

**LOGARITHMICALLY IMPROVED BLOW-UP CRITERIA FOR  
THE 3D NONHOMOGENEOUS INCOMPRESSIBLE  
NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS WITH VACUUM**

QIANQIAN HOU, XIAOJING XU, ZHUAN YE

ABSTRACT. This article is devoted to the study of the nonhomogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in space dimension three. By making use of the “weakly nonlinear” energy estimate approach introduced by Lei and Zhou in [16], we establish two logarithmically improved blow-up criteria of the strong or smooth solutions subject to vacuum for the 3D nonhomogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in the whole space  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . This results extend recent regularity criterion obtained by Kim (2006) [13].

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article we study a blow-up criterion of strong solutions to the 3D nonhomogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes equation in the whole space  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) &= 0, \\ (\rho u)_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u) - \Delta u + \nabla \pi &= 0, \\ \operatorname{div} u &= 0, \\ (\rho, \rho u)|_{t=0} &= (\rho_0, \rho_0 u_0),\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

where  $u = u(x, t) = (u_1(x, t), u_2(x, t), u_3(x, t))$ ,  $\rho = \rho(x, t)$  and  $\pi = \pi(x, t)$  denote the unknown velocity, density and pressure, respectively. The system (1.1) describes a fluid which is obtained by mixing two miscible fluids that are incompressible and that have different densities. It may also describe a fluid containing a melted substance. One may check [17] for the detailed derivation.

In the past decades, there has been a lot of literature about the well-posedness theory of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations (1.1). When the initial density is strictly positive, there has been proved that there is a unique strong solution to the problem (1.1) in dimension three, which is locally defined for large initial data, while globally defined for the case of small data (see for example [1, 2, 3, 8, 10, 12, 15]). On the other hand, for initial data which permits regions of vacuum, i.e. regions where the density  $\rho$  vanishes on some set, the problem becomes much more complicated. The global existence of weak solutions of the system (1.1) has been established (see [14, 17, 18]). However, the problem of uniqueness and regularity of such weak

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q30, 35B40, 76D03, 76D05.

*Key words and phrases.* Nonhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations; blow-up criterion; strong solution; vacuum.

©2016 Texas State University.

Submitted April 29, 2015. Published July 14, 2016.

solutions is full of challenge and remains open. Very recently, Craig-Huang-Wang [7] proved the global existence of strong solution with vacuum of the system (1.1) under the assumption that the initial data  $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}$  is small enough. We refer the interested readers to [4, 5, 6, 11, 9, 21] for many more results.

Recently, Choe and Kim [4] established an existence result on strong solutions with nonnegative densities for the system (1.1). More precisely, it was proved that if the data  $\rho_0$  and  $u_0$  satisfy the following regularity condition

$$0 \leq \rho_0 \in L^{3/2} \cap H^2, \quad u_0 \in H_0^1 \cap H^2$$

and the compatibility condition

$$-\Delta u_0 + \nabla \pi_0 = \sqrt{\rho_0} g, \quad \operatorname{div} u_0 = 0,$$

with  $(\pi_0, g) \in H^1 \times L^2$ . Then there exist a time  $T_* \in (0, T)$  and a unique strong solution  $(\rho, u, \pi)$  to the system (1.1) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &\in L^\infty(0, T_*; L^\infty \cap H^1), \quad \nabla u, \pi \in L^\infty(0, T_*; H^1) \cap L^2(0, T_*; W^{1,6}), \\ \rho_t &\in L^\infty(0, T_*; L^2), \quad \sqrt{\rho} u_t \in L^\infty(0, T_*; L^2), \quad u_t \in L^2(0, T_*; H_0^1), \end{aligned}$$

Here we would like to emphasize that Kim [13] established the so-called Serrin type regularity criterion to the system (1.1), which reads: If

$$u \in L^q(0, T; L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad \frac{3}{p} + \frac{2}{q} \leq 1, \quad 3 < p \leq \infty,$$

then the solution can be extended beyond time  $T$ . Here  $L_w^p$  denotes the weak  $L^p$ -space.

