Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, Vol. 2013 (2013), No. 216, pp. 1–9. ISSN: 1072-6691. URL: http://ejde.math.txstate.edu or http://ejde.math.unt.edu ftp ejde.math.txstate.edu

BLOW-UP OF SOLUTIONS FOR A SYSTEM OF NONLINEAR PARABOLIC EQUATIONS

SHUN-TANG WU

ABSTRACT. The initial boundary value problem for a system of parabolic equations in a bounded domain is considered. We prove that, under suitable conditions on the nonlinearity and certain initial data, the lower bound for the blow-up time is determined if blow-up does occur. In addition, a criterion for blow-up to occur and conditions which ensure that blow-up does not occur are established.

1. Introduction

We consider the initial boundary value problem for the following nonlinear parabolic problems:

$$u_t - \operatorname{div}(\rho_1(|\nabla u|^2)\nabla u) = f_1(u, v) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times [0, \infty), \tag{1.1}$$

$$v_t - \operatorname{div}((\rho_2(|\nabla v|^2)\nabla v) = f_2(u, v) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times [0, \infty), \tag{1.2}$$

$$u(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad v(x,0) = v_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega,$$
 (1.3)

$$u(x,t) = v(x,t) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \ t > 0, \tag{1.4}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N $(N \geq 1)$ with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, ρ_i , i = 1, 2, are positive C^1 functions and $f_i(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, i = 1, 2, are given functions which will be specified later. $u_0(x)$, $v_0(x)$ are nonzero and nonnegative functions.

Questions related to the blow-up phenomena of the solutions for the nonlinear parabolic equations and systems have attracted considerable attention in recent years. A natural question concerning the blow-up properties is about whether the solution blows up and, if so, at what time t^* blow-up occurs. In this direction, there is a vast literature to deal with the blow-up time when the solution does blow up at finite time t^* [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12], [15, page 3]. Yet, this blow-up time can seldom be determined explicitly. Indeed, the methods used in the study of blow-up very often have yielded only upper bound for t^* . However, a lower bound on blow-up time is more important in some applied problems because of the explosive nature of the solution. To the authors knowledge, some of the first work on lower bounds for t^* was by Weissler [16, 17]. Recently, a number of papers

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 35K55, 35K60.

Key words and phrases. Blow-up; lower bound of blow-up time; parabolic problem.

^{©2013} Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted August 21, 2013. Published September 30, 2013.

deriving lower bounds for t^* in various problems have appeared, beginning with the paper of Payne and Schaefer [13]. Payne et al. [14] considered the single equation

$$u_t - \operatorname{div}\left(\rho(|\nabla u|^2)\nabla u\right) = f(u).$$

Under certain conditions on the nonlineartities, they obtained a lower bound for blow-up time if blow-up does occur. Additionally, a criterion for blow-up and conditions which ensure that blow-up does not occur are obtained.

Motivated by previous works, in this study, we establish the lower bound and the upper bound for problem (1.1)-(1.4) when blow-up does occur. Besides, the nonblow-up properties for a class of problem (1.1)-(1.4) are also investigated. Our proof technique closely follows the arguments of [14], with some modifications being needed for our problems. The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, under suitable conditions on ρ_i , f_i , i=1,2, the lower bound for the blow-up time is established if blow-up occurs when Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^3 . In Section 3, the nonblow-up phenomena are investigated. Finally, the sufficient condition which guarantees the blow-up occurs is obtained and an upper bound for the blow-up time is also given.

2. Lower bound for the blow-up time

In this section, we focus our attention to the lower bound time t^* for the blowup time of the solutions to problem (1.1)-(1.4). For this purpose, we give the assumptions on ρ_i and f_i , i = 1, 2 as follows.

(A1) $\rho_i(s)$, i=1,2 are nonnegative C^1 function for s>0 satisfying

$$\rho_1(s) \ge b_1 + b_2 s^{q_1}, \quad \rho_2(s) \ge b_3 + b_3 s^{q_2}, \quad q_1, q_2, b_i > 0, \quad i = 1 - 4.$$

