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# INFINITELY MANY SOLUTIONS FOR A FOURTH-ORDER BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEM 

SEYYED MOHSEN KHALKHALI, SHAPOUR HEIDARKHANI, ABDOLRAHMAN RAZANI

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { AbSTRACT. In this article we consider the existence of infinitely many solutions } \\
& \text { to the fourth-order boundary-value problem } \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
\left.u^{i v}+\alpha u^{\prime \prime}+\beta(x) u=\lambda f(x, u)+h(u), \quad x \in\right] 0,1[ \\
u(0)=u(1)=0, \\
u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(1)=0 .
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Our approach is based on variational methods and critical point theory.

## 1. Introduction

The deformations of an elastic beam in equilibrium, whose two ends are simply supported, can be described by the nonlinear fourth-order boundary-value problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.u^{i v}=g\left(x, u, u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}\right), \quad x \in\right] 0,1[ \\
u(0)=u(1)=0, \\
u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(1)=0,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $g:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous [20, 21]. The importance of existence and multiplicity of solutions of this problem for physicists puts it and its variants at the center of attention of many works in mathematics. The fourth-order boundaryvalue problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
u^{i v}+\alpha u^{\prime \prime}+\beta u & =\lambda f(x, u), \quad x \in] 0,1[ \\
u(0) & =u(1)=0 \\
u^{\prime \prime}(0) & =u^{\prime \prime}(1)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta$ are some real constants, is the subject of many recent researches by different approaches (See [32, 33, 35, 4, 6, 19]). In [32, 35] the authors by means of a version of Mountain-Pass Theorem of Rabinowitz [34, Theorem 9.12] obtain their results and in [33] by decomposition of operators shown by Chen, and in 6] by means of a Variational theorems of Ricceri and Bonanno, and in [19] by means of Morse Theory.

[^0]In this work, by employing Ricceri's Variational Principle [28, Theorem 2.5] and applying the similar methods used in [6], albeit with different calculations that it seems practically has significant difference with respect to [6], we ensure the existence of infinitely many solutions for

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.u^{i v}+\alpha u^{\prime \prime}+\beta(x) u=\lambda f(x, u)+h(u), \quad x \in\right] 0,1[ \\
u(0)=u(1)=0,  \tag{1.1}\\
u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(1)=0,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is a real constant, $\beta(x)$ is a continuous function on $[0,1]$ and $\lambda$ is a positive parameter, $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an $L^{2}$-Carathéodory function and $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Lipschitz continuous function with the Lipschitz constant $L \geqslant 0$; i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|h\left(t_{1}\right)-h\left(t_{2}\right)\right| \leqslant L\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right| \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t_{1}, t_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$, satisfying $h(0)=0$.
To be precise, using Ricceri's Variational Principle [28] (see Theorem 1.2], under some appropriate hypotheses on the behavior of the potential of $f$, under some conditions on the potentials of $h$, at infinity, we establish the existence of a precise interval of parameters $\Lambda$ such that, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the problem (1.1) admits a sequence of weak solutions which are unbounded in the Sobolev space $W^{2,2}([0,1]) \cap$ $W_{0}^{1,2}([0,1])$; see Theorem 3.1. Further, replacing the conditions at infinity of the potentials of $f$ and $h$, by a similar one at zero, the same results hold and, in addition, the sequence of weak solutions uniformly converges to zero; see Theorem 3.5 .

Existence of infinitely many solutions for boundary value problems using Ricceri's Variational Principle [28] and its variants has been widely investigated (see [8, 26]). We refer the reader to the papers [15, 17, 18, 22, 23, 29, 6], and [9]-13]. We refer the reader also to [1, 3, 4, 5, 14, 25, 31 and their references, in which fourth-order boundary value problems have been studied.

Recall that a function $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be an $L^{2}$-Carathéodory function, if
(C1) the function $x \rightarrow f(x, t)$ is measurable for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$;
(C2) the function $t \rightarrow f(x, t)$ is continuous for almost every $x \in[0,1]$;
(C3) for every $\rho>0$ there exists a function $\ell_{\rho} \in L^{2}([0,1])$ such that

$$
\sup _{|t| \leqslant \rho}|f(x, t)| \leqslant \ell_{\rho}(x) \quad \text { for a.e. } x \in[0,1] \text {. }
$$

A special case of our main result is the following theorem.
Theorem 1.1. Let $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative continuous function and denote by $F(x, \xi)$ its antiderivative with respect to its second argument at any $x \in[0,1]$ such that $F(x, 0)=0$. Assume that $\ell_{\xi} \in L^{2}([0,1])$ satisfies condition (C3) for every $\xi>0$. Suppose $\pi^{4}>|\alpha| \pi^{2}+\|\beta\|_{\infty}+L$ and

$$
\liminf _{\xi \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\left\|\ell_{\xi}\right\|_{2}}{\xi}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \limsup _{\xi \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\int_{a}^{b} F(x, \xi) d x}{\xi^{2}}=+\infty
$$

for some $[a, b] \subset] 0,1[$ then, the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.u^{i v}+\alpha u^{\prime \prime}+\beta(x) u=f(x, u)+h(u), \quad x \in\right] 0,1[ \\
u(0)=u(1)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(1)=0
$$

admits a sequence of pairwise distinct classical solutions.
Our main tool to investigate the existence of infinitely many solutions for the problem (1.1) is a smooth version of [8, Theorem 2.1] which is a more precise version of Ricceri's Variational Principle [28, Theorem 2.5], which we now recall.

