

BLOW-UP OF SOLUTIONS TO PARABOLIC INEQUALITIES IN THE HEISENBERG GROUP

IBTEHAL AZMAN, MOHAMED JLELI, BESSEM SAMET

ABSTRACT. We establish a Fujita-type theorem for the blow-up of nonnegative solutions to a certain class of parabolic inequalities in the Heisenberg group. Our proof is based on a duality argument.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article, we establish a Fujita-type theorem for parabolic inequality

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - \operatorname{div}_{\mathbb{H}} A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} u) + f(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} u) &\geq u^q, \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H}, \\ u &\geq 0, \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathcal{H}, \\ u(\vartheta, 0) &= u_0(\vartheta), \quad \text{in } \mathbb{H}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

Here, \mathbb{H} is the $(2N + 1)$ -dimensional Heisenberg group, $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{H} \times (0, \infty)$ and $u_0 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{H})$. The operator $A : \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2N+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2N+1}$ is a Carathéodory function satisfying

$$(A(\vartheta, \xi, v), v) \geq c_A |A(\vartheta, \xi, v)|^{m'}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $c_A > 0$, (\cdot, \cdot) is the standard scalar product in \mathbb{R}^{2N+1} , $|\cdot| = \sqrt{(\cdot, \cdot)}$, and $m' > 1$. The function $f : \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2N+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and satisfies

$$f(\vartheta, \xi, v) \leq \lambda |A(\vartheta, \xi, v)|^\sigma, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\lambda \geq 0$, $\sigma = \frac{m'q}{q+1}$, and $q > \max\{1, m - 1\}$, with $m = \frac{m'}{m'-1}$. The proof of our main result is based on a duality argument [4, 5, 6].

First, let us recall some background facts that will be used in this article. The $(2N + 1)$ -dimensional Heisenberg group \mathbb{H} is the space \mathbb{R}^{2N+1} endowed with the group operation

$$\vartheta \diamond \vartheta' = (x + x', y + y', \tau + \tau' + 2(x \cdot y' - x' \cdot y)),$$

for all $\vartheta = (x, y, \tau)$, $\vartheta' = (x', y', \tau') \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$, where \cdot denotes the standard scalar product in \mathbb{R}^N . This group operation endows \mathbb{H} with the structure of a Lie group.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47J35, 35R03.

Key words and phrases. Nonexistence; global solution; differential inequality; Heisenberg group.

©2015 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted May 15, 2015. Published June 17, 2015.

The distance from an element $\vartheta = (x, y, \tau) \in \mathbb{H}$ to the origin is given by

$$|\vartheta|_{\mathbb{H}} = \left(\tau^2 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 + y_i^2 \right)^2 \right)^{1/4},$$

where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_N)$.

The Gradient $\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}$ over \mathbb{H} is defined by

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{H}} = (X_1, \dots, X_N, Y_1, \dots, Y_N),$$

where for $i = 1, \dots, N$,

$$X_i = \partial_{x_i} + 2y_i \partial_{\tau} \quad \text{and} \quad Y_i = \partial_{y_i} - 2x_i \partial_{\tau}.$$

Let

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} I_N & 0 & 2y \\ 0 & I_N & -2x \end{pmatrix},$$

where I_N is the identity matrix of size N . Then

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{H}} = M \nabla_{\mathbb{R}^{2N+1}}.$$

A simple computation gives the expression

$$|\nabla_{\mathbb{H}} u|^2 = 4(|x|^2 + |y|^2)(\partial_{\tau} u)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \left((\partial_{x_i} u)^2 + (\partial_{y_i} u)^2 + 4\partial_{\tau} u (y_i \partial_{x_i} u - x_i \partial_{y_i} u) \right).$$

The divergence operator in \mathbb{H} is defined by

$$\operatorname{div}_{\mathbb{H}}(u) = \operatorname{div}_{\mathbb{R}^{2N+1}}(Mu).$$

For more details on Heisenberg groups and partial differential equations in Heisenberg groups, we refer to [1, 2, 3, 8, 9] and references therein.