(A2) Concerning the functions $f_1(u, v)$ and $f_2(u, v)$, we take (see [9])

$$f_1(u,v) = \left(a|u+v|^{m-1}(u+v) + b|u|^{\frac{m-3}{2}}|v|^{\frac{m+1}{2}}u\right),\tag{2.1}$$

$$f_2(u,v) = \left(a|u+v|^{m-1}(u+v) + b|v|^{\frac{m-3}{2}}|u|^{\frac{m+1}{2}}v\right),\tag{2.2}$$

where a, b > 0 are constants and m satisfies

$$m > 1, \text{ if } N = 1, 2 \quad \text{or} \quad 1 < m \leq \frac{N+2}{N-2}, \text{ if } N \geq 3.$$

One can easily verify that

$$uf_1(u,v) + vf_2(u,v) = (m+1)F(u,v), \quad \forall (u,v) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where

$$F(u,v) = \frac{1}{m+1} \Big(a|u+v|^{m+1} + 2b|uv|^{\frac{m+1}{2}} \Big).$$

As in [9], we still have the following result.

Lemma 2.1. There exists a positive constant β such that, for p > 0,

$$u^p f_1(u,v) + v^p f_2(u,v) \le \beta(|u|^{p+m} + |v|^{p+m}), \quad \forall (u,v) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

We define

$$\begin{split} \phi(t) &= \int_{\Omega} u^{2(n-1)(q_1+1)+2} dx + \int_{\Omega} v^{2(n-1)(q_2+1)+2} dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} u^{\sigma_1} dx + \int_{\Omega} v^{\sigma_2} dx, \end{split} \tag{2.3}$$

where $\sigma_1 = 2(n-1)(q_1+1) + 2$, $\sigma_2 = 2(n-1)(q_2+1) + 2$ and n is a positive constant satisfying

$$n > \max \left\{ \frac{3(m-1) - 2q_1}{2(q_1+1)}, \frac{3(m-1) - 2q_2}{2(q_2+1)}, \frac{3(m-1) - 2(3q_1 - 2q_2)}{2(3q_1 - 2q_2 + 1)}, \frac{3(m-1) - 2(3q_2 - 2q_1)}{2(3q_2 - 2q_1 + 1)} \right\}.$$

$$(2.4)$$

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that (A1), (A2), (2.4) hold and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a bounded domain. Assume further that $m-1 > 2\max(q_1,q_2) > 0$ and $q_1 > \frac{2}{3}q_2 > \frac{4}{9}q_1 > 0$. Let (u,v) be the nonnegative solution of problem (1.1)-(1.4), which become unbounded in the measure ϕ at time t^* , then t^* is bounded below as

$$t^* \ge \int_{\phi(0)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^4 k_i \phi(s)^{\mu_i}} ds,$$

where $k_i > 0$ and $\mu_i > 0$, i = 1 - 4 are constnats given in the proof.

Proof. Differentiating (2.3) and using (1.1)-(1.2), (A1) and Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\phi'(t) = \sigma_{1} \int_{\Omega} u^{\sigma_{1}-1} u_{t} dx + \sigma_{2} \int_{\Omega} v^{\sigma_{2}-1} v_{t} dx$$

$$= -\sigma_{1}(\sigma_{1}-1) \int_{\Omega} u^{\sigma_{1}-2} \rho_{1}(|\nabla u|^{2})||\nabla u|^{2} dx + \sigma_{1} \int_{\Omega} u^{\sigma_{1}-1} f_{1}(u,v) dx$$

$$- \sigma_{2}(\sigma_{2}-1) \int_{\Omega} v^{\sigma_{2}-2} \rho_{2}(|\nabla v|^{2})||\nabla v|^{2} dx + \sigma_{2} \int_{\Omega} v^{\sigma_{2}-1} f_{2}(u,v) dx$$

$$\leq -\sigma_{1}(\sigma_{1}-1) \int_{\Omega} u^{\sigma_{1}-2}||\nabla u||^{2} (b_{1}+b_{2}||\nabla u||^{2q_{1}}) dx$$

$$+ \beta \sigma_{1} \int_{\Omega} (u^{m+\sigma_{1}-1} + v^{m+\sigma_{1}-1}) dx$$

$$- \sigma_{2}(\sigma_{2}-1) \int_{\Omega} v^{\sigma_{2}-2}||\nabla v||^{2} (b_{3}+b_{4}||\nabla v||^{2q_{2}}) dx$$

$$+ \beta \sigma_{2} \int_{\Omega} (u^{m+\sigma_{2}-1} + v^{m+\sigma_{2}-1}) dx.$$

