

THREE SOLUTIONS FOR A FOURTH-ORDER BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEM

GHASEM A. AFROUZI, SAEID SHOKOOH

ABSTRACT. Using two three-critical points theorems, we prove the existence of at least three weak solutions for one-dimensional fourth-order equations. Some particular cases and two concrete examples are then presented.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this note, we consider the fourth-order boundary-value problem

$$\begin{aligned} u''''h(x, u') - u'' &= [\lambda f(x, u) + g(u)]h(x, u'), & \text{in } (0, 1), \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0 &= u''(0) = u''(1), \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where λ is a positive parameter, $f : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an L^1 -Carathéodory function, $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Lipschitz continuous function with the Lipschitz constant $L > 0$, i.e.,

$$|g(t_1) - g(t_2)| \leq L|t_1 - t_2|$$

for every $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, with $g(0) = 0$, and $h : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a bounded and continuous function with $m := \inf_{(x,t) \in [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}} h(x, t) > 0$.

Due to the importance of fourth-order two-point boundary value problems in describing a large class of elastic deflection, many researchers have studied the existence and multiplicity of solutions for such a problem, we refer the reader to [1, 2, 3, 6, 11] and references therein. For example, authors in [2], using Ricceri's Variational Principle [10, Theorem 1], established the existence three weak solutions for the problem

$$\begin{aligned} u'''' + \alpha u'' + \beta u &= \lambda f(x, u) + \mu g(x, u), & \text{in } (0, 1), \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0 &= u''(0) = u''(1), \end{aligned}$$

where α, β are real constants, $f, g : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Carathéodory functions and $\lambda, \mu > 0$.

In this article, employing two three-critical points theorems which we recall in the next section (Theorems 2.1 and 2.2), we establish the existence three weak solutions for (1.1). A special case of Theorem 3.1 is the following theorem.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34B15, 34B18, 58E05.

Key words and phrases. Dirichlet boundary condition; variational method; critical point.

©2015 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted November 14, 2014. Published February 17, 2015.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative continuous function such that*

$$2^{12} \int_0^2 f(x) dx < \int_0^{3\sqrt{3}} f(x) dx,$$

$$\limsup_{|\xi| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\int_0^\xi f(x) dx}{\xi^2} \leq 0.$$

Then, for each

$$\lambda \in \left] \frac{2^{13}(\pi^4 + \pi^2 + 1)}{\pi^4 \int_0^{3\sqrt{3}} f(x) dx}, \frac{2(\pi^4 + \pi^2 + 1)}{\pi^4 \int_0^2 f(x) dx} \right[,$$

the problem

$$u'''' - u'' + u = f(u), \quad \text{in } (0, 1),$$

$$u(0) = u(1) = 0 = u''(0) = u''(1)$$

admits at least three weak solutions.

The following result is a consequence of Theorem 3.6.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative continuous function such that*

$$2^{11} \int_0^2 f(x) dx < \int_0^3 f(x) dx,$$

$$\int_0^{2^{10}} f(x) dx < 2^7 \int_0^3 f(x) dx,$$

Then, for each

$$\lambda \in \left] \frac{2^{13}(\pi^4 + \pi^2 + 1)}{\pi^4 \int_0^3 f(x) dx}, \frac{(\pi^4 + \pi^2 + 1)}{\pi^4} \min \left\{ \frac{2}{\int_0^2 f(x) dx}, \frac{2^{20}}{\int_0^{1024} f(x) dx} \right\} \right[,$$

the problem

$$u'''' - u'' - u = f(u), \quad \text{in } (0, 1),$$

$$u(0) = u(1) = 0 = u''(0) = u''(1)$$

admits at least three weak solutions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We now state two critical point theorems established by Bonanno and coauthors [4, 5] which are the main tools for the proofs of our results. The first result has been obtained in [5] and it is a more precise version of Theorem 3.2 of [4]. The second one has been established in [4]. In the first one the coercivity of the functional $\Phi - \lambda\Psi$ is required, in the second one a suitable sign hypothesis is assumed.

