Two nonlinear days in Urbino 2017,

Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, Conference 25 (2018), pp. 167–178. ISSN: 1072-6691. URL: http://ejde.math.txstate.edu or http://ejde.math.unt.edu

LINEAR AND SEMILINEAR PROBLEMS INVOLVING Δ_{λ} -LAPLACIANS

ALESSIA E. KOGOJ, ERMANNO LANCONELLI

Dedicated to Anna Aloe

ABSTRACT. In recent years a growing attention has been devoted to Δ_{λ} -Laplacians, linear second-order degenerate elliptic PDO's contained in the general class introduced by Franchi and Lanconelli in some papers dated 1983–84 [12, 13, 14]. Here we present a survey on several results appeared in literature in the previous decades, mainly regarding: (i) Geometric and functional analysis frameworks for the Δ_{λ} 's; (ii) regularity and pointwise estimates for the solutions to $\Delta_{\lambda} u = 0$; (iii) Liouville theorems for entire solutions; (iv) Pohozaev identities for semilinear equations involving Δ_{λ} -Laplacians; (v) Hardy inequalities; (vi) global attractors for the parabolic and damped hyperbolic counterparts of the Δ_{λ} 's.

We also show several typical examples of Δ_{λ} -Laplacians, stressing that their class contains, as very particular examples, the celebrated Baouendi-Grushin operators as well as the $L_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $P_{\alpha,\beta}$ operators respectively introduced by Thuy and Tri in 2002 [36] and by Thuy and Tri in 2012 [37].

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Δ_{λ} -operators. In \mathbb{R}^N , whose point will be denoted by $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_N)$, let us consider a *n*-tuple $\lambda := (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N)$ of real functions

$$\lambda_j : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N,$$

such that $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_j(x) = \lambda_j(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1})$ for $j \ge 2$. Define the linear second order partial differential operators Δ_{λ} as follows:

$$\Delta_{\lambda} := \sum_{j=1}^{N} \lambda_j \partial_{x_j} (\lambda_j \partial_{x_j}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \lambda_j^2 \partial_{x_j}^2.$$
(1.1)

1.2. If the λ_j 's are non-identically zero polynomial functions then Δ_{λ} is hypoelliptic, i.e., every distributional solution u to the equation

$$\Delta_{\lambda} u = f$$

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J70, 35H20, 35K65.

Key words and phrases. Degenerate elliptic PDE; semilinear subelliptic PDE; Δ_{λ} -Laplacian. ©2018 Texas State University.

Published September 15, 2018.

in an open set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$, is actually of class C^{∞} in Ω if f is C^{∞} in Ω . This is an easy consequence of the celebrated Hörmander theorem on the hypoellipticity of the "sum of squares of vector fields" [18]. Indeed, let

$$\mathfrak{a} := \operatorname{Lie}\{\lambda_1 \partial_{x_1}, \dots, \lambda_N \partial_{x_N}\}.$$
(1.2)

Then, $\lambda_1 \partial_{x_1} = \partial_{x_1} \in \mathfrak{a}$. Moreover, if $j \geq 2$, being λ_j a non zero polynomial function, there exists a multi-index $\beta^{(j)}$ such that $D^{\beta^{(j)}} \lambda_j = c_j$, with c_j non zero real constant. This easily implies that $\partial_{x_2}, \partial_{x_3}, \ldots, \partial_{x_N} \in \mathfrak{a}$. Hence

$$\operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{a}(x) = N \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

so that, by the Hörmander theorem, Δ_{λ} is hypoelliptic.

Celebrated typical examples of Δ_{λ} hypoelliptic PDO's with polynomial coefficients are the Baouendi-Grushin operators [6, 16, 17],

$$\mathcal{L}_{m,p} = \partial_{x_1}^2 + \dots + \partial_{x_p}^2 + (x_1^2 + \dots + x_p^2)^{2m} (\partial_{x_{p+1}}^2 + \dots + \partial_{x_N}^2), \qquad (1.3)$$

 $m, p \in \mathbb{Z}, \ m \ge 0, 1 \le p < N$, corresponding to the case

$$\lambda_1(x) = \dots = \lambda_p(x) = 1, \quad \lambda_{p+1}(x) = \dots = \lambda_N(x) = (x_1^2 + \dots + x_p^2)^m,$$

and the Baouendi-Goulaouic operator

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = \partial_{x_1}^2 + \partial_{x_2}^2 + x_1^2 \partial_{x_3}^2 \quad \text{(in } \mathbb{R}^3\text{)}, \tag{1.4}$$

corresponding to the case

$$\lambda_1(x) = \lambda_2(x) = 1, \quad \lambda_3(x) = x_1.$$

The Baouendi-Goulaouic operator was the first example appeared in literature of C^{∞} -hypoelliptic "sum of squares" operator which is not analytic-hypoelliptic (see [7]).