The aim of this article is to establish the logarithmic Serrin type regularity criterion, which improves the result of [13]. More precisely,

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose that  $(\rho, u, \pi)$  is the unique local strong solution (established by Choe and Kim [4]) in time interval  $[0, T)$  to the system (1.1). If*

$$\int_0^T \frac{\|u(t)\|_{L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}^r}{\ln(e + \|u(t)\|_{L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^3)})} dt < \infty, \quad (1.2)$$

for  $\frac{3}{p} + \frac{2}{r} = 1$  with  $3 < p \leq \infty$ , then the solution  $(\rho, u, \pi)$  can be extended beyond time  $T$ . In other words, if the solution blows up at  $T^*$ , then

$$\int_0^{T^*} \frac{\|u(t)\|_{L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}^r}{\ln(e + \|u(t)\|_{L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^3)})} dt = \infty.$$

Our second result concerning the following regularity criterion in the Besov space with negative index reads as follows.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Suppose that  $(\rho, u, \pi)$  is the unique local strong solution (established by Choe and Kim [4]) in time interval  $[0, T)$  to the system (1.1). If*

$$\int_0^T \frac{\|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{-\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{2}{1-\delta}}}{\ln(e + \|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{-\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3)})} dt < \infty, \quad (1.3)$$

for  $0 < \delta < 1$ , then the solution  $(\rho, u, \pi)$  can be extended beyond time  $T$ . In other words, if the solution blows up at  $T^*$ , then

$$\int_0^{T^*} \frac{\|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{-\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{2}{1-\delta}}}{\ln(e + \|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{-\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3)})} dt = \infty.$$

**Remark 1.3.** At the moment we are not able to show above Theorem 1.2 still holds for the case  $\delta = 0$ , even we replace the logarithmic type assumption (1.3) by

$$\int_0^T \|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^0(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 dt < \infty. \quad (1.4)$$

Fortunately, we established a regularity criteria which are slightly weaker than (1.4),

$$\int_0^T \|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, 2}^0(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 dt < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^T \|u(t)\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 dt < \infty \quad (\text{see [19]}).$$

Let us state the following result corresponding to the case  $\delta = 1$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** *Suppose that  $(\rho, u, \pi)$  is the unique local strong solution (established by Choe and Kim [4]) in time interval  $[0, T)$  to the system (1.1). If there exists a small constant  $\eta$  such that*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \eta, \quad (1.5)$$

then the solution  $(\rho, u, \pi)$  can be extended beyond time  $T$ .

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

The proof is based on the “weakly nonlinear” energy estimate approach introduced firstly by Lei and Zhou in [16]. Since the local strong or smooth solutions to the system (1.1) was established by Choe and Kim [4], the key step in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is to prove a priori estimates.

If (1.2) holds, one can deduce that for any small  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $T_0 = T_0(\epsilon) < T$  such that

$$\int_{T_0}^T \frac{\|u(t)\|_{L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}^r}{\ln(e + \|u(t)\|_{L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^3)})} dt \leq \epsilon. \quad (2.1)$$

In what follows, we choose some suitable  $\epsilon$ . In sequel,  $C$  stands for some real positive constant which may be different in each occurrence and depend on  $\rho_0, u_0, T_0, T$  and so on. It is easy to show the following the basic estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sqrt{\rho}u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla u(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds &\leq C(\rho_0, u_0) < \infty, \\ \|\rho(t)\|_{L^q} &\leq \|\rho_0\|_{L^q} < \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

for any  $2 \leq q \leq \infty$ .

Testing the second equation of (1.1) by  $u_t$  and integrating over  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , we see that by using the mass equation (1.1)<sub>1</sub> and divergence-free condition

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho u \cdot \nabla u \cdot u_t dx \right|. \quad (2.3)$$

It follows from [13, 209]) that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho u \cdot \nabla u \cdot u_t dx \right| \leq C(1 + \|u\|_{L_w^p}^r) \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2.$$

For any  $t \in (T_0, T)$ , we denote

$$y(t) := \max_{\tau \in [T_0, t]} \|\Lambda^{\frac{3(p-2)}{2p}} u(\tau)\|_{L^2}, \quad 3 < p \leq \infty.$$

