

EXISTENCE OF NON-OSCILLATORY SOLUTIONS FOR SECOND-ORDER ADVANCED HALF-LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

AIJUN CHENG, ZHITING XU

ABSTRACT. In this article, we establish the necessary and sufficient conditions for existence of non-oscillatory solutions for the second-order advanced half-linear differential equation

$$(r(t)|x'(t)|^{\alpha-1}x'(t))' + p(t)|x(h(t))|^{\alpha-1}x(h(t)) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0.$$

The obtained results generalize some well-known theorems in the literature

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the second-order advanced half-linear differential equation

$$(r(t)|x'(t)|^{\alpha-1}x'(t))' + p(t)|x(h(t))|^{\alpha-1}x(h(t)) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is a constant, $r \in C^1([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^+)$ with $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} r^{-1/\alpha}(t)dt = \infty$, $p \in C([t_0, \infty), [0, \infty))$ with $p(t) \not\equiv 0$, and $h \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ with $t \leq h(t)$.

By a solution to (1.1) we mean a function $x \in C^1([T_x, \infty), \mathbb{R})$, $T_x \geq t_0$, such that $r|x'|^{\alpha-1}x' \in C^1([T_x, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ and x satisfies (1.1) for all $t \geq T_x$. Solutions of (1.1) vanishing in some neighborhood of infinity will be excluded from our consideration. A solution of (1.1) is said to be oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zeros, and otherwise it is said to be non-oscillatory. Equation (1.1) is called oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory. Similarly, it is called non-oscillatory if all its solutions are non-oscillatory.

Equation (1.1) can be considered as the natural generalization of the linear differential equation

$$(r(t)x'(t))' + p(t)x(t) = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

or of the half-linear differential equation

$$(r(t)|x'(t)|^{\alpha-1}x'(t))' + p(t)|x(t)|^{\alpha-1}x(t) = 0 \quad (1.3)$$

and of the advanced differential equation

$$(r(t)x'(t))' + p(t)x(h(t)) = 0, \quad t \leq h(t), \quad (1.4)$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34C10, 34C55.

Key words and phrases. Oscillation; nonoscillation; half-linear; advanced differential equation.

©2013 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted August 4, 2012. Published February 21, 2013.

The oscillation and nonoscillation of (1.2)-(1.3) has been extensively investigated from various viewpoints during the previous 60 years, see for example the monographs [1, 2] and the references therein. To motivate the formulation of our main results, we wish to quote the following known non-oscillation results.

Theorem 1.1 ([8, p. 379]). *Equation (1.2) has a nonoscillatory solution if and only if there is a positive differentiable function $\varphi(t)$ defined on $[t_1, \infty)$, $t_1 \geq t_0$, such that*

$$\varphi'(t) + \frac{\varphi^2(t)}{r(t)} \leq -p(t), \quad t \geq t_1.$$

Theorem 1.2 ([9, Theorem 2.1]). *Assume that*

$$\int_t^\infty \frac{ds}{r(s)} = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \int_t^\infty p(s)ds < \infty, \quad t \in [t_0, \infty)$$

hold. Define a sequence of function $\{v_n(t)\}_0^\infty$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} v_0(t) &= \int_t^\infty p(s)ds, & v_1(t) &= \int_t^\infty \frac{v_0^2(s)}{r(s)}ds, \\ v_{n+1}(t) &= \int_t^\infty \frac{[v_0(s) + v_n(s)]^2}{r(s)}ds, & t \in [t_0, \infty), & \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Then (1.2) is non-oscillatory if and only if there exists $t_1 \geq t_0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n(t) = v(t) < \infty \quad \text{for } t \geq t_1.$$

Recently, Yang and Lo [10] extended Theorem 1.2 to (1.3), see [10, Theorem 1]. On the other hand, in 1991, Lu [6] extended Theorem 1.1 to (1.4). More precisely, Lu proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3 ([6, Lemma 2]). *Equation (1.4) has a nonoscillatory solution if and only if there is a positive differentiable function $\varphi(t)$ defined on $[t_1, \infty)$, $t_1 \geq t_0$, such that*

$$\varphi'(t) + \frac{\varphi^2(t)}{r(t)} \leq -p(t) \exp\left(\int_t^{h(t)} \frac{\varphi(s)}{r(s)} ds\right), \quad t \geq t_1.$$

For related works for (1.2), see. e.g., [3, 4, 5, 7].

