

LOW MACH NUMBER LIMIT OF COMPRESSIBLE NEMATIC LIQUID CRYSTAL FLOWS WITH WELL-PREPARED INITIAL DATA IN A 3D BOUNDED DOMAIN

BOLING GUO, LAN ZENG, GUOXI NI

Communicated by Hongjie Dong

ABSTRACT. In this article, we consider the low Mach number limit of the compressible nematic liquid crystal flows in a 3D bounded domain. We establish the uniform estimates with respect to the Mach number for the strong solutions with large initial data in a short time interval. Consequently, we obtain the convergence of the compressible nematic liquid crystal system to the incompressible nematic liquid crystals system as the Mach number tends to zero.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article, we establish the uniform estimates of strong solutions with respect to the Mach number in a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ to the compressible nematic liquid crystal flows [8].

$$\rho_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

$$(\rho u)_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \nabla P(\rho) - \mu \Delta u - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} u = -\nabla d \cdot \Delta d, \quad (1.2)$$

$$d_t + u \cdot \nabla d = \Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d, \quad |d| = 1, \quad (1.3)$$

where the unknowns ρ, u and d stand for the density, velocity, and the macroscopic of the nematic liquid crystal orientation field, respectively. The pressure $P(\rho)$ is a C^1 function satisfying $P'(\cdot) > 0$ and $P'(0) = 0$, such as the well-known γ -law $P(\rho) = a\rho^\gamma$ ($\gamma > 1$) which satisfies the assumptions. The parameter $\epsilon > 0$ is the scaled Mach number. The physical constants μ and λ denote the shear viscosity and bulk viscosity of the flow and satisfy

$$\mu > 0, \quad 2\mu + 3\lambda \geq 0.$$

In fluid mechanics, the Mach number is an important physical quantity to determine whether the fluid is compressible or incompressible. If the Mach number is small, the fluid should behave asymptotically like an incompressible one, provided velocity and viscosity are small. As a result, the low Mach number limit problem has attracted much attention in recent years. When d is a constant vector field,

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q35, 35M33, 75A15, 76N99.

Key words and phrases. Low Mach number limit; compressible nematic liquid crystal flows; bounded domain.

©2019 Texas State University.

Submitted March 15, 2018. Published January 28, 2019.

the system (1.1)-(1.3) becomes the compressible Navier-Stokes system, of which the low Mach number limit problem has obtained a great number of results in the past decades. The readers may refer to [5, 10, 11, 12, 14], for instance, and the references therein for details.

Furthermore, a lot of progress on the low Mach number limit for the compressible nematic liquid crystal equations have been made. In [4], the authors concerned the low Mach number limit of system (1.1)-(1.3) with periodic boundary conditions. In [2], Bie, Bo, Wang and Yao obtained global existence and the low Mach number limit for compressible flow of liquid crystals in critical spaces. Particularly, for the bounded domain case, the low Mach number limit of weak solutions to the compressible flow of liquid crystals was proved in [13], and Yang [15] firstly studied the low Mach number limit of the strong solution to system (1.1)-(1.3) provided the initial data small enough. Motivated by the articles mentioned above, in this paper, we intend to establish the low Mach number limit of the strong solution for the system (1.1)-(1.3) with the larger initial data in a short time interval. The main difficulty comparing to the periodic case [4] and the whole space case [2] is the uniform high-norm estimates with respect to the Mach number and a time interval independent of the Mach number. In a bounded domain, after integrating by parts for the high-order derivatives, we have to estimate the boundary term which we will skillfully apply the slip conditions to control.

The low Mach number fluid can be regarded as a perturbation near the background isentropic fluid, where the density is usually set to be constant. Hence, we introduce the density variation by σ^ϵ as follows,

$$\rho^\epsilon = 1 + \epsilon\sigma^\epsilon,$$

and we will take $P'(1) = 1$. Then the non-dimensional system (1.1)-(1.3) can be rewritten as the form

$$\sigma_t^\epsilon + \operatorname{div}(\sigma^\epsilon u^\epsilon) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \operatorname{div} u^\epsilon = 0, \quad (1.4)$$

$$\rho^\epsilon(u_t^\epsilon + u^\epsilon \cdot \nabla u^\epsilon) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} P'(1 + \epsilon\sigma^\epsilon) \nabla \sigma^\epsilon - \mu \Delta u^\epsilon - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} u^\epsilon = -\nabla d^\epsilon \cdot \Delta d^\epsilon, \quad (1.5)$$

$$d_t^\epsilon + u^\epsilon \cdot \nabla d^\epsilon = (\Delta d^\epsilon + |\nabla d^\epsilon|^2 d^\epsilon), \quad |d^\epsilon| = 1. \quad (1.6)$$

System (1.4)-(1.6) is supplemented with the initial and boundary value conditions,

$$(\sigma^\epsilon, u^\epsilon, d^\epsilon)(\cdot, 0) = (\sigma_0^\epsilon, u_0^\epsilon, d_0^\epsilon)(\cdot) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (1.7)$$

$$u^\epsilon \cdot n = 0, \quad \operatorname{curl} u^\epsilon \times n = 0, \quad \frac{\partial d^\epsilon}{\partial n} = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad (1.8)$$

where n is the unit outer normal vector to the smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$.