Theorem 1.2. Let $X$ be a reflexive real Banach space and $\Phi, \Psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two Gâteaux differentiable functionals such that $\Phi$ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous, strongly continuous, and coercive and $\Psi$ is sequentially weakly upper semicontinuous. For every $r>\inf _{X} \Phi$ put

$$
\varphi(r):=\inf _{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r[)} \frac{\sup _{\left.\left.v \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r\right]\right)} \Psi(v)-\Psi(u)}{r-\Phi(u)}
$$

and

$$
\gamma:=\liminf _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \varphi(r), \quad \delta:=\liminf _{r \rightarrow\left(\inf _{X} \Phi\right)^{+}} \varphi(r) .
$$

Then
(a) for every $r>\inf _{X} \Phi$ and every $\left.\lambda \in\right] 0, \frac{1}{\varphi(r)}$ [ the restriction of the functional $I_{\lambda}=\Phi-\lambda \Psi$ to $\Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r[)$ admits a global minimum, which is a critical point (local minimum) of $I_{\lambda}$ in $X$;
(b) if $\gamma<+\infty$ then for every $\lambda \in] 0, \frac{1}{\gamma}\left[\right.$ either $I_{\lambda}$ has a global minimum or there is a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ of critical points (local minimum) of $I_{\lambda}$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \Phi\left(u_{n}\right)=+\infty
$$

(c) if $\delta<+\infty$ then for every $\lambda \in] 0, \frac{1}{\delta}[$ either there is a global minimum of $\Phi$ which is a local minimum of $I_{\lambda}$ or there is a sequence of pairwise distinct critical points (local minimum) of $I_{\lambda}$ which weakly converges to a global minimum of $\Phi$.

## 2. Preliminaries and basic lemmas

Hereafter, let $X=W^{2,2}([0,1]) \cap W_{0}^{1,2}([0,1])$ with its usual norm inherited from $W^{2,2}([0,1])$ and $\|\cdot\|_{2}$ denotes the usual norm of $L^{2}([0,1])$; i.e.,

$$
\|u\|_{2}=\left(\int_{0}^{1}|u(x)|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Since $\beta(x)$ in (1.1), by assumption, is continuous on $[0,1]$, there exist $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\beta_{1} \leqslant \beta(x) \leqslant \beta_{2}
$$

for every $x \in[0,1]$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1}\left|u^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|^{2}-\alpha\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}+\beta_{1}|u(x)|^{2} d x & \leqslant \int_{0}^{1}\left|u^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|^{2}-\alpha\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}+\beta(x)|u(x)|^{2} d x \\
& \leqslant \int_{0}^{1}\left|u^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|^{2}-\alpha\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}+\beta_{2}|u(x)|^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

We need the following Poincaré type inequality.

Lemma 2.1 ([27, Lemma 2.3]). For every $u \in X$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{2} \leqslant \frac{1}{\pi^{2}}\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{2} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From which we have as a consequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \leqslant \frac{1}{\pi}\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{2} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now put

- $\sigma_{1}:=1-\frac{\alpha}{\pi^{2}}+\frac{\beta_{1}}{\pi^{4}}, \sigma_{2}:=1$ when $\beta_{2} \leqslant 0$ and $\alpha \geqslant 0$;
- $\sigma_{1}:=1+\frac{\beta_{1}}{\pi^{4}}, \sigma_{2}:=1-\frac{\alpha}{\pi^{2}}$ when $\beta_{2} \leqslant 0$ and $\alpha<0$;
- $\sigma_{1}:=1-\frac{\alpha}{\pi^{2}}$ and $\sigma_{2}:=1+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\pi^{4}}$ when $\beta_{1} \geqslant 0$ and $\alpha \geqslant 0$;
- $\sigma_{1}:=1$ and $\sigma_{2}:=1-\frac{\alpha}{\pi^{2}}+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\pi^{4}}$ when $\beta_{1} \geqslant 0$ and $\alpha<0$;
- $\sigma_{1}:=1-\frac{\alpha}{\pi^{2}}+\frac{\beta_{1}}{\pi^{4}}$ and $\sigma_{2}:=1+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\pi^{4}}$ when $\beta_{1}<0<\beta_{2}$ and $\alpha \geqslant 0$;
- $\sigma_{1}:=1+\frac{\beta_{1}}{\pi^{4}}$ and $\sigma_{2}:=1-\frac{\alpha}{\pi^{2}}+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\pi^{4}}$ when $\beta_{1}<0<\beta_{2}$ and $\alpha<0$.

In each of these cases, if $\sigma_{1}>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{i}:=\sqrt{\sigma_{i}} \quad(i=1,2) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then by 2.1 and 2.2

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{1}\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{2} \leqslant\|u\| \leqslant \theta_{2}\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{2} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\|u\|=\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(\left|u^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|^{2}-\alpha\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}+\beta(x)|u(x)|^{2}\right) d x\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and so, $\|\cdot\|$ defines a norm on $X$ equivalent to usual norm of $X$ inherited from $W^{2,2}([0,1])$.