1.3. If the λ_j 's are (merely) smooth functions, a condition making Δ_{λ} hypoelliptic in \mathbb{R}^N is the following one:

For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and for every $j \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, there exists a multi-index β depending on x and j such that

$$D^{\beta}\lambda_j(x) \neq 0. \tag{1.5}$$

If we let, for every fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathfrak{a}(x) = \{ X(x) \mid X \in \mathfrak{a} \},\$$

where \mathfrak{a} is the Lie algebra in (1.2), then, trivially, $\partial_{x_1} \in \mathfrak{a}(x)$. Moreover, using condition (1.5), we can prove that for every $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ there exist smooth functions

$$a_2(x) = a_2(x_1), \ a_3(x) = a_3(x_1, x_2), \dots, a_N(x) = a_N(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}),$$

such that $a_j(x) \neq 0$ and $a_j \partial_{x_j} \in \mathfrak{a}, j = 2, \ldots, N$. Then

$$N \ge \operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{a}(x) \ge \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{span} \{\partial_{x_1}, a_1(x)\partial_{x_2}, \dots, a_n(x)\partial_{x_N}\} = N,$$

hence rank $\mathfrak{a}(x) = N$. Since x is an arbitrary point of \mathbb{R}^N , this proves that Δ_λ satisfies the Hörmander rank condition, so that is hypoelliptic. If the λ_j 's are real analytic, condition (1.5) is equivalent to say that

$$\lambda_j \not\equiv 0 \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, N.$$

Thus, the hypoellipticity result of subsection 1.2 can be improved as follows:

If the $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N$ are real analytic functions then Δ_{λ} is hypoelliptic if (and only if)

$$\lambda_j \not\equiv 0 \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, N.$$

1.4. In some papers dated 1982–1984 ([12, 13, 14]), Franchi and Lanconelli studied Δ_{λ} -operators only assuming the λ_j 's locally Lipschitz continuous and of class C^1 out of the coordinate axes. Obviously, in such weak regularity assumptions, Hörmander condition is meaningless. In [12], suitable condition only involving the first derivatives of the λ_j 's, are introduced, allowing to get a kind of De Giorgi-Moser theorem for Δ_{λ} , i.e., the Hölder continuity and the Harnack inequality for the weak solutions.

2. De Giorgi-Moser-type theorem for Δ_{λ} . Liouville-type theorems

Let us assume the λ_i 's satisfy the following hypotheses.

- (H1) $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N$ are continuous and of class C^1 and strictly positive outside the coordinate hyperplanes;
- (H2) $\lambda_1(x) \equiv 1, \lambda_j(x) = \lambda_j(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}), j = 2, \dots, N;$
- (H3) $\lambda_i(x) = \lambda_i(x^*)$, where $x^* = (|x_1|, \dots, |x_N|)$;
- (H4) there exists $\rho \ge 0$ such that

$$0 \le x_k \partial_{x_k} \lambda_j(x) \le \rho \lambda_j(x), \quad k = 1, \dots, j-1,$$

for every
$$x \in \mathbb{R}^N_+ := \{ (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N : x_i \ge 0 \ \forall i = 1, \dots, N \}.$$

Under these hypotheses in [12] a metric d was constructed in \mathbb{R}^N that plays for Δ_{λ} the same rôle as the Euclidean distance plays for the classical Laplacian. This metric, which actually is the Carnot-Carathéodory distance related to the vector fields

$$X_1 = \lambda_1 \partial_{x_1}, \dots, X_N = \lambda_1 \partial_{x_N},$$

is defined as follows.

An absolutely continuous path $\gamma : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^N$, T > 0, is λ -subunit if, letting $e_j = (0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0)$ for every $j = 1, \ldots, N$, we have

$$\gamma'(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} c_j(t) \lambda_j(\gamma(t)) e_j$$
 a.e. in $[0,T]$, with $\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j^2(t) \le 1$.

In this case we put $l(\gamma) := T$ and for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ we define

$$\mathcal{C}(x,y) := \{ \gamma \ \lambda \text{-subunit path} \ : \gamma : [0,T] \to X, \ \gamma(0) = x, \ \gamma(T) = y \}.$$

Note that Hypotheses (H1) and (H2) imply $\mathcal{C}(x, y) \neq \emptyset$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Then, letting

$$d(x,y) := \inf\{l(\gamma) : \gamma \in \mathcal{C}(x,y)\},\$$

we have $d(x, y) < \infty$ for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

It is easy to see that $(x, y) \mapsto d(x, y)$ is a *distance* in \mathbb{R}^N , which we call the λ -distance. In [12] and [14] it is proved that (\mathbb{R}^N, d) is a *doubling metric space*, i.e., that there exists a positive constant c_d such that

$$|B_d(x,2r)| \le c_d |B_d(x,r)| \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \ \forall r > 0,$$
(2.1)

where $|\cdot|$ stands for the Lebesgue measure and $B_d(x, r)$ denotes the *d*-ball of center x and radius r,

$$B_d(x,r) = \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid d(x,y) < r \}.$$

It is a standard computation to show that the doubling inequality (2.1) implies

$$|B_d(x,2r)| \le c_d \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^Q |B_d(x,r)|, \qquad (2.2)$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $0 < r < R < \infty$. Here Q is the constant $Q := \log_2 c_d$, which is called a homogeneous dimension of (\mathbb{R}^N, d) .