Inspired by [6, 8, 9, 10], in this article, we extend the results by Lu [6], Wintner [8], Yan [9], and Yang and Lo [10] to the Equation (1.1). We establish necessary and sufficient conditions for existence of non-oscillatory solutions to (1.1). Using these results, we further establish oscillation criteria for (1.1). The obtained results generalize some well-known theorems in the literature.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 2.1. *If*

$$\int_{t_0}^\infty p(s)ds = \infty, \tag{2.1}$$

then (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that (1.1) has a non-oscillatory solution $x(t)$. We assume that $x(t) > 0$ and $x(h(t)) > 0$ for $t \geq t_1 \geq t_0$. A similar proof is done if we assume $x(t) < 0$ on $[t_1, \infty)$. Since $p(t) \geq 0$ on $[t_1, \infty)$, $(r(t)|x'(t)|^{\alpha-1}x'(t))' \leq 0$,

hence, $r(t)|x'(t)|^{\alpha-1}x'(t)$ is non-increasing on $[t_1, \infty)$, therefore, $x'(t)$ is eventually of constant sign. If $x'(t) < 0$ for $t \geq t_1$, then

$$r(t)|x'(t)|^{\alpha-1}x'(t) \leq r(t_1)(-x'(t_1))^{\alpha-1}x'(t_1) =: -c < 0.$$

It follows that

$$x(t) \leq x(t_1) - c^{1/\alpha} \int_{t_1}^t \frac{ds}{r^{1/\alpha}(s)} \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

which contradicts $x(t) > 0$. Thus, $x'(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_1$. Let

$$w(t) = \frac{r(t)|x'(t)|^{\alpha-1}x'(t)}{|x(t)|^{\alpha-1}x(t)}. \quad (2.2)$$

Obviously, $w(t) > 0$, and $r(t)(x'(t))^\alpha = w(t)(x(t))^\alpha$; i.e.,

$$\frac{x(h(t))}{x(t)} = \exp\left(\int_t^{h(t)} \left(\frac{w(s)}{r(s)}\right)^{1/\alpha} ds\right). \quad (2.3)$$

Then, from (1.1) and (2.3), we obtain

$$w'(t) + \alpha \frac{(w(t))^{\alpha+1/\alpha}}{r^{1/\alpha}(t)} + p(t) \exp\left(\alpha \int_t^{h(t)} \left(\frac{w(s)}{r(s)}\right)^{1/\alpha} ds\right) = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

consequently,

$$w'(t) + p(t) \leq 0.$$

Integrating the above inequality from t_1 to t ($t > t_1$), we have

$$w(t) \leq w(t_1) - \int_{t_1}^t p(s) ds \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty,$$

which contradicts $w(t) > 0$. \square

According to Theorem 2.1, we can furthermore restrict our attention to the case:

$$\int_t^\infty p(s) ds < \infty. \quad (2.5)$$

For convenience, we define $P(t) = \int_t^\infty p(s) ds$ for $t \geq t_0$. Firstly, we give the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let (2.5) hold. Suppose that (1.1) has a nonoscillatory solution $x(t) \neq 0$ for $t \geq t_1 \geq t_0$, and let $w(t)$ be defined by (2.2). Then the following statements hold for $t \geq t_1$:*

$$w(t) > 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} w(t) = 0, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\int_t^\infty \frac{(w(s))^{\alpha+1/\alpha}}{r^{1/\alpha}(s)} ds < \infty, \quad (2.7)$$

$$I(t) = \int_t^\infty p(s) \exp\left(\alpha \int_s^{h(s)} \left(\frac{w(\tau)}{r(\tau)}\right)^{1/\alpha} d\tau\right) ds < \infty, \quad (2.8)$$

$$w(t) = \alpha \int_t^\infty \frac{(w(s))^{\alpha+1/\alpha}}{r^{1/\alpha}(s)} ds + I(t). \quad (2.9)$$