For the proof of our main result, the following inequality will be used several times.

Lemma 1.1. *Let $a, b, \varepsilon > 0$. Then*

$$ab \leq \varepsilon a^p + c_{\varepsilon} b^{p'},$$

where $p > 1$, p' is its corresponding conjugate exponent, i.e., $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$; and $c_{\varepsilon} = \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon p}\right)^{p'/p} \frac{1}{p'}$.

2. MAIN RESULT

Definition 2.1. Let $u \in W_{\operatorname{loc}}^{1,m}(\mathcal{H}; \mathbb{R}_+) \cap L_{\operatorname{loc}}^q(\mathcal{H}; \mathbb{R}_+)$ and $u_0 \in L_{\operatorname{loc}}^1(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{R}_+)$. We say that u is a global weak solution of (1.1) if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} u) \in L_{\operatorname{loc}}^{m'}(\mathcal{H}; \mathbb{R}^{2N+1})$;
- (ii) For any $\varphi \in W_{\operatorname{loc}}^{1,m}(\mathcal{H}; \mathbb{R}_+)$ with a compact support,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{H}} u^q \varphi \, d\mathcal{H} &\leq \int_{\mathcal{H}} (A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} u), \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi) \, d\mathcal{H} + \int_{\mathcal{H}} f(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} u) \varphi \, d\mathcal{H} \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathcal{H}} u \varphi_t \, d\mathcal{H} - \int_{\mathbb{H}} u_0(\vartheta) \varphi(\vartheta, 0) \, d\vartheta. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Observe that all the integrals in (2.1) are well defined. Our main result is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that conditions (1.2) and (1.3) are satisfied. Let us consider $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, 0)$, where $\alpha_0 = \max\{-1, 1 - m\} < 0$. If*

$$0 \leq \lambda < \lambda^* = (q + 1) \left(\frac{|\alpha| c_A}{q} \right)^{\frac{q}{q+1}} \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\max\{1, m - 1\} < q < m - 1 + \frac{m}{Q}, \quad (2.3)$$

where $Q = 2N + 2$ is the homogeneous dimension of \mathbb{H} , then (1.1) has no global nontrivial weak solutions.

The following lemma provides a preliminary estimate of solutions.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that all the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied. Let u be a global weak solution to (1.1). Then for any $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, 0)$ and any $\varphi \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathcal{H}; \mathbb{R}_+)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{H}} u^{q+\alpha} \varphi \, d\mathcal{H} + \int_{\mathcal{H}} |A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} u)|^{m'} u^{\alpha-1} \varphi \, d\mathcal{H} + \int_{\mathbb{H}} u_0(\vartheta)^{\alpha+1} \varphi(\vartheta, 0) \, d\vartheta \\ & \leq C \left(\int_{\mathcal{H}} \left(\frac{|\varphi_t|^r}{\varphi} \right)^{\frac{1}{r-1}} \, d\mathcal{H} + \int_{\mathcal{H}} \varphi^{1-ms} |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi|^{ms} \, d\mathcal{H} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

for some constant $C > 0$, where $r = \frac{q+\alpha}{1+\alpha}$, and $s = \frac{q+\alpha}{q-m+1}$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed and $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, 0)$. Suppose that u is a global weak solution to (1.1). Let

$$u_{\varepsilon}(\vartheta, t) = u(\vartheta, t) + \varepsilon, \quad (\vartheta, t) \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Define φ_{ε} as