The natural functional setting for studying Δ_{λ} -operators is the Sobolev-type space $W^{1,p}_{\lambda}(\Omega)$, $1 . More precisely, if <math>\Omega$ is a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N and 1 , we denote by

$$W^{1,p}_{\lambda}(\Omega)$$

the closure of $C_0^1(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p}_{\lambda}(\Omega)} := \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla_{\lambda} u|^p \, dx\right)^{1/p},$$

where

$$\nabla_{\lambda} u = (\lambda_1 \partial_{x_1}, \dots, \lambda_N \partial_{x_N}).$$

From [13, Theorem 2.6] (see also [20, Proposition 3.2]), one gets the following result: the embedding

$$\mathring{W}^{1,2}_{\lambda}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega)$$
 (2.3)

is continuous for every $p \in [1, 2^*]$ and compact for every $p \in [1, 2^*]$, where

$$2^* = \frac{2Q}{Q-2}$$

Another crucial functional inequality in Δ_{λ} -setting is the following Poincaré-type inequality: for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and r > 0,

$$\int_{B_d(x,r)} |u - u_r|^2 \, dy \le Cr^2 \int_{B_d(x,\theta r)} |\nabla_\lambda u|^2 \, dy \quad \forall u \in C^1(\overline{B_d(x,\theta r)})$$

where C > 0 and $\theta > 1$ are suitable constants independent of u, x and r, and u_r denotes the average of u on $B_d(x, r)$:

$$u_r =: \frac{1}{B_d(x,r)} \int_{B_d(x,r)} u(y) \, dy$$

(see [14, 25]).

To complete the list of the key results needed to show a De Giorgi-type theorem for Δ_{λ} , we recall the existence of global cut-off functions modelled on the geometry of the *d*-balls. More precisely, the following proposition holds:

Let $B_d(x, r_1)$ and $B_d(x, r_2)$ be concentric *d*-balls with $0 < r_1 < r_2 < \infty$. Then there exists $\eta \in \mathring{W}^{1,2}_{\lambda}(B_d(x, r_2))$ such that $\eta \equiv 1$ a.e. in $B_d(x, r_1)$ and

$$|\nabla_{\lambda}\eta| \leq rac{2}{r_2 - r_1}$$
 a.e. in $B_d(0, r_2)$

(see [19, Theorem 10]).

The doubling condition (2.1), the Sobolev embedding (2.3), and the cut-off function η allow to adapt the Moser's iteration procedure to get the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 (De-Giorgi-Moser-type theorem for Δ_{λ}). Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N and let $u \in W^{1,2}_{\lambda,\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ be a weak solution to

$$\Delta_{\lambda} u = 0 \ in \ \Omega.$$

Then,

EJDE-2018/CONF/25

(i) (Scale invariant Harnack inequality) If $B_d(z, 2r) \subseteq \Omega$ and $u \ge 0$, then

$$\sup_{B_d(z,r)} u \le C \inf_{B_d(z,r)} u, \tag{2.4}$$

where C > 0 is independent of u, z and r.

(ii) (Local Hölder continuity) If $B_d(z, 2r) \subseteq \Omega$, then

$$|u(x) - u(y)| \le C\left(\frac{d(x,y)}{r}\right)^{\alpha} \sup_{B(z,2r)} |u| \quad \forall x, y \in B_d\left(z,\frac{r}{2}\right),$$
(2.5)

where C > 0 and $\alpha \in]0,1[$ are independent of u, z and r.

Actually, the conclusions of this theorem hold true for the weak solutions of the λ -elliptic operators. A linear second order PDO of the kind

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \partial_{x_i}(a_{ij}(x)\partial_{x_j}) = \operatorname{div}(A(x)D)$$

will be called λ -elliptic in \mathbb{R}^N if the quadratic form related to the symmetric matrix $A(x) = a_{ij}(x)_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$ with measurable entries, satisfies

$$\frac{1}{c}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(\lambda_{j}(x)\xi_{j})^{2} \leq \langle A(x)\xi,\xi\rangle \leq c\sum_{j=1}^{n}(\lambda_{j}(x)\xi_{j})^{2} \quad \forall x,\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}.$$

If Ω is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N we say that $u \in W^{1,2}_{\lambda,\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ if, for every $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ one has $u\varphi \in \mathring{W}^{1,2}_{\lambda}(\Omega)$. To define the notion of weak solution to the equation $\mathcal{L}u = 0$, we need to introduce the bilinear form

$$\mathcal{L}(u,v) = \int_{\Omega} \langle A(x)Du(x), Dv(x) \rangle \, dx$$

for $u \in C^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ and $v \in C^1_0(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$. *D* is the Euclidean gradient $D = (\partial_{x_1}, \ldots, \partial_{x_N})$. Since $A \ge 0$, we have (because \mathcal{L} is λ -elliptic)

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{L}(u,v)| &\leq \int_{\Omega} \langle A(x)Du(x), Du(x) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle A(x)Dv(x), Dv(x) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} dx \\ &\leq c \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_{\lambda} u(x)| |\nabla_{\lambda} v(x)| dx \,. \end{aligned}$$

Then the bilinear form a is well defined and, if Ω is bounded, it can be continuously extended to $W^{1,2}_{\lambda,\text{loc}}(\Omega) \times \mathring{W}^{1,2}_{\lambda}(\Omega)$. A function $u \in W^{1,2}_{\lambda,\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution to $\mathcal{L}u = 0$ in Ω if

$$a(u,v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in C_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}).$$

The Moser iteration procedure works for λ -elliptic operators as for Δ_{λ} -operators. Then, De Giorgi-Moser Theorem 2.1 extends to the weak solutions to $\mathcal{L}u = 0$ for every λ -elliptic operator \mathcal{L} (for the Δ_{λ} -case, see [12, 13, 14], for the λ -elliptic case see [24]).

