

## EXISTENCE OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR SINGULAR P-LAPLACIAN STURM-LIOUVILLE BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS

D. D. HAI

ABSTRACT. We prove the existence of positive solutions of the Sturm-Liouville boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} -(r(t)\phi(u'))' &= \lambda g(t)f(t, u), \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ au(0) - b\phi^{-1}(r(0))u'(0) &= 0, \quad cu(1) + d\phi^{-1}(r(1))u'(1) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\phi(u') = |u'|^{p-2}u'$ ,  $p > 1$ ,  $f : (0, 1) \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies a  $p$ -sublinear condition and is allowed to be singular at  $u = 0$  with semipositone structure. Our results extend previously known results in the literature.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the boundary-value problem

$$\begin{aligned} -(r(t)\phi(u'))' &= \lambda g(t)f(t, u), \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ au(0) - b\phi^{-1}(r(0))u'(0) &= 0, \quad cu(1) + d\phi^{-1}(r(1))u'(1) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where  $\phi(u') = |u'|^{p-2}u'$ ,  $p > 1$ ,  $a, b, c, d$  are nonnegative constants with  $ac+ad+bc > 0$ ,  $f : (0, 1) \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is allowed to be singular at  $u = 0$ , and  $\lambda$  is a positive parameter.

When  $p = 2$  and  $f : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, Yang and Zhou [13] prove the existence of a positive solution to (1.1) under the assumption

$$\limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \frac{f(t, u)}{u} < \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda} < \liminf_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \inf_{t \in [0, 1]} \frac{f(t, u)}{u},$$

where  $\lambda_1 > 0$  denotes the first eigenvalue of  $-(r(t)u')' = \lambda g(t)u$  in  $(0, 1)$  with Sturm-Liouville boundary conditions. Their result allows  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \frac{f(t, u)}{u} = -\infty$ , which complements previous existence results in [1, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 14].

In this article, we shall extend the result in [13] to the general case  $p > 1$  and also allow  $f$  to be singular at  $u = 0$ . We also establish the existence of a positive solution to (1.1) for  $\lambda$  large allowing  $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \inf_{t \in (0, 1)} f(t, u)/u^{p-1} = -\infty$  and  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{t \in (0, 1)} f(t, u) = 0$ , which does not seem to have been considered in the literature even when  $p = 2$ . Note that the approach in [13] depends on the Green function and can not apply to the nonlinear case  $p > 1$  or the case when  $f$  is

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34B16, 34B18.

*Key words and phrases.* Singular Sturm-Liouville boundary value problem; positive solution.

©2016 Texas State University.

Submitted May 20, 2016. Published September 26, 2016.

singular at  $u = 0$ . Our approach depends on a new sub- and super solutions type argument and comparison principle.

Let  $g$  satisfy condition (A2) below. Then the eigenvalue problem  $-(r(t)\phi(u'))' = \lambda g(t)\phi(u)$  in  $(0, 1)$  with the Sturm-Liouville boundary conditions in (1.1) has a positive first eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  with corresponding positive eigenfunctions (see e.g. [3, 11]).

We shall make the following assumptions:

(A1)  $r : [0, 1] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  and  $f : (0, 1) \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous.

(A2)  $g \in L^1(0, 1)$  with  $g \geq 0, g \not\equiv 0$  and there exists a constant  $\gamma \geq 0$  such that

$$\int_0^1 \frac{g(t)}{q^\gamma(t)} dt < \infty,$$

where  $q(t) = \min(b + at, d + c(1 - t))$ .

(A3) For each  $r > 0$ , there exists a constant  $K_r > 0$  such that

$$|f(t, u)| \leq \frac{K_r}{u^\gamma}$$

for  $t \in (0, 1), u \in (0, r]$ , where  $\gamma$  is defined in (A2).

(A4)  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{f(t, u)}{\phi(u)} < \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda} < \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \inf \frac{f(t, u)}{\phi(u)}$ , where the limits are uniform in  $t \in (0, 1)$ .