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon}(\vartheta, t) = u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}(\vartheta, t) \varphi(\vartheta, t),$$

where $\varphi \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathcal{H}; \mathbb{R}_+)$ has a compact support. Observe that φ_{ε} belongs to the set of admissible test functions in the sense of Definition 2.1. By (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{H}} u^q u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \varphi \, d\mathcal{H} \\ & \leq \alpha \int_{\mathcal{H}} (A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} u), \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} u) u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha-1} \varphi \, d\mathcal{H} + \int_{\mathcal{H}} (A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} u), \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi) u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \, d\mathcal{H} \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathcal{H}} f(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} u) u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \varphi \, d\mathcal{H} - \frac{1}{\alpha + 1} \int_{\mathcal{H}} u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha+1} \varphi_t \, d\mathcal{H} \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{\alpha + 1} \int_{\mathbb{H}} (u_0(\vartheta) + \varepsilon)^{\alpha+1} \varphi(\vartheta, 0) \, d\vartheta. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Using the condition (1.2), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathcal{H}} (A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} u), \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} u) u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha-1} \varphi \, d\mathcal{H} \geq c_A \int_{\mathcal{H}} |A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} u)|^{m'} u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha-1} \varphi \, d\mathcal{H}.$$

Since $\alpha < 0$, we have

$$\alpha \int_{\mathcal{H}} (A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} u), \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} u) u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha-1} \varphi \, d\mathcal{H} \leq c_A \alpha \int_{\mathcal{H}} |A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} u)|^{m'} u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha-1} \varphi \, d\mathcal{H}. \quad (2.6)$$

The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yields

$$\int_{\mathcal{H}} (A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} u), \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi) u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \, d\mathcal{H} \leq \int_{\mathcal{H}} |A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} u)| |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}} \varphi| u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \, d\mathcal{H}. \quad (2.7)$$

Using the condition (1.3), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathcal{H}} f(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u) u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \varphi \, d\mathcal{H} \leq \lambda \int_{\mathcal{H}} |A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u)|^{\sigma} u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \varphi \, d\mathcal{H}. \quad (2.8)$$

Now, (2.5), (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8) yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{H}} u^q u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \varphi \, d\mathcal{H} + c_A |\alpha| \int_{\mathcal{H}} |A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u)|^{m'} u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha-1} \varphi \, d\mathcal{H} \\ & + \frac{1}{\alpha+1} \int_{\mathbb{H}} (u_0(\vartheta) + \varepsilon)^{\alpha+1} \varphi(\vartheta, 0) \, d\vartheta \\ & \leq \int_{\mathcal{H}} |A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u)| |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}\varphi| u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \, d\mathcal{H} + \lambda \int_{\mathcal{H}} |A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u)|^{\sigma} u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \varphi \, d\mathcal{H} \\ & + \frac{1}{\alpha+1} \int_{\mathcal{H}} u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha+1} |\varphi_t| \, d\mathcal{H}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Now, using Lemma 1.1, we estimate the individual terms on the right hand side of (2.9).

- Estimation of $\int_{\mathcal{H}} |A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u)| |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}\varphi| u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \, d\mathcal{H}$. We have

$$|A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u)| |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}\varphi| u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} = \left(|A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u)| u_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{m'}} \varphi^{\frac{1}{m'}} \right) \left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{\alpha+m-1}{m}} \varphi^{\frac{-1}{m'}} |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}\varphi| \right).$$

Applying Lemma 1.1 with parameters m' and m , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{H}} |A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u)| |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}\varphi| u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \, d\mathcal{H} \\ & \leq \varepsilon_1 \int_{\mathcal{H}} |A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u)|^{m'} u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha-1} \varphi \, d\mathcal{H} + c_{\varepsilon_1} \int_{\mathcal{H}} u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha+m-1} \varphi^{1-m} |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}\varphi|^m \, d\mathcal{H}, \end{aligned}$$

for some $\varepsilon_1 > 0$. Again, writing

$$u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha+m-1} \varphi^{1-m} |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}\varphi|^m = \left(\varphi^{\frac{1-ms}{s}} |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}\varphi|^m \right) \left(\varphi^{\frac{s-1}{s}} u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha+m-1} \right)$$