Theorem 2.1 ([5, Theorem 2.6]). *Let X be a reflexive real Banach space; $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous, coercive and continuously Gâteaux differentiable functional whose Gâteaux derivative admits a continuous inverse on X^* , $\Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a sequentially weakly upper semicontinuous, continuously Gâteaux differentiable functional whose Gâteaux derivative is compact, such that*

$$\Phi(0) = \Psi(0) = 0.$$

Assume that there exist $r > 0$ and $\bar{x} \in X$, with $r < \Phi(\bar{x})$ such that

- (i) $\sup_{\Phi(x) \leq r} \Psi(x) < r\Psi(\bar{x})/\Phi(\bar{x})$,
- (ii) for each λ in

$$\Lambda_r := \left] \frac{\Phi(\bar{x})}{\Psi(\bar{x})}, \frac{r}{\sup_{\Phi(x) \leq r} \Psi(x)} \right[,$$

the functional $\Phi - \lambda\Psi$ is coercive.

Then, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_r$ the functional $\Phi - \lambda\Psi$ has at least three distinct critical points in X .

Theorem 2.2 ([4, Theorem 3.2]). *Let X be a reflexive real Banach space; $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex, coercive and continuously Gâteaux differentiable functional whose Gâteaux derivative admits a continuous inverse on X^* , $\Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuously Gâteaux differentiable functional whose Gâteaux derivative is compact, such that*

$$\inf_X \Phi = \Phi(0) = \Psi(0) = 0.$$

Assume that there exist two positive constants $r_1, r_2 > 0$ and $\bar{x} \in X$, with $2r_1 < \Phi(\bar{x}) < r_2/2$, such that

- (j) $\sup_{\Phi(x) \leq r_1} \Psi(x)/r_1 < (2/3)\Psi(\bar{x})/\Phi(\bar{x})$,
- (jj) $\sup_{\Phi(x) \leq r_2} \Psi(x)/r_2 < (1/3)\Psi(\bar{x})/\Phi(\bar{x})$,
- (jjj) for each λ in

$$\Lambda_{r_1, r_2}^* := \left] \frac{3}{2} \frac{\Phi(\bar{x})}{\Psi(\bar{x})}, \min \left\{ \frac{r_1}{\sup_{\Phi(x) \leq r_1} \Psi(x)}, \frac{r_2}{2 \sup_{\Phi(x) \leq r_2} \Psi(x)} \right\} \right[$$

and for every $x_1, x_2 \in X$, which are local minima for the functional $\Phi - \lambda\Psi$, and such that $\Psi(x_1) \geq 0$ and $\Psi(x_2) \geq 0$, one has $\inf_{t \in [0,1]} \Psi(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2) \geq 0$.

Then, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_{r_1, r_2}^*$ the functional $\Phi - \lambda\Psi$ has at least three distinct critical points which lie in $\Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r_2])$.

Let us introduce some notation which will be used later. Define

$$H_0^1([0, 1]) := \{u \in L^2([0, 1]) : u' \in L^2([0, 1]), u(0) = u(1) = 0\},$$

$$H^2([0, 1]) := \{u \in L^2([0, 1]) : u', u'' \in L^2([0, 1])\}.$$

Take $X = H^2([0, 1]) \cap H_0^1([0, 1])$ endowed with the usual norm

$$\|u\| := \left(\int_0^1 |u''(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

We recall the following Poincaré type inequalities (see, for instance, [8, Lemma 2.3]):

$$\|u'\|_{L^2([0,1])}^2 \leq \frac{1}{\pi^2} \|u\|^2, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\|u\|_{L^2([0,1])}^2 \leq \frac{1}{\pi^4} \|u\|^2 \tag{2.2}$$

for all $u \in X$. For the norm in $C^1([0, 1])$,

$$\|u\|_\infty := \max \left\{ \max_{x \in [0,1]} |u(x)|, \max_{x \in [0,1]} |u'(x)| \right\},$$

we have the following relation.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $u \in X$. Then*

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|u\|. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. Taking (2.1) into account, the conclusion follows from the well-known inequality $\|u\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u'\|_{L^2([0,1])}$. \square

For an excellent overview of the most significant mathematical methods employed in this paper we refer to [7, 9].

