Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, Vol. 2013 (2013), No. 07, pp. 1–7. ISSN: 1072-6691. URL: http://ejde.math.txstate.edu or http://ejde.math.unt.edu ftp ejde.math.txstate.edu

NONLOCAL FRACTIONAL SEMILINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS IN SEPARABLE BANACH SPACES

KEXUE LI, JIGEN PENG, JINGHUAI GAO

ABSTRACT. In this article, we study the existence of mild solutions for fractional semilinear differential equations with nonlocal conditions in separable Banach spaces. The result is obtained by using the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness and the Schauder fixed point theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a separable Banach space endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|$, $A: D(A) \subset X \to X$ the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup of bounded linear operators $\{T(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$, D(A) the domain of A. We consider the nonlocal fractional semilinear differential equation

$${}^{C}D_{t}^{\alpha}u(t) = Au(t) + f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in [0, b],$$

$$u(0) = g(u),$$

(1.1)

where $0 < \alpha < 1$, ${}^{C}D_{t}^{\alpha}$ is the α -order Caputo fractional derivative operator, f, g are functions to be specified later.

Recently, the theory of fractional differential equations has attracted much interest due to their many applications in physics, chemistry, biology, finance and so on. We refer to the books of Podlubny [16], Samko et al [17], Kilbas et al [9] and the papers of Nigmatullin [13], Orsingher and Beghin [15], Meerschaert et al [12], Hahn et al [7].

The semilinear evolution nonlocal Cauchy problem was initiated by Byszewski [4]. The nonlocal condition can be applied in physics with better effect in applications than the classical initial condition since nonlocal conditions are usually more precise for physical measurements than the classical initial condition. Lin and Liu [10] studied semilinear integrodifferential equations with nonlocal Cauchy problems under Lipschitz-type conditions. Ntouyas and Tsamatos [14] studied the global existence of solutions for semilinear evolution equations with nonlocal conditions via a fixed point analysis approach. Fu and Ezzinbi [6] studied the existence of mild and strong solutions of semilinear neutral functional differential evolution equations with nonlocal conditions by using fractional power of operators and Sadovskii's fixed

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 26A33.

Key words and phrases. Fractional differential equation; nonlocal conditions;

Hausdorff measure of noncompactness; mild solution.

^{©2013} Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted June 26, 2012. Published January 8, 2013.

point theorem. Xue [18] studied the existence of mild solutions for semilinear differential equations with nonlocal initial conditions in separable Banach spaces. Xue [19] discussed the semilinear nonlocal differential equations when the semigroup T(t) generated by the coefficient operator is compact and the nonlocal term g is not compact. Fan and Li [5] discussed the existence for impulsive semilinear differential equations with nonlocal conditions by using Sadovskii's fixed point theorem and Schauder's fixed point theorem.

In this article, we shall study the existence of mild solutions of (1.1) by using the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness and fixed point theorems. We assume that the the semigroup T(t) generated by the coefficient operator is equicontinuous. The compactness of T(t) or f and the Lipschitz condition of f are the special cases of our conditions. Therefore, the result in this paper generalize and improve some of previous ones in this field.

The article is organized as follows. Section 2 contains some preliminaries about fractional calculus and the Hausdorff's measure of noncompactness. In Section 3 the existence result is given.

2. Preliminaries

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a separable Banach space and let $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$. We denote by C([0, b]; X) the space of X-valued continuous functions on [0, b] with the norm $\|u\|_C := \sup_{t \in [0,b]} \|u(t)\|$ and by $L^1([0,b]; X)$, we denote the space of X-valued Bochner integrable functions $u : [0, b] \to X$ with the norm $\|u\|_{L_1([0,b];X)} = \int_0^b \|u(t)\| dt$.