It should be noted that the function  $y(t)$  is nondecreasing. As a consequence of Gronwall inequality, we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{T_0}^t \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\ & \leq \|\nabla u(T_0)\|_{L^2}^2 \exp \left[ A \int_{T_0}^t (1 + \|u(s)\|_{L_w^p}^r) ds \right] \\ & \leq C \|\nabla u(T_0)\|_{L^2}^2 \exp \left[ A \int_{T_0}^t \frac{\|u(s)\|_{L_w^p}^r}{\ln(e + \|u(s)\|_{L_w^p})} \ln(e + \|u(s)\|_{L_w^p}) ds \right] \\ & \leq C \|\nabla u(T_0)\|_{L^2}^2 \exp \left[ A \int_{T_0}^t \frac{\|u(s)\|_{L_w^p}^r}{\ln(e + \|u(s)\|_{L_w^p})} \ln(e + \|\Lambda^{\frac{3(p-2)}{2p}} u(s)\|_{L^2}) ds \right] \quad (2.4) \\ & \leq C \|\nabla u(T_0)\|_{L^2}^2 \exp \left[ A \int_{T_0}^t \frac{\|u(s)\|_{L_w^p}^r}{\ln(e + \|u(s)\|_{L_w^p})} \ln(e + y(s)) ds \right] \\ & \leq C \|\nabla u(T_0)\|_{L^2}^2 \exp \left[ A \int_{T_0}^t \frac{\|u(s)\|_{L_w^p}^r}{\ln(e + \|u(s)\|_{L_w^p})} ds \cdot \ln(e + y(t)) \right] \\ & \leq C(e + y(t))^{A\epsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A$  is an absolute constant and we have used the following facts

$$\|u\|_{L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \|\Lambda^{\frac{3(p-2)}{2p}} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

Thus, we infer that

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{T_0}^t \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq C(e + y(t))^{A\epsilon}. \quad (2.5)$$

In view of the mass equation (1.1)<sub>1</sub>, we can rewrite the second equation of (1.1) as

$$-\Delta u + \nabla \pi = -\rho u_t - \rho u \cdot \nabla u.$$

Applying the Helmholtz-Weyl operator to above equation, then using the boundedness of Calderón-Zygmund (or the Stokes theorem), it is not hard to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2} & \leq C(\|\rho u_t\|_{L^2} + \|\rho u \cdot \nabla u\|_{L^2}) \\ & \leq C(\|\rho u_t\|_{L^2} + \|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}) \\ & \leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2} + \|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{L^6}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}) \quad (2.6) \\ & \leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2} + \|\rho_0\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2} + C(\|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^3), \end{aligned}$$

and for any  $p > 3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta u\|_{L^{\frac{3p}{2p+3}}} &\leq C(\|\rho u_t\|_{L^{\frac{3p}{2p+3}}} + \|\rho u \cdot \nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{3p}{2p+3}}}) \\ &\leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho}\|_{L^{\frac{6p}{p+6}}} \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2} + \|\rho\|_{L^p} \|u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho_0}\|_{L^{\frac{6p}{p+6}}} \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2} + \|\rho_0\|_{L^p} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Combining (2.6) and (2.7) leads to

$$\|\Delta u\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta u\|_{L^{\frac{3p}{2p+3}}} \leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^3). \quad (2.8)$$

Note that by (2.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{T_0}^t \|\Delta u(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds &\leq C \int_{T_0}^t (\|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^6)(s) ds \\ &\leq C(e + y(t))^{3A\epsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Combining (2.5) and (2.9), we obtain

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^6 + \int_{T_0}^t (\|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2)(s) ds \leq C(e + y(t))^{3A\epsilon}. \quad (2.10)$$

Differentiating the momentum equation with respect to  $t$ , multiplying by  $u_t$ , and then integrating over whole space, one can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \rho_t u_t \cdot u_t dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\rho u)_t \cdot \nabla u \cdot u_t dx \\ &:= J_1 + J_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

By the mass equation, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \operatorname{div}(\rho u) u_t \cdot u_t dx \\ &\leq 2 \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \rho u \nabla u_t \cdot u_t dx \right| \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2} \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^3} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2} \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^6}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2} \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_t\|_{L^6}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2} \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Again we resort to the mass equation to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \rho u_t \cdot \nabla u \cdot u_t dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \rho_t u \cdot \nabla u \cdot u_t dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \rho u_t \cdot \nabla u \cdot u_t dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \operatorname{div}(\rho u) u \cdot \nabla u \cdot u_t dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \rho u_t \cdot \nabla u \cdot u_t dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\rho u) \nabla(u \cdot \nabla u \cdot u_t) dx \\ &= J_{21} + J_{22}. \end{aligned}$$