and using Lemma 1.1 with parameters s and s' , we obtain

$$\int_{\mathcal{H}} u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha+m-1} \varphi^{1-m} |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}\varphi|^m \, d\mathcal{H} \leq \varepsilon_2 \int_{\mathcal{H}} \varphi^{1-ms} |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}\varphi|^{ms} \, d\mathcal{H} + c_{\varepsilon_2} \int_{\mathcal{H}} \varphi u_{\varepsilon}^{(\alpha+m-1)s'} \, d\mathcal{H},$$

for some $\varepsilon_2 > 0$. As consequence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{H}} |A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u)| |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}\varphi| u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \, d\mathcal{H} \\ & \leq \varepsilon_1 \int_{\mathcal{H}} |A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u)|^{m'} u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha-1} \varphi \, d\mathcal{H} \\ & + c_{\varepsilon_1} \varepsilon_2 \int_{\mathcal{H}} \varphi^{1-ms} |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}\varphi|^{ms} \, d\mathcal{H} + c_{\varepsilon_1} c_{\varepsilon_2} \int_{\mathcal{H}} \varphi u_{\varepsilon}^{(\alpha+m-1)s'} \, d\mathcal{H}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

- Estimation of $\int_{\mathcal{H}} |A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u)|^{\sigma} u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \varphi \, d\mathcal{H}$. We write

$$|A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u)|^{\sigma} u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \varphi = \left(|A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u)|^{\sigma} u_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{(\alpha-1)\sigma}{m'}} \varphi^{\frac{\sigma}{m'}} \right) \left(\varphi^{\frac{m'-\sigma}{m'}} u_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{\alpha m' - (\alpha-1)\sigma}{m'}} \right).$$

We apply Lemma 1.1 with parameters $\frac{m'}{\sigma}$ and $\frac{m'}{m'-\sigma}$ to obtain

$$\int_{\mathcal{H}} |A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u)|^{\sigma} u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \varphi \, d\mathcal{H}$$

$$\leq \varepsilon_3 \int_{\mathcal{H}} |A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u)|^{m'} u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha-1} \varphi d\mathcal{H} + c_{\varepsilon_3} \int_{\mathcal{H}} \varphi u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha-1 + \frac{m'}{m'-\sigma}} d\mathcal{H},$$

for some $\varepsilon_3 > 0$. Since $\sigma = m'q/(q+1)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{H}} |A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u)|^{\sigma} u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \varphi d\mathcal{H} \\ & \leq \varepsilon_3 \int_{\mathcal{H}} |A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u)|^{m'} u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha-1} \varphi d\mathcal{H} + c_{\varepsilon_3} \int_{\mathcal{H}} \varphi u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha+q} d\mathcal{H}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

• Estimation of $\int_{\mathcal{H}} u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha+1} |\varphi_t| d\mathcal{H}$. Similarly, we write

$$u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha+1} |\varphi_t| = \left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha+1} \varphi^{\frac{1}{r}} \right) \left(\varphi^{-\frac{1}{r}} |\varphi_t| \right).$$

Lemma 1.1 with parameters r and r' yields

$$\int_{\mathcal{H}} u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha+1} |\varphi_t| d\mathcal{H} \leq \varepsilon_4 \int_{\mathcal{H}} u_{\varepsilon}^{(\alpha+1)r} \varphi d\mathcal{H} + c_{\varepsilon_4} \int_{\mathcal{H}} \left(\frac{|\varphi_t|^r}{\varphi} \right)^{\frac{1}{r-1}} d\mathcal{H}, \quad (2.12)$$