Let $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Lipschitz continuous function with the Lipschitz constant $L > 0$, i.e.,

$$|g(t_1) - g(t_2)| \leq L|t_1 - t_2|$$

for every $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, and $g(0) = 0$, $h : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a bounded and continuous function with $m := \inf_{(x,t) \in [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}} h(x, t) > 0$, and $f : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an L^1 -Carathéodory function.

We recall that $f : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an L^1 -Carathéodory function if

- (a) the mapping $x \mapsto f(x, \xi)$ is measurable for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (b) the mapping $\xi \mapsto f(x, \xi)$ is continuous for almost every $x \in [0, 1]$;
- (c) for every $\rho > 0$ there exists a function $l_\rho \in L^1([0, 1])$ such that

$$\sup_{|\xi| \leq \rho} |f(x, \xi)| \leq l_\rho(x)$$

for almost every $x \in [0, 1]$.

Corresponding to f, g and h we introduce the functions $F : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $G : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $H : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$, respectively, as follows

$$F(x, t) := \int_0^t f(x, \xi) d\xi, \quad G(t) := - \int_0^t g(\xi) d\xi,$$

$$H(x, t) := \int_0^t \left(\int_0^\tau \frac{1}{h(x, \delta)} d\delta \right) d\tau$$

for all $x \in [0, 1]$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

In the following, we let $M := \sup_{(x,t) \in [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}} h(x, t)$ and suppose that the Lipschitz constant L of the function g satisfies $0 < L < \pi^4$.

We say that a function $u \in X$ is a *weak solution* of (1.1) if

$$\int_0^1 u''(x)v''(x) dx + \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^{u'(x)} \frac{1}{h(x, \tau)} d\tau \right) v'(x) dx$$

$$- \lambda \int_0^1 f(x, u(x))v(x) dx - \int_0^1 g(u(x))v(x) dx = 0$$

holds for all $v \in X$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Put

$$A := \frac{\pi^4 - L}{2\pi^4}, \quad B := \frac{\pi^2 + m(\pi^4 + L)}{2m\pi^4},$$

and suppose that $B \leq 4A\pi^2$. We formulate our main results as follows.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that there exist two positive constants c, d , satisfying $c < 32d/(3\sqrt{3}\pi)$, such that*

(A1) $F(x, t) \geq 0$ for all $(x, t) \in ([0, 3/8] \cup [5/8, 1]) \times [0, d]$;

(A2)

$$\frac{\int_0^1 \max_{|t| \leq c} F(x, t) dx}{c^2} < \frac{27}{4096} \frac{\int_{3/8}^{5/8} F(x, d) dx}{d^2};$$

(A3)

$$\limsup_{|\xi| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sup_{x \in [0, 1]} F(x, \xi)}{\xi^2} \leq \frac{\pi^4 A \int_0^1 \max_{|t| \leq c} F(x, t) dx}{B c^2}.$$

Then, for every λ in

$$\Lambda := \left] \frac{4096 B d^2}{27 \int_{3/8}^{5/8} F(x, d) dx}, \frac{B c^2}{\int_0^1 \max_{|t| \leq c} F(x, t) dx} \right[,$$

problem (1.1) has at least three distinct weak solutions.