Proof. Assume that $x(t) > 0$ on $[t_1, \infty)$. A similar argument holds if we assume $x(t) < 0$ on $[t_1, \infty)$. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we know $x'(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_1$. Hence, $w(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_1$, and (2.4) holds and

$$w'(t) \leq -\alpha \frac{(w(t))^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha}}{r^{1/\alpha}(t)}.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{1}{w^{1/\alpha}(t)} - \frac{1}{w^{1/\alpha}(t_1)} \geq \int_{t_1}^t \frac{1}{r^{1/\alpha}(s)} ds \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty,$$

thus $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} w(t) = 0$. Integrating (2.4) from t to T ($T \geq t \geq t_1$), we have

$$w(T) - w(t) + \alpha \int_t^T \frac{(w(s))^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha}}{r^{1/\alpha}(s)} ds + \int_t^T p(s) \exp\left(\alpha \int_s^{h(s)} \left(\frac{w(\tau)}{r(\tau)}\right)^{1/\alpha} d\tau\right) ds = 0. \quad (2.10)$$

Let $T \rightarrow \infty$, then from (2.10) it follows that

$$w(t) = \alpha \int_t^\infty \frac{(w(s))^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha}}{r^{1/\alpha}(s)} ds + I(t), \quad t \geq t_1.$$

Hence, (2.9) holds. Furthermore, (2.7) and (2.8) hold. \square

Theorem 2.3. *Let (2.5) hold. Equation (1.1) is non-oscillatory if and only if there exist $t_1 \geq t_0$ and $\varphi(t) \in C^1([t_1, \infty), \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that*

$$\varphi'(t) + \alpha \frac{(\varphi(t))^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha}}{r^{1/\alpha}(t)} + p(t) \exp\left(\alpha \int_t^{h(t)} \left(\frac{\varphi(s)}{r(s)}\right)^{1/\alpha} ds\right) \leq 0, \quad t \geq t_1. \quad (2.11)$$

Proof. The “only if” part. Let $x(t)$ be a non-oscillatory solution of (1.1). Assume that $x(t) > 0$ and $x(h(t)) > 0$ for $t \geq t_1$. Then, by Lemma 2.2, the function $w(t) \in C^1([t_1, \infty), \mathbb{R}^+)$ defined by (2.2) satisfies (2.9). Differentiation of (2.9) shows that $w(t)$ is a solution of (2.11) on $[t_1, \infty)$.

The “if” part. It follows from (2.11) that $\varphi'(t) < 0$, hence $\varphi(t)$ is decreasing and is bounded from below; consequently, its limit exists, namely, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t) = d \geq 0$. Next, we prove that $d = 0$. Indeed, it follows from (2.11) that

$$\varphi'(t) \leq -\alpha \frac{(\varphi(t))^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha}}{r^{1/\alpha}(t)}.$$

Dividing both sides of the above inequality by $(\varphi(t))^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha}$, and integrating from t to T , then we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\varphi^{1/\alpha}(T)} - \frac{1}{\varphi^{1/\alpha}(t)} \geq \int_t^T \frac{ds}{r^{1/\alpha}(s)},$$

letting $T \rightarrow \infty$ in the above, we have $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(T) = 0$. Then integrating (2.11) from t to ∞ , we have

$$\alpha \int_t^\infty \frac{(\varphi(s))^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha}}{r^{1/\alpha}(s)} ds + \int_t^\infty p(s) \exp\left(\alpha \int_s^{h(s)} \left(\frac{\varphi(\tau)}{r(\tau)}\right)^{1/\alpha} d\tau\right) ds \leq \varphi(t), \quad t \geq t_1,$$

which implies that for $t \geq t_1$,

$$\int_t^\infty \frac{(\varphi(s))^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha}}{r^{1/\alpha}(s)} ds < \infty, \quad \int_t^\infty p(s) \exp\left(\alpha \int_s^{h(s)} \left(\frac{\varphi(\tau)}{r(\tau)}\right)^{1/\alpha} d\tau\right) ds < \infty.$$