Definition 2.1. The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of $u : [0, b] \to X$ of order $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ is defined by

$$J_t^{\alpha}u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} u(s) ds.$$

The Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of $u : [0, b] \to X$ of order $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ is defined by

$$D_t^{\alpha}u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha}u(s)ds.$$

The Caputo fractional derivative of $u: [0, b] \to X$ of order $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ is defined by

$${}^{C}D_{t}^{\alpha}u(t) = D_{t}^{\alpha}(u(t) - u(0)),$$

We recall the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness $\beta_Y(\cdot)$ defined on a bounded subset *B* of Banach space *Y* by

$$\beta_Y(B) = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0; B \text{ has a finite } \varepsilon \text{-net in } Y\}$$

Some basic properties of $\beta_Y(\cdot)$ are presented in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2 ([1]). Let Y be a real Banach space and $B, C \subseteq Y$ be bounded, the following properties are satisfied:

- (1) B is precompact if and only if $\beta_Y(B) = 0$;
- (2) $\beta_Y(B) = \beta_Y(\overline{B}) = \beta_Y(\operatorname{conv} B)$, where \overline{B} and $\operatorname{conv} B$ mean the closure and convex hull of B respectively;
- (3) $\beta_Y(B) \leq \beta_Y(C)$ when $B \subseteq C$;
- (4) $\beta_Y(B+C) \le \beta_Y(B) + \beta_Y(C)$ where $B+C = \{x+y; x \in B, y \in C\};$

- (5) $\beta_Y(B \cup C) \le \max\{\beta_Y(B), \beta_Y(C)\};\$
- (6) $\beta_Y(\lambda B) = |\lambda|\beta_Y(B)$ for any $\lambda \in R$;
- (7) if the mapping $Q: D(Q) \subseteq Y \to Z$ is Lipschitz continuous with constant k, then $\beta_Z(QB) \leq k\beta_Y(B)$ for any bounded subset $B \subseteq D(Q)$, where Z is a Banach space;
- (8) If {W_n}[∞]_{n=1} is a decreasing sequence of bounded closed nonempty subsets of Y and lim_{n→∞} β_Y(W_n) = 0, then ∩[∞]_{n=1}W_n is nonempty and compact in Y. The map Q : W ⊆ Y → Y is said to be a β_Y-contraction if there exists a positive constant k < 1 such that β_Y(Q(C)) ≤ kβ_Y(C) for any bounded closed subset C ⊆ W where Y is a Banach space.

Lemma 2.3 (Darbo-Sadovskii). If $W \subseteq Y$ is bounded closed and convex, the continuous map $Q: W \to W$ is a β_Y -contraction, then the map Q has at least one fixed point in W.

In this article, without loss of generality, we denote β the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness of X and C([0, b]; X).

Lemma 2.4 ([1]). If $W \subset C([0,b];X)$ is bounded and equicontinuous, then the set $\beta(W(t))$ is continuous on [0,b] and

$$\beta(W) = \sup_{t \in [0,b]} \beta(W(t)).$$

Lemma 2.5 ([3]). If $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset L^1([0,b];X)$ satisfies $|u_n(t)| \leq \varphi(t)$ a.e. on [0,b] for all $n \geq 1$ with some $\varphi \in L^1([0,b];\mathbb{R}_+)$, then

$$\beta(\{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\int_0^t u_n(s)ds\}) \le \int_0^t \beta(\{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}u_n(s)\})ds.$$

Definition 2.6. A C_0 semigroup T(t) is said to be equicontinuous if the mapping $t \mapsto \{T(t)x : x \in B\}$ is equicontinuous at t > 0 for all bounded set B in Banach space X.

Definition 2.7 ([16]). The Mainardi's function is defined by

$$M_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-z)^n}{n! \, \Gamma(-\alpha n + 1 - \alpha)}, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1, \ z \in \mathbb{C},$$
(2.1)

where Γ is the Gamma function.

It is known that $M_{\alpha}(z)$ satisfies the following equality (see [11, (F.33)])

$$\int_0^\infty r^\delta M_\alpha(r)dr = \frac{\Gamma(\delta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha\delta+1)}, \quad \delta > -1, \ 0 < \alpha < 1.$$
(2.2)

3. Main results

In this section we prove the existence of a mild solution of (1.1) by using the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness. The function g is assumed to be compact.

