

POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR NONLINEAR ELLIPTIC SYSTEMS

ADEL BEN DEKHIL

ABSTRACT. In this article, we study the existence of positive solutions for the system

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta u &= H(x, u, v), \\ \Delta v &= K(x, u, v), \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \ (n \geq 3),\end{aligned}$$

where $H, K : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are continuous functions satisfying $H(x, u, v) \leq p_1(|x|)F(u + v)$ and $K(x, u, v) \leq q_1(|x|)G(u + v)$. In terms of the growth of the variable potential functions p_1, q_1 and the nonlinearities F and G , we establish some sufficient conditions for the existence of positive continuous solutions for this system and we discuss whether these solutions are bounded or blow up at infinity.

1. INTRODUCTION

Semilinear elliptic systems of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta u &= H(x, u, v), \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \ (n \geq 3), \\ \Delta v &= K(x, u, v), \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n,\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

have been studied intensively in the previous few years and various results concerning the existence and nonexistence of positive entire large or bounded solutions have been obtained. We refer the reader to [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 11, 12, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21] and their references for recent results concerning the existence and qualitative analysis of solutions of (1.1).

The interest in systems of nonlinear stationary equations is motivated by applications to theory of Newtonian fluids and nonlinear optics. More precisely, coupled nonlinear stationary systems arise in the description of several physical phenomena such as the propagation of pulses in birefringent optical fibers and Kerr-like photorefractive media, see [1, 13].

When $H(x, u, v) = p(|x|)v^\alpha$, $K(x, u, v) = q(|x|)u^\beta$, $0 < \alpha \leq \beta$, Lair and Wood in [11] considered the existence and nonexistence of entire positive radial solutions to (1.1) under the conditions of integrability or non integrability of the functions $r \rightarrow rp(r)$ and $r \rightarrow rq(r)$ on $(0, \infty)$. Their results were extended by Cirstea and Rădulescu [3], Wang and Wood [18], Ghergu and Rădulescu [6], Peng and Song [15], Ghanmi et al [5], Li et al [12], Zhang [20]. In [21], the authors considered the case

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B08, 35B09, 35J47.

Key words and phrases. Semilinear elliptic systems; positive large solution; positive bounded solution.

©2012 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted October 14, 2012. Published December 28, 2012.

where $H(x, u, v) = p(x)f(v)$, $K(x, u, v) = q(x)g(u)$ with p, q nontrivial nonnegative continuous on $[0, \infty)$ and f, g continuous, nondecreasing on $[0, \infty)$ that are positive on $(0, \infty)$. In the case where p, q are radial and under the condition

$$\int_1^\infty \left(\int_0^t (f(s) + g(s)) ds \right)^{-1/2} dt = \infty, \quad (1.2)$$

they proved that (1.1) has one positive solution (u, v) . Moreover, if

$$\int_0^\infty sp(s) ds = \int_0^\infty sq(s) ds = \infty,$$

then every positive radial entire solution (u, v) of (1.1) is large (i.e. $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} v(x) = \infty$). And if

$$\int_0^\infty sp(s) ds < \infty \text{ and } \int_0^\infty sq(s) ds < \infty,$$

then every positive radial entire solution (u, v) of (1.1) is bounded.

By using the sub-supersolution method, they establish some conditions on p, q in order to prove the existence of positive bounded solutions in the case where p, q are non radial. Their results extend partially those of Zhang [20], where the existence of entire positive radial large solutions or bounded ones for (1.1) was studied under the condition $\int_1^\infty \frac{ds}{f(s)+g(s)} = \infty$.

Their results do not cover the cases where

$$\begin{aligned} H(x, u, v) &= a_1(x)v^{\alpha_1} + b_1(x)u^{\gamma_1} + c_1(x)(u+v)^{\beta_1} + d_1(x)u^{\delta_1}v^{\lambda_1}, \\ K(x, u, v) &= a_2(x)v^{\alpha_2} + b_2(x)u^{\gamma_2} + c_2(x)(u+v)^{\beta_2} + d_2(x)u^{\delta_2}v^{\lambda_2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i, \delta_i, \lambda_i \in (0, \infty)$.