Proof. Fix λ as in the conclusion. Our aim is to apply Theorem 2.1 to our problem. To this end, for every $u \in X$, we introduce the functionals $\Phi, \Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u) &:= \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \int_0^1 H(x, u'(x)) dx + \int_0^1 G(u(x)) dx, \\ \Psi(u) &:= \int_0^1 F(x, u(x)) dx, \end{aligned}$$

and putting

$$I_\lambda(u) := \Phi(u) - \lambda \Psi(u) \quad \forall u \in X.$$

Note that the weak solutions of (1.1) are exactly the critical points of I_λ . The functionals Φ, Ψ satisfy the regularity assumptions of Theorem 2.1. Indeed, by standard arguments, we have that Φ is Gâteaux differentiable and sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous and its Gâteaux derivative is the functional $\Phi'(u) \in X^*$, given by

$$\Phi'(u)(v) = \int_0^1 u''(x)v''(x) dx + \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^{u'(x)} \frac{1}{h(x, \tau)} d\tau \right) v'(x) dx - \int_0^1 g(u(x))v(x) dx$$

for any $v \in X$. Furthermore, the differential $\Phi' : X \rightarrow X^*$ is a Lipschitzian operator. Indeed, taking (2.1) and (2.2) into account, for any $u, v \in X$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi'(u) - \Phi'(v)\|_{X^*} &= \sup_{\|w\| \leq 1} |(\Phi'(u) - \Phi'(v), w)| \\ &\leq \sup_{\|w\| \leq 1} \int_0^1 |u''(x) - v''(x)| |w''(x)| dx \\ &\quad + \sup_{\|w\| \leq 1} \int_0^1 \left| \int_{u'(x)}^{v'(x)} \frac{1}{h(x, \tau)} d\tau \right| |w'(x)| dx \\ &\quad + \sup_{\|w\| \leq 1} \int_0^1 |g(u(x)) - g(v(x))| |w(x)| dx \\ &\leq \|u - v\| + \frac{1}{m} \sup_{\|w\| \leq 1} \|u' - v'\|_{L^2(0,1)} \|w'\|_{L^2(0,1)} \\ &\quad + L \sup_{\|w\| \leq 1} \|u - v\|_{L^2(0,1)} \|w\|_{L^2(0,1)} \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{m\pi^2} + \frac{L}{\pi^4}\right) \|u - v\| = 2B \|u - v\|. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that g is Lipschitz continuous and h is bounded away from zero, the claim is true. In particular, we derive that Φ is continuously differentiable. Also, for any $u, v \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi'(u) - \Phi'(v), u - v) &= \|u - v\|^2 + \int_0^1 \left(\int_{u'(x)}^{v'(x)} \frac{1}{h(x, \tau)} d\tau \right) (u'(x) - v'(x)) dx \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 (g(u(x)) - g(v(x)))(u(x) - v(x)) dx \\ &\geq \|u - v\|^2 + \frac{1}{M} \|u' - v'\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 - L \|u - v\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \\ &\geq \|u - v\|^2 - \frac{L}{\pi^4} \|u - v\|^2 = 2A \|u - v\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption $L < \pi^4$, it turns out that Φ' is a strongly monotone operator. So, by applying Minty-Browder theorem [12, Theorem 26.A], $\Phi' : X \rightarrow X^*$ admits a Lipschitz continuous inverse. On the other hand, the fact that X is compactly embedded into $C^0([0, 1])$ implies that the functional Ψ is well defined, continuously Gâteaux differentiable and with compact derivative, whose Gâteaux derivative is given by

$$\Psi'(u)(v) = \int_0^1 f(x, u(x))v(x) dx$$

for any $v \in X$.

Since g is Lipschitz continuous and satisfies $g(0) = 0$, while h is bounded away from zero, the inequalities (2.1) and (2.2) yield for any $u \in X$ the estimate

$$A\|u\|^2 \leq \Phi(u) \leq B\|u\|^2. \quad (3.1)$$

We will verify (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2.1. Put $r = Bc^2$. Taking (2.3) into account, for every $u \in X$ such that $\Phi(u) \leq r$, one has $\max_{x \in [0,1]} |u(x)| \leq c$. Consequently,

$$\sup_{\Phi(u) \leq r} \Psi(u) \leq \int_0^1 \max_{|t| \leq c} F(x, t) dx;$$

that is,

$$\frac{\sup_{\Phi(u) \leq r} \Psi(u)}{r} \leq \frac{\int_0^1 \max_{|t| \leq c} F(x, t) dx}{Bc^2}.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{\sup_{\Phi(u) \leq r} \Psi(u)}{r} < \frac{1}{\lambda}. \quad (3.2)$$