The invariant Harnack inequality (2.4) immediately leads to the following Liouvilletype theorem. Here \mathcal{L} stands for any λ -elliptic operator.

Theorem 2.2. Let $u \in W^{1,2}_{\lambda,\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a weak solution to $\mathcal{L}u = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N . If $u \ge 0$, then u is identically constant in \mathbb{R}^N .

From the Hölder estimates (2.5), one obtains another Liouville-type theorem.

Theorem 2.3. Let $u \in W^{1,2}_{\lambda,\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a weak solution to $\mathcal{L}u = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N . Assume that, for a suitable $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$,

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{r^{\alpha}} \sup_{B(x_0, r)} |u| \right) = 0,$$

where $\alpha \in]0,1[$ is the Hölder exponent in (2.5). Then, u is identically constant in \mathbb{R}^N .

As a last theorem we would like to recall is a Colding-Minicozzi-type Liouville theorem for the λ -elliptic operators \mathcal{L} , which is proved in [19].

Theorem 2.4. Let x_0 be a fixed point of \mathbb{R}^N and denote by d(x) the λ -distance $d(x_0, x)$. Then, for every m > 0, the linear space

$$\{u \in W^{1,2}_{\lambda,\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \mathcal{L}u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \ u(x) = O(d(x))^m \text{ as } (d(x)) \to \infty\}$$

has finite dimension.

We would like to close this subsection by quoting the recent paper [4] by Anh and My where a Liouville-type theorem for system of semilinear inequalities involving Δ_{λ} -operators is proved.

3. Δ_{λ} -Laplacians

If the functions λ_j 's, together with hypotheses (H1), (H2), (H3) and (H4), are supposed to be homogeneous with respect to a fixed group of dilations in \mathbb{R}^N , the corresponding Δ_{λ} -operators have been called in [20] Δ_{λ} -Laplacians, since they share some important homogeneity properties with the classical Laplacian. The corresponding geometry of the λ -distance achieves some crucial analogies with the Euclidean ones.

Let $(\delta_r)_{r>0}$ be a group of dilations in \mathbb{R}^N of the kind

$$\delta_r : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \delta_r(x) = \delta_r(x_1, \dots, x_N) = (r^{\varepsilon_1} x_1, \dots, r^{\varepsilon_N} x_N), \tag{3.1}$$

where $1 \le \varepsilon_1 \le \varepsilon_2 \le \cdots \le \varepsilon_N$. Assume λ_j is δ_r -homogeneous of degree $\varepsilon_j - 1$, i.e.,

$$\lambda_j(\delta_r(x)) = r^{\varepsilon_j - 1} \lambda_j(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \ r > 0, \ j = 1, \dots, N.$$
(3.2)

Under this new assumption, Δ_{λ} becomes δ_r -homogeneous of degree two, i.e.,

$$\Delta_{\lambda}(u(\delta_r(x))) = r^2(\Delta_{\lambda}u)(\delta_r(x)) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \forall r > 0,$$

and for every $u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. The positive real number

$$Q := \varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_N$$

is the homogeneous dimension of \mathbb{R}^N with respect to the group of dilations $(\delta_r)_{r>0}$. With respect to the Lebesque measure of the λ -balls and the Sobolev-type embedding Theorems, it plays the rôle of the dimension N in the classical Laplacian case. Indeed, it works as the optimal exponent Q in the inequality (2.2) and in the embedding (2.3).

In the present homogeneous assumption, precise estimates of both the λ -distance d and the Lebesque measure of the d-balls are showed by Kogoj and Lanconelli in [20]. A deep study of the λ -geometry for particular form of the λ_j 's have been recently performed by Wu in [42].

By crucially exploiting the homogeneity (3.2), in [20] the following Pohozaevtype identities are proved. We stress that the constant Q which will appear in EJDE-2018/CONF/25

r

(3.3), (3.5) and (3.6), is exactly the homogeneous dimension of \mathbb{R}^N with respect to $(\delta_r)_{r>0}$.

Let T be linear first order PDO

$$T: \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N, \quad T(x) = T(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \sum_{j=1}^N \varepsilon_j x_j \partial_{x_j}$$

i.e., the generator of dilation group $(\delta_r)_{r>0}$. Then, if Ω is a C^1 bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N , we have

$$\int_{\Omega} T(u) \Delta_{\lambda} u \, dx$$

$$= \int_{\partial \Omega} \langle \nabla_{\lambda} u, \nu_{\lambda} \rangle T(u) \, ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} |\nabla_{\lambda} u|^2 \langle T, \nu \rangle \, ds + \left(\frac{Q}{2} - 1\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_{\lambda} u|^2 \, dx$$
(3.3)

for every $u \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}), \mathbb{R})$. Here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ stands for the Euclidean inner product, ν is the outward normal to Ω and $\nu_{\lambda} = (\lambda_1 \nu_1, \dots, \lambda_N \nu_N)$.