$$(2.5)$$

Dropping the terms $\sigma_1(\sigma_1 - 1)b_1 \int_{\Omega} u^{\sigma_1 - 2} |\nabla u|^2 dx$ and $\sigma_2(\sigma_2 - 1)b_3 \int_{\Omega} v^{\sigma_2 - 2} |\nabla v|^2 dx$ on the right-hand side of (2.5) and using $|\nabla w^n|^2 = n^2 w^{2(n-1)} |\nabla w|^2$, we deduce that

$$\phi'(t) \leq -\frac{\sigma_1(\sigma_1 - 1)b_2}{n^{2(q_1 + 1)}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^n|^{2(q_1 + 1)} dx + \beta \sigma_1 \int_{\Omega} (u^{m + \sigma_1 - 1} + v^{m + \sigma_1 - 1}) dx - \frac{\sigma_2(\sigma_2 - 1)b_4}{n^{2(q_2 + 1)}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v^n|^{2(q_2 + 1)} dx + \beta \sigma_2 \int_{\Omega} (u^{m + \sigma_2 - 1} + v^{m + \sigma_2 - 1}) dx.$$

For simplicity, setting $w_1 = u^n$, $w_2 = v^n$ and $\gamma_i = m - 1 - 2q_i > 0$, i = 1, 2, then we obtain

$$\phi'(t) \leq -\frac{\sigma_{1}(\sigma_{1}-1)b_{2}}{n^{2(q_{1}+1)}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_{1}|^{2(q_{1}+1)} dx$$

$$+ \beta \sigma_{1} \int_{\Omega} (w_{1}^{2(q_{1}+1)+\frac{\gamma_{1}}{n}} + w_{2}^{2(q_{1}+1)+\frac{\gamma_{1}}{n}}) dx$$

$$- \frac{\sigma_{2}(\sigma_{2}-1)b_{4}}{n^{2(q_{2}+1)}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_{2}|^{2(q_{2}+1)} dx + \beta \sigma_{2} \int_{\Omega} w_{1}^{2(q_{2}+1)+\frac{\gamma_{2}}{n}} dx$$

$$+ \beta \sigma_{2} \int_{\Omega} w_{2}^{2(q_{2}+1)+\frac{\gamma_{2}}{n}} dx.$$

$$(2.6)$$

Next, we will estimate the right-hand side of (2.6). It follows from [14, (2.12)] that

$$\int_{\Omega} w_1^{2(q_1+1)+\frac{\gamma_1}{n}} dx \le K_1 \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_1|^{2(q_1+1)} dx \right)^{2/3} \left(\int_{\Omega} w_1^{q_1+1+\frac{3\gamma_1}{2n}} dx \right)^{2/3}, \quad (2.7)$$

where $K_1=\alpha\lambda_1^{-\frac{4q_1+1}{6}}(q_1+1)^{\frac{4(q_1+1)}{3}},~\alpha=4^{1/3}\cdot 3^{-1/2}\cdot \pi^{-2/3}$ and λ_1 is the first eigenvalue in the fixed membrane problem

$$\Delta w + \lambda w = 0$$
, $w > 0$ in Ω , and $w = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$.

By using Hölder inequality and (2.3), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} w_1^{q_1+1+\frac{3\gamma_1}{2n}} dx = \int_{\Omega} u^{n(q_1+1)+\frac{3\gamma_1}{2}} dx
\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{\sigma_1} dx\right)^{\mu_1} \cdot |\Omega|^{1-\mu_1}
\leq \phi(t)^{\mu_1} \cdot |\Omega|^{1-\mu_1},$$
(2.8)

which together with (2.7) implies

$$\int_{\Omega} w_1^{2(q_1+1)+\frac{\gamma_1}{n}} dx \le K_1 |\Omega|^{\frac{2(1-\mu_1)}{3}} \phi(t)^{\frac{2\mu_1}{3}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_1|^{2(q_1+1)} dx\right)^{2/3}.$$

with $\mu_1 = \frac{2n(q_1+1)+3\gamma_1}{2\sigma_1}$, we note that $\mu_1 < 1$ in view of (2.4). Further, thanks to the inequality

$$x^r y^s \le rx + sy, \quad r + s = 1, \quad x, y \ge 0,$$
 (2.9)

we obtain, for $\alpha_1 > 0$

$$\int_{\Omega} w_1^{2(q_1+1)+\frac{\gamma_1}{n}} dx \le K_1 |\Omega|^{\frac{2(1-\mu_1)}{3}} \left[\frac{1}{3\alpha_1^2} \phi(t)^{2\mu_1} + \frac{2\alpha_1}{3} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_1|^{2(q_1+1)} dx \right]. \quad (2.10)$$