In the remainder, we suppose $\theta_{1}$ defined by 2.3) satisfies $\theta_{1}>0$ and therefore (2.4) holds. The following result is useful for proving our main result.

Proposition 2.2. For every $u \in X$.

$$
\|u\|_{\infty} \leqslant \frac{1}{2 \pi \theta_{1}}\|u\|
$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of 4, Proposition 2.1], considering (2.2) and (2.4) and using well-known inequality $\|u\|_{\infty} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{2}$ yields the conclusion.

A function $u:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said a generalized solution to the problem (1.1), if $u \in C^{3}([0,1]), u^{\prime \prime \prime} \in A C([0,1]), u(0)=u(1)=0, u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(1)=0$ and $u^{i v}+\alpha u^{\prime \prime}+\beta u=\lambda f(x, u)+h(u)$. If $f$ is continuous in $[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}$, then each generalized solution of the problem (1.1) is a classical one. Standard methods (see [4. Proposition 2.2]) show that a weak solution to (1.1) is a generalized one when $f$ is an $L^{2}$-Carathéodory function.

We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, \xi)=\int_{0}^{\xi} f(x, t) d t \quad \text { and } \quad H(\xi)=\int_{0}^{\xi} h(x) d x \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x \in[0,1]$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$.
Lemma 2.3. Suppose $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies (1.2) and $H(\xi)$ defined by (2.5) for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the functional $\Theta: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta(u):=\int_{0}^{1} H(u(x)) d x \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a Gâteaux differentiable sequentially weakly continuous functional on $X$ with compact derivative

$$
\Theta^{\prime}(u)[v]=\int_{0}^{1} h(u(x)) v(x) d x
$$

for every $v \in X$.
Proof. If $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $X$ then compactness of embedding $X \hookrightarrow C([0,1])$ implies $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $C([0,1])$ i.e. $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ uniformly on $[0,1]$ (see Proposition 2.2.4 of [16]). Hence, for some constant $M>0$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant M$, and so
$\left|H\left(u_{n}(x)\right)-H(u(x))\right| d x \leqslant L\left|\int_{u(x)}^{u_{n}(x)}\right| t|d t| \leqslant \frac{L}{2}\left(\left|u_{n}(x)\right|^{2}+|u(x)|^{2}\right) \leqslant \frac{L}{2}\left(M^{2}+\|u\|_{\infty}^{2}\right)$
for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in[0,1]$. Furthermore, $H\left(u_{n}(x)\right) \rightarrow H(u(x))$ at any $x \in[0,1]$ and therefore, the Lebesgue Convergence Theorem yields

$$
\Theta\left(u_{n}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} H\left(u_{n}(x)\right) d x \rightarrow \int_{0}^{1} H(u(x)) d x=\Theta(u)
$$

For proving Gâteaux differentiability of $\Theta$ suppose $u, v \in X$ and $t \neq 0$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{\Theta(u+t v)-\Theta(u)}{t}-\int_{0}^{1} h(u(x)) v(x) d x\right| \\
& \leqslant \int_{0}^{1}\left|\frac{H(u+t v)-H(u)}{t}-h(u(x)) v(x)\right| d x \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}|h(u(x)+t \zeta(x) v(x))-h(u(x))||v(x)| d x \\
& \leqslant L\|v\|_{\infty}^{2}|t|
\end{aligned}
$$

in which $0<\zeta(x)<1$ for every $x \in[0,1]$. Therefore, $\Theta: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Gâteaux differentiable at every $u \in X$ with derivative

$$
\Theta^{\prime}(u)[v]=\int_{0}^{1} h(u(x)) v(x) d x
$$

for every $v \in X$. Also, since

$$
\left(\Theta^{\prime}(u)-\Theta^{\prime}(v)\right)[w] \leqslant L \int_{0}^{1}\left|u(x)-v(x)\left\|w(x) \left\lvert\, d x \leqslant \frac{L}{2 \pi \theta_{1}}\right.\right\| u-v\left\|_{\infty}\right\| w \|\right.
$$

for every three elements $u, v$ and $w$ of $X$, then

$$
\left\|\Theta^{\prime}(u)-\Theta^{\prime}(v)\right\|_{X^{*}} \leqslant \frac{L}{2 \pi \theta_{1}}\|u-v\|_{\infty}
$$

which implies compactness of $\Theta^{\prime}: X \rightarrow X^{*}$.
Lemma 2.4. Let $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an $L^{2}$-Carathéodory function and $F(x, \xi)$ defined by 2.5 . Then $\Psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\Psi(u):=\int_{0}^{1} F(x, u(x)) d x
$$

is a Gâteaux differentiable sequentially weakly continuous functional on $X$.