From this identity, we easily obtain an integral identity for the solutions to

$$\Delta_{\lambda} u + f(u) = 0, \qquad (3.4)$$

 $f:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. We let

$$F(t) := \int_0^t f(s) ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

Then, if $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ is a solution to (3.4) the following identity holds

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(F(u) + \left(\frac{1}{Q} - \frac{1}{2}\right) u f(u) \right) dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{Q} \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\langle T, \nu \rangle \left(F(u) - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_{\lambda} u|^2 \right) + \langle \nabla_{\lambda} u, \nu \rangle \left(T(u) + \left(\frac{Q}{2} - 1\right) u \right) \right) ds.$$
(3.5)

Moreover, if u = 0 on $\partial \Omega$,

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(F(u) + \left(\frac{1}{Q} - \frac{1}{2}\right) u f(u) \right) dx = \frac{1}{2Q} \int_{\partial \Omega} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right)^2 |\nu_{\lambda}|^2 \langle T, \nu \rangle ds$$

Pohozaev-type identities for particular Δ_{λ} -Laplacians were previously proved in [39, 40, 36, 10, 37].

If the domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ is $(\delta_r)_{r>0}$ starlike, i.e.,

$$\langle T, \nu \rangle \geq 0$$
 at every point of $\partial \Omega$,

and C^1 bounded open set, then the following non-existence result, extending to the Δ_{λ} a celebrated theorem by Pohozaev, holds. The problem

$$\Delta_{\lambda} u + f(u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \tag{3.6}$$

has non-trivial non-negative solution in $C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ if

$$F(t) + \left(\frac{1}{Q} - \frac{1}{2}\right) t f(t) < 0 \quad \forall t \neq 0.$$

Thanks to the properties of the Δ_{λ} 's previously recalled, the techniques of the variational theory of the critical points work equally well for the Δ_{λ} -Laplacian as for the classical Laplacian. Many existence and non-existence results are today present in literature for semilinear Δ_{λ} boundary value problem, both in subcritical

and critical behaviour assumption on the semilinear term f(u) (see, e.g., [3, 5, 9, 20, 28, 29, 32, 36, 37, 41]).

The homogeneity properties of the Δ_{λ} -Laplacians have been also exploited in [23] to prove Hardy-type inequalities, which extend previous results by Garofalo and D'Ambrosio for the Baouendi-Grushin case [11, 15].

Before closing this section, we have to mention that initial value problems for evolution equations modelled on Δ_{λ} -Laplacians have been studied in these last years.

In [2] Anh, Hung, Ke and Phong have proved the existence of the global attractor for semilinear parabolic equations involving Baouendi-Grushin-type operators. Kogoj and Sonner have extended this result for Δ_{λ} -Laplacians (and showed the finite fractal dimension of the attractor) in [21] and for more general degenerate parabolic equations in [22]. We stress that in this last paper semilinear damped hyperbolic equations involving Δ_{λ} -Laplacians are also considered.

Extensions to the critical cases of the results in [21] and in [22] have been proved in [26, 27]. We also quote the papers [1, 30, 31, 34, 35, 38] where evolution equations related to classes of Δ_{λ} operators are studied.

4. Examples of Δ_{λ} -Laplacians

The following examples are taken from [21]. We split \mathbb{R}^N as $\mathbb{R}^N = \mathbb{R}^{N_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}^{N_k}$, and write

$$x = (x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(k)}), \quad x^{(i)} = (x_1^{(i)}, \dots, x_{N_i}^{(i)}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

We denote the classical Laplace operator in \mathbb{R}^{N_i} by

$$\Delta_{x^{(i)}} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} \partial_{x_j^{(i)}}^2,$$

and we write Δ_{λ} operators in the form

$$\Delta_{\lambda} = (\lambda^{(1)})^2 \Delta_{x^{(1)}} + \dots + (\lambda^{(k)})^2 \Delta_{x^{(k)}} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N = \mathbb{R}^{N_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{N_k},$$

where

$$\lambda = (\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(k)}), \quad \lambda^{(i)} = (\lambda_1^{(i)}, \dots, \lambda_{N_i}^{(i)}),$$

and the functions $\lambda^{(i)}$ are continuous in \mathbb{R}^{N_i} , $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Example 4.1. Let α be a real positive constant and k = 2. We consider at first the Baouendi-Grushin-type operator

$$\Delta_{\lambda} = \Delta_{x^{(1)}} + |x^{(1)}|^{2\alpha} \Delta_{x^{(2)}},$$

where $\lambda = (\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)})$, with $\lambda_j^{(1)}(x) = 1, j = 1, \ldots, N_1$ and $\lambda_j^{(2)}(x) = |x^{(1)}|^{\alpha}, j = 1, \ldots, N_2$. A group of dilations making Δ_{λ} homogeneous of degree two is $(\delta_r)_{r>0}$ with

$$\delta_r(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}) = (rx^{(1)}, r^{\alpha+1}x^{(2)}).$$