Our aim in this paper is to extend the results in [4] for the particular case of the Dirichlet laplacian and to extend those in [21] to a wider class of functions $H(x, u, v)$ and $K(x, u, v)$. More precisely, our results apply in particular to the previous examples of functions H, K and to the case where $H(x, u, v) = p(x)f(u, v)$, $K(x, u, v) = q(x)g(u, v)$ with f and g are nondecreasing with respect to first and the second variables. To this aim, we assume that H and K satisfy the following hypotheses:

- (H1) $H, K : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are continuous.
 (H2) There exist nonnegative functions $p_i, q_i, f_i, g_i, 1 \leq i \leq 2, F$ and G satisfying for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $(u, v) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned} p_2(|x|)g_1(v)g_2(u) &\leq H(x, u, v) \leq p_1(|x|)F(u+v), \\ q_2(|x|)f_1(u)f_2(v) &\leq K(x, u, v) \leq q_1(|x|)G(u+v), \end{aligned}$$

with $f_i, g_i, 1 \leq i \leq 2, F, G : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are nondecreasing continuous, positive on $(0, \infty)$ and $p_i, q_i : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are continuous.

- (H3) There exist $c > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^t \sqrt{\Lambda(s)} ds < L_c(\infty) := \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} L_c(r),$$

for all $t > 0$, where for $\alpha > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} L_\alpha(t) &= \int_\alpha^t \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2(\mathcal{F}(s) + \mathcal{G}(s))}}, \quad t \geq \alpha, \\ \Lambda(r) &= \max_{s \in [0, r]} (p_1(s) + q_1(s)), \quad r \geq 0, \\ \mathcal{F}(r) &= \int_0^r F(s) ds, \quad \mathcal{G}(r) = \int_0^r G(s) ds, \quad r \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

We note that L_α has an inverse function L_α^{-1} from $[\alpha, \infty)$ to $[0, L_\alpha(\infty))$, where $L_\alpha(\infty) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} L_\alpha(r) \in (0, \infty]$.

Remark 1.1. If $L_\alpha(\infty) = \infty$, then

$$\int_\alpha^\infty \frac{ds}{\sqrt{\mathcal{F}(s)}} = \int_\alpha^\infty \frac{ds}{\sqrt{\mathcal{G}(s)}} = \infty.$$

Remark 1.2. By [9], we see that if $\int_1^\infty \frac{ds}{\sqrt{\mathcal{F}(s)}} < \infty$, then $\int_1^\infty \frac{ds}{F(s)} < \infty$. In other words, if $\int_1^\infty \frac{ds}{F(s)} = \infty$, then $\int_1^\infty \frac{ds}{\sqrt{\mathcal{F}(s)}} = \infty$. Conversely, if $\int_1^\infty \frac{ds}{\sqrt{\mathcal{F}(s)}} = \infty$, then $\int_1^\infty \frac{ds}{F(s)} = \infty$ does not hold. For example, for $\beta > 0$ and $F(t) = 2(1+t)(\ln(t+1))^{2\beta-1}(\ln(t+1) + \beta)$, we have $\mathcal{F}(t) = (t+1)^2(\ln(t+1))^{2\beta}$. So we can see that $\int_1^\infty \frac{ds}{F(s)} = \infty$ if and only if $0 < \beta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\int_1^\infty \frac{ds}{\sqrt{\mathcal{F}(s)}} = \infty$ if and only if $0 < \beta \leq 1$.

To discuss the existence of positive radial solutions to these nonlinear systems, we study the system of nonlinear differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{A}(Ay')' &= g(t, y, z), \quad \text{in } (0, \infty), \\ \frac{1}{B}(Bz')' &= f(t, y, z), \quad \text{in } (0, \infty), \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} A(t)y'(t) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} B(t)z'(t) = 0, \\ y(0) &= a > 0, \quad z(0) = b > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

where the continuous functions $A, B : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are nondecreasing, differentiable and positive on $(0, \infty)$. We assume that f and g satisfy the following hypotheses.

- (A1) $f, g : (0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are continuous, nondecreasing with respect to the second and the third variables.
 (A2) There exist nonnegative functions $h_i, k_i, \xi_i, \omega_i, 1 \leq i \leq 2, \phi$ and ψ satisfying for each $(t, u, v) \in (0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned} h_2(t)\omega_1(v)\omega_2(u) &\leq g(t, u, v) \leq h_1(t)\phi(u+v), \\ k_2(t)\xi_1(u)\xi_2(v) &\leq f(t, u, v) \leq k_1(t)\psi(u+v), \end{aligned}$$

with $\xi_i, \omega_i, 1 \leq i \leq 2, \phi, \psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are nondecreasing continuous, positive on $(0, \infty)$ and $h_i, k_i : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ continuous and satisfying