The Young inequality and Sobolev embedding theorem entail us to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_{21} &\leq C\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^4}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^6}^{\frac{3}{4}})^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|u_t\|_{L^6}^{3/2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^{3/2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain by using Young inequality and Sobolev embedding theorem

$$\begin{aligned} J_{22} &\leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\rho u) \nabla u \cdot \nabla u \cdot u_t \, dx \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\rho u) u \cdot \nabla^2 u \cdot u_t \, dx \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\rho u) u \cdot \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_t \, dx \right| \\ &\leq C\|u\|_{L^6}\|\nabla u\|_{L^3}^2\|u_t\|_{L^6} + C\|u\|_{L^6}^2\|\Delta u\|_{L^2}\|u_t\|_{L^6} + C\|u\|_{L^6}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^6}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\|\Delta u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4\|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging the above estimates into inequality (2.11) we arrive at

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4(\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2). \quad (2.13)$$

Integrating above differential inequality and using the estimate (2.10), it gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{T_0}^t \|\nabla u_t(s)\|_{L^2}^2 \, ds \\ &\leq C \int_{T_0}^t \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 (\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2) \, ds \\ &\leq C \int_{T_0}^t (e + y(s))^{2A\epsilon} (\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2) \, ds \\ &\leq C(e + y(t))^{2A\epsilon} \int_{T_0}^t (\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2) \, ds \\ &\leq C(e + y(t))^{5A\epsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Next, we split the range  $3 < p \leq \infty$  into two cases, namely  $6 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $3 < p < 6$ .

**Case:**  $6 \leq p \leq \infty$ . We can show that

$$\|\Lambda^{\frac{3(p-2)}{2p}} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{p+6}{2p}}\|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{p-6}{2p}}, \quad 6 \leq p \leq \infty.$$

Recalling estimate (2.8)

$$\|\Delta u\|_{L^2} \leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^3), \quad (2.15)$$

we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\Lambda^{\frac{3(p-2)}{2p}} u\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{p+6}{2p}} (\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^6)^{\frac{p-6}{4p}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C(e+y(t))^{\frac{p+6}{4p}A\epsilon} \left( (e+y(t))^{5A\epsilon} + (e+y(t))^{2A\epsilon} + (e+y(t))^{3A\epsilon} \right)^{\frac{p-6}{4p}} \\
&\leq C(e+y(t))^{\frac{p+6}{4p}A\epsilon} (e+y(t))^{\frac{p-6}{4p}5A\epsilon} \\
&\leq C(e+y(t))^{\frac{3}{2}A\epsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we infer from above inequality that

$$y(t) \leq C(e+y(t))^{\frac{3}{2}A\epsilon}.$$

Selecting  $\epsilon < \frac{2}{3A}$  such that  $\frac{3}{2}A\epsilon < 1$ , it is easy to get

$$y(t) \leq C(T_0, T, \|\nabla u(T_0)\|_{L^2}), \quad \forall T_0 \leq t < T.$$

Noticing that the righthand of above estimate is independent of  $t$  for all  $T_0 \leq t \leq T$ , it is easy to observe that

$$\max_{\tau \in [T_0, T]} y(t) \leq C(T_0, T, \|\nabla u(T_0)\|_{L^2}) < \infty.$$

By (2.10), we obtain

$$\max_{\tau \in [T_0, T]} \|\nabla u(\tau)\|_{L^2} \leq C(T_0, T, \|\nabla u(T_0)\|_{L^2}) < \infty.$$

Consequently, it also holds that

$$\max_{\tau \in [0, T]} \|\nabla u(\tau)\|_{L^2} \leq C(T_0, T, \rho_0, u_0, \|\nabla u(T_0)\|_{L^2}) < \infty.$$

By the embedding inequality

$$\|u\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)},$$

one can obtain that

$$u \in L^4(0, T; L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad \frac{3}{6} + \frac{2}{4} = 1.$$

Now the regularity criterion established in [13] allows us to extend the solution  $(\rho, u, \pi)$  beyond time  $T$ .