for some $\varepsilon_4 > 0$. Now, substituting (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12) in (2.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{H}} u^q u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \varphi d\mathcal{H} + c_A |\alpha| \int_{\mathcal{H}} |A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u)|^{m'} u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha-1} \varphi d\mathcal{H} \\ & + \frac{1}{\alpha+1} \int_{\mathbb{H}} (u_0(\vartheta) + \varepsilon)^{\alpha+1} \varphi(\vartheta, 0) d\vartheta \\ & \leq \varepsilon_1 \int_{\mathcal{H}} |A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u)|^{m'} u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha-1} \varphi d\mathcal{H} + c_{\varepsilon_1} \varepsilon_2 \int_{\mathcal{H}} \varphi^{1-ms} |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}\varphi|^{ms} d\mathcal{H} \\ & + c_{\varepsilon_1} c_{\varepsilon_2} \int_{\mathcal{H}} \varphi u_{\varepsilon}^{(\alpha+m-1)s'} d\mathcal{H} + \lambda \varepsilon_3 \int_{\mathcal{H}} |A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u)|^{m'} u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha-1} \varphi d\mathcal{H} \\ & + \lambda c_{\varepsilon_3} \int_{\mathcal{H}} \varphi u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha+q} d\mathcal{H} + \frac{\varepsilon_4}{\alpha+1} \int_{\mathcal{H}} u_{\varepsilon}^{(\alpha+1)r} \varphi d\mathcal{H} + \frac{c_{\varepsilon_4}}{\alpha+1} \int_{\mathcal{H}} \left(\frac{|\varphi_t|^r}{\varphi} \right)^{\frac{1}{r-1}} d\mathcal{H}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the obtained inequality, we use Fatou's lemma and the dominated convergence theorem to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{H}} u^{q+\alpha} \varphi d\mathcal{H} + c_A |\alpha| \int_{\mathcal{H}} |A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u)|^{m'} u^{\alpha-1} \varphi d\mathcal{H} + \frac{1}{\alpha+1} \int_{\mathbb{H}} u_0^{\alpha+1}(\vartheta) \varphi(\vartheta, 0) d\vartheta \\ & \leq \varepsilon_1 \int_{\mathcal{H}} |A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u)|^{m'} u^{\alpha-1} \varphi d\mathcal{H} + c_{\varepsilon_1} \varepsilon_2 \int_{\mathcal{H}} \varphi^{1-ms} |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}\varphi|^{ms} d\mathcal{H} \\ & + c_{\varepsilon_1} c_{\varepsilon_2} \int_{\mathcal{H}} \varphi u^{q+\alpha} d\mathcal{H} + \lambda \varepsilon_3 \int_{\mathcal{H}} |A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u)|^{m'} u^{\alpha-1} \varphi d\mathcal{H} \\ & + \lambda c_{\varepsilon_3} \int_{\mathcal{H}} \varphi u^{\alpha+q} d\mathcal{H} + \frac{\varepsilon_4}{\alpha+1} \int_{\mathcal{H}} u^{q+\alpha} \varphi d\mathcal{H} + \frac{c_{\varepsilon_4}}{\alpha+1} \int_{\mathcal{H}} \left(\frac{|\varphi_t|^r}{\varphi} \right)^{\frac{1}{r-1}} d\mathcal{H}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{A} \int_{\mathcal{H}} u^{q+\alpha} \varphi d\mathcal{H} + \mathcal{B} \int_{\mathcal{H}} |A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u)|^{m'} u^{\alpha-1} \varphi d\mathcal{H} \\ & + \frac{1}{\alpha+1} \int_{\mathbb{H}} u_0^{\alpha+1}(\vartheta) \varphi(\vartheta, 0) d\vartheta \\ & \leq \max \left\{ c_{\varepsilon_1} \varepsilon_2, \frac{c_{\varepsilon_4}}{\alpha+1} \right\} \left(\int_{\mathcal{H}} \varphi^{1-ms} |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}\varphi|^{ms} d\mathcal{H} + \int_{\mathcal{H}} \left(\frac{|\varphi_t|^r}{\varphi} \right)^{\frac{1}{r-1}} d\mathcal{H} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

where

$$\mathcal{A} = 1 - \lambda c_{\varepsilon_3} - \frac{\varepsilon_4}{\alpha + 1} - c_{\varepsilon_1} c_{\varepsilon_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B} = c_A |\alpha| - \varepsilon_1 - \lambda \varepsilon_3.$$