Define the following mapping

$$(Ly)(t) = \alpha \int_t^\infty \frac{(y(s))^{\alpha+1/\alpha}}{r^{1/\alpha}(s)} ds + \int_t^\infty p(s) \exp\left(\alpha \int_s^{h(s)} \left(\frac{y(\tau)}{r(\tau)}\right)^{1/\alpha} d\tau\right) ds, \quad (2.12)$$

for $t \geq t_1$. Let

$$x_0(t) \equiv 0, \quad x_n(t) = L(x_{n-1}(t)), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

It is easy to show that

$$x_0(t) \leq x_1(t) \leq \dots \leq x_n(t) \leq \dots \leq \varphi(t).$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(t) = u(t) \leq \varphi(t).$$

By (2.12), we have

$$x_n(t) = \alpha \int_t^\infty \frac{(x_{n-1}(s))^{\alpha+1/\alpha}}{r^{1/\alpha}(s)} ds + \int_t^\infty p(s) \exp\left(\alpha \int_s^{h(s)} \left(\frac{x_{n-1}(\tau)}{r(\tau)}\right)^{1/\alpha} d\tau\right) ds,$$

for $t \geq t_1$. By Levi's monotone convergence theorem, and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above equation, we obtain

$$u(t) = \alpha \int_t^\infty \frac{(u(s))^{\alpha+1/\alpha}}{r^{1/\alpha}(s)} ds + \int_t^\infty p(s) \exp\left(\alpha \int_s^{h(s)} \left(\frac{u(\tau)}{r(\tau)}\right)^{1/\alpha} d\tau\right) ds, \quad t \geq t_1. \quad (2.13)$$

Set

$$x(t) = \exp\left(\int_{t_1}^t \left(\frac{u(\tau)}{r(\tau)}\right)^{1/\alpha} d\tau\right), \quad t \geq t_1.$$

Then

$$u(t) = \frac{r(t)(x'(t))^\alpha}{(x(t))^\alpha}. \quad (2.14)$$

By (2.13) and (2.14), we have

$$(r(t)(x'(t))^\alpha)' + p(t)(x(h(t)))^\alpha = 0;$$

i.e.,

$$(r(t)|x'(t)|^{\alpha-1}x'(t))' + p(t)|x(h(t))|^{\alpha-1}x(h(t)) = 0, \quad t \geq t_1.$$

Thus, $x(t)$ is a non-oscillatory solution of (1.1). \square

Corollary 2.4. *Let (2.5) hold. If $h(t) \equiv t$, then (1.1) is non-oscillatory if and only if there exist $t_1 \geq t_0$, and $\varphi(t) \in C^1([t_1, \infty), \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that*

$$\varphi'(t) + \alpha \frac{\varphi^{\alpha+1/\alpha}(t)}{r^{1/\alpha}(t)} + p(t) \leq 0, \quad t \geq t_1,$$

We remark that for (1.4), Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.4 reduce to [6, Lemma 2] and [6, Corollary 1], respectively.

Let (2.5) hold. Define a sequence of functions $\{v_n(t)\}_0^\infty$ as follows (if they exist):

$$\begin{aligned} v_0(t) &= P(t) = \int_t^\infty p(s) ds, \\ v_{n+1}(t) &= \alpha \int_t^\infty \frac{(v_n(s))^{\alpha+1/\alpha}}{r^{1/\alpha}(s)} ds + \int_t^\infty p(s) \exp\left(\alpha \int_s^{h(s)} \left(\frac{v_n(\tau)}{r(\tau)}\right)^{1/\alpha} d\tau\right) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, t \geq t_1$. Clearly, $v_0(t) \geq 0$ and $v_1(t) \geq v_0(t)$. By induction, we obtain

$$v_{n+1}(t) \geq v_n(t), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots; \quad (2.16)$$

i.e., the sequence $\{v_n(t)\}_0^\infty$ is nondecreasing on $[t_0, \infty)$.