and similarly

$$\int_{\Omega} w_2^{2(q_2+1)+\frac{\gamma_2}{n}} dx \le K_2 |\Omega|^{\frac{2(1-\mu_2)}{3}} \left[\frac{1}{3\alpha_2^2} \phi(t)^{2\mu_2} + \frac{2\alpha_2}{3} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_2|^{2(q_2+1)} dx \right], \quad (2.11)$$

where
$$\alpha_2 > 0$$
, $K_2 = \alpha \lambda_1^{-\frac{4q_2+1}{6}} (q_2+1)^{\frac{4(q_2+1)}{3}}$ and $\mu_2 = \frac{2n(q_2+1)+3\gamma_2}{2\sigma_2} < 1$.

To estimate the other two terms in the right hand side of (2.6), we use Hölder inequality and the following result (see [14, (2.7)-(2.10)])

$$\int_{\Omega} w^{4(q+1)} dx \le \alpha^3 (q+1)^{4(q+1)} \lambda_1^{-\frac{4q+1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^{2(q+1)} dx \right)^2, \quad q > 0,$$
 (2.12)

to obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} w_{2}^{2(q_{1}+1)+\frac{\gamma_{1}}{n}} dx$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} w_{2}^{\frac{4(q_{2}+1)}{3}} \cdot w_{2}^{2(q_{1}+1)-\frac{4(q_{2}+1)}{3}+\frac{\gamma_{1}}{n}} dx$$

$$\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} w_{2}^{4(q_{2}+1)} dx\right)^{1/3} \left(\int_{\Omega} w_{2}^{3q_{1}-2q_{2}+1+\frac{3\gamma_{1}}{2n}} dx\right)^{2/3}$$

$$\leq K_{2} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_{2}|^{2(q_{2}+1)} dx\right)^{2/3} \left(\int_{\Omega} w_{2}^{3q_{1}-2q_{2}+1+\frac{3\gamma_{1}}{2n}} dx\right)^{2/3}.$$
(2.13)

As in deriving (2.8), we see that

$$\int_{\Omega} w_2^{3q_1 - 2q_2 + 1 + \frac{3\gamma_1}{2n}} dx = \int_{\Omega} v^{n(3q_1 - 2q_2 + 1) + \frac{3\gamma_1}{2}} dx
\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} v^{\sigma_2} dx \right)^{\mu_3} \cdot |\Omega|^{1 - \mu_3}
\leq \phi(t)^{\mu_3} \cdot |\Omega|^{1 - \mu_3}$$
(2.14)

where $\mu_3 = \frac{2n(3q_1-2q_2+1)+3\gamma_1}{2\sigma_2} < 1$. Substituting (2.14) into (2.13) and using (2.9) once more, we obtain, for $\alpha_3 > 0$,

$$\int_{\Omega} w_2^{2(q_1+1)+\frac{\gamma_1}{n}} dx \le K_2 |\Omega|^{\frac{2(1-\mu_3)}{3}} \left[\frac{1}{3\alpha_3^2} \phi(t)^{2\mu_3} + \frac{2\alpha_3}{3} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_2|^{2(q_2+1)} dx \right]. \quad (2.15)$$

and similarly

$$\int_{\Omega} w_1^{2(q_2+1)+\frac{\gamma_2}{n}} dx \leq K_1 |\Omega|^{\frac{2(1-\mu_4)}{3}} \left[\frac{1}{3\alpha_4^2} \phi(t)^{2\mu_4} + \frac{2\alpha_4}{3} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_1|^{2(q_1+1)} dx \right], \quad (2.16)$$

where $\alpha_4 > 0$ and $\mu_4 = \frac{2n(3q_2 - 2q_1 + 1) + 3\gamma_2}{2\sigma_1} < 1$. Combining (2.10), (2.11), (2.15) and (2.16) with (2.6), we conclude that

$$\phi'(t) \le -C_1 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_1|^{2(q_1+1)} dx - C_2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_2|^{2(q_2+1)} dx + k_1 \phi(t)^{2\mu_1} + k_2 \phi(t)^{2\mu_2} + k_3 \phi(t)^{2\mu_3} + k_4 \phi(t)^{2\mu_4},$$