(A5)  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{f(t, u)}{\phi(u)} < \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda}$  uniformly in  $t \in (0, 1)$ .

(A6) There exist positive constants  $A, L$  such that

$$f(t, u) \geq \frac{L}{u^\gamma}$$

for  $t \in (0, 1)$  and  $u \geq A$ .

By a solution of (1.1), we mean a function  $u \in C^1[0, 1]$  with  $r(t)\phi(u')$  absolutely continuous on  $[0, 1]$  and satisfying (1.1).

Our main results read as follows:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let (A1)–(A4) hold. Then (1.1) has a positive solution  $u$  with  $\inf_{(0,1)}(u/q) > 0$ .*

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let (A1)–(A3), (A5), (A6) hold. Then there exists a constant  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that for  $\lambda > \lambda_0$ , Equation (1.1) has a positive solution  $u_\lambda$  with  $\inf_{(0,1)}(u_\lambda/q) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ .*

Let  $\bar{\lambda} < \lambda_1$  and consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -(r(t)\phi(u'))' - \bar{\lambda}g(t)\phi(u) &= \lambda g(t)f(t, u), \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ au(0) - b\phi^{-1}(r(0))u'(0) &= 0, \quad cu(1) + d\phi^{-1}(r(1))u'(1) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

Then, as an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let (A1)–(A3) hold and suppose that*

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{f(t, u)}{\phi(u)} < \frac{\lambda_1 - \bar{\lambda}}{\lambda} < \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \inf \frac{f(t, u)}{\phi(u)}.$$

*Then (1.2) has a positive solution.*

**Remark 1.4.** When  $p = 2$  and  $f : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, [13, Theorem 3.1] follows from Theorem 1.1 with  $\gamma = 0$ .

**Example 1.5.** Let  $g(t) \equiv 1 \equiv r(t)$  and consider the BVP

$$\begin{aligned} -(\phi(u'))' &= \lambda f(t, u), \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) &= u(1) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

Note that  $\lambda_1 = \pi_p^p$ , where

$$\pi_p = 2(p-1)^{1/p} \int_0^1 \frac{ds}{(1-s^p)^{1/p}}$$

is the first eigenvalue of  $-(\phi(u'))'$  with zero boundary conditions (see [5, 6]).

(i) Let  $f(t, u) = u^{p-1}(\frac{e^t}{u^\gamma} - u^\beta)$ , where  $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ , and  $\beta > 0$ . Suppose  $\lambda > \lambda_1$  if  $\gamma = 0$ , and  $\lambda$  is any positive constant if  $\gamma > 0$ . Then (A1)–(A4) hold and therefore Theorem 1.1 gives the existence of a positive solution to (1.3).

(ii) Let  $f(t, u) = -\frac{1}{u^\gamma} + \frac{1}{u^\beta}$ , where  $0 < \beta < \gamma < 1$ . Then it is easy to see that the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 are satisfied and therefore (1.3) has a positive solution for  $\lambda$  large. Note that since  $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \inf_{t \in (0,1)} \frac{f(t, u)}{u^{p-1}} = -\infty$  and  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{t \in (0,1)} f(t, u) = 0$ , the results in [1, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14] do not apply here.

(iii) Let  $f(t, u) = (1 - u^{p-1}) \cos t$ . Then

$$\limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t, u)}{\phi(u)} < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(t, u)}{\phi(u)} = \infty$$

uniformly in  $t \in (0, 1)$  and so (1.2) has a positive solution for all  $\lambda > 0$ , by Corollary 1.3.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We shall denote the norms in  $C^1[0, 1]$  and  $L^q(0, 1)$  by  $|\cdot|_1$  and  $\|\cdot\|_q$  respectively. Here  $|u|_1 = \max(\|u\|_\infty, \|u'\|_\infty)$ . We first recall the following results in [8].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $h \in L^1(0, 1)$ . Then the problem*

$$\begin{aligned} -(r(t)\phi(u'))' &= h, \quad t \in (0, 1) \\ au(0) - b\phi^{-1}(r(0))u'(0) &= 0, \quad cu(1) + d\phi^{-1}(r(1))u'(1) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