Firstly, the local existence results for problem (1.4)-(1.8) can be established in a similar way as in [8].

Proposition 1.1 ((Local solution)). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded, simply connected domain with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Assume the initial data $(\sigma_0^\epsilon, u_0^\epsilon, d_0^\epsilon)$ satisfy the condition*

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t^k \sigma^\epsilon(0), \partial_t^k u^\epsilon(0)) &\in H^{2-k}(\Omega), \quad \partial_t^k d^\epsilon(0) \in H^{3-k}(\Omega), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \\ \int_{\Omega} \sigma_0 dx &= 0, \quad 1 + \epsilon\sigma_0^\epsilon \geq m, \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

for some constant $m > 0$. Moreover, under the compatibility conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^k u^\epsilon(0) \cdot n &= 0, \quad n \times \operatorname{curl} u_0^\epsilon = n \times \operatorname{curl} u_t^\epsilon(0) = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad k = 0, 1, \\ \partial_t^k \frac{\partial d^\epsilon(0)}{\partial n} &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad k = 0, 1. \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

There exists a constant $T^\epsilon > 0$ such that the initial boundary value problem (1.4)-(1.8) has a unique solution $(\sigma^\epsilon, u^\epsilon, d^\epsilon)$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \epsilon\sigma^\epsilon &> 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T^\epsilon), \\ \partial_t^k \sigma^\epsilon &\in C([0, T^\epsilon], H^{2-k}), \\ \partial_t^k u^\epsilon &\in C([0, T^\epsilon], H^{2-k}) \cap L^2(0, T^\epsilon; H^{3-k}), \\ \partial_t^k d^\epsilon &\in C([0, T^\epsilon], H^{3-k}) \cap L^2(0, T^\epsilon; H^{4-k}), \quad k = 0, 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

To simplify the statement, we used $\sigma_t^\epsilon(0)$ to denote the quantity $\sigma_t^\epsilon|_{t=0}$ which can be obtained from (1.4). The other quantities are defined in a similar way. For simplicity, we denote

$$\begin{aligned} M^\epsilon(t) &= \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left\{ \|(\sigma^\epsilon, u^\epsilon, \nabla d^\epsilon)(\cdot, s)\|_{H^2} + \|(\sigma_s^\epsilon, u_s^\epsilon, \nabla d_s^\epsilon)(\cdot, s)\|_{H^1} + \left\| \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon\sigma(\cdot, s)} \right\|_{L^\infty} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \epsilon \|(\sigma_{ss}^\epsilon, u_{ss}^\epsilon, \nabla d_{ss}^\epsilon)(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2} \right\} + \left\{ \int_0^t \left(\|u^\epsilon\|_{H^3}^2 + \|u_s^\epsilon\|_{H^2} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \epsilon \|(\sigma_{ss}^\epsilon, u_{ss}^\epsilon, \nabla d_{ss}^\epsilon)\|_{H^1} \right) ds \right\}^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we state the main results in this article as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that $(\sigma^\epsilon, u^\epsilon, d^\epsilon)$ is the solution obtained in Proposition 1.1, and the initial datum $(\sigma_0^\epsilon, u_0^\epsilon, d_0^\epsilon)$ further satisfies*

$$\|(\sigma_0^\epsilon, u_0^\epsilon, \nabla d_0^\epsilon)\|_{H^2} + \|(\sigma_t^\epsilon, u_t^\epsilon, \nabla d_t^\epsilon)(0)\|_{H^1} + \epsilon \|(\sigma_{tt}^\epsilon, u_{tt}^\epsilon, \nabla d_{tt}^\epsilon)(0)\|_{L^2} \leq D_0.$$

Then there exist two positive constants T_0 and D such that $(\sigma^\epsilon, u^\epsilon, d^\epsilon)$ satisfies the uniform estimates

$$M^\epsilon(T_0) \leq D, \quad (1.11)$$

where D_0, T_0 and D are constants independent of $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$.

Based on the above uniform estimates, by applying the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, we can prove the following convergence result in a standard way.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $(\sigma^\epsilon, u^\epsilon, d^\epsilon)$ be the solution obtained in Theorem 1.2, and the initial data $(\sigma_0^\epsilon, u_0^\epsilon, d_0^\epsilon)$ further satisfies that*

$$\begin{aligned} (u_0^\epsilon, \nabla d_0^\epsilon) &\rightarrow (u_0, \nabla d_0) \quad \text{strongly in } H^s \text{ for all } 0 \leq s < 2 \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0, \\ \epsilon\sigma_0^\epsilon &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{strongly in } H^s \text{ for all } 0 \leq s < 1 \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

Then $(\rho^\epsilon, u^\epsilon, \nabla d^\epsilon) \rightarrow (1, u, \nabla d)$ strongly in $C([0, T_0]; H^1)$ as the Mach number $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, and there exists a function $\pi(x, t)$ such that (u, π, d) satisfies the following classical incompressible nematic crystal equations

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla \pi - \mu \Delta u &= -\nabla d \cdot \Delta d, \\ \operatorname{div} u &= 0, \\ d_t + u \cdot \nabla d &= \Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d, \quad |d| = 1, \end{aligned} \quad (1.13)$$

with the initial and boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} (u, d)|_{t=0} &= (u_0, d_0), \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ u \cdot n &= 0, \quad \operatorname{curl} u \times n = 0, \quad \frac{\partial d}{\partial n} = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

We use the methods applied in [6, 5, 7]. According to similar arguments to those in [5, 6], we know that to prove Theorem 1.2 it suffices to prove that

$$M^\epsilon(T_0) \leq C_0(M_0^\epsilon) \exp(t^{1/4}C(M^\epsilon(t))), \quad (2.1)$$

for all $t \in [0, T^\epsilon]$ and for some given nondecreasing continuous functions $C_0(\cdot)$ and $C(\cdot)$.