Proof. If $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $X$, in Lemma 2.3 was proved that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ uniformly on $[0,1]$ and there exists $M>0$ such that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant M$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $F(x, \xi)$ is differentiable with respect to $\xi$ for a.e. $x \in[0,1]$ so $F\left(x, u_{n}(x)\right) \rightarrow F\left(x, u_{n}(x)\right)$ a.e. on $[0,1]$. Moreover, by the assumption (C3) on $f(x, t)$

$$
F\left(x, u_{n}(x)\right) \leqslant M \ell_{M}(x)
$$

and by the Lebesgue Convergence Theorem

$$
\Psi\left(u_{n}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} F\left(x, u_{n}(x)\right) d x \rightarrow \int_{0}^{1} F(x, u(x)) d x=\Psi(u)
$$

Therefore $\Psi$ is a sequentially weakly continuous functional on $X$. For proving the Gâteaux differentiability of $\Psi$, let $u, v \in X$ with $\|u\|<2 \pi \theta_{1} M$ and $\|v\|<2 \pi \theta_{1} M$ for some $M>0$. Then for $t \neq 0$ by the Mean Value Theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{\Psi(u+t v)-\Psi(u)}{t}-\int_{0}^{1} f(x, u(x)) v(x) d x\right| \\
& \leqslant \int_{0}^{1}|f(x, u(x)+t \zeta(x) v(x))-f(x, u(x))||v(x)| d x \\
& \leqslant\|v\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{1}|f(x, u(x)+t \zeta(x) v(x))-f(x, u(x))| d x
\end{aligned}
$$

where $0<\zeta(x)<1$ for every $x \in[0,1]$ for which $F(x, \xi)$ is differentiable with respect to $\xi$. Since the assumption $\mathbf{C}_{2}$ on $f(x, t)$ implies

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} f(x, u(x)+t \zeta(x) v(x))=f(x, u(x)) \quad \text { for a.e. } x \in[0,1]
$$

and by Proposition 2.2 we have $\|v\|_{\infty} \leqslant M$ and $\|u\|_{\infty} \leqslant M$, then by the assumption (C3) on $f(x, t)$ we have

$$
|f(x, u(x)+t \zeta(x) v(x))-f(x, u(x))| \leqslant \ell_{2 M}(x)+\ell_{M}(x)
$$

for any $|t|<1$. Therefore the Lebesgue Convergence Theorem implies

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Psi(u+t v)-\Psi(u)}{t}=\int_{0}^{1} f(x, u(x)) v(x) d x
$$

Since for every $v \in X$, some constant $M>0$ can be found so that both of inequalities $\|u\|<2 \pi \theta_{1} M$ and $\|v\|<2 \pi \theta_{1} M$ hold, thus $\Psi$ is Gâteaux differentiable at every $u \in X$.

## 3. Main Results

Our approach closely depends on the test function $v_{0} \in X$ defined by

$$
v_{0}(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{2 a x-x^{2}}{a^{2}} & \text { if } x \in[0, a[, \\ 1 & \text { if } x \in[a, b] \\ \frac{2 b x-x^{2}-2 b+1}{(1-b)^{2}} & \text { if } x \in] b, 1]\end{cases}
$$

Let

$$
K(a, b):=\frac{4 \pi^{2} \theta_{1}^{2}}{\left\|v_{0}\right\|^{2}}
$$

for every $0<a \leqslant b<1$, we get a positive continuous function

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(\epsilon):=\min \{K(a, b): a, b \in[\epsilon, 1-\epsilon], a \leqslant b\} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is defined for every $0<\epsilon<1 / 2$.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that $L<\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}$. Let $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an $L^{2}$-Carathédory function and $F(x, \xi)$ defined by 2.5). Assume that $\ell_{\xi} \in L^{2}([0,1])$ satisfies (C3) condition on $f(x, t)$ for every $\xi>0$. Furthermore, suppose that there exist an interval $[a, b] \subset[\epsilon, 1-\epsilon]$ for some $0<\epsilon<\frac{1}{2}$ for which $k(\epsilon)$ defined by (3.1) and two positive constants $T$ and $p$ and a function $q \in L^{2}([0,1])$ such that
(i) $f(x, t) \geqslant q(x)-p|t|$ for every $(x, t) \in([0, a] \cup[b, 1]) \times\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid t \geqslant T\}$;
(ii) $\lim \inf _{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|\ell_{\xi}\right\|_{2}}{\left(\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}-L\right) \xi}<\frac{\pi k(\epsilon)}{2\left(\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}+L\right)} \lim \sup _{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{a}^{b} F(x, \xi) d x}{\xi^{2}}$.

Then, for every

$$
\lambda \in \Lambda:=] \frac{2\left(\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}+L\right)}{\pi^{2} k(\epsilon)} \frac{1}{\limsup _{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{a}^{b} F(x, \xi) d x}{\xi^{2}}}, \limsup _{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}-L\right) \xi}{\pi\left\|\ell_{\xi}\right\|_{2}}[
$$

problem 1.1 has an unbounded sequence of generalized solutions in $X$.
Proof. Put

$$
\Phi(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\int_{0}^{1} H(u(x)) d x=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\Theta(u), \quad \Psi(u)=\int_{0}^{1} F(x, u(x)) d x
$$

for every $u \in X$. Since 1.2 holds for every $t_{1}, t_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $h(0)=0$, we have $|h(t)| \leqslant L|t|$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and so using $2.1 \mid, 2.4$ and Lemma 2.3 we obtain
$\Phi(u) \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\left|\int_{0}^{1} H(u(x)) d x\right| \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{L}{2} \int_{0}^{1}|u(x)|^{2} d x \geqslant\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{L}{2 \pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}}\right)\|u\|^{2}$,
and similarly

$$
\Phi(u) \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\left|\int_{0}^{1} H(u(x)) d x\right| \leqslant\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{L}{2 \pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}}\right)\|u\|^{2} .
$$