*has a unique solution  $u = Sh \in C^1[0, 1]$ . Furthermore,  $S$  is completely continuous and there exists a constant  $m > 0$  such that*

$$|u|_1 \leq m\phi^{-1}(\|h\|_1).$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Suppose  $u \in C^1[0, 1]$  satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} -(r(t)\phi(u'))' &\geq 0, \quad t \in (0, 1) \\ au(0) - b\phi^{-1}(r(0))u'(0) &\geq 0, \quad cu(1) + d\phi^{-1}(r(1))u'(1) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

*Then there exists a constant  $m_0 > 0$  independent of  $u$  such that*

$$u(t) \geq m_0 \|u\|_\infty q(t)$$

*for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , where  $q$  is defined by (A2).*

**Remark 2.3.** Lemma 2.2 is a special case of [8, Lemma 3.4] when  $h = 0$ . Note that the proof of [8, Lemma 3.4] is incorrect for  $1 < p < 2$  when  $h \neq 0$  since it uses the inequality

$$|\phi^{-1}(x) - \phi^{-1}(y)| \leq 2\phi^{-1}(|x - y|) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R},$$

which is not true when  $1 < p < 2$ . However, when  $h = 0$ , this inequality is not needed in [8, Proof of Lemma 3.4], which guarantees the validity of Lemma 2.2.

**Lemma 2.4.** *There exists a constant  $k > 0$  such that  $|u| \leq k|u|_1 q$  in  $[0, 1]$  for all  $u \in C^1[0, 1]$  satisfying the Sturm-Liouville boundary conditions in (1.1).*

*Proof.* Let  $u \in C^1[0, 1]$ . Then, if  $b > 0$ ,

$$u(t) = u(0) + \int_0^t u' \leq 2|u|_1 \leq \frac{2}{b}|u|_1(b + at)$$

for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , while if  $b = 0$  then  $a > 0$ , this implies  $u(0) = 0$  and  $u(t) \leq |u|_1 t$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Hence

$$u(t) \leq k_0|u|_1(b + at), \quad (2.1)$$

for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , where  $k_0 = 2/b$  if  $b > 0$ , and  $1/a$  if  $b = 0$ . Similarly, using

$$u(t) = u(1) - \int_t^1 u',$$

we obtain

$$u(t) \leq k_1|u|_1(d + c(1 - t)) \quad (2.2)$$

for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , where  $k_1 = 2/d$  if  $d > 0$ , and  $1/c$  if  $d = 0$ .

Combining (2.1) and (2.2), we see that  $u \leq k|u|_1 q$  in  $(0, 1)$ , where  $k = \max(k_0, k_1)$ . By replacing  $u$  by  $-u$ , we see that Lemma 2.4 holds.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $h_0, h_1 \in L^1(0, 1)$ . Suppose  $u_0, u_1 \in C^1[0, 1]$  satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} -(r(t)\phi(u_i'))' &= h_i, \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ au_i(0) - b\phi^{-1}(r(0))u_i'(0) &= 0, \quad cu_i(1) + d\phi^{-1}(r(1))u_i'(1) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for  $i = 0, 1$ . Then there exists a constant  $M_0 > 0$  depending on  $p, a, b, c, d$ , and  $C$  such that

$$|u_1 - u_0|_1 \leq M_0 \max\{\|h_1 - h_0\|_1, \|h_1 - h_0\|_1^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\}, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $C > 0$  is such that  $\|h_i\|_1 < C$  for  $i = 0, 1$ .