where

$$\begin{split} C_1 &= \frac{\sigma_1(\sigma_1-1)b_2}{n^{2(q_1+1)}} - \frac{2\alpha_1K_1\beta\sigma_1}{3}|\Omega|^{\frac{2(1-\mu_1)}{3}} - \frac{2\alpha_4K_1\beta\sigma_2}{3}|\Omega|^{\frac{2(1-\mu_4)}{3}}, \\ C_2 &= \frac{\sigma_2(\sigma_2-1)b_4}{n^{2(q_2+1)}} - \frac{2\alpha_2K_2\beta\sigma_1}{3}|\Omega|^{\frac{2(1-\mu_2)}{3}} - \frac{2\alpha_3K_2\beta\sigma_2}{3}|\Omega|^{\frac{2(1-\mu_3)}{3}}, \\ k_1 &= \frac{K_1|\Omega|^{\frac{2(1-\mu_1)}{3}}\beta\sigma_1}{3\alpha_1^2}, \quad k_2 &= \frac{K_2|\Omega|^{\frac{2(1-\mu_2)}{3}}\beta\sigma_2}{3\alpha_2^2}, \\ k_3 &= \frac{K_2|\Omega|^{\frac{2(1-\mu_3)}{3}}\beta\sigma_1}{3\alpha_2^2}, \quad k_4 &= \frac{K_1|\Omega|^{\frac{2(1-\mu_4)}{3}}\beta\sigma_2}{3\alpha_4^2}. \end{split}$$

Now, setting $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, $\alpha_3 = \alpha_4$, and choosing α_1, α_3 such that $C_1 = 0$ and $C_2 = 0$, hence, we have

$$\phi'(t) \le g(\phi), \tag{2.17}$$

where

$$g(s) = k_1 s^{2\mu_1} + k_2 s^{2\mu_2} + k_3 s^{2\mu_3} + k_4 s^{2\mu_4}.$$

An integration of (2.17) from 0 to t leads to

$$\int_{\phi(0)}^{\phi(t)} \frac{ds}{g(s)} \le t,$$

so that if (u, v) blows up in the measure of ϕ as $t \to t^*$, we derive the lower bound

$$\int_{\phi(0)}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{g(s)} \le t^*,$$

and Theorem 2.2 is proved. Clearly, the integral is bounded since $2\mu_1 > 1$.

3. Non blow-up case

In this section, we consider the non blow-up property of problem (1.1)-(1.4) when $2 \max(q_1, q_2) > m - 1 > 0$. To achieve this, we define the auxiliary function

$$\phi(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} v^2 dx.$$
 (3.1)

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that (A1), (A2) hold and that $2 \max(q_1, q_2) > m - 1 > 0$. Let (u, v) be the nonnegative solution of problem (1.1)-(1.4), then (u, v) can not blow up in the measure ϕ in finite time.

Proof. From (3.1), (1.1), (1.2) and (A2), we have

$$\phi'(t) = \int_{\Omega} u u_t dx + \int_{\Omega} v v_t dx$$

$$\leq -\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 (b_1 + b_2 |\nabla u|^{2q_1}) dx - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 (b_3 + b_4 |\nabla v|^{2q_2}) dx$$

$$+ \beta \int_{\Omega} (u^{m+1} + v^{m+1}) dx$$

$$\leq \int_{\Omega} (\beta u^{m+1} - b_2 |\nabla u|^{2(q_1+1)}) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\beta v^{m+1} - b_4 |\nabla v|^{2(q_2+1)}) dx$$

$$\leq \int_{\Omega} \left(\beta u^{m+1} - b_2 (\frac{\lambda_1}{(q_1 + 1)^2})^{q_1 + 1} u^{2(q_1 + 1)}\right) dx$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega} (\beta v^{m+1} - b_4 (\frac{\lambda_1}{(q_2 + 1)^2})^{q_2 + 1} v^{2(q_2 + 1)}) dx,$$
(3.2)

where the last inequality is obtained by using [14, (2.10]. For q > 0,

$$\int_{\Omega} w^{2(q+1)} dx \le \left(\frac{(q+1)^2}{\lambda_1}\right)^{q+1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^{2(q+1)} dx,$$

where λ_1 is the first eigenvalue in the fixed membrane problem, as defined in Section 2. Employing Hölder inequality, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} u^{m+1} dx \le \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{2(q_1+1)} dx \right)^{\frac{m+1}{2(q_1+1)}} \cdot |\Omega|^{\frac{2q_1-m+1}{2(q_1+1)}}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\int_{\Omega} v^{m+1} dx \le \left(\int_{\Omega} v^{2(q_2+1)} dx \right)^{\frac{m+1}{2(q_2+1)}} \cdot |\Omega|^{\frac{2q_2-m+1}{2(q_2+1)}}, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\int_{\Omega} u^2 dx \le \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{m+1} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{m+1}} \cdot |\Omega|^{\frac{m-1}{m+1}}.$$
 (3.5)