For the sake of simplicity, we will drop the superscript ϵ of $\sigma^\epsilon, u^\epsilon, d^\epsilon$ and so on. Moreover, in the following, we will write $M^\epsilon(t)$ and M_0^ϵ as M and M_0 , respectively. The symbol C denotes a generic constant and its value may change from line to line.

Firstly, we list some lemmas which will be used throughout this paper.

Lemma 2.1 ([9]). *Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and outward normal n . For any $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ with $u \cdot n = 0$ or $u \times n = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, there exists a positive constant C independent of u such that*

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C(\|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\operatorname{curl} u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}), \quad (2.2)$$

where the vorticity $\operatorname{curl} u = (\partial_2 u_3 - \partial_3 u_2, \partial_3 u_1 - \partial_1 u_3, \partial_1 u_2 - \partial_2 u_1)^T$.

Lemma 2.2 ([14]). *Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and outward normal n . Then, for any $u \in H^1(\Omega)$, $s \geq 1$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of u , such that*

$$\|u\|_{H^s(\Omega)} \leq C(\|\operatorname{div} u\|_{H^{s-1}(\Omega)} + \|\operatorname{curl} u\|_{H^{s-1}(\Omega)} + \|u \times n\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)} + \|u\|_{H^{s-1}(\Omega)}). \quad (2.3)$$

Lemma 2.3 ([3]). *Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and outward normal n . Then, for any $u \in H^1(\Omega)$, $s \geq 1$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of u , such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{H^s(\Omega)} &\leq C(\|\operatorname{div} u\|_{H^{s-1}(\Omega)} + \|\operatorname{curl} u\|_{H^{s-1}(\Omega)} + \|u \cdot n\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)} \\ &\quad + \|u\|_{H^{s-1}(\Omega)}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

From Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3, we have

$$\|\operatorname{curl} u\|_{H^2} \leq C(\|\Delta \operatorname{curl} u\|_{L^2} + \|u\|_{H^2}), \quad (2.5)$$

for $u \cdot n = 0$ and $\operatorname{curl} u \times n = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. In fact, the latter one gives (see [1, 7])

$$\operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} u \cdot n = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Firstly, we know that ρ and its derivatives always appear as a coefficient of u and its derivatives. Thus, for simplicity, we use the standard energy method in [5, 12] to obtain

$$\|\rho(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2} + \|\rho_t(\cdot, t)\|_{H^1} + \|\rho_{tt}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} + \left\| \frac{1}{\rho}(\cdot, t) \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_0(M_0)(\sqrt{t}C(M)). \quad (2.6)$$

Now we use the method in [5, 6, 15] to prove a priori estimates on σ, u and d . Multiplying (1.4)-(1.5) by σ and u , respectively, and integrating over $\Omega \times (0, t)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \|(\sigma, \sqrt{\rho}u)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|(\sqrt{\mu} \operatorname{curl} u, \sqrt{\lambda + 2\mu} \operatorname{div} u)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \sigma^2 \operatorname{div} u \, dx \, ds + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{P'(1) - P'(1 + \epsilon\sigma)}{\epsilon} u \nabla \sigma \, dx \, ds \\
&\quad - \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (u \cdot \nabla) d \cdot \Delta d \, dx \, ds + \frac{1}{2} \|(\sigma_0, \sqrt{\rho_0}u_0)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq C_0(M_0) + C \int_0^t \|\nabla \sigma\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla u\|^2 ds + C \int_0^t \|u\|_{L^6} \|\sigma\|_{L^3} \|\nabla \sigma\|_{L^2} ds \\
&\quad + C \int_0^t \|u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla d\|_{L^3} \|\Delta d\|_{L^2} ds \\
&\leq C_0(M_0) \exp(tC(M)),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

where we have used

$$-\Delta u = -\nabla \operatorname{div} u + \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} u. \tag{2.8}$$