A function $u \in C([0, b]; X)$ is called a mild solution of the equation (1.1) if

$$u(t) = T_{\alpha}(t)g(u) + \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} S_{\alpha}(t-s)f(s,u(s))ds, \qquad (3.1)$$

where

$$T_{\alpha}(t) = \int_{0}^{\infty} M_{\alpha}(r) T(t^{\alpha} r) dr, \quad t \ge 0,$$
(3.2)

$$S_{\alpha}(t) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha r M_{\alpha}(r) T(t^{\alpha} r) dr, \quad t \ge 0,$$
(3.3)

where $M_{\alpha}(r)$ is the Mainardi's function.

Remark 3.1. If T(t) is equicontinuous, by (2.2), it is easy to show that $T_{\alpha}(t)$, $S_{\alpha}(t)$ are equicontinuous.

Lemma 3.2. Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let the semigroup T(t) be equicontinuous and $\varphi \in$ $L^{1}([0,b];\mathbb{R}_{+})$. Then the set $\left\{\int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha-1}S_{\alpha}(t-s)u(s)ds, \|u(s)\| \leq \varphi(s) \text{ a.e. } s \in \right\}$ [0,b] is equicontinuous for $t \in [0,b]$.

Proof. For $0 \le t_1 < t_2 \le b$, we have

$$\begin{split} \| \int_{0}^{t_{2}} (t_{2}-s)^{\alpha-1} S_{\alpha}(t_{2}-s) u(s) ds - \int_{0}^{t_{1}} (t_{1}-s)^{\alpha-1} S_{\alpha}(t_{1}-s) u(s) ds \| \\ &= \| \int_{0}^{t_{1}} (t_{2}-s)^{\alpha-1} S_{\alpha}(t_{2}-s) u(s) ds - \int_{0}^{t_{1}} (t_{1}-s)^{\alpha-1} S_{\alpha}(t_{2}-s) u(s) \\ &+ \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} (t_{2}-s)^{\alpha-1} S_{\alpha}(t_{2}-s) u(s) ds + \int_{0}^{t_{1}} (t_{1}-s)^{\alpha-1} S_{\alpha}(t_{2}-s) u(s) ds \\ &- \int_{0}^{t_{1}} (t_{1}-s)^{\alpha-1} S_{\alpha}(t_{1}-s) u(s) ds \| \\ &\leq \| \int_{0}^{t_{1}} ((t_{2}-s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_{1}-s)^{\alpha-1}) S_{\alpha}(t_{2}-s) u(s) ds \| \\ &+ \| \int_{0}^{t_{2}} (t_{2}-s)^{\alpha-1} (S_{\alpha}(t_{2}-s) - S_{\alpha}(t_{1}-s)) u(s) ds \| \\ &+ \| \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} (t_{2}-s)^{\alpha-1} S_{\alpha}(t_{2}-s) u(s) ds \| \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \| (t_{2}-s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_{1}-s)^{\alpha-1} \| \| S_{\alpha}(t_{2}-s) u(s) \| ds \\ &+ \| \int_{0}^{t_{2}} (t_{1}-s)^{\alpha-1} \| S_{\alpha}(t_{2}-s) - S_{\alpha}(t_{1}-s) \| \| u(s) \| ds \| \\ &+ \| \int_{0}^{t_{2}} (t_{2}-s)^{\alpha-1} \| S_{\alpha}(t_{2}-s) - S_{\alpha}(t_{1}-s) \| \| u(s) \| ds \| \\ &+ \| \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} (t_{2}-s)^{\alpha-1} \| S_{\alpha}(t_{2}-s) - S_{\alpha}(t_{1}-s) \| \| u(s) \| ds \| \\ &+ \| \int_{0}^{t_{2}} (t_{2}-s)^{\alpha-1} \| S_{\alpha}(t_{2}-s) - S_{\alpha}(t_{1}-s) \| \| u(s) \| ds \| \\ &+ \| \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} (t_{2}-s)^{\alpha-1} \| S_{\alpha}(t_{2}-s) - S_{\alpha}(t_{1}-s) \| \| u(s) \| ds \| \\ &+ \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} (t_{2}-s)^{\alpha-1} \| S_{\alpha}(t_{2}-s) - S_{\alpha}(t_{1}-s) \| \| u(s) \| ds \| \\ &+ \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} (t_{2}-s)^{\alpha-1} \| S_{\alpha}(t_{2}-s) - S_{\alpha}(t_{1}-s) \| \| u(s) \| ds \| \\ &+ \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} (t_{2}-s)^{\alpha-1} \| S_{\alpha}(t_{2}-s) - S_{\alpha}(t_{1}-s) \| \| u(s) \| ds \| \\ &+ \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} (t_{2}-s)^{\alpha-1} \| S_{\alpha}(t_{2}-s) \| ds \| \\ &+ \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} (t_{2}-s)^{\alpha-1} \| S_{\alpha}(t_{2}-s) \| ds \| \\ &+ \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} (t_{2}-s)^{\alpha-1} \| S_{\alpha}(t_{2}-s) \| ds \| \\ &+ \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} (t_{2}-s)^{\alpha-1} \| S_{\alpha}(t_{2}-s) \| ds \| \\ &+ \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} (t_{2}-s)^{\alpha-1} \| S_{\alpha}(t_{2}-s) \| ds \| \\ &+ \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} (t_{2}-s)^{\alpha-1} \| S_{\alpha}(t_{2}-s) \| ds \| \\ &+ \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} (t_{2}-s)^{\alpha-1} \| S_{\alpha}(t_{2}-s) \| ds \| \\ &+ \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} (t_{2}-s)^{\alpha-1} \| S_{\alpha}(t_{2}-s) \| ds \| \\ &+ \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} (t_{2}-s)^{\alpha-1} \| S_{\alpha}(t_{2}-s) \| ds \| \\ &+ \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} (t_{2}-s)^{\alpha-1} \| S_{\alpha}(t_{2$$