Put

$$w(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{64d}{9}(x^2 - \frac{3}{4}x), & x \in [0, \frac{3}{8}], \\ d, & x \in [\frac{3}{8}, \frac{5}{8}], \\ -\frac{64d}{9}(x^2 - \frac{5}{4}x + \frac{1}{4}), & x \in [\frac{5}{8}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify that $w \in X$ and, in particular,

$$\|w\|^2 = \frac{4096}{27}d^2.$$

So, taking (3.1) into account, we deduce

$$\frac{4096}{27}Ad^2 \leq \Phi(w) \leq \frac{4096}{27}Bd^2.$$

Hence, from $c < \frac{32}{3\sqrt{3}\pi}d$ and $B \leq 4A\pi^2$, we obtain $r < \Phi(w)$.

Since $0 \leq w(x) \leq d$ for each $x \in [0, 1]$, assumption (A1) ensures that

$$\int_0^{3/8} F(x, w(x)) dx + \int_{5/8}^1 F(x, w(x)) dx \geq 0,$$

and so

$$\Psi(w) \geq \int_{3/8}^{5/8} F(x, d) dx.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\frac{\Psi(w)}{\Phi(w)} \geq \frac{27}{4096} \frac{\int_{3/8}^{5/8} F(x, d) dx}{Bd^2} > \frac{1}{\lambda}. \quad (3.3)$$

Therefore, from (3.2) and (3.3), condition (i) of Theorem 2.1 is fulfilled.

Now, to prove the coercivity of the functional I_λ . By (A3), we have

$$\limsup_{|\xi| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sup_{x \in [0,1]} F(x, \xi)}{\xi^2} < \frac{\pi^4 A}{\lambda}.$$

So, we can fix $\varepsilon > 0$ satisfying

$$\limsup_{|\xi| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sup_{x \in [0,1]} F(x, \xi)}{\xi^2} < \varepsilon < \frac{\pi^4 A}{\lambda}.$$

Then, there exists a positive constant θ such that

$$F(x, t) \leq \varepsilon|t|^2 + \theta \quad \forall x \in [0, 1], \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Taking into account (2.2) and (3.1), we have

$$I_\lambda(u) = \Phi(u) - \lambda\Psi(u) \geq A\|u\|^2 - \lambda\varepsilon\|u\|_{L^2[0,1]}^2 - \lambda\theta \geq \left(A - \frac{\lambda\varepsilon}{\pi^4}\right)\|u\|^2 - \lambda\theta$$

for all $u \in X$. So, the functional I_λ is coercive. Now, the conclusion of Theorem 2.1 can be used. It follows that for every

$$\lambda \in \Lambda \subseteq \left] \frac{\Phi(w)}{\Psi(w)}, \frac{r}{\sup_{\Phi(u) \leq r} \Psi(u)} \right[,$$

the functional I_λ has at least three distinct critical points in X , which are the weak solutions of the problem (1.1). This completes the proof. \square

Now, we present a consequence of Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $\alpha \in L^1([0, 1])$ be a non-negative and non-zero function and let $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Put $\alpha_0 := \int_{3/8}^{5/8} \alpha(x) dx$, $\|\alpha\|_1 := \int_0^1 \alpha(x) dx$ and $\Gamma(t) = \int_0^t \gamma(\xi) d\xi$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and assume that there exist two positive constants c, d , with $c < \frac{32}{3\sqrt{3}\pi}d$, such that*

(A1') $\Gamma(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in [0, d]$;

(A2')

$$\frac{\max_{|t| \leq c} \Gamma(t)}{c^2} < \frac{27}{4096} \frac{\alpha_0}{\|\alpha\|_1} \frac{\Gamma(d)}{d^2};$$

(A3') $\limsup_{|\xi| \rightarrow +\infty} \Gamma(\xi)/\xi^2 \leq 0$.