*Proof.* By integrating, we obtain

$$u_i(t) = C_i + \int_0^t \phi^{-1}\left(\frac{D_i - \int_0^s h_i}{r(s)}\right) ds \quad (2.4)$$

for  $i = 0, 1$ , where  $C_i, D_i$  are constants satisfying

$$aC_i - b\phi^{-1}(D_i) = 0,$$

$$c\left(C_i + \int_0^1 \phi^{-1}\left(\frac{D_i - \int_0^s h_i}{r(s)}\right) ds\right) + d\phi^{-1}\left(D_i - \int_0^1 h_i\right) = 0.$$

Suppose first that  $a = 0$ . Then  $b, c > 0$ ,  $D_i = 0$ , and

$$C_i = \frac{d}{c}\phi^{-1}\left(\int_0^1 h_i\right) + \int_0^1 \phi^{-1}\left(\frac{\int_0^s h_i}{r(s)}\right) ds,$$

and so

$$u_i(t) = \frac{d}{c}\phi^{-1}\left(\int_0^1 h_i\right) + \int_t^1 \phi^{-1}\left(\frac{\int_0^s h_i}{r(s)}\right) ds.$$

For  $p \geq 2$ , using the inequality

$$|\phi^{-1}(x) - \phi^{-1}(y)| \leq 2\phi^{-1}(|x - y|) \quad \text{for } x, y \in \mathbb{R},$$

we obtain

$$\max\{|u_1(t) - u_0(t)|, |u'_1(t) - u'_0(t)|\} \leq M_1 \|h_1 - h_0\|_1^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \tag{2.5}$$

for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , where  $r_0 = \min_{t \in [0,1]} r(t) > 0$ ,  $M_1 = 2(d/c + \phi^{-1}(1/r_0))$ .

For  $1 < p < 2$ , using the Mean Value Theorem, we obtain

$$|\phi^{-1}(x) - \phi^{-1}(y)| \leq (p-1)^{-1} |x - y| (\max\{|x|, |y|\})^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}$$

for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , which implies

$$\max\{|u_1(t) - u_0(t)|, |u'_1(t) - u'_0(t)|\} \leq M_2 \|h_1 - h_0\|_1, \tag{2.6}$$

for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , where  $M_2 = (p-1)^{-1}(dc^{-1} + r_0^{-1/(p-1)})C^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}$ .

Suppose next that  $a > 0$ . Then  $C_i = (b/a)\phi^{-1}(D_i)$ , and  $D_i$  satisfies

$$c\left(\frac{b}{a}\phi^{-1}(D_i) + \int_0^1 \phi^{-1}\left(\frac{D_i - \int_0^s h_i}{r(s)}\right) ds\right) + d\phi^{-1}\left(D_i - \int_0^1 h_i\right) = 0 \tag{2.7}$$

for  $i = 0, 1$ . Since  $\phi^{-1}$  is increasing and  $\phi^{-1}(0) = 0$ , it follows from (2.7) that  $|D_i| \leq \|h_i\|_1$ , and

$$|D_1 - D_0| \leq \|h_1 - h_0\|_1,$$

which, together with (2.4), imply

$$\max\{|u_1(t) - u_0(t)|, |u'_1(t) - u'_0(t)|\} \leq M_3 \max\{\|h_1 - h_0\|_1, \|h_1 - h_0\|_1^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\} \tag{2.8}$$

for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , where  $M_3 = 2(b/a + (2/r_0)^{\frac{1}{p-1}})$  if  $p \geq 2$ , and  $M_3 = (p-1)^{-1}(b/a + (2/r_0)^{1/(p-1)})C^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}$  if  $1 < p < 2$ . Combining (2.5), (2.6), and (2.8), we obtain (2.3) with  $M_0 = \max_{1 \leq i \leq 3} M_i$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3. PROOFS OF MAIN RESULTS