Inserting (3.3)-(3.5) into (3.2), we see that

$$\phi'(t) \leq \int_{\Omega} u^{m+1} dx (\beta - M_1 (\int_{\Omega} u^2 dx)^{\frac{2q_1 - m + 1}{2}}) dx$$

$$+ 2 \int_{\Omega} v^{m+1} dx (\beta - M_2 (\int_{\Omega} v^2 dx)^{\frac{2q_2 - m + 1}{2}}) dx$$
(3.6)

where

$$M_1 = b_2 (\frac{\lambda_1}{(q_1+1)^2})^{q_1+1} |\Omega|^{-\frac{2q_1-m+1}{2}}, \quad M_2 = b_4 (\frac{\lambda_1}{(q_2+1)^2})^{q_2+1} |\Omega|^{-\frac{2q_2-m+1}{2}}.$$

Apparently, if (u, v) blows up in the ϕ measure at some time t then $\phi'(t)$ would be negative which leads to a contradiction. Thus, the solution (u, v) can not blow up in the measure ϕ . The proof is complete.

4. Criterion for blow-up

In this section, we investigate the blow up properties of solutions for (1.1)-(1.4) with

$$\rho_1(s) = b_1 + b_2 s^{q_1}, \quad \rho_2(s) = b_3 + b_3 s^{q_2}, \quad q_1, q_2, b_i > 0, \quad i = 1 - 4.$$
(4.1)

For this purpose, we first define

$$\phi(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} v^2 dx$$
 (4.2)

and

$$\psi(t) = -\frac{b_1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \frac{b_2}{2(q_1+1)} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{2(q_1+1)} dx - \frac{b_3}{2} \|\nabla v\|_2^2 - \frac{b_4}{2(q_2+1)} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(q_2+1)} dx + \int_{\Omega} F(u,v) dx,$$

$$(4.3)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_2$ is the $L^2(\Omega)$ -norm.

Theorem 4.1. Suppose that (4.1) and (A2) hold. Assume further that $m-1 > 2 \max(q_1, q_2) \ge 0$ and $\psi(0) > 0$. If (u, v) is the non-negative solution of problem (1.1)-(1.4), then the solution blows up at finite time t^* with

$$t^* \le \frac{\phi(0)^{-2m-1}}{(2m+1)(m+1)}.$$

Proof. From (4.1)-(4.3), we have

$$\phi'(t) = -\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{2} (b_{1} + b_{2} |\nabla u|^{2q_{1}}) dx - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2} (b_{3} + b_{4} |\nabla v|^{2q_{2}}) dx$$

$$+ (m+1) \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) dx$$

$$\geq (m+1) \left[-\frac{b_{1}}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{2} dx - \frac{b_{2}}{2(q_{1}+1)} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{2(q_{1}+1)} dx \right]$$

$$- \frac{b_{3}}{2} ||\nabla v||_{2}^{2} - \frac{b_{4}}{2(q_{2}+1)} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2(q_{2}+1)} dx + \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) dx \right]$$

$$= (m+1)\psi(t),$$

$$(4.4)$$

and

$$\psi'(t) = -b_1 \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_t dx - b_2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{2q_1} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_t dx - b_3 \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot \nabla v_t dx - b_4 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2q_2} \nabla v \cdot \nabla v_t dx - \int_{\Omega} a|u + v|^{m-1} (u + v)(u_t + v_t) dx - b \int_{\Omega} \left(|u|^{\frac{m-3}{2}} |v|^{\frac{m+1}{2}} u u_t + |v|^{\frac{m-3}{2}} |u|^{\frac{m+1}{2}} v v_t \right) dx = \int_{\Omega} (u_t^2 + v_t^2) dx \ge 0.$$
(4.5)