Then, for every

$$\lambda \in \left] \frac{4096}{27} \frac{Bd^2}{\alpha_0 \Gamma(d)}, \frac{Bc^2}{\|\alpha\|_1 \max_{|t| \leq c} \Gamma(t)} \right[,$$

the problem

$$\begin{aligned} u''''h(x, u') - u'' &= [\lambda \alpha(x) \gamma(u) + g(u)]h(x, u'), \quad \text{in } (0, 1), \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0 &= u''(0) = u''(1) \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

has at least three weak solutions.

The proof of the above corollary follows from Theorem 3.1 by choosing $f(x, t) := \alpha(x)\gamma(t)$ for all $(x, t) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 3.3. Clearly, if γ is non-negative then assumption (A1') is satisfied and (A2') becomes

$$\frac{\Gamma(c)}{c^2} < \frac{27}{4096} \frac{\alpha_0}{\|\alpha\|_1} \frac{\Gamma(d)}{d^2}.$$

Remark 3.4. Theorem 1.1 in the introduction is an immediate consequence of Corollary 3.2, on choosing $g(u) = -u$, $h \equiv 1$, $c = 2$ and $d = 3\sqrt{3}$.

The following lemma will be crucial in our arguments.

Lemma 3.5. Assume that $f(x, t) \geq 0$ for all $(x, t) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$. If u is a weak solution of (1.1), then $u(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. Arguing by contradiction, if we assume that u is negative at a point of $[0, 1]$, the set

$$\Omega := \{x \in [0, 1] : u(x) < 0\},$$

is non-empty and open. Let us consider $\bar{v} := \min\{u, 0\}$, one has, $\bar{v} \in X$. So, taking into account that u is a weak solution and by choosing $v = \bar{v}$, from our assumptions, one has

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x))u(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |u''(x)|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^{u'(x)} \frac{1}{h(x, \tau)} d\tau \right) u'(x) dx - \int_{\Omega} g(u(x))u(x) dx \\ &\geq \frac{\pi^4 - L}{\pi^4} \|u\|_{H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\|u\|_{H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)} = 0$ which is absurd. Hence, the conclusion is achieved. \square

Our other main result is as follows.

Theorem 3.6. Assume that there exist three positive constants c_1, c_2, d , satisfying $\frac{3\sqrt{3}\pi}{16\sqrt{2}}c_1 < d < \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{64\sqrt{2}}c_2$, such that

(B1) $f(x, t) \geq 0$ for all $(x, t) \in [0, 1] \times [0, c_2]$;

(B2)

$$\frac{\int_0^1 F(x, c_1) dx}{c_1^2} < \frac{9}{2048} \frac{\int_{3/8}^{5/8} F(x, d) dx}{d^2};$$

(B3)

$$\frac{\int_0^1 F(x, c_2) dx}{c_2^2} < \frac{9}{4096} \frac{\int_{3/8}^{5/8} F(x, d) dx}{d^2}.$$

Let

$$\Lambda' := \left] \frac{2048}{9} \frac{Bd^2}{\int_{3/8}^{5/8} F(x, d) dx}, B \min \left\{ \frac{c_1^2}{\int_0^1 F(x, c_1) dx}, \frac{c_2^2}{2 \int_0^1 F(x, c_2) dx} \right\} \right[.$$