From this inequality it follows that

$$\|\int_{0}^{t_{2}} (t_{2}-s)^{\alpha-1} S_{\alpha}(t_{2}-s)u(s)ds - \int_{0}^{t_{1}} (t_{1}-s)^{\alpha-1} S_{\alpha}(t_{1}-s)u(s)ds\| \to 0$$

as $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$. The proof is complete.

Lemma 3.3 ([8]). Suppose $b \ge 0$, $\sigma > 0$ and a(t) is a nonnegative function locally integrable on $0 \leq t < T$ (some $T \leq +\infty$), and suppose c(t) is nonnegative and locally integrable on $0 \le t < T$ with

$$c(t) \le a(t) + b \int_0^t (t-s)^{\sigma-1} c(s) ds$$

on this interval. Then

$$c(t) \le a(t) + \mu \int_0^t E'_{\sigma}(\mu(t-s))a(s)ds, \quad 0 \le t < T,$$

4

where $\mu = (b\Gamma(\sigma))^{1/\sigma}$,

$$E_{\sigma}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{n\sigma} / \Gamma(n\sigma + 1),$$

$$\begin{split} E'_{\sigma}(z) &= \frac{d}{dz} E_{\sigma}(z).\\ If \ a(t) &\equiv a, \ constant, \ then \ c(t) \leq a E_{\sigma}(\mu t). \end{split}$$

To prove the main results, we use the following assumptions:

(A1) The C_0 semigroup T(t) is equicontinuous, and there exists a constant $M \ge 1$ such that

$$\sup_{t>0} \|T(t)\| \le M. \tag{3.4}$$

- (A2) $g: C([0,b];X) \to X$ is continuous and compact, and there exists a constant N > 0 such that $||g(u)|| \le N$, $u \in C([0,b];X)$.
- (A3) $f : [0, b] \times X \to X$ satisfied the Carathéodory condition; i.e. $f(\cdot, x)$ is measurable for all $x \in X$, and $f(t, \cdot)$ is continuous for a.e. $t \in [0, b]$.
- (A4) There exists a function $h: [0,b] \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $h(\cdot, s) \in L^1([0,b]; \mathbb{R}_+)$ for all $s \ge 0$, $h(t, \cdot)$ is continuous and increasing for a.e. $t \in [0,b]$, and $||f(t,x)|| \le h(t, ||x||)$ for a.e. $t \in [0, b]$ and all $x \in X$. Moreover, there exists at least one solution to the following scalar equation:

$$q(t) = MN + \frac{\alpha M}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} h(s,q(s)) ds, \quad t \in [0,b].$$
(3.5)