Let  $z_1 \in C^1[0, 1]$  be the normalized positive eigenfunction of  $-(r(t)\phi(u'))' = \lambda g(t)\phi(u)$  in  $(0, 1)$  with Sturm-Liouville boundary conditions corresponding to  $\lambda_1$  i.e.  $z_1 > 0$  on  $(0, 1)$  and  $\|z_1\|_\infty = 1$ . By Lemma 2.2, there exists a constant  $m_0 > 0$  such that  $z_1 \geq m_0 q$  in  $(0, 1)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Since  $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0^+} \inf \frac{f(t,z)}{\phi(z)} > \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda}$  uniformly in  $t \in (0, 1)$ , there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that

$$\frac{f(t,z)}{\phi(z)} > \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda} \tag{3.1}$$

for  $z \in (0, c]$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $Z = cz_1$  and  $Z_1 = Mz_1$ , where  $M > c$  is a large constant to be determined later. In view of (3.1),  $Z$  satisfies

$$-(r(t)\phi(Z'))' = \lambda_1 g(t)\phi(Z) \leq \lambda g(t)f(t, Z) \tag{3.2}$$

for  $t \in (0, 1)$ . For  $v \in C[0, 1]$ , let  $\tilde{v} = \min\{\max\{v, Z\}, Z_1\}$ . Then  $Z \leq \tilde{v} \leq Z_1 \leq M$  in  $(0, 1)$  and (A3) gives

$$|g(t)f(t, \tilde{v})| \leq \frac{K_M g(t)}{\tilde{v}^\gamma} \leq \frac{K_M g(t)}{(cz_1)^\gamma} \leq \frac{K_M g(t)}{(cm_0)^\gamma q^\gamma(t)} \tag{3.3}$$

for  $t \in (0, 1)$ . Hence  $g(t)f(t, \tilde{v}) \in L^1(0, 1)$  by (A2). Define  $Tv = u$ , where  $u$  is the solution of

$$\begin{aligned} -(r(t)\phi(u'))' &= \lambda g(t)f(t, \tilde{v}), \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ au(0) - b\phi^{-1}(r(0))u'(0) &= 0, \quad cu(1) + d\phi^{-1}(r(1))u'(1) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

whose existence follows from (3.3) and Lemma 2.1. Define  $S_1 v = \lambda g(t) f(t, \tilde{v})$ . Using (3.3) and the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, we see that  $S_1 : C[0, 1] \rightarrow L^1(0, 1)$  is continuous and bounded. Since  $T = S \circ S_1$ , where  $S$  is defined in Lemma 2.1, it follows that  $T : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$  is completely continuous and bounded. Hence, by the Schauder Fixed Point Theorem,  $T$  has a fixed point  $u$ . To complete the proof, we will first show that  $u \geq Z$  in  $(0, 1)$ . Indeed, suppose  $u(t^*) < Z(t^*)$  for some  $t^* \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $(t_0, t_1) \subset (0, 1)$  be the largest interval containing  $t^*$  such that  $u < Z$  in  $(t_0, t_1)$ . Then  $\tilde{u} = Z$  in  $(t_0, t_1)$  and

$$au(t_0) - b\phi^{-1}(r(t_0))u'(t_0) \geq aZ(t_0) - b\phi^{-1}(r(t_0))Z'(t_0). \quad (3.5)$$

Indeed, if  $t_0 > 0$  then  $u(t_0) = Z(t_0)$  and  $u'(t_0) \leq Z'(t_0)$ , while if  $t_0 = 0$  then we have equality in (3.5). Similarly,

$$cu(t_1) + d\phi^{-1}(r(t_1))u'(t_1) \geq cZ(t_1) + d\phi^{-1}(r(t_1))Z'(t_1). \quad (3.6)$$

Since

$$-(r(t)\phi(u'))' = \lambda g(t)f(t, Z), \quad t \in (t_0, t_1),$$

it follows from (3.2), (3.5), (3.6), and the comparison principle (see e.g. [8, Lemma 3.2]) that  $u \geq Z$  in  $(t_0, t_1)$ , a contradiction. Thus  $u \geq Z$  in  $(0, 1)$  and so  $\tilde{u} = \min\{u, Z_1\}$  in  $(0, 1)$ .