Multiplying (1.5) by $\nabla \operatorname{div} u$ and integrating the result over $\Omega \times (0, t)$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\lambda + 2\mu) \int_0^t \|\nabla \operatorname{div} u\|_{L^2}^2 ds - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \nabla \operatorname{div} u \cdot \nabla \sigma \, dx \, ds \\
&= \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (\rho u_t + \rho u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla d \cdot \Delta d) \nabla \operatorname{div} u \, dx \, ds \\
&+ \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{P'(1 + \epsilon\sigma) - P'(1)}{\epsilon} \nabla \sigma \cdot \nabla \operatorname{div} u \, dx \, ds \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \rho (\operatorname{div} u)^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \rho (\operatorname{div} u_0)^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \rho_t (\operatorname{div} u)^2 dx \, ds \\
&\quad - \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \nabla \rho \cdot u_t \operatorname{div} u \, dx \, ds + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (\rho u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla \cdot \Delta d) \nabla \operatorname{div} u \, dx \, ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{P'(1 + \epsilon\sigma) - P'(1)}{\epsilon} \nabla \sigma \cdot \nabla \operatorname{div} u \, dx \, ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \rho (\operatorname{div} u)^2 dx + \int_0^t \|\nabla \operatorname{div} u\|_{L^2}^2 ds - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \nabla \operatorname{div} u \cdot \nabla \sigma \, dx \, ds \\
&\leq C_0(M_0) + \int_0^t (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|u\|_{H^2} + \|\nabla d\|_{H^2} \|\Delta d\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + \|\sigma\|_{H^2} \|\nabla \sigma\|_{L^2}) \|\nabla \operatorname{div} u\|_{L^2} ds \\
&\leq C_0(M_0) \exp(tC(M)).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

To eliminate the singular term in (2.9), we take ∇ to (1.4) and multiply the result by $\nabla\sigma$ to find

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\sigma|^2 dx + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \nabla\sigma \cdot \nabla \operatorname{div} u \, dx \, ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\sigma_0|^2 dx - \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \nabla \operatorname{div}(\sigma u) \cdot \nabla\sigma \, dx \\ &\leq C_0(M_0) \exp(tC(M)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Summing (2.9) and (2.10), we obtain

$$\|(\operatorname{div} u, \nabla\sigma)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla \operatorname{div} u\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq C_0(M_0) \exp(tC(M)). \quad (2.11)$$

Denote $\omega = \operatorname{curl} u$. Taking curl to (1.4), we have

$$\rho \partial_t \omega + \rho u \cdot \nabla \omega - \mu \Delta \omega = f, \quad (2.12)$$

where $f = \nabla \rho \times \partial_t u + \nabla(\rho u_i) \times \partial_i u - \nabla \Delta d_j \times \nabla d_j$. Multiplying (2.12) by ω , we obtain

$$\|\operatorname{curl} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} u|^2 \, dx \, ds \leq C_0(M_0) \exp(\sqrt{t}C(M)). \quad (2.13)$$

From Lemma 2.3 and the boundary condition $\frac{\partial d}{\partial n} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, we know that

$$\|\nabla d\|_{H^1} \leq C(\|\operatorname{div} \nabla d\|_{L^2} + \|\operatorname{curl} \nabla d\|_{L^2}) = C\|\Delta d\|_{L^2} \quad (2.14)$$

Applying ∇ to (1.6), we have

$$\nabla d_t - \nabla \Delta d = \nabla(|\nabla d|^2 d) - \nabla(u \cdot \nabla d). \quad (2.15)$$

Multiplying (2.15) by ∇d_t and integrating over $\Omega \times (0, t)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta d|^2 dx + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla d_t|^2 \, dx \, ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta d_0|^2 dx + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (\nabla(|\nabla d|^2 d) - \nabla(u \cdot \nabla d)) \cdot \nabla d_t \, dx \, ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla d|^3 + |\nabla d| |\nabla^2 d| + |\nabla u| |\nabla d| + |u| |\nabla^2 d|) \nabla d_t \, dx \, ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|d\|_{H^3}^2 (\|\nabla d\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}) \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \|u\|_{H^2} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} ds \\ &\leq C_0(M_0) \exp(tC(M)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Combining (2.14) with (2.16), we obtain

$$\|\nabla d\|_{H^1}^2 + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla d_t|^2 \, dx \, ds \leq C_0(M_0) \exp(tC(M)). \quad (2.17)$$

Multiplying (2.12) by $\partial_t \omega - \Delta \omega$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mu}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} u|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} (\mu |\Delta \omega|^2 + \rho |\omega_t|^2) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \rho \omega_t \Delta \omega dx - \int_{\Omega} \rho (u \cdot \nabla) \omega (\omega_t - \Delta \omega) dx + \int_{\Omega} f (\omega_t - \Delta \omega) dx \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3, \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