Also, since $\Phi+\Theta$ is a continuous functional on $X$ and $\Theta$, by Lemma 2.3 is a Gâteaux differentiable weakly continuous and therefore continuous functional on $X$ then $\Phi$ is a continuous functional on $X$ and by a routine argument can be proved that $\Phi$ is a Gâteaux differentiable functional with the differential

$$
\Phi^{\prime}(u)[v]=\int_{0}^{1}\left[u^{\prime \prime}(x) v^{\prime \prime}(x)-\alpha u^{\prime}(x) v^{\prime}(x)+\beta(x) u(x) v(x)\right] d x-\int_{0}^{1} h(u(x)) v(x) d x
$$

and it is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous since $\Theta$ is sequentially weakly continuous, and if $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $X$ then

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi\left(u_{n}\right)=\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Theta\left(u_{n}\right) \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\Theta(u)=\Phi(u)
$$

It is easy to see that the critical points of the functional $I_{\lambda}=\Phi-\lambda \Psi$ and the weak solutions (and therefore generalized solutions) of the problem 1.1) are the same and by Theorem 1.2 we prove our result.

Assume that $\left\{\xi_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of positive numbers such that $\xi_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|\ell_{\xi_{n}}\right\|_{2}}{\left(\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}-L\right) \xi_{n}}=\liminf _{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|\ell_{\xi}\right\|_{2}}{\left(\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}-L\right) \xi}
$$

and let $r_{n}=\frac{2\left(\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}-L\right)}{\pi^{2}} \xi_{n}^{2}$ then by (3.2) for any $v \in X$ such that $\Phi(v)<r_{n}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\| \leqslant \pi^{2} \theta_{1} \sqrt{\frac{2 \Phi(v)}{\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}-L}}<\pi^{2} \theta_{1} \sqrt{\frac{2 r_{n}}{\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}-L}}=2 \pi \theta_{1} \xi_{n} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by Proposition 2.2 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{\infty}<\xi_{n} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by condition (C3) on $f(x, t)$ and 3.5)

$$
|F(x, v(x))| \leqslant\left|\int_{0}^{v(x)} \ell_{\xi_{n}}(x) d t\right|=|v(x)| \ell_{\xi_{n}}(x)
$$

and so by the Hölder inequality and Lemma 2.1 and 2.4

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Psi(v)| \leqslant \int_{0}^{1}\left|v(x)\left\|\ell_{\xi_{n}}(x) \left\lvert\, d x \leqslant \frac{1}{\pi^{2} \theta_{1}}\right.\right\| v\| \| \ell_{\xi_{n}} \|_{2}\right. \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, since $L<\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}$, by 3.2

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{v \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r_{n}[)} \Psi(v)=\sup _{v \in \Phi^{-1}\left(\left[0, r_{n}[)\right.\right.} \Psi(v) \leqslant \frac{2 \xi_{n}}{\pi}\left\|\ell_{\xi_{n}}\right\|_{2} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then by (3.6) and (3.7)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi\left(r_{n}\right) & \leqslant \inf _{u \in \Phi^{-1}\left(\left[0, r_{n}[)\right.\right.} \frac{\sup _{v \in \Phi^{-1}\left(\left[0, r_{n}[)\right.\right.} \Psi(v)-\Psi(u)}{r_{n}-\Phi(u)} \\
& \leqslant \inf _{u \in \Phi^{-1}\left(\left[0, r_{n}[)\right.\right.} \frac{\left\|\ell_{\xi_{n}}\right\|_{2}}{\pi^{2} \theta_{1}} \frac{2 \pi \theta_{1} \xi_{n}+\|u\|}{r_{n}-\Phi(u)} \\
& \leqslant \frac{\pi\left\|\ell_{\xi_{n}}\right\|_{2}}{\left(\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}-L\right) \xi_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma \leqslant \liminf _{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi\left\|\ell_{\xi}\right\|_{2}}{\left(\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}-L\right) \xi}<+\infty \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then 3.8 in conjunction with the assumption (ii) imply

$$
\Lambda \subset] 0, \frac{1}{\gamma}[
$$

and by (3.2) the functional $\Phi$ is coercive, since $L<\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}$. Therefore part b) of Theorem 1.2 implies either the functional $I_{\lambda}=\Phi-\lambda \Psi$ has a global minimum or there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ of weak solutions of problem (1.1) such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}\right\|=\infty$ for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Now we prove unboundedness of $I_{\lambda}$ from below under condition (ii) and thus the existence of infinitely many solutions of problem 1.1 is proved. If $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then

$$
\frac{2\left(\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}+L\right)}{\pi^{2} k(\epsilon)}<\lambda \limsup _{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{a}^{b} F(x, \xi) d x}{\xi^{2}}
$$