Theorem 2.5. *Let (2.5) hold. Then (1.1) is non-oscillatory if and only if there exists $t_1 \geq t_0$ such that $\{v_n(t)\}_0^\infty$ exists and converges; i.e.,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n(t) = v(t) < \infty, \quad t \geq t_1. \quad (2.17)$$

Proof. The “only if” part. Suppose that $x(t)$ is a non-oscillatory solution of (1.1). Without loss of generality, we assume that $x(t) > 0$ and $x(h(t)) > 0$ on $[t_1, \infty)$. Let $w(t)$ be defined by (2.2), by Lemma 2.2, we obtain (2.9), which follows

$$w(t) \geq \int_t^\infty p(s) \exp\left(\alpha \int_s^{h(s)} \left(\frac{w(\tau)}{r(\tau)}\right)^{1/\alpha} d\tau\right) ds \geq \int_t^\infty p(s) ds = v_0(t) \geq 0.$$

By (2.9) again, we have

$$w(t) \geq \alpha \int_t^\infty \frac{(v_0(s))^{\alpha+1/\alpha}}{r^{1/\alpha}(s)} ds + \int_t^\infty p(s) \exp\left(\alpha \int_s^{h(s)} \left(\frac{v_0(\tau)}{r(\tau)}\right)^{1/\alpha} d\tau\right) ds = v_1(t).$$

By induction, we obtain

$$w(t) \geq v_n(t) \geq 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad t \geq t_1. \quad (2.18)$$

It follows from (2.16) and (2.18) that (2.17) holds.

The “if” part. Assume that the function sequence $\{v_n(t)\}_0^\infty$ exists and converges. It follows from (2.16) and (2.17) that

$$0 \leq v_n(t) \leq v(t), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad t \geq t_1.$$

By Levi’s monotone convergence theorem for (2.15), we obtain

$$v(t) = \alpha \int_t^\infty \frac{(v(s))^{\alpha+1/\alpha}}{r^{1/\alpha}(s)} ds + \int_t^\infty p(s) \exp\left(\alpha \int_s^{h(s)} \left(\frac{v(\tau)}{r(\tau)}\right)^{1/\alpha} d\tau\right) ds.$$

Consequently,

$$v'(t) + \alpha \frac{(v(t))^{\alpha+1/\alpha}}{r^{1/\alpha}(t)} + p(t) \exp\left(\alpha \int_t^{h(t)} \left(\frac{v(s)}{r(s)}\right)^{1/\alpha} ds\right) = 0, \quad t \geq t_1.$$

Then, by Theorem 2.3, (1.1) is non-oscillatory. \square

As a consequence of Theorem 2.5, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.6. *Let (2.5) hold. Then (1.1) is oscillatory if one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1) *There exists an integer m such that $v_n(t)$ is defined for $n = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$, but $v_m(t)$ does not exist;*
- (2) *$\{v_n(t)\}_0^\infty$ is defined for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, but for arbitrarily large $T \geq t_0$, there exists $t^* > T$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n(t^*) = \infty$.*

Corollary 2.7. *Let (2.5) hold. Assume that there exists $R(t) \in C^1([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^+)$ with $R'(t) = r^{-1/\alpha}(t)$, and there exists $\lambda_0 > \alpha^\alpha/(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}$ such that for all sufficiently large t ,*

$$R^\alpha(t)P(t) \geq \lambda_0. \quad (2.19)$$

Then (1.1) is oscillatory .