Next, we show that  $u \leq Z_1$  in  $(0, 1)$ . Using (A3) and  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{f(t, z)}{\phi(z)} < \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda}$  uniformly in  $t \in (0, 1)$ , we deduce the existence of constants  $A, K_\lambda > 0$  and  $\bar{\lambda} \in (0, \lambda_1)$  such that

$$\lambda f(t, z) \leq \bar{\lambda} \phi(z) + \frac{K_\lambda}{z^\gamma}$$

for  $z > 0$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} -(r(t)\phi(u'))' &= \lambda g(t)f(t, \tilde{u}) \leq g(t) \left( \bar{\lambda} \phi(\tilde{u}) + \frac{K_\lambda}{\tilde{u}^\gamma} \right) \\ &\leq g(t) \left( \bar{\lambda} (Mz_1)^{p-1} + \frac{K_\lambda}{(cz_1)^\gamma} \right) \\ &\leq \bar{\lambda} g(t) (Mz_1)^{p-1} + \frac{K_\lambda g(t)}{(cm_0)^\gamma q^\gamma(t)} \end{aligned}$$

for  $t \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $u_M = u/M$ . Then  $u_M$  satisfies

$$-(r(t)\phi(u'_M))' \leq \bar{\lambda} g(t) z_1^{p-1} + \frac{K_\lambda g(t)}{(cm_0)^\gamma M^{p-1} q^\gamma(t)}$$

for  $t \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $\bar{u}_M$  and  $\bar{u}$  satisfy

$$-(r(t)\phi(\bar{u}'_M))' = \bar{\lambda} g(t) z_1^{p-1} + \frac{K_\lambda g(t)}{(cm_0)^\gamma M^{p-1} q^\gamma(t)} \equiv h_M, \quad t \in (0, 1),$$

and

$$-(r(t)\phi(\bar{u}'))' = \bar{\lambda} g(t) z_1^{p-1} \equiv h, \quad t \in (0, 1),$$

with Sturm-Liouville boundary conditions in (1.1). Note that  $\bar{u} = (\bar{\lambda}/\lambda_1)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} z_1$ . By the comparison principle,  $u_M \leq \bar{u}_M$  in  $(0, 1)$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be such that  $(\bar{\lambda}/\lambda_1)^{1/(p-1)} + \varepsilon < 1$ . Since

$$\|h_M - h\|_1 = \frac{K_\lambda}{(cm_0)^\gamma M^{p-1}} \left( \int_0^1 \frac{g(t)}{q^\gamma(t)} dt \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } M \rightarrow \infty,$$

it follows from Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}_M - \bar{u} &\leq k|\bar{u}_M - \bar{u}|_1 q \leq km_0^{-1}|\bar{u}_M - \bar{u}|_1 z_1 \\ &\leq km_0^{-1}M_0 \max\{\|h_M - h\|_1, \|h_M - h\|_1^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\} z_1 < \varepsilon z_1, \end{aligned}$$

provided that  $M$  is large enough. Consequently,

$$u_M \leq \bar{u}_M \leq \bar{u} + \varepsilon z_1 = \left( (\bar{\lambda}/\lambda_1)^{1/(p-1)} + \varepsilon \right) z_1 \leq z_1 \quad \text{in } (0, 1),$$

i.e.  $u \leq Mz_1 = Z_1$  in  $(0, 1)$ . Hence  $Z \leq u \leq Z_1$  in  $(0, 1)$  i.e.  $u$  is a positive solution of (1.1), which completes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* By Theorem 1.1, there exists a positive solution  $w$  of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -(r(t)\phi(w'))' &= \frac{g(t)}{w^\gamma}, \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ aw(0) - b\phi^{-1}(r(0))w'(0) &= 0, \quad cw(1) + d\phi^{-1}(r(1))w'(1) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

with  $w \geq \alpha q$  in  $(0, 1)$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ . Let  $w_0$  satisfy