In this case the homogenous dimension of \mathbb{R}^N with respect to $(\delta_r)_{r>0}$ is

$$Q = N_1 + (\alpha + 1)N_2$$

More generally, for a given multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{k-1})$ with real constants $\alpha_j \ge 0, j = 1, \ldots, k-1$, we define

$$\Delta_{\lambda} = \Delta_{x^{(1)}} + |x^{(1)}|^{2\alpha_1} \Delta_{x^{(2)}} + \dots + |x^{(k-1)}|^{2\alpha_{k-1}} \Delta_{x^{(k)}}.$$

EJDE-2018/CONF/25

Then, in our notation $\lambda = (\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(k)})$ with

$$\lambda_j^{(1)}(x) \equiv 1, \quad j = 1, \dots, N_1$$
$$\lambda_j^{(i)}(x) = |x^{(i-1)}|^{\alpha_{i-1}} \quad i = 2, \dots, k, \quad j = 1, \dots, N_i,$$

and the group of dilations such that λ satisfies (3.2) is given by

$$\delta_r(x^{(1)},\ldots,x^{(k)}) = (r^{\varepsilon_1}x^{(1)},\ldots,r^{\varepsilon_k}x^{(k)}),$$

with $\varepsilon_1 = 1$ and $\varepsilon_i = \alpha_{i-1}\varepsilon_{i-1} + 1$ for i = 2, ..., k. In particular, if $\alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_{k-1} = \alpha$, the dilations become

$$\delta_r \left(x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(k)} \right) = \left(r x^{(1)}, r^{1+\alpha} x^{(2)}, \dots, r^{1+\alpha+\alpha^2+\dots+\alpha^{k-1}} x^{(k)} \right).$$

Remark 4.2. A trivial change of variable makes the operator

(1)

$$\Delta_{x^{(1)}} + \frac{1}{4} |x^{(1)}|^2 \Delta_{x^{(2)}}$$

a Δ_{λ} -Laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^{N_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{N_2}$ of the previous type.

Moreover, if the dimensions N_1 and N_2 satisfy the inequality $N_2 < \rho(N_1)$, where ρ is the so called Hurwitz-Radon function, then there exists a composition law \circ in \mathbb{R}^N such that $\mathbb{H}_N := (\mathbb{R}^N, \circ, \delta_\lambda)$ is a group of Heinsenberg type (see [8, Remark 3.6.7],) and, denoting by $\Delta_{\mathbb{H}_N}$ the canonical sub-Laplacian on \mathbb{H}_N , we have

$$\left(\Delta_{x^{(1)}} + \frac{1}{4}|x^{(1)}|^2\Delta_{x^{(2)}}\right)u = \Delta_{\mathbb{H}_N}u$$

for every smooth function $u : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ which is radially symmetric in the variable $x^{(1)}$ (see [8, p. 251]).

Example 4.3. Let α, β and γ be nonnegative real constants. We consider the operator

$$\Delta_{\lambda} = \Delta_{x^{(1)}} + |x^{(1)}|^{2\alpha} \Delta_{x^{(2)}} + |x^{(1)}|^{2\beta} |x^{(2)}|^{2\gamma} \Delta_{x^{(3)}},$$

where $\lambda = (\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \lambda^{(3)})$ with

$$\lambda_j^{(1)}(x) \equiv 1, \quad j = 1, \dots, N_1$$

$$\lambda_j^{(2)}(x) = |x^{(1)}|^{\alpha}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N_2,$$

$$\lambda_j^{(3)}(x) = |x^{(1)}|^{\beta} |x^{(2)}|^{\gamma}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N_3$$

The dilations become

$$\delta_r \Big(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)} \Big) = \Big(r x^{(1)}, r^{\alpha+1} x^{(2)}, r^{\beta+(\alpha+1)\gamma+1} x^{(3)} \Big).$$

Similarly, for operators of the form

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{\lambda} &= \Delta_{x^{(1)}} + |x^{(1)}|^{2\alpha_{1,1}} \Delta_{x^{(2)}} + |x^{(1)}|^{2\alpha_{2,1}} |x^{(2)}|^{2\alpha_{2,2}} \Delta_{x^{(3)}} + \dots \\ &+ \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} |x^{(i)}|^{2\alpha_{k-1,i}}\Big) \Delta_{x^{(k)}}, \end{split}$$

where $\alpha_{i,j} \geq 0, i = 1, ..., k - 1, j = 1, ..., i$, are real constants, the group of dilations is given by

$$\delta_r\left(x^{(1)},\ldots,x^{(k)}\right) = \left(r^{\varepsilon_1}x^{(1)},\ldots,r^{\varepsilon_k}x^{(k)}\right)$$

175

with $\varepsilon_1 = 1$ and $\varepsilon_j = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \alpha_{j-1,i} \varepsilon_i$, for $i = 2, \ldots, k$. In particular, if $\alpha_{1,1} = \cdots = \alpha_{k-1,k-1} = \alpha$,

$$\delta_r \left(x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(k)} \right) = \left(r x^{(1)}, r^{\alpha + 1} x^{(2)}, \dots, r^{(\alpha + 1)^{k-1}} x^{(k)} \right).$$

Remark 4.4. We would like to remark that this class of operators contains the operators

$$L_{\alpha,\beta} = \Delta_{x^{(1)}} + |x^{(1)}|^{2\alpha} \Delta_{x^{(2)}} + |x^{(1)}|^{2\beta} \Delta_{x^{(3)}},$$

introduced by Thuy and Tri in [36], and the operators

$$P_{\alpha,\beta} = \Delta_{x^{(1)}} + \Delta_{x^{(2)}} + |x^{(1)}|^{2\alpha} |x^{(2)}|^{2\beta} \Delta_{x^{(3)}},$$

introduced by Thuy and Tri in [37]. We also want to mention that the class of the Grushin-like operators very recently introduced by Maldonado in [33, Subsection 4.1] extends the one described above.