(A5) There exists a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\beta(f(t, B(t)) \le \gamma \beta(B(t)) \tag{3.6}$$

for a.e. $t, s \in [0, b]$ and every bounded $B \subset C([0, b]; X)$.

Theorem 3.4. Assume that conditions (A1), (A2), (A3), (A4), (A5) are satisfied. Then the nonlocal problem (1.1) has at least one mild solution on [0, b].

Proof. Define the mapping $F: C([0,b];X) \to C([0,b];X)$ by

$$(Fu)(t) = T_{\alpha}(t)g(u) + \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha-1}S_{\alpha}(t-s)f(s,u(s))ds, \quad t \in [0,b].$$
(3.7)

It is obvious that the fixed point of F is the mild solution of (1.1) and it is easy to show that F is continuous on C([0, b]; X). From (3.2), (2.2) and (3.4), it follows that

$$||T_{\alpha}(t)|| \le M, \ t \ge 0.$$
 (3.8)

From (3.3) and (2.2), it follows that

$$\|S_{\alpha}(t)\| \le \alpha M \int_{0}^{\infty} r M_{\alpha}(r) dr \le \frac{\alpha M}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}, \ t \ge 0.$$
(3.9)

Set $Q_0 = \{u \in C([0,b];X), \|u(t)\| \le q(t), t \in [0,b]\}$. Then $Q_0 \subset C([0,b];X)$ is bounded and convex. Define $Q_1 = \overline{\operatorname{conv}}F(Q_0)$, where $\overline{\operatorname{conv}}$ means the closure of the convex hull in C([0,b];X). From Remark 3.1, Lemma 3.2, (A4), the equicontinuity of T(t), compactness of g and $Q_0 \subset C([0,b];X)$, it follows that $Q_1 \subset C([0,b];X)$ is bounded closed convex and equicontinuous on [0,b]. For every $u \in F(Q_0)$, $\|u(t)\| \le MN + \frac{\alpha M}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} h(s,q(s)) ds = q(t)$. This implies $Q_1 \subset Q_0$. We define $Q_{n+1} = \overline{\operatorname{conv}}F(Q_n)$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ It is easy to show that $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a decreasing sequence of equicontinuous on [0, b], and is bounded closed convex subsets of C([0, b]; X).

Since X is separable, then C([0, b]; X) is separable, hence there exists a dense subset $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of Q_n . From Lemma 2.2, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(Q_{n+1}(t)) &= \beta(\{\cup_{n=1}^{\infty}(Fu_k)(t)\}) \\ &\leq \beta(\{T_{\alpha}(t)g(\cup_{k=1}^{\infty}u_k) + \cup_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1}S_{\alpha}(t-s)f(s,u_k(s))ds\}). \end{aligned}$$

Since g is compact, by Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\beta(Q_{n+1}(t)) \leq \beta(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} S_{\alpha}(t-s) f(s, u_k(s)) ds\})$$

$$\leq \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \beta(\{S_{\alpha}(t-s)f(s, \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k(s)) ds\})$$

$$\leq \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \beta(S_{\alpha}(t-s)f(s, Q_n(s)) ds$$

$$\leq \frac{\alpha M}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \beta(f(s, Q_n(s))).$$
(3.10)

By (3.6) and (3.10), we have

$$\beta(Q_{n+1}(t)) \le \frac{\alpha \gamma M}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \beta(Q_n(s)) ds.$$
(3.11)

Since Q_n is decreasing with respect to n, we define

$$\theta(t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \beta(Q_n(t)), \ t \in [0, b].$$
(3.12)

Taking $n \to \infty$ to both sides of (3.11), we have

$$\theta(t) \le \frac{\alpha \gamma M}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \theta(s) ds.$$
(3.13)

By Lemma 3.3, we obtain $\theta(t) = 0, t \in [0, b]$. By Lemma 2.3, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \beta(Q_n) = 0$. By Lemma 2.2, it follows that $Q = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_n$ is convex compact in C([0, b]; X) and $F(Q) \subset Q$. From the Schauder fixed point theorem, there exists at least one fixed point $u \in Q$, which is the mild solution of (1.1).