and there exist a constant $c$ and a sequence of reals $\left\{\eta_{n}\right\}$ so that, $\eta_{n} \geqslant n$ and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{a}^{b} F\left(x, \eta_{n}\right) d x}{\eta_{n}^{2}}=\limsup _{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{a}^{b} F(x, \xi) d x}{\xi^{2}}
$$

and in addition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2\left(\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}+L\right)}{\pi^{2} k(\epsilon)}<c<\lambda \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{a}^{b} F\left(x, \eta_{n}\right) d x}{\eta_{n}^{2}} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $X$ which is defined by

$$
v_{n}(x)=v_{0}(x) \eta_{n}= \begin{cases}\eta_{n} \frac{2 a x-x^{2}}{a^{2}} & \text { if } x \in[0, a[ \\ \eta_{n} & \text { if } x \in[a, b] \\ \eta_{n} \frac{2 b x-x^{2}-2 b+1}{(1-b)^{2}} & \text { if } x \in] b, 1]\end{cases}
$$

then from (3.1) and (3.3) we observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(v_{n}\right) \leqslant \frac{\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}+L}{2 \pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}}\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2} \leqslant \frac{2\left(\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}+L\right)}{\pi^{2} k(\epsilon)} \eta_{n}^{2} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by assumption (i),

$$
F(x, u(x)) \geqslant-|q(x)||u(x)|-\frac{p|u(x)|^{2}}{2}-|u(x)| \ell_{T}(x) \quad(x \in[0, a[\cup] b, 1])
$$

and then the Hölder inequality, Lemma 2.1 and 2.4 imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{a} F(x, u(x)) d x+\int_{b}^{1} F(x, u(x)) d x \geqslant-\frac{2\left\|\ell_{T}\right\|_{2}+2\|q\|_{2}+p}{2 \pi^{2} \theta_{1}}\|u\| \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

So by (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11), there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n \geqslant N$

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\lambda}\left(v_{n}\right) & =\Phi\left(v_{n}\right)-\lambda \Psi\left(v_{n}\right) \leqslant \frac{2\left(\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}+L\right)}{\pi^{2} k(\epsilon)} \eta_{n}^{2}-\lambda \int_{0}^{1} F\left(x, v_{n}(x)\right) d x \\
& \leqslant \frac{2\left(\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}+L\right)}{\pi^{2} k(\epsilon)} \eta_{n}^{2}-\lambda \int_{a}^{b} F\left(x, \eta_{n}\right) d x+\frac{p+2\|q\|_{2}+2\left\|\ell_{T}\right\|_{2}}{2 \pi^{2} \theta_{1}}\left\|v_{n}\right\| \\
& \leqslant\left(\frac{2\left(\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}+L\right)}{\pi^{2} k(\epsilon)}-c\right) \eta_{n}^{2}+\frac{p+2\|q\|_{2}+2\left\|\ell_{T}\right\|_{2}}{\pi \sqrt{k(\epsilon)}} \eta_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_{n}=\infty$ then (3.9) implies the functional $I_{\lambda}$ is unbounded from below and the proof is completed.

Remark 3.2. If in Theorem 3.1 instead of $i$ ) we assume $F(x, t) \geqslant 0$ for every $(x, t) \in[0, a[\cup] b, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$ then the assumption ii) can be replaced by a more general one like
(ii') There exist two sequences $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ of real numbers such that $\left|a_{n}\right| \leqslant$ $b_{n} \sqrt{k(\epsilon) \frac{\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}-L}{\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}+L}}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=+\infty$ and

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\left\|\ell_{b_{n}}\right\|_{2}\left(b_{n} \sqrt{k(\epsilon)}+a_{n}\right)}{\frac{\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}-L}{\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}+L} b_{n}^{2} k(\epsilon)-a_{n}^{2}}<\frac{\pi \sqrt{k(\epsilon)}}{2} \limsup _{\xi \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\int_{a}^{b} F(x, \xi) d x}{\xi^{2}}
$$

Obviously, from (ii') we obtain (ii), by choosing $a_{n}=0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, if we assume $i i^{\prime}$ ) instead of (ii) and set $r_{n}=\frac{2\left(\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}-L\right) b_{n}^{2}}{\pi^{2}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by the same arguing as inside in Theorem 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi\left(r_{n}\right) & =\inf \left\{\frac{\sup _{v \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r_{n}[)} \Psi(v)-\Psi(u)}{r_{n}-\Phi(u)}: u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r_{n}[)\right\} \\
& \leqslant \frac{\sup _{v \in \Phi^{-1}\left(\left[0, r_{n}[)\right.\right.} \Psi(v)-\Psi\left(v_{n}\right)}{r_{n}-\Phi\left(v_{n}\right)} \\
& \leqslant \frac{\left\|\ell_{b_{n}}\right\|_{2} \frac{2 \pi \theta_{1} b_{n}+\left\|v_{n}\right\|}{\pi^{2} \theta_{1}} \frac{2\left(\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}-L\right)}{\pi^{2}} b_{n}^{2}-\frac{\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}+L}{2 \pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}}\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}}{}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leqslant \frac{\pi\left\|\ell_{b_{n}}\right\|_{2}}{\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}+L} \frac{b_{n}+\frac{a_{n}}{\sqrt{k(\epsilon)}}}{\frac{\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}-L}{\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}+L} b_{n}^{2}-\frac{a_{n}^{2}}{k(\epsilon)}}
$$

where

$$
v_{n}(x)= \begin{cases}a_{n} \frac{2 a x-x^{2}}{a^{2}} & \text { if } x \in[0, a[ \\ a_{n} & \text { if } x \in[a, b] \\ a_{n} \frac{2 b x-x^{2}-2 b+1}{(1-b)^{2}} & \text { if } x \in] b, 1]\end{cases}
$$