$$-(r(t)\phi(w'_0))' = \begin{cases} \frac{L_1 g(t)}{w^\gamma} & \text{if } w > \frac{2AL_1^{-1/(p-1)}}{\lambda^\delta}, \\ -\frac{K_1 g(t)}{w^\gamma} & \text{if } w \leq \frac{2AL_1^{-1/(p-1)}}{\lambda^\delta} \end{cases} \equiv h_\lambda \quad \text{in } (0, 1),$$

with Sturm-Liouville boundary conditions, where  $\delta = (\gamma + p - 1)^{-1}$ ,  $L_1 = L^{\frac{p-1}{p-1+\gamma}}$  and  $K_1 = 2^\gamma L_1^{-\gamma/(p-1)} K_{2A}$ , and  $K_{2A}$  is defined in (A3). Let  $w_1$  satisfy

$$-(r(t)\phi(w'_1))' = \frac{L_1 g(t)}{w^\gamma} \equiv h \quad \text{in } (0, 1)$$

with Sturm-Liouville boundary conditions. Then  $w_1 = L_1^{1/(p-1)}w$  and  $w_0 \leq w_1$  in  $(0, 1)$  by the comparison principle. Since

$$\|h_\lambda - h\|_1 = (L_1 + K_1) \int_{w \leq \frac{2AL_1^{-1/(p-1)}}{\lambda^\delta}} \frac{g(t)}{w^\gamma(t)} dt \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty,$$

it follows from Lemma 2.5 that

$$|w_0 - w_1|_1 \leq M_0 \max\{\|h_\lambda - h\|_1, \|h_\lambda - h\|_1^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence by Lemma 2.4, there exists a constant  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that

$$w_0 \geq w_1 - k|w_0 - w_1|_1 q \geq \frac{L_1^{1/(p-1)}w}{2} \quad \text{in } (0, 1) \tag{3.7}$$

for  $\lambda > \lambda_0$ . Let  $Z = \lambda^\delta w_0$  and  $Z_1 = Mz_1$  where  $M > \lambda^\delta km_0^{-1}|w_1|_1$  (so that  $Z_1 > Z$  in  $(0, 1)$ ). We shall verify that  $Z$  satisfies

$$-(r(t)\phi(Z'))' \leq \lambda g(t)f(t, Z) \quad \text{in } (0, 1). \tag{3.8}$$

Indeed,

$$-(r(t)\phi(Z'))' = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda^{\delta(p-1)}L_1 g(t)}{w^\gamma} & \text{if } w > \frac{2AL_1^{-1/(p-1)}}{\lambda^\delta}, \\ -\frac{\lambda^{\delta(p-1)}K_1 g(t)}{w^\gamma} & \text{if } w \leq \frac{2AL_1^{-1/(p-1)}}{\lambda^\delta}. \end{cases}$$

If  $w > 2AL_1^{-1/(p-1)}/\lambda^\delta$  then by (3.7),

$$Z \geq \frac{\lambda^\delta L_1^{1/(p-1)} w}{2} \geq A,$$

from which (A6) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda g(t)f(t, Z) &\geq \frac{\lambda Lg(t)}{Z^\gamma} = \frac{\lambda^{1-\gamma\delta} Lg(t)}{w_0^\gamma} \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda^{1-\gamma\delta} Lg(t)}{w_1^\gamma} = \frac{\lambda^{\delta(p-1)} Lg(t)}{L_1^{\gamma/(p-1)} w^\gamma} \\ &= \frac{\lambda^{\delta(p-1)} L_1 g(t)}{w^\gamma}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