This, together with $\psi(0) > 0$, implies that $\psi(t) \ge \psi(0) > 0$, for $t \ge 0$. By using Hölder inequality, Schwarz inequality, (4.2) and (4.5), we obtain

$$(\phi'(t))^{2} = \left(\int_{\Omega} uu_{t}dx + \int_{\Omega} vv_{t}dx\right)^{2}$$

$$\leq \|u\|_{2}^{2}\|u_{t}\|_{2}^{2} + \|v\|_{2}^{2}\|v_{t}\|_{2}^{2} + \|u\|_{2}^{2}\|v_{t}\|_{2}^{2} + \|u\|_{2}^{2}\|u_{t}\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\phi(t)\psi'(t). \tag{4.6}$$

Then, using (4.4) and (4.6), we deduce that

$$\phi'(t)\psi(t) \le \frac{1}{m+1}(\phi'(t))^2 \le \frac{1}{2(m+1)}\phi\psi'(t),$$

which implies that

$$(\psi(t)\phi(t)^{-2m-2})' \ge 0. \tag{4.7}$$

An integration of (4.7) from 0 to t gives to

$$\psi(t)\phi(t)^{-2m-2} \ge \psi(0)\phi(0)^{-2m-2} \equiv M. \tag{4.8}$$

Combining (4.4) with (4.8) and integrating the resultant differential inequality, we have

$$\phi(t)^{-2m-1} \le \phi(0)^{-2m-1} - (2m+1)(m+1)Mt \tag{4.9}$$

Since $\phi(0) > 0$, (4.9) shows that ϕ becomes infinite in a finite time

$$t^* \le T = \frac{\phi(0)^{-2m-1}}{(2m+1)(m+1)}.$$

This completes the proof.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their valuable comments and useful suggestions on this work.

References

- J. M. Ball; Remarks on blow-up and nonexistence theorems for nonlinear evolution equations, Q. J. Math. Oxford 28 (1977) 473–486.
- [2] C. Bandle, H. Brunner; Blow-up in diffusion equations: A survey, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 97 (1998) 3–22.
- [3] L. A. Caffarrelli, A. Friedman; Blow-up of solutions of nonlinear heat equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 129 (1988) 409–419.
- [4] A. Friedman, B. McLeod; Blow-up of positive solutions of semilinear heat equations, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 34 (1985) 425–447.
- [5] V. A. Galaktionov, J. L. Váquez; The problem of blow-up in nonlinear parabolic equations, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 8 (2002) 399–433.

- [6] H. Kielhöfer; Existenz und Regularität von Lösungen semilinearer parabolischer Anfangs-Randwertprobleme, Math. Z. 142 (1975) 131–160.
- [7] H. A. Levine; Nonexistence of global weak solutions to some properly and improperly posed problems of mathematical physics: The method of unbounded Fourier coefficients, *Math. Ann.* 214 (1975) 205–220.
- [8] H. A. Levine; The role of critical exponents in blow-up theorems, SIAM Rev. 32 (1990) 262–288.
- [9] F. Liang; Blow-up phenomena for a system of semilinear heat equations with nonlinear boundary flux, Nonlinear Anal. 75 (2012) 2189-2198.
- [10] L. E. Payne, P. W. Schaefer; Lower bounds for blow-up time in parabolic problems under Dirichlet conditions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 328 (2007) 1196–1205.
- [11] L. E. Payne, G. A. Philippin, P. W. Schaefer; Bounds for blow-up time in nonlinear parabolic problems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 338 (2008) 438–447.
- [12] L. E. Payne, G. A. Philippin, S. V. Piro; Blow-up phenomena for a semilinear heat equation with non-linear boundary condition II, *Nonlinear. Anal.* 73 (2010) 971–978.
- [13] L. E. Payne, P. W. Schaefer; Lower bounds for blow-up time in parabolic problems under Newmann conditions, Appl. Anal. 85 (2006) 1301–1311.
- [14] L. E. Payne, G. A. Philippin, P. W. Schaefer; Blow-up phenomena for some nonlinear parabolic problems, *Nonlinear Anal.* 69 (2008) 3495-3502.
- [15] B. Straughan; Explosive Instabilities in Mechanics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [16] F. B. Weissler; Local existence and nonexistence for semilinear parabolic equations in L_p , Indiana Univ. Math. J. 29 (1980) 79–102.
- [17] F. B. Weissler; Existence and nonexistence of global solutions for a heat equation, Israel J. Math. 38 (1–2) (1981) 29–40.

Shun-Tang Wu

General Education Center, National Taipei University of Technology, Taipei, 106 Taiwan

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: stwu@ntut.edu.tw}$