Therefore $\gamma<\infty$. Similarly, the second part of the proof of Theorem 3.1 can be improved so that the conclusion of the theorem can be obtained for the interval

$$
\left.\Lambda^{\prime}=\right] \frac{2\left(\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}+L\right)}{\pi^{2} k(\epsilon) \lim \sup _{\xi \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\int_{a}^{b} F(x, \xi) d x}{\xi^{2}}}, \frac{\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}+L}{\pi \sqrt{k(\epsilon)}} \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\frac{\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}-L}{\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}+L} b_{n}^{2} k(\epsilon)-a_{n}^{2}}{\left\|\ell_{b_{n}}\right\|_{2}\left(b_{n} \sqrt{k(\epsilon)}+a_{n}\right)}[
$$

instead of $\Lambda$.
Now we point out a simple consequence of Theorem 3.1.
Corollary 3.3. Suppose that $L<\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}$. Let $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an $L^{2}$ Carathéodory function. Assume that $\ell_{\xi} \in L^{2}([0,1])$ satisfies (C3) condition on $f(x, t)$ for every $\xi>0$ and there exists an interval $[a, b] \subset[\epsilon, 1-\epsilon]$ for some $0<\epsilon<\frac{1}{2}$ such that assumption (i) in Theorem 3.1 holds. Furthermore, suppose that
(iii) $\liminf _{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|\ell_{\xi}\right\|_{2}}{\xi}<\frac{\left(\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}-L\right)}{\pi}$;
(iv) $\lim \sup _{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{a}^{b} F(x, \xi) d x}{\xi^{2}}>\frac{2\left(\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}+L\right)}{\pi^{2} k(\epsilon)}$,
then the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{i v}+\alpha u^{\prime \prime}+\beta(x) u=h(u)+f(x, u), \quad x \in(0,1) \\
u(0)=u(1)=0  \tag{3.12}\\
u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(1)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

has an unbounded sequence of generalized solutions in $X$.
Note that Theorem 1.1 is an immediate consequence of Corollary 3.3. Now we present the following example to illustrate our results.

Example 3.4. Let $r>0$ be a real number and $\left\{t_{n}\right\},\left\{s_{n}\right\}$ be two strictly increasing sequences of reals that recursively defined by

$$
t_{1}=r, s_{1}=2 r
$$

and for $n \geqslant 1$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
t_{2 n}=\left(2^{2 n+1}-1\right) t_{2 n-1}, \quad t_{2 n+1}=\left(2-\frac{1}{2^{2 n+1}}\right) t_{2 n} \\
s_{2 n}=\frac{t_{2 n}}{2^{n}}=\left(2-\frac{1}{2^{2 n}}\right) s_{2 n-1}, \quad s_{2 n+1}=2^{n+1} t_{2 n+1}=\left(2^{2 n+2}-1\right) s_{2 n}
\end{gathered}
$$

If $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined as

$$
f(x, t)= \begin{cases}2 g(x) t & (x, t) \in[0,1] \times\left[0, t_{1}\right] \\ g(x)\left(s_{n-1}+\frac{s_{n}-s_{n-1}}{t_{n}-t_{n-1}}\left(t-t_{n-1}\right)\right) & (x, t) \in[0,1] \times\left[t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right] \\ & \text { for some } n>1\end{cases}
$$

where $g:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a positive continuous function with $0<m \leqslant g(x) \leqslant M$. Then $f(x, t)$ is an $L^{2}$-Carathéodory function and since $f(x, t)$ is strictly increasing with respect to $t$ argument at every $x \in[0,1]$, the function $\ell_{\xi}(x):=f(x, \xi)$ satisfies in (C3) condition on $f(x, t)$; i.e.,

$$
\sup _{|t| \leqslant \xi}|f(x, t)| \leqslant \ell_{\xi}(x) \quad \forall x \in[0,1] .
$$

Now we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \liminf _{\xi \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\left\|\ell_{\xi}\right\|_{2}}{\xi} \leqslant \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M s_{2 n}}{t_{2 n}}=0 \\
& \limsup _{\xi \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\int_{a}^{b} F(x, \xi) d x}{\xi^{2}} \geqslant \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(b-a)\left(t_{2 n+1}-t_{2 n}\right)\left(s_{2 n+1}+s_{2 n}\right)}{2 t_{2 n+1}^{2}} \\
& \geqslant \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(b-a) 2^{3 n+2}\left(2^{2 n+1}-1\right)}{\left(2^{2 n+2}-1\right)^{2}}=+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $[a, b] \subset[\epsilon, 1-\epsilon]$ with $a<b$ and any $0<\epsilon<\frac{1}{2}$. Hence by Corollary 3.3. for every $\lambda \in] 0,+\infty[$, the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
u^{i v}+\alpha u^{\prime \prime}+\beta(x) u=\lambda f(x, u)+u^{+} \\
u(0)=u(1)=0 \\
u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(1)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\alpha<\pi^{2}-\frac{1}{\pi^{2}}$ is a real constant and $\beta(x)$ is any non-negative continuous function on $[0,1]$ and $u^{+}=\max \{u, 0\}$, has an unbounded sequence of generalized solutions in $X$ (for instance, $\alpha=9$ and $\beta(x)=\sin \pi x$ ).

Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 but using conclusion (c) of Theorem 1.2 instead of (b), the following result holds.

Theorem 3.5. Suppose that $L<\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}$. Let $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an $L^{2}$-Carathéodory function. Assume that $\ell_{\xi} \in L^{2}([0,1])$ satisfies in $(\mathrm{C} 3)$ condition on $f(x, t)$ for every $\xi>0$ and there exists an interval $[a, b] \subset[\epsilon, 1-\epsilon]$ for some $0<\epsilon<\frac{1}{2}$ such that
(i) $F(x, t) \geqslant 0$ for every $(x, t) \in[0, a[\cup] b, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$;
(ii)

$$
\liminf _{\xi \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\left\|\ell_{\xi}\right\|_{2}}{\left(\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}-L\right) \xi}<\frac{\pi k(\epsilon)}{2\left(\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}+L\right)} \limsup _{\xi \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\int_{a}^{b} F(x, \xi) d x}{\xi^{2}}
$$

Then, for every

$$
\lambda \in \Lambda:=] \frac{2\left(\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}+L\right)}{\pi^{2} k(\epsilon)} \frac{1}{\limsup _{\xi \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\int_{a}^{b} F(x, \xi) d x}{\xi^{2}}}, \limsup _{\xi \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\left(\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}-L\right) \xi}{\pi\left\|\ell_{\xi}\right\|_{2}}[
$$

Problem 1.1 has a sequence of non-zero generalized solutions in $X$ that converges weakly to 0 .

Proof. Since $\inf _{X} \Phi=\min _{X} \Phi=0$ as a consequence of 3.2 and the assumption $L<\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}$. Exactly as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 it can be shown that

$$
\delta=\liminf _{r \rightarrow\left(\inf _{X} \Phi\right)^{+}} \varphi(r) \leqslant \frac{\pi}{\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}-L} \liminf _{\xi \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\left\|\ell_{\xi}\right\|_{2}}{\xi}<+\infty
$$

and therefore

$$
\Lambda \subset] 0, \frac{1}{\delta}[
$$

If $\lambda \in \Lambda$ then

$$
\frac{2\left(\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}+L\right)}{\pi^{2} k(\epsilon)}<\lambda \limsup _{\xi \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\int_{a}^{b} F(x, \xi) d x}{\xi^{2}}
$$

and there exist a constant $c$ and a sequence of reals $\left\{\zeta_{n}\right\}$ so that, $\zeta_{n} \leqslant \frac{1}{n}$ and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{a}^{b} F\left(x, \zeta_{n}\right) d x}{\zeta_{n}^{2}}=\limsup _{\xi \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\int_{a}^{b} F(x, \xi) d x}{\xi^{2}}
$$

and in addition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2\left(\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}+L\right)}{\pi^{2} k(\epsilon)}<c<\lambda \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{a}^{b} F\left(x, \eta_{n}\right) d x}{\eta_{n}^{2}} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $X$ defined by

$$
w_{n}(x)= \begin{cases}\zeta_{n} \frac{2 a x-x^{2}}{a^{2}} & \text { if } x \in[0, a[,  \tag{3.14}\\ \zeta_{n} & \text { if } x \in[a, b], \\ \zeta_{n} \frac{2 b x-x^{2}-2 b+1}{(1-b)^{2}} & \text { if } x \in] b, 1]\end{cases}
$$

then $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to 0 in $X$ and by 3.3)

$$
\Phi\left(w_{n}\right) \leqslant \frac{\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}+L}{2 \pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}}\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2} \leqslant \frac{2\left(\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}+L\right)}{\pi^{2} k(\epsilon)} \zeta_{n}^{2}
$$

hence, by (i) and the similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n \geqslant N$

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\lambda}\left(w_{n}\right) & \leqslant \frac{2\left(\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}+L\right)}{\pi^{2} k(\epsilon)} \zeta_{n}^{2}-\lambda \int_{a}^{b} F\left(x, \zeta_{n}\right) d x \\
& \leqslant\left(\frac{2\left(\pi^{4} \theta_{1}^{2}+L\right)}{\pi^{2} k(\epsilon)}-c\right) \zeta_{n}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $I_{\lambda}(0)=0$ therefore 3.13 implies 0 is not a local minimum of $I_{\lambda}$ and then according to (c) of Theorem 1.2 there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ of local minimums of $I_{\lambda}$ that weakly converges to 0 .

Remark 3.6. Since the embedding $X \hookrightarrow C([0,1])$ is compact, by [16, Proposition 2.2.4], every weakly convergent sequence in $X$ converges strongly in $C([0,1])$; i.e., converges uniformly on $[0,1]$. Therefore the generalized solutions of the problem (1.1) established in Theorem 3.5 converges uniformly to zero on $[0,1]$.
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