**Case:**  $3 < p < 6$ . The embedding inequality

$$\|\Lambda^{\frac{3(p-2)}{2p}} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C\|\Delta u\|_{L^{\frac{3p}{2p+3}}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$

direct yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Lambda^{\frac{3(p-2)}{2p}} u\|_{L^2} &\leq C\|\Delta u\|_{L^{\frac{3p}{2p+3}}} \\
&\leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\leq C\left((e+y(t))^{5A/2} + (e+y(t))^{A\epsilon}\right) \\
&\leq C(e+y(t))^{5A\epsilon/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is worth noting that the case  $6 \leq p \leq \infty$  can also be handled by the argument used for the case  $3 < p < 6$ . Again, we arrive at

$$y(t) \leq C(e+y(t))^{5A/2}.$$

The remainder proof is the same as the previous case. Thus, this completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

As above, under the condition (1.2), we can infer that for any small  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $T_0 = T_0(\epsilon) < T$  such that

$$\int_{T_0}^T \frac{\|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{\frac{2}{1-\delta}}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{2}{1-\delta}}}{\ln(e + \|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3)})} dt \leq \epsilon. \quad (3.1)$$

The well-known Stokes theorem ensures that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2} &\leq C(\|\rho u_t\|_{L^2} + \|\rho u \cdot \nabla u\|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq C(\|\rho u_t\|_{L^2} + \|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \|u \cdot \nabla u\|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \cdot (u \otimes u)\|_{L^2}) \quad (\operatorname{div} u = 0) \\ &\leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2} + \|uu\|_{\dot{H}^1}) \\ &\leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2} + \|uu\|_{\dot{B}_{2,2}^1}) \\ &\leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2} + \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\delta}} \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,2}^{1+\delta}}) \\ &\leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2} + \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\delta}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{1-\delta} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^\delta) \quad (0 < \delta < 1) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2} + C(\|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2} + \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\delta}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where we have used the following facts

$$\begin{aligned} \|ff\|_{\dot{B}_{2,2}^1} &\leq C\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\alpha}} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{2,2}^{1+\alpha}}, \quad \text{for any } \alpha > 0, \quad (\text{see, e.g., [20]}) \\ \|f\|_{\dot{H}^1} &\approx \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{2,2}^1} \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,2}^{1+\delta}} \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{1-\delta} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^\delta, \quad 0 < \delta < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Stokes theorem once again gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta u\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2+\delta}}} &\leq C(\|\rho u_t\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2+\delta}}} + \|\rho u \cdot \nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2+\delta}}}) \\ &\leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho}\|_{L^{\frac{6}{1+2\delta}}} \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2} + \|\rho\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \|u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Thus, one deduces from (2.6) and (3.3) that

$$\|\Delta u\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta u\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2+\delta}}} \leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^3). \quad (3.4)$$

Multiplying the second equation of (1.1) by  $u_t$  and integrating over whole space, one can obtain that for any  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& \leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho u \cdot \nabla u \cdot u_t \, dx \right| \\
& \leq C \|\sqrt{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \|u \cdot \nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq C \|\nabla \cdot (u \otimes u)\|_{L^2} \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} \quad (\operatorname{div} u = 0) \\
& \leq C \|uu\|_{\dot{B}_{2,2}^1} \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq C \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\delta}} \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,2}^{1+\delta}} \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq C \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\delta}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{1-\delta} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^\delta \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq C \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\delta}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{1-\delta} (\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} + \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\delta}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2})^\delta \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq C \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\delta}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{1-\delta} \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^{1+\delta} + C \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\delta}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\delta}}^{\frac{2}{1-\delta}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

For any  $t \in (T_0, T)$ , we denote

$$y(t) := \max_{\tau \in [T_0, t]} \|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}-\delta} u(\tau)\|_{L^2}.$$