Then, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda'$ the problem (1.1) has at least three weak solutions u_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, such that $0 < \|u_i\|_\infty \leq c_2$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume $f(x, t) \geq 0$ for all $(x, t) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$. Fix λ as in the conclusion and take X, Φ and Ψ as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Put w as in Theorem 3.1, $r_1 = Bc_1^2$ and $r_2 = Bc_2^2$. Therefore, one has $2r_1 < \Phi(w) < \frac{r_2}{2}$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{r_1} \sup_{\Phi(u) < r_1} \Psi(u) &\leq \frac{1}{Bc_1^2} \int_0^1 F(x, c_1) dx < \frac{1}{\lambda} \\ &< \frac{9}{2048} \frac{\int_{3/8}^{5/8} F(x, d) dx}{Bd^2} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{3} \frac{\Psi(w)}{\Phi(w)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{r_2} \sup_{\Phi(u) < r_2} \Psi(u) &\leq \frac{2}{Bc_2^2} \int_0^1 F(x, c_2) dx < \frac{1}{\lambda} \\ &< \frac{9}{2048} \frac{\int_{3/8}^{5/8} F(x, d) dx}{Bd^2} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{3} \frac{\Psi(w)}{\Phi(w)}. \end{aligned}$$

So, conditions (j) and (jj) of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied. Finally, let u_1 and u_2 be two local minima for $\Phi - \lambda\Psi$. Then, u_1 and u_2 are critical points for $\Phi - \lambda\Psi$, and so, they are weak solutions for the problem (1.1). Hence, owing to Lemma 3.5, we obtain $u_1(x) \geq 0$ and $u_2(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$. So, one has $\Psi(su_1 + (1-s)u_2) \geq 0$ for all $s \in [0, 1]$. From Theorem 2.2 the functional $\Phi - \lambda\Psi$ has at least three distinct critical points which are weak solutions of (1.1). This complete the proof. \square

Now, we present a consequence of Theorem 3.6.

Corollary 3.7. Let $\alpha \in L^1([0, 1])$ be such that $\alpha(x) \geq 0$ a.e. $x \in [0, 1]$, $\alpha \not\equiv 0$, and let $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Put $\alpha_0 := \int_{3/8}^{5/8} \alpha(x) dx$, $\|\alpha\|_1 := \int_0^1 \alpha(x) dx$ and $\Gamma(t) = \int_0^t \gamma(\xi) d\xi$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and assume that there exist three positive constants c_1, c_2, d , with $\frac{3\sqrt{3}\pi}{16\sqrt{2}} c_1 < d < \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{64\sqrt{2}} c_2$, such that

(B1') $\gamma(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in [0, c_2]$;

(B2')

$$\frac{\Gamma(c_1)}{c_1^2} < \frac{9}{2048} \frac{\alpha_0}{\|\alpha\|_1} \frac{\Gamma(d)}{d^2};$$

(B3')

$$\frac{\Gamma(c_2)}{c_2^2} < \frac{9}{4096} \frac{\alpha_0}{\|\alpha\|_1} \frac{\Gamma(d)}{d^2}.$$

Then, for every

$$\lambda \in \left] \frac{2048}{9} \frac{Bd^2}{\alpha_0 \Gamma(d)}, B \min \left\{ \frac{c_1^2}{\|\alpha\|_1 \Gamma(c_1)}, \frac{c_2^2}{2\|\alpha\|_1 \Gamma(c_2)} \right\} \right[,$$

the problem (3.4) has at least three weak solutions u_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, such that $0 < \|u_i\|_\infty \leq c_2$.

The proof of the above corollary follows from Theorem 3.6 by choosing $f(x, t) := \alpha(x)\gamma(t)$ for all $(x, t) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 3.8. Theorem 1.2 in the introduction is an immediate consequence of Corollary 3.7, on choosing $g(u) = u$, $h \equiv 1$, $c_1 = 2$, $c_2 = 2^{10}$, and $d = 3$.

Finally, we present the following examples to illustrate our results.

Example 3.9. Consider the following problem

$$\begin{aligned} u'''' - u''(2 + x + \cos u') + u &= \lambda f(u), & \text{in } (0, 1), \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0 = u''(0) = u''(1), \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 2^{-10} & \text{if } |t| \leq 1, \\ 2^{-10} t^4 & \text{if } 1 < |t| \leq 32, \\ 2^{20} t^{-2} & \text{if } |t| > 32. \end{cases}$$

Here, $g(t) = -t$ and $h(x, t) = (2 + x + \cos t)^{-1}$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. It is easy to verify that (A2') and (A3') are satisfied with $c = 1$ and $d = 32$. From Corollary 3.2, for each parameter

$$\lambda \in \left] \frac{48(\pi^4 + 4\pi^2 + 1)}{\pi^4}, \frac{512(\pi^4 + 4\pi^2 + 1)}{\pi^4} \right[,$$

problem (3.5) admits at least three weak solutions.