where by using (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\mu \int_{\Omega} \Delta \omega \cdot \omega_t dx &= \mu \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} \omega \cdot \omega_t dx \\ &= \mu \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{curl} \omega \cdot \operatorname{curl} \omega_t dx + \int_{\partial \Omega} (\omega_t \times n) \operatorname{curl} \omega dS \\ &= \frac{\mu}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\operatorname{curl} \omega|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we estimate I_1 , I_2 and I_3 as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= - \int_{\Omega} \rho \omega_t \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} \omega dx \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \rho \operatorname{curl} \omega \operatorname{curl} \omega_t dx - \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{curl} \omega \cdot (\nabla \rho \times \omega_t) dx \\ &= - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \rho |\operatorname{curl} \omega|^2 dx + C \|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \|\operatorname{curl} \omega\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \operatorname{curl} \omega\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^6} \|\operatorname{curl} \omega\|_{L^3} \|\omega_t\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \rho |\operatorname{curl} \omega|^2 dx + C \|\rho\|_{H^2} \|u\|_{H^2}^2 \|u\|_{H^3} \\ &\quad + \|\rho\|_{H^2} \|u_t\|_{H^1} \|u\|_{H^2}^{1/2} \|u\|_{H^3}^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq C \|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2} (\|\omega_t\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta \omega\|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq C \|\rho\|_{H^2} \|u\|_{H^2} (\|u_t\|_{H^1} + \|u\|_{H^3}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|I_3| \leq C \|f\|_{L^2} (\|u_t\|_{H^1} + \|u\|_{H^3}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\| &\leq C \left(\|\nabla \rho\| |\partial_t u|, |\nabla(\rho u)| |\nabla u|, |\nabla^3 d| |\nabla d| \right)_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \|\rho\|_{H^2} \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|\partial_t u\|_{H^1}^{1/2} + C \|\rho\|_{H^2} \|u\|_{H^1}^{1/2} \|u\|_{H^2}^{3/2} + C \|d\|_{H^3}^2 \\ &\leq C(M). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above estimates into (2.18) and integrating over $(0, t)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (|\Delta \operatorname{curl} u|^2 + |\operatorname{curl} u_t|^2) dx ds \\ &\leq C_0(M_0) \exp(\sqrt{t} C(M)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Applying ∂_t to (1.4) and (1.5), respectively, we obtain

$$\sigma_{tt} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \operatorname{div} u_t = -\operatorname{div}(\sigma u)_t, \quad (2.20)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho u_{tt} + \rho u \cdot \nabla u_t - \mu \Delta u_t - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} u_t \\
&= -\rho_t u_t - (\rho u)_t \cdot \nabla u - \frac{1}{\epsilon} (P'(1 + \epsilon \sigma) \nabla \sigma)_t - \nabla d_t \cdot \Delta d - \nabla d \cdot \Delta d_t.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

Multiplying (2.21) by $-\nabla \operatorname{div} u$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\lambda + 2\mu}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \operatorname{div} u|^2 dx - \frac{P'(1)}{\epsilon} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \nabla \sigma_t \nabla \operatorname{div} u \, dx \, ds \\
&= \frac{\lambda + 2\mu}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \operatorname{div} u_0|^2 dx \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{P'(1 + \epsilon \sigma) - P'(1)}{\epsilon} \nabla \sigma \right)_t \nabla \operatorname{div} u \, dx \, ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (\rho u_{tt} + \rho u \cdot \nabla u_t + \rho_t u_t - (\rho u)_t \cdot \nabla u) \nabla \operatorname{div} u \, dx \, ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (\nabla d_t \cdot \Delta d + \nabla d \cdot \Delta d_t) \nabla \operatorname{div} u \, dx \, ds \\
&= \frac{\lambda + 2\mu}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \operatorname{div} u_0|^2 dx + I_4 + I_5 + I_6.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

We estimate I_4, I_5 and I_6 as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_4| &\leq C \int_0^t \|\sigma\|_{H^2} \|\nabla \operatorname{div} u\|_{L^2} (\|\sigma_t\|_{L^3} + \|\nabla \sigma_t\|_{L^2}) \, ds \leq tC(M), \\
|I_5| &\leq C \int_0^t \|\rho\|_{H^2} \|u_{tt}\|_{L^2} \|u\|_{H^2} \, ds + tC(M) \leq tC(M), \\
|I_6| &\leq \int_0^t \|d\|_{H^2} \|\nabla \operatorname{div} u\|_{L^2} (\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^3} + \|\Delta d_t\|_{L^2}) \, ds \leq tC(M).
\end{aligned}$$

To eliminate the singular term, we apply ∇ to (1.4) and multiply the result by $\nabla \sigma_t$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t \|\nabla \sigma_t\|_{L^2}^2 \, ds + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \nabla \sigma_t \nabla \operatorname{div} u \, dx \, ds \\
&= - \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \nabla \operatorname{div}(\sigma u) \cdot \nabla \sigma_t \, dx \, ds \leq tC(M).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

Summing (2.22) and (2.23), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \operatorname{div} u|^2 dx + \int_0^t \|\nabla \sigma_t\|_{L^2}^2 \, ds \leq C_0(M_0) \exp(tC(M)). \tag{2.24}$$

Applying ∂_i to (1.5) and multiplying the result by $\partial_i \nabla \operatorname{div} u$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i \nabla \operatorname{div} u|^2 \, dx \, ds - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \partial_i \nabla \sigma \cdot \partial_i \nabla \operatorname{div} u \, dx \, ds \\
&\leq \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla(\rho u_t + \rho u \cdot \nabla u)|^2 + |\nabla(\sigma \nabla \sigma)|^2 + |\nabla(\nabla d \cdot \Delta d)|^2) \, dx \, ds \\
&\leq tC(M).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

To eliminate the singular term, taking $\partial_i \nabla$ to (1.4) and multiplying the result by $\partial_i \nabla \sigma$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i \nabla \sigma|^2 dx + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \partial_i \nabla \operatorname{div} u \cdot \partial_i \nabla \sigma dx ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\partial_i \nabla \sigma_0|^2 dx + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \partial_i \nabla (\sigma \operatorname{div} u + \nabla \sigma \cdot u) \partial_i \nabla \sigma dx ds \\ &\leq C_0(M_0) + \int_0^t \|u\|_{H^3} \|\sigma\|_{H^2}^2 ds \\ &\leq C_0(M_0) \exp(\sqrt{t}C(M)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

Summing (2.25) with (2.26), we obtain

$$\|\nabla^2 \sigma\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla^2 \operatorname{div} u|^2 dx ds \leq C_0(M_0) \exp(\sqrt{t}C(M)). \quad (2.27)$$

To obtain a priori estimate on $\|d\|_{L_t^\infty(H^3)}$, we use elliptic regularity theory, (2.17), (2.19) and (2.24).