Applying Gronwall inequality to (3.5), we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{T_0}^t \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t(s)\|_{L^2}^2 \, ds \\
& \leq \|\nabla u(T_0)\|_{L^2}^2 \exp \left[ A \int_{T_0}^t \|u(s)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\delta}}^{\frac{2}{1-\delta}} \, ds \right] \\
& \leq C \|\nabla u(T_0)\|_{L^2}^2 \exp \left[ A \int_{T_0}^t \frac{\|u(s)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\delta}}^{\frac{2}{1-\delta}}}{\ln(e + \|u(s)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\delta}})} \ln(e + \|u(s)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\delta}}) \, ds \right] \\
& \leq C \|\nabla u(T_0)\|_{L^2}^2 \exp \left[ A \int_{T_0}^t \frac{\|u(s)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\delta}}^{\frac{2}{1-\delta}}}{\ln(e + \|u(s)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\delta}})} \ln(e + \|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}-\delta}(s)\|_{L^2}) \, ds \right] \tag{3.6} \\
& \leq C \|\nabla u(T_0)\|_{L^2}^2 \exp \left[ A \int_{T_0}^t \frac{\|u(s)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\delta}}^{\frac{2}{1-\delta}}}{\ln(e + \|u(s)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\delta}})} \ln(e + y(s)) \, ds \right] \\
& \leq C \|\nabla u(T_0)\|_{L^2}^2 \exp \left[ A \int_{T_0}^t \frac{\|u(s)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\delta}}^{\frac{2}{1-\delta}}}{\ln(e + \|u(s)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\delta}})} \, ds \cdot \ln(e + y(t)) \right] \\
& \leq C(e + y(t))^{A\epsilon},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used

$$\|u\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}-\delta} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)},$$

which can be easily derived by the Littlewood-Paley technique with the Berstein inequality. By (3.4), it is easy to see that

$$\int_{T_0}^t \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2(s) ds \leq C \int_{T_0}^t (\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^6)(s) ds \leq C(e + y(t))^{3A\epsilon},$$

which together with (3.6) imply

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^6 + \int_{T_0}^t (\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2)(s) ds \leq C(e + y(t))^{3A\epsilon}. \quad (3.7)$$

With the same argument as in Section 2, one can infer that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\sqrt{\rho}u_t(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 (\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2).$$

Thus, integrating the above inequality over  $[T_0, t]$  results in (see also (2.14))

$$\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{T_0}^t \|\nabla u_t(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq C(e + y(t))^{5A\epsilon}, \quad (3.8)$$

which along with (3.4) give

$$\|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta u\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2+\delta}}}^2 \leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^6) \leq C(e + y(t))^{5A\epsilon}. \quad (3.9)$$

Note the interpolation inequality

$$\|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}-\delta}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C\|\Delta u\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2+\delta}}(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad 0 < \delta < 1. \quad (3.10)$$

Thus, we conclude the following by combining the inequalities (3.9) and (3.10)

$$y(t) \leq C(e + y(t))^{5A\epsilon}.$$

The remainder proof is the same as the previous section. Thus, this completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

#### 4. ROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

As above, we only establish several a priori estimates for the strong solutions. Now we recall the following bilinear estimate which is an easy consequence of [20, Lemma 1],

$$\|ff\|_{\dot{B}_{2,2}^1} \leq C\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}}\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{2,2}^2}. \quad (4.1)$$

Applying the Stokes theorem (or (2.6)) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2} &\leq (\|\rho u_t\|_{L^2} + \|\rho u \cdot \nabla u\|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq (\|\rho u_t\|_{L^2} + \|\rho\|_{L^\infty}\|u \cdot \nabla u\|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \cdot (u \otimes u)\|_{L^2}) \quad (\operatorname{div} u = 0) \\ &\leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} + \|uu\|_{\dot{H}^1}) \\ &\leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} + \|uu\|_{\dot{B}_{2,2}^1}) \\ &\leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} + \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}}\|u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,2}^2}) \quad (\text{see (4.1)}) \\ &\leq C\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2} + C\|u\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}}\|\Delta u\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Thanks to condition (1.5), one has

$$C\|u\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}} \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

which leads to

$$\|\Delta u\|_{L^2} \leq C \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2}. \quad (4.3)$$

As a consequence, this gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho u \cdot \nabla u \cdot u_t \, dx \right| \\ &\leq \|\sqrt{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \|u \cdot \nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \|\nabla \cdot (u \otimes u)\|_{L^2} \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2} \quad (\operatorname{div} u = 0) \\ &\leq C \|uu\|_{\dot{B}_{2,2}^1} \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}} \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,2}^2} \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2} \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}} \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

which implies

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 0.$$

Thus

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t(s)\|_{L^2}^2 \, ds \leq \|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C < \infty$$

for any  $0 \leq t < T$ . As in proving Theorem 1.1, we get the desired result. The proof of Theorem 1.4 is complete.