Acknowledgments. This work is supported by grants 11131006, 11201366, 60970149 from the National Natural Science Foundation of China, and 2011ZX05023-005-009 from the National Science and Technology Major Project.

References

- J. Banas, K. Goebel; Measure of Noncompactness in Banach Spaces, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math., vol.60, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1980.
- [2] E. Bazhlekova; Fractional Evolution Equations in Banach Spaces. Ph.D. Thesis, Eindhoven University of Technology, 2001.
- [3] D. Bothe; Multivalued perturbations of m-accretive differential inclusions, Isreal J. Math. 108 (1998), 109-138.
- [4] L. Byszewski; Theorems about the existence and uniqueness of solutions of a semilinear evolution nonlocal Cauchy problem, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 162 (1991), 494-505.
- [5] Z. Fan, G. Li; Existence results for semilinear differential equations with nonlocal and implusive conditions, J. Funct. Anal. 258 (2010), 1709-1727.

- [6] X. Fu, K. Ezzinbi; Existence of solutions of a semilinear functional-differential evolution equations with nonlocal conditions, Nonlinear Anal. 54 (2003), 215-227.
- [7] M. Hahn, K. Kobayashi, S. Umarov; Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equations associated with time-changed fractional Brownian motion, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 139 (2011), 691-705.
- [8] D. Henry; Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations, in: Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 840, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, 1981.
- [9] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, J. J. Trujillo; *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, in: North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol.204, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2006.
- [10] Y. Lin, J. Liu; Semilinear integrodifferential equations with nonlocal Cauchy problem, Nonlinear Anal. 26 (1996), 1023-1033.
- [11] F. Mainardi; Fractional Calculus and Waves in Linear Viscoelasticity: An Introduction to Mathematical Models, Imperial College Press, 2010.
- [12] M. M. Meerschaert, E. Nane, E. Vellaisamy; Fractional Cauchy problems on bounded domains. Ann. Probab. 37 (2009), 979-1007.
- [13] R. R. Nigmatullin; To the theoretical explanation of the "universal reponse". Phys. Stat. Sol. (b), 123(1984),739-745.
- [14] S. Ntouyas, P. Tsamatos; Global existence for semilinear evolution equations with nonlocal conditions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 210 (1997) 679-687.
- [15] E. Orsingher, L. Beghin; Time-fractional telegraph equations and telegraph processes with brownian time. Probab. Theory. Related. Fields. 128 (2004), 141-160.
- [16] I. Podlubny; Fractional Differential Equations, Academic Press, New York (1999).
- [17] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, O. I. Marichev; Fractional Integrals and Derivatives, Theory and Applications. Gordon and Breach, Yverdon, 1993.
- [18] X. Xue; Semilinear nonlocal differential equations with measure of noncompactness in Banach spaces, J. Nanjing. Univ. Math. 24(2007), 264-276.
- [19] X. Xue; Existence of semilinear differential equations with nonlocal initial conditions. Acta. Math. Sini., 23(2007),983-988.

Kexue Li

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, China Institute of Waves and Information, School of Electronics and Information Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, China

E-mail address: kexueli@gmail.com

Jigen Peng

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, XI'AN JIAOTONG UNIVERSITY, XI'AN 710049, CHINA *E-mail address*: jgpeng@mail.xjtu.edu.cn

Jinghuai Gao

INSTITUTE OF WAVES AND INFORMATION, SCHOOL OF ELECTRONICS AND INFORMATION ENGINEER-ING, XI'AN JIAOTONG UNIVERSITY, XI'AN 710049, CHINA

E-mail address: jhgao@mail.xjtu.edu.cn