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{A(t)} \left(\int_0^t A(s) h_i(s) ds \right) dt < \infty, \quad \int_0^1 \frac{1}{B(t)} \left(\int_0^t B(s) k_i(s) ds \right) dt < \infty.$$

(A3) For all $r > 0$, we suppose that

$$\sqrt{2} \int_0^r \sqrt{\tilde{\Lambda}(s)} ds < M_{a+b}(\infty),$$

where, for $\alpha > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} M_\alpha(t) &= \int_\alpha^t \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2(\Phi(s) + \Psi(s))}}, \quad t \geq \alpha \\ \Phi(r) &= \int_0^r \phi(s) ds, \quad \Psi(r) = \int_0^r \psi(s) ds, \quad r \geq 0 \\ \tilde{\Lambda}(r) &= \max_{s \in [0, r]} (h_1(s) + k_1(s)), \quad r \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

For any nonnegative measurable functions φ in $(0, \infty)$, we define

$$S_A \varphi(t) = \int_0^t \frac{1}{A(r)} \left(\int_0^r A(s) \varphi(s) ds \right) dr, \quad S_B \varphi(t) = \int_0^t \frac{1}{B(r)} \left(\int_0^r B(s) \varphi(s) ds \right) dr.$$

Now, we are ready to give our existence result for (1.4).

Theorem 1.3. *Under the hypotheses (A1)–(A3), system (1.4) has a positive solution $(y, z) \in (C([0, \infty)) \cap C^1((0, \infty)))^2$ satisfying for each $t \in [0, \infty)$*

$$\begin{aligned} a + \omega_1(b)\omega_2(a)S_A(h_2)(t) &\leq y(t) \leq M_{a+b}^{-1} \left(\sqrt{2} \int_0^t \sqrt{\tilde{\Lambda}(s)} ds \right), \\ b + \xi_1(a)\xi_2(b)S_B(k_2)(t) &\leq z(t) \leq M_{a+b}^{-1} \left(\sqrt{2} \int_0^t \sqrt{\tilde{\Lambda}(s)} ds \right), \end{aligned}$$

where M_{a+b}^{-1} is the inverse function of M_{a+b} which is defined from $[0, M_{a+b}(\infty))$ to $[\alpha, \infty)$.

Remark 1.4. In the case $A(t) = B(t)$, the solution (y, z) of system (1.4) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} a + \omega_1(b)\omega_2(a)S_A(h_2)(t) &\leq y(t) \leq M_{a+b}^{-1} \left(\int_0^t \sqrt{\tilde{\Lambda}(s)} ds \right), \\ b + \xi_1(a)\xi_2(b)S_A(k_2)(t) &\leq z(t) \leq M_{a+b}^{-1} \left(\int_0^t \sqrt{\tilde{\Lambda}(s)} ds \right), \quad \text{for } t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now we give the existence result for system (1.1) under the following hypotheses.

(H4) The function $r \rightarrow r^{2(n-1)}(p_1(r) + q_1(r))$ is nondecreasing for large r .

(H5) There exists a positive constant ε such that

$$\int_0^\infty r^{1+\varepsilon}(p_1(r) + q_1(r)) dr < \infty,$$

Theorem 1.5. *Under assumptions (H1)–(H5), system (1.1) has a positive entire bounded continuous solution.*

Example 1.6. Let $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i, \delta_i, \lambda_i \in (0, \infty)$ satisfying $\max(\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i, \delta_i + \lambda_i) < 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2$ and $2 < \sigma < 2(n-1)$. Put

$$\begin{aligned} H(x, u, v) &= a_1(x)v^{\alpha_1} + b_1(x)u^{\gamma_1} + c_1(x)(u+v)^{\beta_1} + d_1(x)u^{\delta_1}v^{\lambda_1}, \\ K(x, u, v) &= a_2(x)v^{\alpha_2} + b_2(x)u^{\gamma_2} + c_2(x)(u+v)^{\beta_2} + d_2(x)u^{\delta_2}v^{\lambda_2}, \end{aligned}$$

where and a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i are nontrivial nonnegative continuous function in \mathbb{R}^n satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\max_{|y| \leq s} a_i(y) + \max_{|y| \leq s} b_i(y) + \max_{|y| \leq s} c_i(y) + \max_{|y| \leq s} d_i(y) \right) \leq \frac{1}{1 + s^\sigma}.$$