Example 3.10. Consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned} u'''' - u''(3 + \sin u') - 2u &= \lambda f(u), & \text{in } (0, 1), \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0 = u''(0) = u''(1), \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 2^{-20} & \text{if } |t| \leq 2^{-5}, \\ t^4 & \text{if } 2^{-5} < |t| \leq 1, \\ t^{-2} & \text{if } |t| > 1. \end{cases}$$

Here, $g(t) = 2t$ and $h(x, t) = (3 + \sin t)^{-1}$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. It is easy to verify that (B2') and (B3') are satisfied with $c_1 = 2^{-5}$, $d = 1$ and $c_2 = 2^{10}$. From Corollary 3.7, for each parameter

$$\lambda \in \left] \frac{2276(\pi^4 + 4\pi^2 + 2)}{\pi^4}, \frac{2^{14}(\pi^4 + 4\pi^2 + 2)}{\pi^4} \right[,$$

problem (3.6) admits at least three weak solutions u_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, such that $0 < \|u_i\|_\infty \leq 1024$.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Afrouzi, A. Hadjian, V. D. Rădulescu; Variational approach to fourth-order impulsive differential equations with two control parameters, *Results in Mathematics*, **65** (2014), 371-384.
- [2] G. A. Afrouzi, S. Heidarkhani, D. O'Regan; Existence of three solutions for a doubly eigenvalue fourth-order boundary value problem, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, **15** (2011), 201-210.
- [3] G. Afrouzi, M. Mirzapour, V. D. Rădulescu; Nonlocal fourth-order Kirchhoff systems with variable growth: low and high energy solutions, *Collectanea Mathematica*, in press (DOI: 10.1007/s13348-014-0131-x).
- [4] G. Bonanno, P. Candito; Non-differentiable functionals and applications to elliptic problems with discontinuous nonlinearities, *J. Differential Equations*, **244** (2008), 3031-3059.
- [5] G. Bonanno, S. A. Marano; On the structure of the critical set of non-differentiable functionals with a weak compactness condition, *Appl. Anal.*, **89** (2010), 1-10.
- [6] G. Chai; Existence of positive solutions for fourth-order boundary value problem with variable parameters, *Math. Comput. Modelling*, **66** (2007), 870-880.
- [7] P. G. Ciarlet; Linear and Nonlinear Functional Analysis with Applications, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, 2013.
- [8] L. A. Peletier, W. C. Troy, R. C. A. M. Van der Vorst; Stationary solutions of a fourth order nonlinear diffusion equation, (Russian) Translated from the English by V. V. Kurt. *Differentsialnye Uravneniya* **31** (1995), 327-337. English translation in *Differential Equations* **31** (1995), 301-314.
- [9] V. D. Rădulescu; Qualitative Analysis of Nonlinear Elliptic Partial Differential Equations: Monotonicity, Analytic, and Variational Methods, *Contemporary Mathematics and Its Applications*, Vol. 6, Hindawi Publ. Corp., 2008.
- [10] B. Ricceri; A three critical points theorem revisited, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **70** (2009), 3084-3089.
- [11] V. Shanthi, N. Ramanujam; A numerical method for boundary value problems for singularly perturbed fourth-order ordinary differential equations, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **129** (2002), 269-294.
- [12] E. Zeidler; *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications*, vol. II/B and III, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1990.

GHASEM A. AFROUZI

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF MAZANDARAN, BABOLSAR, IRAN

E-mail address: afrouzi@umz.ac.ir

SAEID SHOKOOH

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF MAZANDARAN, BABOLSAR, IRAN

E-mail address: saeid.shokooch@stu.umz.ac.ir