

Note that for a selfadjoint map $K, \nu(K)$ is the absolute value of the largest negative eigenvalue of $K$.

We have the following result when $K$ is P -quasi-positive.
Theorem 4.4. Suppose that $K$ is $P$-quasi-positive in $L_{2}(Q), f=f_{1}+f_{2}$ satisfies the Caratheodory condition, $F: L_{p} \rightarrow L_{q}$, and there are constants a, b, d, $\gamma \in(0,2]$, $c$ and $k$ such that $a+c \nu(K)<-1, k<-(1+c \nu(K))$ and
(i) $|f(s, u)| \leq a|u|+b(s \in Q, u \in \mathbb{R})$
(ii) $\left(f_{1}(s, u)-f_{1}(s, v), u-v\right) \leq c|u-v|^{2} \quad(s \in Q, u, v \in \mathbb{R})$
(iii) $\left|f_{2}(s, u)-f_{2}(s, v)\right| \leq k|u-v|(s \in Q, u, v \in \mathbb{R})$.

Then (4.1) is approximation solvable in $L_{2}$ for each $g \in N\left(L_{2}\right)$ and the number of its solutions is constant and finite on each connected component of $L_{2}(Q) \backslash\left(M^{*}-\right.$ $\left.N^{*} F N\right)\left(\Sigma_{L_{2}}\right)$ intersected by $N\left(L_{2}\right)$, where
$\Sigma_{L_{2}}=\left\{u \in L_{2}: M^{*}-N^{*} F N\right.$ is not invertible at $\left.u\right\}$.
The above theorem follows from Corollary 3.11.
Theorem 4.5. Suppose that $K$ is $P$-quasi-positive in $L_{2}(Q), f=f_{1}+f_{2}$ satisfies the Caratheodory condition, $F: L_{p} \rightarrow L_{q}$, and there are constants $a, b, d, \gamma \in(0,2]$, $c$ and $k$ such that $a+c \nu(K)<-1, k<-(1+c \nu(K))$ and
(i) $(f(s, u), u) \leq a|u|^{2}+b|u|^{2-\gamma}+d(s \in Q, u \in \mathbb{R})$
(ii) $\left(f_{1}(s, u)-\bar{f}_{1}(s, v), u-v\right) \leq c|u-v|^{2} \quad(s \in Q, u, v \in \mathbb{R})$
(iii) $\left|f_{2}(s, u)-f_{2}(s, v)\right| \leq k|u-v|(s \in Q, u, v \in \mathbb{R})$.

Then 4.1) is approximation solvable in $L_{2}$ for each $g \in N\left(L_{2}\right)$ and the number of its solutions is constant and finite on each connected component of $L_{2}(Q) \backslash\left(M^{*}-\right.$ $\left.N^{*} F N\right)\left(\Sigma_{L_{2}}\right)$ intersected by $N\left(L_{2}\right)$, where
$\Sigma_{L_{2}}=\left\{u \in L_{2}: M^{*}-N^{*} F N\right.$ is not invertible at $\left.u\right\}$.
The above theorem follows from Corollary 3.11.
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