Then problem (1.1) has a positive continuous bounded solution (u, v) . Indeed, the hypotheses (H1), (H2), (H4) and (H5) are clearly satisfied. Since $\max(\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i, \delta_i + \lambda_i) < 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2$ and

$$H(x, u, v) \leq \frac{1}{1 + |x|^\sigma} [(u + v)^{\alpha_1} + (u + v)^{\beta_1} + (u + v)^{\gamma_1} + (u + v)^{\delta_1 + \lambda_1}],$$

$$K(x, u, v) \leq \frac{1}{1 + |x|^\sigma} [(u + v)^{\alpha_2} + (u + v)^{\beta_2} + (u + v)^{\gamma_2} + (u + v)^{\delta_2 + \lambda_2}]$$

we deduce that $L_1(\infty) = \infty$ and so hypothesis (H3) is satisfied.

Example 1.7. Let $2 < \sigma < 2(n - 1)$, and let $\beta_1, \beta_2 > 0$ be such that $\beta_0 = \max(\beta_1, \beta_2) > 1$ and

$$1 + \frac{2}{\sigma - 2} < \frac{1}{2(\beta_0 - 1)(\text{Log}(3))^{\beta_0}}. \tag{1.5}$$

Let p, q be two nontrivial nonnegative continuous functions in \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$\max_{|y| \leq s} p(y) + \max_{|y| \leq s} q(y) \leq \frac{1}{1 + s^\sigma}.$$

Then the problem

$$\Delta u = 2p(x)(u + v + 2)(\text{Log}(u + v + 2))^{2\beta_1 - 1}(\text{Log}(u + v + 2) + \beta_1)$$

$$\Delta v = 2q(x)(u + v + 2)(\text{Log}(u + v + 2))^{2\beta_2 - 1}(\text{Log}(u + v + 2) + \beta_2),$$

in \mathbb{R}^n with $n \geq 3$, has a positive bounded continuous solution (u, v) . Indeed, the hypotheses (H1), (H2), (H4) and (H5) are clearly satisfied. Now, (H3) follows from (1.5) and the fact that for each $t \geq 1$,

$$\int_0^t \frac{ds}{\sqrt{1 + s^\sigma}} \leq \int_0^1 \frac{ds}{\sqrt{1 + s^\sigma}} + \int_1^t \frac{ds}{\sqrt{1 + s^\sigma}} \leq 1 + \int_1^t \frac{ds}{s^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}} \leq 1 + \frac{2}{\sigma - 2}$$

and

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2(s + 2)^2((\log(s + 2))^{2\beta_1} + (\log(s + 2))^{2\beta_2})}} \geq \int_1^\infty \frac{ds}{2(s + 2)(\text{Log}(s + 2))^{\beta_0}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2(\beta_0 - 1)(\text{Log}(3))^{\beta_0 - 1}}.$$

From Theorem 1.5, we have the following corollaries in the case where $H(x, u, v) = H(|x|, u, v)$ and $K(x, u, v) = K(|x|, u, v)$.

Corollary 1.8. *Under hypotheses (H1)–(H3), problem (1.1) has one positive solution. Under the additional hypothesis*

$$(H6) \int_0^\infty sp_2(s) ds = \int_0^\infty sq_2(s) ds = \infty,$$

every positive radial entire solution (u, v) of (1.1) is large and satisfies

$$u(0) + g_1(v(0))g_2(u(0))P_2(r) \leq u(r), \quad v(0) + f_1(u(0))f_2(v(0))Q_2(r) \leq v(r),$$

for all $r \geq 0$, where

$$P_2(r) = \int_0^r t^{1-n} \left(\int_0^t s^{n-1} p_2(s) ds \right) dt, \quad Q_2(r) = \int_0^r t^{1-n} \left(\int_0^t s^{n-1} q_2(s) ds \right) dt.$$

For the next corollary, we use the assumption

$$(H7) \quad L_\alpha(\infty) = \infty.$$

Corollary 1.9. *Assume that (H1), (H2), (H4), (H7) are satisfied. If (1.1) has a nonnegative radial entire large solution, then*

$$\int_0^\infty r^{1+\varepsilon} (p_1(r) + q_1(r)) dr = \infty, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