Proof. It follows from (2.19) that $v_0(t) \geq \lambda_0 R^{-\alpha}(t)$, which implies, by (2.15),

$$v_1(t) \geq v_0(t) + \alpha \lambda_0^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha} \int_t^\infty \frac{dR(s)}{R^{\alpha+1}(s)} \geq \frac{\lambda_1}{R^\alpha(t)}, \quad \lambda_1 = \lambda_0 + \lambda_0^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha} > \lambda_0.$$

By induction, we can show that

$$v_{n+1}(t) \geq \frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{R^\alpha(t)} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{n+1} = \lambda_0 + \lambda_n^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha} > \lambda_n, \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Now we claim that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \infty$. Otherwise, as λ_n is monotone increasing, we must have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \lambda < \infty$, and $\lambda > 0$ satisfies the equation $\lambda = \lambda_0 + \lambda^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha}$. Note that $\lambda_0 > \alpha^\alpha / (\alpha + 1)^{\alpha+1}$, then, by Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= \lambda_0 + \lambda^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha} > \frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha} \left[\frac{1}{\alpha + 1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1} \right)^{\alpha+1} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1} \lambda^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha} \right] \\ &\geq \frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1} \lambda = \lambda, \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible. Hence, the claim is true. Consequently, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n(t) = \infty$. Thus, by Theorem 2.6 (2), Equation (1.1) is oscillatory. \square

Corollary 2.8. *Let (2.5) hold. Assume that there exists $\gamma_0 > (\alpha + 1)^{-(\alpha+1)/\alpha}$ such that for all sufficiently large t ,*

$$\int_t^\infty \frac{P^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha}(s)}{r^{1/\alpha}(s)} ds \geq \gamma_0 P(t). \tag{2.20}$$

Then (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. It follows from (2.15) and (2.20) that

$$v_1(t) \geq \gamma_1 P(t), \quad \gamma_1 = 1 + \alpha \gamma_0 > 1.$$

Assume that $v_n(t) \geq \gamma_n P(t)$, then, by (2.15) again and induction, we have

$$v_{n+1}(t) \geq \gamma_{n+1} P(t), \quad \gamma_{n+1} = 1 + \alpha \gamma_0 \gamma_n^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

We now claim that

$$\gamma_{n+1} > \gamma_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \tag{2.21}$$

Indeed, in view of the fact that $\gamma_1 > 1$ and $(\alpha + 1)/\alpha > 1$, we have

$$r_2 = 1 + \alpha \gamma_0 \gamma_1^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha} > 1 + \alpha \gamma_0 = \gamma_1.$$

Moreover, we have

$$r_3 = 1 + \alpha \gamma_0 \gamma_2^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha} > 1 + \alpha \gamma_0 \gamma_1^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha} = \gamma_2.$$

Hence, by induction, we can show that (2.21) holds. Then, by an argument similar to the proof of Corollary 2.7, we can prove $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \infty$; consequently $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n(t) = \infty$. It follows from Theorem 2.6 (2) that (1.1) is oscillatory. \square

Theorem 2.9. *Let (2.5) hold. If (1.1) has a nonoscillatory solution, then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t) \exp \left(\alpha \int_{t_1}^t \left(\frac{P(s)}{r(s)} \right)^{1/\alpha} ds \right) < \infty, \tag{2.22}$$

where $v(t)$ satisfies (2.17).

Proof. Suppose $x(t) \neq 0$ is a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1) for $t \geq t_1$. Let $w(t)$ be defined by (2.2), it follows from (2.4) and (2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} -w'(t) &= \alpha \frac{(w(t))^{\alpha+1/\alpha}}{r^{1/\alpha}(t)} + p(t) \exp\left(\alpha \int_t^{h(t)} \left(\frac{w(s)}{r(s)}\right)^{1/\alpha} ds\right) \\ &\geq \alpha \frac{(w(t))^{\alpha+1/\alpha}}{r^{1/\alpha}(t)} = \alpha w(t) \left(\frac{w(t)}{r(t)}\right)^{1/\alpha} \\ &\geq \alpha w(t) \left(\frac{P(t)}{r(t)}\right)^{1/\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

hence,

$$w(t) \leq w(t_1) \exp\left(-\alpha \int_{t_1}^t \left(\frac{P(s)}{r(s)}\right)^{1/\alpha} ds\right). \quad (2.23)$$