From Lemma 1.1, we have

$$c_{\varepsilon_1} = \frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1 m'} \right)^{m'/m}, \quad c_{\varepsilon_2} = \frac{1}{s'} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_2 s} \right)^{s'/s},$$

$$c_{\varepsilon_3} = \frac{1}{q+1} \left(\frac{q}{\varepsilon_3(q+1)} \right)^q, \quad c_{\varepsilon_4} = \frac{1}{r'} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_4 r} \right)^{r'/r}.$$

For $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ small enough, taking

$$0 \leq \lambda < \frac{c_A |\alpha|}{\varepsilon_3}, \quad (2.14)$$

we obtain $\mathcal{B} > 0$. For $\varepsilon_i > 0$ small enough ($i = 1, 2, 4$), taking

$$0 \leq \lambda < \frac{1}{c_{\varepsilon_3}}, \quad (2.15)$$

we obtain $\mathcal{A} > 0$. Now, we choose $\varepsilon_3 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{c_A |\alpha|}{\varepsilon_3} = \frac{1}{c_{\varepsilon_3}},$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{c_A |\alpha|}{\varepsilon_3} = (q+1) \left(\frac{q}{\varepsilon_3(q+1)} \right)^{-q}.$$

A simple computation yields

$$\varepsilon_3 = (c_A |\alpha|)^{\frac{1}{q+1}} \left(\frac{q}{q+1} \right)^{\frac{q}{q+1}} \left(\frac{1}{q+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q+1}}.$$

We substitute ε_3 into (2.14) (or (2.15)) to get

$$0 \leq \lambda < \lambda^* = (q+1) \left(\frac{|\alpha| c_A}{q} \right)^{\frac{q}{q+1}}.$$

Thus, for $0 \leq \lambda < \lambda^*$ and $\varepsilon_i > 0$ small enough ($i = 1, 2, 4$), we have

$$\mathcal{A} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B} > 0. \quad (2.16)$$

Finally, the desired result follows from (2.13) and (2.16) with

$$C = \frac{\max\{c_{\varepsilon_1} \varepsilon_2, \frac{c_{\varepsilon_4}}{\alpha+1}\}}{\min\{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \frac{1}{\alpha+1}\}}.$$

The lemma is proved. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Suppose that u is a nontrivial global weak solution to (1.1). Let us consider the test function

$$\varphi_R(\vartheta, t) = \varphi_R(x, y, \tau, t) = \phi^\omega \left(\frac{t^{2\theta_1} + |x|^{4\theta_2} + |y|^{4\theta_2} + \tau^{2\theta_2}}{R^{4\theta_2}} \right), \quad R > 0, \quad \omega \gg 1,$$

where $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is a decreasing function satisfying

$$\phi(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq z \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } z \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

and $\theta_j, j = 1, 2$ are positive parameters, whose exact values will be specified later. Let

$$\rho = \frac{t^{2\theta_1} + |x|^{4\theta_2} + |y|^{4\theta_2} + \tau^{2\theta_2}}{R^{4\theta_2}}.$$

Clearly φ_R is supported on

$$\Omega_R = \{(\vartheta, t) \in \mathcal{H} : 0 \leq \rho \leq 2\},$$

while $(\varphi_R)_t$ and $\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}\varphi_R$ are supported on

$$\Theta_R = \{(\vartheta, t) \in \mathcal{H} : 1 \leq \rho \leq 2\}.$$

A simple computation yields

$$\partial_t \varphi_R(\vartheta, t) = 2\theta_1 \omega t^{2\theta_1-1} R^{-4\theta_2} \phi^{\omega-1}(\rho) \phi'(\rho),$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}\varphi_R(t, \vartheta)|^2 &= 16\theta_2^2 \omega^2 R^{-8\theta_2} (\phi'(\rho))^2 \phi^{2\omega-2}(\rho) \left((|x|^2 + |y|^2) \tau^{4\theta_2-2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (|x|^{8\theta_2-2} + |y|^{8\theta_2-2}) + 2\tau^{2\theta_2-1} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i y_i (|x|^{4\theta_2-2} - |y|^{4\theta_2-2}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, for all $(\vartheta, t) \in \Omega_R$, we have

$$R|\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}\varphi_R| + R^{2\theta_2/\theta_1} |\partial_t \varphi_R| \leq C |\phi'(\rho)| \phi^{\omega-1}(\rho). \tag{2.17}$$