Example 4.5. The Δ_{λ} -operators of the following type

$$\Delta_{\lambda} = \Delta_{x^{(1)}} + \left(\mu_1(x^{(1)})\right)^2 \Delta_{x^{(2)}} + \left(\mu_2(x^{(1)})\right)^2 \left(\mu_3(x^{(2)})\right)^2 \Delta_{x^{(3)}},$$

where $\mu_1, \mu_2 : \mathbb{R}^{N_1} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\mu_3 : \mathbb{R}^{N_2} \to \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions satisfying (H1)–(H4) and

$$\mu_1(sx^{(1)}) = s^{\alpha}\mu_1(x^{(1)}), \quad \mu_2(sx^{(1)}) = s^{\beta}\mu_2(x^{(1)}), \quad \mu_3(sx^{(2)}) = s^{\gamma}\mu_3(x^{(2)}), \quad \forall s > 0,$$

with α, β and γ nonnegative real constants, are Δ_{λ} -Laplacians with the group of dilations $(\delta_r)_{r>0}$,

$$\delta_r \left(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)} \right) = \left(r x^{(1)}, r^{\alpha + 1} x^{(2)}, r^{\beta + (\alpha + 1)\gamma + 1} x^{(3)} \right).$$

Acknowledgements. A. E. Kogoj was partially supported by the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM).

References

- C. T. Anh; Global attractor for a semilinear strongly degenerate parabolic equation on ℝ^N, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. 21 (2014), no. 5, 663–678. MR 3265192
- [2] C. T. Anh, P. Q. Hung, T. D. Ke, T. T. Phong; Global attractor for a semilinear parabolic equation involving Grushin operator, Electron. J. Differential Equations (2008), No. 32, 11. MR 2383395
- [3] C. T. Anh, B. K. My; Existence of solutions to Δ_{λ} -Laplace equations without the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. **61** (2016), no. 1, 137–150. MR 3428858
- [4] C. T. Anh, B. K. My; Liouville-type theorems for elliptic inequalities involving the Δ_λ-Laplace operator, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 61 (2016), no. 7, 1002–1013. MR 3500512
- [5] C. T. Anh, B. K. My; Existence and non-existence of solutions to a hamiltonian strongly degenerate elliptic system, Adv. Nonlinear Anal. (2017).
- [6] M. S. Baouendi; Sur une classe d'opérateurs elliptiques dégénérés, Bull. Soc. Math. France 95 (1967), 45–87. MR 0228819
- [7] M. S. Baouendi, C. Goulaouic; Nonanalytic-hypoellipticity for some degenerate elliptic operators, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 78 (1972), 483–486. MR 0296507
- [8] A. Bonfiglioli, E. Lanconelli, F. Uguzzoni; Stratified Lie groups and potential theory for their sub-Laplacians, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, 2007. MR 2363343
- [9] J. Chen, X. Tang, Z. Gao; Infinitely many solutions for semilinear Δ_λ-laplace equations with sign-changing potential and nonlinearity, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 54 (2017), no. 4, 536–549.
- [10] N. M. Chuong, T. D. Ke; Existence of solutions for a nonlinear degenerate elliptic system, Electron. J. Differential Equations (2004), No. 93, 15. MR 2075432