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla d\|_{H^2} \\ &\leq C\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla u \cdot \nabla d\|_{L^2} + C\|u \cdot \nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla d\|_{L^2}^3 + \|\nabla d\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla u\|_{L^3} \|\nabla d\|_{L^6} + C\|u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^3} + C\|\nabla d\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^3} \\ &\leq C\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla d\|_{H^2} + C_0(M_0) \exp(tC(M)), \end{aligned}$$

where we used Nirenberg's interpolation inequality and Young inequality. Then, we conclude that

$$\|\nabla d\|_{H^2} \leq C\|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} + C_0(M_0) \exp(tC(M)). \quad (2.28)$$

Hence, to obtain the estimate on $\|\nabla d\|_{L_t^\infty(H^2)}$, it is sufficient to estimate $\|\nabla d_t\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}$. Taking ∂_t to (1.6), we obtain

$$d_{tt} + (u \cdot \nabla d)_t = \Delta d_t + (|\nabla d|^2)_t. \quad (2.29)$$

Multiplying (2.29) by $-\Delta d_t$ and integrating over $\Omega \times (0, t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla d_t|^2 dx + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\Delta d_t|^2 dx dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla d_t(0)|^2 dx + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \left(u_t \cdot \nabla d + u \cdot \nabla d_t \right. \\ &\quad \left. - |\nabla d|^2 d_t - d \partial_t |\nabla d|^2 \right) \Delta d_t dx ds \\ &\leq C_0(M_0) + C \int_0^t (\|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty} \|u_t\|_{L^2} + \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}) \|\Delta d_t\|_{L^2} ds \\ &\quad + C \int_0^t (\|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|d_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla d\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}) \|\Delta d_t\|_{L^2} ds \\ &\leq C_0(M_0) \exp(tC(M)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

Substituting (2.30) into (2.28), we obtain

$$\|\nabla d\|_{H^2} \leq C_0(M_0) \exp(tC(M)). \quad (2.31)$$

Then, by using calculations similar to those in [5], we can obtain the basic a priori estimates for σ_t, u_t . Multiplying (2.20), (2.21) by σ_t and u_t , respectively and integrating over $\Omega \times (0, t)$, we obtain

$$(\|\sigma_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u_t\|_{L^2}^2) + \int_0^t \|(\operatorname{curl} u_t, \operatorname{div} u_t)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq C_0(M_0) \exp(tC(M)). \quad (2.32)$$

Multiplying (2.20), (2.21) by $-\Delta\sigma_t$ and $-\nabla \operatorname{div} u_t$, respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\sigma_t|^2 dx + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \nabla \operatorname{div} u_t \cdot \nabla\sigma_t dx ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\sigma_t(0)|^2 dx + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(\sigma_t u + \sigma u_t) \Delta\sigma_t dx ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\sigma_t(0)|^2 dx + I_7, \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_7 &= \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} u \cdot \nabla\sigma_t \Delta\sigma_t dx ds - \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \nabla(\sigma_t \operatorname{div} u + u_t \nabla\sigma + \sigma \operatorname{div} u_t) dx ds \\ &= - \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \partial_j u_i \partial_i \sigma_t \partial_j \sigma_t dx ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} u |\nabla\sigma_t|^2 dx ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \nabla(\sigma_t \operatorname{div} u + u_t \nabla\sigma + \sigma \operatorname{div} u_t) dx ds \\ &\leq tC(M) + C(M) \int_0^t \|u\|_{H^3} ds + C(M) \int_0^t \|u_t\|_{H^2} ds \\ &\leq \sqrt{t}C(M), \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \rho(\operatorname{div} u_t)^2 dx + (\lambda + 2\mu) \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \operatorname{div} u_t|^2 dx \\ & - \frac{P'(1)}{\epsilon} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \nabla\sigma_t \cdot \nabla \operatorname{div} u_t dx ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \rho_0(\operatorname{div} u_t(0))^2 dx + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{P'(1 + \epsilon\sigma) - P'(1)}{\epsilon} \nabla\sigma \right)_t \nabla \operatorname{div} u_t dx ds \\ & + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2} \sigma_t (\operatorname{div} u_t)^2 - \epsilon u_{tt} \cdot \nabla\sigma \operatorname{div} u_t \right) dx ds \\ & - \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (\rho_t u_t + (\rho u \cdot \nabla u)_t) \nabla \operatorname{div} u_t dx ds \\ & + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (\nabla d_t \cdot \Delta d + \nabla d \cdot \Delta d_t) \nabla \operatorname{div} u_t dx ds \\ &\leq C_0(M_0) + \sqrt{t}C(M). \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