For simplicity, in the sequel, we will write φ in the place of φ_R . Let us consider now the change of variables

$$(x, y, \tau, t) = (\vartheta, t) \mapsto (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\tau}, \tilde{t}) = (\tilde{\vartheta}, \tilde{t}),$$

where

$$\tilde{t} = R^{-2\theta_2/\theta_1} t, \quad \tilde{x} = R^{-1} x, \quad \tilde{y} = R^{-1} y, \quad \tilde{\tau} = R^{-2} \tau.$$

In the same way, let

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho} &= \tilde{t}^{2\theta_1} + |\tilde{x}|^{4\theta_2} + |\tilde{y}|^{4\theta_2} + \tilde{\tau}^{2\theta_2}, \\ \tilde{\Omega} &= \{(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\tau}, \tilde{t}) \in \mathcal{H} : 0 \leq \tilde{\rho} \leq 2\}, \\ \tilde{\Theta} &= \{(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\tau}, \tilde{t}) \in \mathcal{H} : 1 \leq \tilde{\rho} \leq 2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the above change of variables together with (2.17), we obtained

$$\int_{\mathcal{H}} \left(\frac{|\varphi_t|^r}{\varphi} \right)^{\frac{1}{r-1}} d\mathcal{H} \leq CR^{Q+2\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1}(1-\frac{r}{r-1})} \int_{\mathcal{H}} \phi^{\omega-\frac{r}{r-1}} |\phi'|^{\frac{r}{r-1}} d\tilde{\mathcal{H}} \tag{2.18}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathcal{H}} \varphi^{1-ms} |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}\varphi|^{ms} d\mathcal{H} \leq CR^{Q+2\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1}-ms} \int_{\mathcal{H}} \phi^{\omega-ms} |\phi'|^{ms} d\tilde{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{2.19}$$

Setting

$$\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1} = \frac{ms(r-1)}{2r},$$

we have

$$Q + 2\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1} \left(1 - \frac{r}{r-1}\right) = Q + 2\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1} - ms = Q - \frac{m(q+\alpha)}{q-m+1} + \frac{m(q-1)}{q-m+1}. \tag{2.20}$$

Using (2.4), (2.18)-(2.20), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathcal{H}} u^{q+\alpha} \varphi d\mathcal{H} \leq CR^{Q-\frac{m(q+\alpha)}{q-m+1} + \frac{m(q-1)k}{q-m+1}}. \tag{2.21}$$

Furthermore, noting that

$$Q - \frac{m(q + \alpha)}{q - m + 1} + \frac{m(q - 1)}{q - m + 1} < 0$$

for $q < m - 1 + \frac{m}{Q}$ and some $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, 0)$ small enough. Under the above condition, letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.21) and using the monotone convergence theorem, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathcal{H}} u^{q+\alpha} d\mathcal{H} \leq 0,$$

which contradicts our assumption about u . This completes the proof. \square

Let us consider now some examples where Theorem 2.2 can be applied.

Corollary 2.4. *If $\max\{1, m - 1\} < q < m - 1 + \frac{m}{Q}$, then the problem*

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - \operatorname{div}_{\mathbb{H}}(|\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u|^{m-2}\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u) &\geq u^q \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H}, \\ u &\geq 0, \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathcal{H}, \\ u(\vartheta, 0) &= u_0(\vartheta), \quad \text{in } \mathbb{H}, \end{aligned}$$

where $u_0 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{R}_+)$, has no nontrivial global weak solution.