On the other hand, by induction, we have $w(t) \geq v_n(t)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Combining this with (2.23), we obtain

$$v_n(t) \exp\left(\alpha \int_{t_1}^t \left(\frac{P(s)}{r(s)}\right)^{1/\alpha} ds\right) \leq w(t_1), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.24)$$

Note that from Theorem 2.5, it follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n(t) = v(t)$, then by (2.24), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n(t) \exp\left(\alpha \int_{t_1}^t \left(\frac{P(s)}{r(s)}\right)^{1/\alpha} ds\right) = v(t) \exp\left(\alpha \int_{t_1}^t \left(\frac{P(s)}{r(s)}\right)^{1/\alpha} ds\right) \leq w(t_1),$$

and then we obtain the desired inequality (2.22). \square

As a direct consequence of Theorem 2.9, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.10. *Let (2.5) hold, and $v_n(t)$ be defined for $n = 1, 2, \dots, m$. If one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} v_m(t) \exp\left(\alpha \int_{t_0}^t \left(\frac{P(s)}{r(s)}\right)^{1/\alpha} ds\right) = \infty$,
- (2) Condition (2.17) holds, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t) \exp\left(\alpha \int_{t_0}^t \left(\frac{P(s)}{r(s)}\right)^{1/\alpha} ds\right) = \infty$,

then (1.1) is oscillatory.

Theorem 2.11. *Let (2.5) hold and*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t \exp\left(-\alpha \int_{t_0}^s \left(\frac{P(\tau)}{r(\tau)}\right)^{1/\alpha} d\tau\right) ds < \infty. \quad (2.25)$$

If there exists $m \geq 1$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t v_m(s) ds = \infty, \quad (2.26)$$

then (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Assume that $x(t) \neq 0$ is a non-oscillatory solution of (1.1) for $t \geq t_1$. Let $w(t)$ be defined by (2.2), similar to the proof of Theorem 2.9, we have

$$v_m(t) \leq w(t_1) \exp\left(-\alpha \int_{t_1}^t \left(\frac{P(s)}{r(s)}\right)^{1/\alpha} ds\right), \quad m \geq 1. \quad (2.27)$$

Integrating (2.27) from t_1 to t , and then letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ makes (2.25) contradict (2.26). Hence, (1.1) is oscillatory. \square

3. EXAMPLES

In this section, we will give some examples to illustrate our main results.

Example 3.1. Consider the equation

$$\left(\frac{1}{t}|x'(t)|^{-1/2}x'(t)\right)' + \frac{3\lambda}{2t^{5/2}}|x(3t)|^{-1/2}x(3t) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0, \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2}, \quad r(t) = \frac{1}{t}, \quad h(t) = 3t, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

Then $R^{1/2}(t)P(t) = \lambda\sqrt{3}/3$. By Corollary 2.7, if there exists $\lambda_0 > 2\sqrt{3}/3$ such that $\lambda \geq \sqrt{3}\lambda_0$, i.e., $\lambda > 2$, then (3.1) is oscillatory.

Example 3.2. Consider the equation

$$(t|x'(t)|x'(t))' + \frac{k}{t^2}|x(2t)|x(2t) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\alpha = 2$, $r(t) = t$, $h(t) = 2t$, $k > 0$. Then $P(t) = k/t$. If $k > 1/27$, then (3.2) is oscillatory. Indeed, note that there exists $\gamma_0 \in (\frac{\sqrt{3}}{9}, \sqrt{k})$, then

$$\int_t^\infty \frac{P^{1+1/\alpha}(s)}{r^{1/\alpha}(s)} ds = \frac{k^{3/2}}{t} = \frac{k}{t}\sqrt{k} \geq \gamma_0 \frac{k}{t} > \frac{P(t)}{(\alpha+1)^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha}},$$

for all sufficiently large t . Hence, by Corollary 2.8, the conclusion holds.