Summing (2.33), (2.34) and (2.35), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla\sigma_t|^2 + (\operatorname{div} u_t)^2) dx + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \operatorname{div} u_t|^2 dx ds \\ &\leq C_0(M_0) \exp(\sqrt{t}C(M)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

To complete the estimate of $\|u_t\|_{L_t^\infty(H^1)}$, we apply ∂_t to (2.12) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_t \omega_t + \rho \omega_{tt} + (\rho u)_t \cdot \nabla \omega + \rho u \cdot \nabla \omega_t - \mu \Delta \omega_t \\ &= \nabla \rho_t \times u_t + \nabla \rho \times u_{tt} + \nabla \Delta(d_j)_t \times \nabla d_j \\ & \quad + \nabla \Delta d_j \times \nabla(d_j)_t + \nabla(\rho u_i)_t \times \partial_i u + \nabla(\rho u_i) \times \partial_i u_t. \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

Multiplying (2.37) by ω_t in $L^2(\Omega \times (0, t))$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \rho |\omega_t|^2 dx + \mu \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\operatorname{curl} \omega_t|^2 dx ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \rho |\omega_t(0)|^2 dx + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2} \sigma_t |\omega_t|^2 - \epsilon \sigma_t \omega_t - (\rho u)_t \cdot \nabla \omega - \rho u \cdot \nabla \omega_t \right) \omega_t dx ds \\ & \quad + \epsilon \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \sigma_t \times u_t + \nabla \sigma \times u_{tt}) \omega_t dx ds + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Delta(d_j)_t \times \nabla d_k \omega_t dx ds \\ & \quad + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \Delta d_j \times \nabla(d_j)_t + \nabla(\rho u_i)_t \times \partial_i u + \nabla(\rho u_i) \times \partial_i u_t) \omega_t dx ds \\ &= C_0(M_0) + I_8 + I_9 + I_{10} + I_{11}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

where, by using (2.32) and integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\mu \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \Delta \omega \cdot \omega_t dx ds &= \mu \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} \omega_t \cdot \omega_t dx ds \\ &= \mu \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\operatorname{curl} \omega_t|^2 dx ds + \mu \int_0^t \int_{\partial \Omega} \operatorname{curl} \omega_t \cdot (\omega_t \times n) dS \\ &= \mu \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\operatorname{curl} \omega_t|^2 dx ds. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate I_i ($i = 8, 9, 10, 11$) as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} I_{10} &= \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Delta(d_j)_t \cdot (\nabla d_j \times \omega_t) dx ds \\ &= - \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \Delta(d_j)_t \operatorname{div}(\nabla d_j \times \omega_t) dx ds + \int_0^t \int_{\partial \Omega} \Delta(d_j)_t \nabla(d_j)_t \cdot (\omega_t \times n) dS \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \Delta(d_j)_t \nabla d_j \cdot \operatorname{curl} \omega_t dx ds \leq \sqrt{t} C(M). \end{aligned}$$

With calculations similar to those in [5], we have

$$|J_8| + |J_9| + |J_{11}| \leq \sqrt{t} C(M).$$

Substituting the above estimates into (2.38), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \rho |\operatorname{curl} u_t|^2 dx + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} u_t|^2 dx ds \leq C_0(M_0) \exp C(\sqrt{t} C(M)). \quad (2.39)$$

Now, we have a priori estimate on $\|\nabla d_t\|_{L_t^\infty(H^1)}$. Multiplying (2.29) by $-\Delta d_{tt}$ and integrating over $\Omega \times (0, t)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta d_t|^2 dx + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla d_{tt}|^2 dx ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta d_t(0)|^2 dx + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} [(u \cdot \nabla d)_t - (|\nabla d|^2 d)_t] \Delta d_{tt} dx ds \\ &\leq C_0(M_0) + C \int_0^t (\|\nabla d\|_{H^2} \|u_t\|_{L^2} + \|u\|_{H^2} \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \|\nabla d\|_{H^2} \|\nabla d_t\|_{L^2}) \|\Delta d_{tt}\|_{L^2} ds \\ &\leq C_0(M_0) \exp(\sqrt{t}C(M)). \end{aligned} \tag{2.40}$$

By the same reasoning as for (2.14), we conclude that

$$\|\nabla d_t\|_{H^1}^2 + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla d_{tt}|^2 dx ds \leq C_0(M_0) \exp(\sqrt{t}C(M)). \tag{2.41}$$

Finally, we only need to estimate $\epsilon \sigma_{tt}, \epsilon u_{tt}, \epsilon \nabla d_{tt}$ to close the energy estimates. Multiplying ∂_{tt} (1.4), ∂_{tt} (1.5), ∂_{tt} (1.6) by $\epsilon^2 \sigma_{tt}, \epsilon^2 u_{tt}$ and $\epsilon^2 \Delta d_{tt}$, respectively, and integrating over $\Omega \times (0, t)$, we derive that

$$\epsilon \|(\sigma_{tt}, u_{tt}, \nabla d_{tt})\|_{L^2}^2 + \epsilon \int_0^t \|(u_{tt}, \nabla d_{tt})\|_{H^1}^2 ds \leq C_0(M_0) \exp(t^{1/4}C(M)). \tag{2.42}$$