Proof. The result follows from Theorem 2.2 with $\lambda = 0$ and

$$A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}}) = |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u|^{m-2}\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u.$$

Observe that condition (1.2) is satisfied with $c_A = 1$. \square

Take $m = 2$ in Corollary 2.4, we obtain the following Heisenberg version of Fujita theorem (see [7]).

Corollary 2.5. *If $1 < q < 1 + \frac{2}{Q}$, then the problem*

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - \Delta_{\mathbb{H}}u &\geq u^q \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H}, \\ u &\geq 0, \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathcal{H}, \\ u(\vartheta, 0) &= u_0(\vartheta), \quad \text{in } \mathbb{H}, \end{aligned}$$

where $u_0 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{R}_+)$, has no nontrivial global weak solution.

Corollary 2.6. *If $1 < q < 1 + \frac{2}{Q}$, then the problem*

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - \operatorname{div}_{\mathbb{H}}\left(\frac{|\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u|}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u|^2}}\right) &\geq u^q \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H}, \\ u &\geq 0, \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathcal{H}, \\ u(\vartheta, 0) &= u_0(\vartheta), \quad \text{in } \mathbb{H}, \end{aligned}$$

where $u_0 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{R}_+)$, has no nontrivial global weak solution.

Proof. The result follows from Theorem 2.2 with $\lambda = 0$ and

$$A(\vartheta, u, \nabla_{\mathbb{H}}) = \frac{|\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u|}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}u|^2}}.$$

Observe that condition (1.2) is satisfied with $c_A = 1$. \square

Note that Corollary 2.6 is a Heisenberg version of [5, Corollary 33.3].

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to extend their sincere appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Saud University for its funding of this research through the Research Group Project No RGP-1435-034.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. D'Ambrosio; Critical degenerate inequalities on the Heisenberg group, *Manuscripta Math.*, **106** (4) (2001), 519–536.
- [2] I. Brindelli, I. Capuzzo Dolcetta, A. Cutri; Liouville theorems for semilinear equations on the Heisenberg group, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré.*, **14** (3) (1997), 295–308.
- [3] E. Lanconelli, F. Uguzzoni; Asymptotic behaviour and non existence theorems for semilinear Dirichlet problems involving critical exponent on unbounded domains of the Heisenberg group, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.*, **1** (1) (1998), 139–168.
- [4] E. Mitidieri, S. I. Pohozaev; Nonexistence of positive solutions for quasilinear elliptic problems on \mathcal{R}^N , *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, **227** (1999), 1–32.
- [5] E. Mitidieri, S.I. Pohozaev; A priori estimates and the absence of solutions of nonlinear partial differential equations and inequalities, *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova.*, **234** (2001), 3–383.
- [6] E. Mitidieri, S.I. Pohozaev; Towards a unified approach to nonexistence of solutions for a class of differential inequalities, *Milan Journal of Mathematics.*, **72** (1) (2004), 129–162.
- [7] S. I. Pohozaev, L. Véron; Nonexistence results of solutions of semilinear differential inequalities on the Heisenberg group, *manuscripta math.*, **102** (2000), 85–99.
- [8] C. Romero; Potential theory for the Kohn Laplacian on the Heisenberg group, *Diss. University of Minnesota*, 1991.
- [9] S. Thangavelu; Harmonic analysis on the Heisenberg group, *Springer Science & Business Media*, Vol. 159, 1998.

IBTEHAL AZMAN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, KING SAUD UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 2455,
RIYADH 11451, SAUDI ARABIA

E-mail address: `ibtahalazman@yahoo.com`

MOHAMED JLELI

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, KING SAUD UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 2455,
RIYADH 11451, SAUDI ARABIA

E-mail address: `jleli@ksu.edu.sa`

BESSEM SAMET

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, KING SAUD UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 2455,
RIYADH 11451, SAUDI ARABIA

E-mail address: `bsamet@ksu.edu.sa`