**Acknowledgements.** The authors would like to express their hearty thanks to the anonymous referees for their insightful comments and many valuable suggestions, which greatly improved the exposition of the manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] H. Abidi; *Équation de Navier-Stokes avec densité et viscosité variables dans l'espace critique*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam 23 (2007) 537-586.
- [2] H. Abidi, G. Gui, P. Zhang; *On the wellposedness of three-dimensional inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations in the critical spaces*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 204 (2012) 189-230.
- [3] S. Antontsev, A. Kazhikov, V. Monakhov; *Boundary Value Problems in Mechanics of Nonhomogeneous Fluids*, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1990).
- [4] H. Choe, H. Kim; *Strong solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations for nonhomogeneous incompressible fluids*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 28 (2003) 1183-1201.
- [5] Y. Cho, H. Kim; *Unique solvability for the density-dependent Navier-Stokes equations*, Nonlinear Anal., 59 (2004) 465-489.
- [6] Y. Cho, H. Kim; *On classical solutions of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations with nonnegative initial densities*, Manuscripta Math. 120 (2006) 91-129.
- [7] W. Craig, X. Huang, Y. Wang; *Global wellposedness for the 3D inhomogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Math. Fluid Mech. 15 (2013) 747-758.
- [8] R. Danchin; *Density-dependent incompressible viscous fluids in critical spaces*, Proc. R. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 133 (2003) 1311-1334.
- [9] B. Desjardins; *Regularity results for two-dimensional flows of multiphase viscous fluids*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 137 (1997) 135-158.
- [10] G. Gui, J. Huang, P. Zhang; *Large global solutions to 3-D inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations slowly varying in one variable*, J. Funct. Anal. 261 (2011) 3181-3210.
- [11] X. Huang, Y. Wang; *Global strong solution to the 2D nonhomogeneous incompressible MHD system*, Journal of Differential Equations, 254 (2013) 511-527.

- [12] A. Kazhikov; *Solvability of the initial-boundary value problem for the equations of the motion of an inhomogeneous viscous incompressible fluid*, (Russian). Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 216 (1974) 1008-1010.
- [13] H. Kim; *A blow-up criterion for the nonhomogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 37 (2006) 1417-1434.
- [14] J. Kim; *Weak solutions of an initial boundary value problem for an incompressible viscous fluid with nonnegative density*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 18 (1987) 8-96.
- [15] O. Ladyzhenskaya, V. Solonnikov; *Unique solvability of an initial and boundary value problem for viscous incompressible non-homogeneous fluids*, J. Soviet Math. 9 (1978) 697-749.
- [16] Z. Lei, Y. Zhou; *BKM's criterion and global weak solutions for magnetohydrodynamics with zero viscosity*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 25 (2009), 575-583.
- [17] P. Lions; *Mathematical topics in fluid mechanics. Incompressible models*. Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, 3. Oxford Science Publications, vol. 1. Clarendon Press/Oxford University Press, New York (1996).
- [18] J. Simon; *Nonhomogeneous viscous incompressible fluids: Existence of velocity, density, and pressure*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 21 (1990) 1093-1117.
- [19] Z. Ye, X. Xu; *A note on blow-up criterion of strong solutions for the 3D inhomogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with vacuum*, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. 18 (2015), no. 1, Art. 14, 10 pp.
- [20] B. Yuan, B. Zhang; *Blow-up criterion of strong solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in Besov spaces with negative indices*, J. Differential Equations 242 (2007) 1-10.
- [21] P. Zhang, C. Zhao, J. Zhang; *Global regularity of the three-dimensional equations for non-homogeneous incompressible fluids*, Nonlinear Anal., 110 (2014) 61-76.

QIANQIAN HOU

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, HONG KONG POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY, HUNG HOM, KOWLOON, HONG KONG

*E-mail address:* qianqian.hou@connect.polyu.hk

XIAOJING XU

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, BEIJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPLEX SYSTEMS, MINISTRY OF EDUCATION, BEIJING 100875, CHINA

*E-mail address:* xjxu@bnu.edu.cn

ZHUAN YE (CORRESPONDING AUTHOR)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, JIANGSU NORMAL UNIVERSITY, 101 SHANGHAI ROAD, XUZHOU 221116, JIANGSU, CHINA

*E-mail address:* yezhuan815@126.com