Collecting the estimates obtained in (2.7), (2.11), (2.13), (2.17), (2.19), (2.24), (2.27), (2.31), (2.32), (2.36), (2.39), (2.41), and (2.42), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\sigma, u)\|_{L^2} + \|(\operatorname{div} u, \operatorname{curl} u, \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} u, \nabla \operatorname{div} u)\|_{L^2} + \|(\nabla \sigma, \nabla d)\|_{H^1} + \|\nabla d\|_{H^2} \\ &+ \|(\sigma_t, u_t)\|_{L^2} + \|(\nabla \sigma_t, \operatorname{div} u_t, \operatorname{curl} u_t)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla d_t\|_{H^1} + \epsilon \|(\sigma_{tt}, u_{tt}, \nabla d_{tt})\|_{L^2} \\ &+ \|(\operatorname{div} u, \operatorname{curl} u, \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} u)\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} + \|(\nabla^2 \operatorname{div} u, \Delta \operatorname{curl} u)\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \\ &+ \|(\operatorname{div} u_t, \operatorname{curl} u_t, \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} u_t, \nabla \operatorname{div} u_t)\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} + \epsilon \|(\sigma_{tt}, u_{tt}, \nabla d_{tt})\|_{L_t^2(H^1)} \\ &\leq C_0(M_0) \exp(t^{1/4}C(M)). \end{aligned} \tag{2.43}$$

Thus, (2.1) holds. this completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Acknowledgements. B. Guo was supported by NSFC under grant numbers 11731014, 11571254. G. Ni is supported by NSFC under grant number 11771055 and by the Science Challenge Project under grand number TZ2016002.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bendali, J. Dminguez, S. Gallic; *A variational approach for the vector potential formulation of the Stokes and Navier-Stokes problems in three dimensional domains.* J. Math. Anal. Appl., 107 (1985), 537-560.
- [2] Q. Bie, H. Bo, Q. Wang, Z. Yao; *Global existence and incompressible limit in critical spaces for compressible flow of liquid crystals.* Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 68 (5) (2017), 113.
- [3] J. Bourguignon, H. Brezis; *Remarks on the Euler equation.* J. Funct. Anal., 15 (1974), 341-363.
- [4] S. Ding, J. Huang, H. Wen, R. Zi; *Incompressible limit of the compressible hydrodynamic flow of liquid crystals.* J. Funct. Anal., 264 (2013), 1711-1756.
- [5] C. Dou, S. Jiang, Y. Ou; *Low Mach number limit of full Navier-Stokes equations in a 3D bounded domain,* J. Differential Equations, 258 (2015), 379-398.

- [6] J. Fan, F. Li, G. Nakamura; *Convergence of the full compressible Navier-Stokes-Maxwell system to the incompressible magnetohydrodynamic equations in a bounded domain*. Kinet. Relat. Models, 9 (2016), 443-453.
- [7] J. Fan, F. Li, G. Nakamura; *Uniform well-posedness and singular limits of the isentropic Navier-Stokes-Maxwell system in a bounded domain*. Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 66 (2015), 1581-1593.
- [8] T. Huang, C. Wang, H. Wen; *Strong solutions of the compressible nematic liquid crystal flow*. J. Differential Equations, 252 (3) (2012), 2222-2265.
- [9] P. L. Lions; *Mathematical Topics in Fluid Mechanics*. Compressible Models, vol. 2. Oxford University Press, New York, 1998.
- [10] P. L. Lions, N. Masmoudi; *Incompressible limit for a viscous compressible fluid*. J. Math. Pures Appl., 77 (1998), 585-627.
- [11] N. Masmoudi; *Incompressible, inviscid limit of the compressible Navier-Stokes system*. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 18 (2001), 199-224.
- [12] Y. Ou; *Low Mach number limit of viscous polytropic fluid flows*. J. Differential Equations, 251 (2011), 2037-2065.
- [13] D. Wang, C. Yu; *Incompressible limit for the compressible flow of liquid crystals*. J. Math. Fluid Mech., 16 (2014), 771-786.
- [14] Y. Xiao, Z. Xin; *On the vanishing viscosity limit for the 3D Navier-Stokes equations with a slip boundary condition*. Commun. Pure Appl. Math., 60 (2007), 1027-1055.
- [15] X. Yang; *Uniform well-posedness and low Mach number limit to the compressible Nematic liquid crystal flows in a bounded domain*. Nonlinear Analysis, 120 (2015), 118-120.
- [16] Y. Yang, C. Dou, Q. Ju; *Weak-strong uniqueness property for the compressible flow of liquid crystals*. J. Differential Equations, 255 (6) (2013), 1233-1253.

BOLING GUO

INSTITUTE OF APPLIED PHYSICS AND COMPUTATIONAL MATHEMATICS, BEIJING, 100088, CHINA

E-mail address: gbl@iapcm.ac.cn

LAN ZENG (CORRESPONDING AUTHOR)

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF CHINA ACADEMY OF ENGINEERING PHYSICS, BEIJING, 100088, CHINA

E-mail address: zenglan1206@126.com

GUOXI NI

INSTITUTE OF APPLIED PHYSICS AND COMPUTATIONAL MATHEMATICS, BEIJING, 100088, CHINA

E-mail address: gxni@iapcm.ac.cn