176

- [11] L. D'Ambrosio; Hardy inequalities related to Grushin type operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (2004), no. 3, 725–734. MR 2019949
- [12] L. D'Ambrosio; Une métrique associée à une classe d'opérateurs elliptiques dégénérés, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino (1983), no. Special Issue, 105–114 (1984), Conference on linear partial and pseudodifferential operators (Torino, 1982). MR 745979
- [13] L. D'Ambrosio; An embedding theorem for Sobolev spaces related to nonsmooth vector fields and Harnack inequality, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 9 (1984), no. 13, 1237–1264. MR 764663
- [14] B. Franchi, E. Lanconelli; Hölder regularity theorem for a class of linear nonuniformly elliptic operators with measurable coefficients, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 10 (1983), no. 4, 523–541. MR 753153
- [15] N. Garofalo; Unique continuation for a class of elliptic operators which degenerate on a manifold of arbitrary codimension, J. Differential Equations 104 (1993), no. 1, 117–146. MR 1224123
- [16] V. V. Grušin; A certain class of hypoelliptic operators, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 83 (125) (1970), 456–473. MR 0279436
- [17] V. V. Grušin; A certain class of elliptic pseudodifferential operators that are degenerate on a submanifold, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 84 (126) (1971), 163–195. MR 0283630
- [18] L. Hörmander; Hypoelliptic second order differential equations, Acta Math. 119 (1967), 147– 171. MR 0222474
- [19] A. E. Kogoj, E. Lanconelli; Liouville theorem for X-elliptic operators, Nonlinear Anal. 70 (2009), no. 8, 2974–2985. MR 2509383
- [20] A. E. Kogoj, E. Lanconelli, On semilinear Δ_{λ} -Laplace equation, Nonlinear Anal. **75** (2012), no. 12, 4637–4649. MR 2927124
- [21] A. E. Kogoj, S. Sonner; Attractors for a class of semi-linear degenerate parabolic equations, J. Evol. Equ. 13 (2013), no. 3, 675–691. MR 3089799
- [22] A. E. Kogoj, S. Sonner; Attractors met X-elliptic operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 420 (2014), no. 1, 407–434. MR 3229832
- [23] A. E. Kogoj, S. Sonner; Hardy type inequalities for Δ_λ-Laplacians, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 61 (2016), no. 3, 422–442. MR 3454116
- [24] E. Lanconelli, A. E., Kogoj; X-elliptic operators and X-control distances, Ricerche Mat. 49 (2000), no. suppl., 223–243, Contributions in honor of the memory of Ennio De Giorgi (Italian). MR 1826225
- [25] E. Lanconelli, D. Morbidelli; On the Poincaré inequality for vector fields, Ark. Mat. 38 (2000), no. 2, 327–342. MR 1785405
- [26] D. Li, C. Sun; Attractors for a class of semi-linear degenerate parabolic equations with critical exponent, J. Evol. Equ. 16 (2016), no. 4, 997–1015. MR 3577407
- [27] D. Li, C. Sun, Q. Chang; Global attractor for degenerate damped hyperbolic equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 453 (2017), no. 1, 1–19. MR 3641757
- [28] D. T. Luyen; Two nontrivial solutions of boundary-value problems for semilinear Δ_{γ} differential equations, Math. Notes **101** (2017), no. 5-6, 815–823. MR 3669606
- [29] D. T. Luyen, N. M. Tri; Existence of solutions to boundary-value problems for semilinear Δ_γ differential equations, Math. Notes **97** (2015), no. 1-2, 73–84. MR 3394492
- [30] D. T. Luyen, N. M. Tri; Behavior at large time intervals of solutions of degenerate hyperbolic equations with damping, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 57 (2016), no. 4, 809–829. MR 3601331
- [31] D. T. Luyen, N. M. Tri; Global attractor of the Cauchy problem for a semilinear degenerate damped hyperbolic equation involving the Grushin operator, Ann. Polon. Math. 117 (2016), no. 2, 141–162. MR 3539074
- [32] D. T. Luyen, N. M. Tri; Existence of infinitely many solutions for semilinear degenerate Schrödinger equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 461 (2018), no. 2, 1271–1286. MR 3765489
- [33] D. Maldonado; On certain degenerate and singular elliptic PDEs I: nondivergence form operators with unbounded drifts and applications to subelliptic equations, J. Differential Equations 264 (2018), no. 2, 624–678. MR 3720825
- [34] D. T. Quyet, L. T. Thuy, N. X. Tu; Semilinear strongly degenerate parabolic equations with a new class of nonlinearities, Vietnam J. Math. 45 (2017), no. 3, 507–517. MR 3669155
- [35] M. X. Thao; On the global attractor for a semilinear strongly degenerate parabolic equation, Acta Math. Vietnam. 41 (2016), no. 2, 283–297. MR 3506317

- [36] N. T. C. Thuy, N. M. Tri; Some existence and nonexistence results for boundary value problems for semilinear elliptic degenerate operators, Russ. J. Math. Phys. 9 (2002), no. 3, 365–370. MR 1965388
- [37] P. T. Thuy, N. M. Tri; Nontrivial solutions to boundary value problems for semilinear strongly degenerate elliptic differential equations, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. 19 (2012), no. 3, 279–298. MR 2926298
- [38] P. T. Thuy, N. M. Tri; Long time behavior of solutions to semilinear parabolic equations involving strongly degenerate elliptic differential operators, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. 20 (2013), no. 3, 1213–1224. MR 3057173
- [39] N. M. Tri; On the Grushin equation, Mat. Zametki 63 (1998), no. 1, 95–105. MR 1631852
- [40] N. M. Tri; Critical Sobolev exponent for degenerate elliptic operators, Acta Math. Vietnam.
 23 (1998), no. 1, 83–94. MR 1628086
- [41] N. M. Tri; Recent results in the theory of semilinear elliptic degenerate differential equations, Vietnam J. Math. 37 (2009), no. 2-3, 387–397. MR 2568027
- [42] J.-M. Wu; Geometry of Grushin spaces, Illinois J. Math. 59 (2015), no. 1, 21–41. MR 3459626

Alessia E. Kogoj

DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE PURE E APPLICATE (DISPEA), UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI URBINO "CARLO BO", PIAZZA DELLA REPUBBLICA, 13 - 61029 URBINO (PU), ITALY *E-mail address:* alessia.kogoj@uniurb.it

E-man analess. alessia.kogojeuniurb.

Ermanno Lanconelli

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli Studi di Bologna, Piazza di Porta San Donato, 5 - 40126 Bologna, Italy

E-mail address: ermanno.lanconelli@unibo.it