Example 3.3. Consider the equation

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}|x'(t)|^{1/2}x'(t)\right)' + \frac{k}{t^{5/2}}\left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{2\ln t} + \frac{1}{\ln^2 t}\right)|x(2t)|^{1/2}x(2t) = 0, \quad t \geq 1, \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$k > 0, \quad \alpha = \frac{3}{2}, \quad r(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}, \quad h(t) = 2t, \quad p(t) = \frac{k}{t^{5/2}}\left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{2\ln t} + \frac{1}{\ln^2 t}\right).$$

Note that

$$v_0(t) = P(t) = \frac{k}{t^{3/2}}\left(1 + \frac{1}{\ln t}\right), \quad v_1(t) > \frac{9k^{5/3}}{7t^{7/6}} + \frac{k}{t^{3/2}}\left(1 + \frac{1}{\ln t}\right).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} v_1(t) \exp\left(\alpha \int_1^t \left(\frac{P(s)}{r(s)}\right)^{1/\alpha} ds\right) \\ & \geq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{9k^{5/3}}{7t^{7/6}} + \frac{k}{t^{3/2}}\left(1 + \frac{1}{\ln t}\right)\right) \exp\left(\frac{3}{2} \int_1^t \left(\frac{k(1 + \frac{1}{\ln s})}{s}\right)^{2/3} ds\right) \\ & \geq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{9k^{5/3}}{7t^{7/6}} + \frac{k}{t^{3/2}}\right) \exp\left(\frac{3}{2} \int_1^t \left(\frac{k}{s}\right)^{2/3} ds\right) \\ & \geq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k_1}{t^{3/2}} e^{k_2 t^{1/3}} = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where $k_1 = ke^{-9/2k^{2/3}}$ and $k_2 = 9k^{2/3}/2$. Thus, Theorem 2.10 (1) is satisfied for $m = 1$. Hence (3.3) is oscillatory.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, S. R. Grace, D. O'Regan; *Oscillation Theory for Second Order Linear, Half-Linear, Superlinear and Sublinear Dynamic Equations*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2002.
- [2] O. Došlý, P. Řehák; *Half-linear Differential Equations*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2005.
- [3] H. Hoshino, R. Imabayashi, T. Kusano, T. Tanigawa; *On second-order half-linear oscillations*, Adv. Math. Sci. Appl. 8 (1998) 199-210.
- [4] T. Kusano, Y. Naito; *Oscillation and nonoscillation criteria for second order quasilinear differential equations*, Acta Math. Hungar. 76 (1-2) (1997) 81-99.
- [5] H.J. Li, C.C. Yeh; *Nonoscillation criteria for second-order half-linear differential equations*, Appl. Math. Lett. 8 (1995) 63-70.
- [6] W. D. Lu; *Oscillation property for second order advanced differential equations*, Chinese Ann. Math. 12 (1991) 133-138 (in Chinese).
- [7] K. Takasi, N. Yoshida; *Nonoscillation theorems for a class of quasilinear differential equations of second order*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 189 (1995) 115-127.
- [8] A. Wintner; *On the non-existence of conjugate points*, Amer. J. Math. 73 (1951) 368-380.
- [9] J. Yan; *Oscillation property for second order differential equations with an "integral small" coefficient*, Acta Math. Sinica. 30 (1987) 206-215 (in Chinese).
- [10] X. Yang, K. Lo; *Nonoscillation criteria for quasilinear second order differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 331 (2007) 1023-1032.

AIJUN CHENG

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SOUTH CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, GUANGZHOU 510631, CHINA

E-mail address: chengaijun@163.com

ZHITING XU

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SOUTH CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, GUANGZHOU 510631, CHINA

E-mail address: xuzhit@126.com