On the other hand, if  $w \leq \frac{2AL_1^{-1/(p-1)}}{\lambda^\delta}$ , then

$$Z \leq \lambda^\delta w_1 = L_1^{1/(p-1)} \lambda^\delta w \leq 2A,$$

from which (A3) and (3.7) give

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda g(t)f(t, Z) &\geq -\frac{\lambda K_{2A}g(t)}{Z^\gamma} = -\frac{\lambda^{1-\gamma\delta} K_{2A}g(t)}{w_0^\gamma} \\ &\geq -\frac{\lambda^{\delta(p-1)} K_{2A}g(t)}{(L_1^{1/(p-1)}/2)^\gamma w^\gamma} = -\frac{\lambda^{\delta(p-1)} K_1 g(t)}{w^\gamma}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Combining (3.9) and (3.10), we see that (3.8) holds. Let  $T$  be the operator defined in the proof of Theorem 1.1 i.e. for  $v \in C[0, 1]$ ,  $u = Tv$  satisfies (3.4); i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} -(r(t)\phi(u'))' &= \lambda g(t)f(t, \tilde{v}), \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ au(0) - b\phi^{-1}(r(0))u'(0) &= 0, \quad cu(1) + d\phi^{-1}(r(1))u'(1) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{v} = \min\{\max\{v, Z\}, Z_1\}$ . Then  $T$  has a fixed point  $u_\lambda$  in  $C[0, 1]$ . Using the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we see that  $u_\lambda \geq Z$  and, for  $M$  large enough  $u_\lambda \leq Z_1$  in  $(0, 1)$ ; i.e.,  $u_\lambda$  is a positive solution of (1.1) for  $\lambda > \lambda_0$  with  $u_\lambda \geq \lambda^\delta (L_1^{1/(p-1)}/2)w$  in  $(0, 1)$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** The author wants to thank the anonymous referee for pointing out some errors in the original manuscript and providing helpful suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] V. Anuradha, D. D. Hai, R. Shivaji; Existence results for superlinear semipositone BVPs, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **124** (1996), 757-763.
- [2] C. Atkinson K. E. Ali; Some boundary value problems for the Bingham model, *J. Non-Newton. Fluid Mech.*, **41** (1992), 339-363.
- [3] P. Binding, P. Drabek; Sturm-Liouville theory for the p-Laplacian, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, **40** (2003), no. 4, 375-396.
- [4] Y. J. Cui, J. X. Sun, Y. M. Zou; Gobal bifurcation and multiple results for Sturm-Liouville problems, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **235** (2011), 2185-2192.
- [5] P. Drabek; Ranges of a homogeneous operators and their perturbations, *Casopis Pest. Mat.*, **105** (1980), 167-183.
- [6] M. Del Pino, M. Elgueta, R. Manasevich; A homotopic deformation along p of a Leray-Schauder degree result and existence for  $(|u'|^{p-2}u')' + f(t, u) = 0, u(0) = u(T) = 0, p > 1$ . *J. Differential Equations*, **80** (1989), no. 1, 1-13.
- [7] L. Erbe, H. Wang; On the existence of positive solutions of ordinary differential equations. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **120** (1994), no. 3, 743-748.



- [8] D. D. Hai; On singular Sturm-Liouville boundary-value problems. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, **140** (2010), no. 1, 49–63.
- [9] K. Q. Lan; Multiple positive solutions of semipositone Sturm-Liouville boundary value problems, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **38** (2006), 283-293.
- [10] Y. Li; On the existence and nonexistence of positive solutions for nonlinear Sturm-Liouville boundary value problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **304** (2005), 74-86.
- [11] R. Mahadevan; A note on a nonlinear Krein-Rutman theorem, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **67** (2007), 3084-3090.
- [12] J. R. L. Webb, K. Q. Lan; Eigenvalue criteria for existence of multiple positive solutions of nonlinear boundary value problems of local and nonlocal type, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.*, **27** (2006), 91-115.
- [13] G. C. Yang, P.F. Zhou; A new existence results of positive solutions for the Sturm-Liouville boundary value problems, *Appl. Math. Letters* **29** (2014), 52-56.
- [14] Q. Yao; An existence theorem of a positive solution solution to a semipositone Sturm-Liouville boundary value problem, *Appl. Math. Letters*, **23** (2010), 1401-1406.

HAI DINH DANG

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MISSISSIPPI STATE UNIVERSITY, MISSISSIPPI STATE,  
MS 39762, USA

*E-mail address:* dang@math.msstate.edu