An other result for the radial case is given under the assumption

$$(H8) \quad \sqrt{p_1 + q_1} \in L^1(0, \infty).$$

Theorem 1.10. *Assume that (H1), (H2), (H4), (H8) are satisfied. If (u, v) is a positive entire large radial solution of (1.1), then F and G satisfy the Keller-Osserman condition*

$$L_1(\infty) = \int_1^\infty \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2(\mathcal{F}(s) + \mathcal{G}(s))}} < \infty.$$

2. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $(y_m)_{m \geq 0}$ and $(z_m)_{m \geq 0}$ be the sequences of positive continuous functions defined on $[0, \infty)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} y_0(t) &= a, \quad z_0(t) = b, \\ y_{m+1}(t) &= a + \int_0^t \frac{1}{A(r)} \left(\int_0^r A(s) g(s, y_m(s), z_m(s)) ds \right) dr, \\ z_{m+1}(t) &= b + \int_0^t \frac{1}{B(r)} \left(\int_0^r B(s) f(s, y_m(s), z_m(s)) ds \right) dr. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $y_m, z_m \in C([0, \infty)) \cap C^1((0, \infty))$ and are positive, so we deduce by (A1) that $(y_m)_{m \geq 0}$ and $(z_m)_{m \geq 0}$ are nondecreasing sequences and for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the functions $t \rightarrow y_m(t)$ and $t \rightarrow z_m(t)$ are nondecreasing. Hence, for each $t \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned} y'_m(t) &= \frac{1}{A(t)} \int_0^t A(s) g(s, y_{m-1}(s), z_{m-1}(s)) ds, \\ z'_m(t) &= \frac{1}{B(t)} \int_0^t B(s) f(s, y_{m-1}(s), z_{m-1}(s)) ds \end{aligned}$$

Which implies

$$(A(t)y'_m(t))' = A(t)g(t, y_{m-1}(t), z_{m-1}(t)), \quad \leq A(t)g(t, y_m(t), z_m(t)).$$

Multiplying this expression by $2A(t)y'_m(t)$ and integrate on $[0, t]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (A(t)y'_m(t))^2 &\leq 2 \int_0^t A^2(s) h_1(s) (\phi(y_m(s) + z_m(s))) y'_m(s) ds \\ &\leq 2\tilde{\Lambda}(t) A^2(t) \int_0^t (\phi(y_m(s) + z_m(s))) (y'_m(s) + z'_m(s)) ds \\ &= 2\tilde{\Lambda}(t) A^2(t) \int_{a+b}^{y_m(t)+z_m(t)} \phi(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Which implies

$$y'_m(t) \leq \sqrt{\tilde{\Lambda}(t)} \sqrt{2\Phi(y_m(t) + z_m(t))}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$z'_m(t) \leq \sqrt{\tilde{\Lambda}(t)} \sqrt{2\Psi(y_m(t) + z_m(t))}.$$

Then

$$y'_m(t) + z'_m(t) \leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\tilde{\Lambda}(t)} \sqrt{2(\Phi(y_m(t) + z_m(t)) + \Psi(y_m(t) + z_m(t)))}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{a+b}(y_m(t) + z_m(t)) &= \int_{a+b}^{y_m(t)+z_m(t)} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2(\Phi(s) + \Psi(s))}} ds \\ &= \int_0^t \frac{y'_m(s) + z'_m(s)}{\sqrt{2(\Phi(y_m(s) + z_m(s)) + \Psi(y_m(s) + z_m(s)))}} ds \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} \int_0^r \sqrt{\tilde{\Lambda}(s)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since M_{a+b}^{-1} is increasing on $[0, M_{a+b}(\infty))$, we obtain

$$y_m(t) + z_m(t) \leq M_{a+b}^{-1} \left(\sqrt{2} \int_0^t \sqrt{\tilde{\Lambda}(s)} ds \right), \quad \forall r \geq 0.$$

Therefore, the sequences $(y_m)_{m \geq 0}$ and $(z_m)_{m \geq 0}$ converge to two functions y and z that, for each $t \in [0, \infty)$, satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) &= a + \int_0^t \frac{1}{A(r)} \left(\int_0^r A(s) g(s, y(s), z(s)) ds \right) dr, \\ z(t) &= b + \int_0^t \frac{1}{B(r)} \left(\int_0^r B(s) f(s, y(s), z(s)) ds \right) dr. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $y, z \in C([0, \infty)) \cap C^1((0, \infty))$ and (y, z) is a solution of (1.4) satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} a + g_1(b)g_2(a)S_A(h_2)(t) &\leq y(t) \leq M_{a+b}^{-1} \left(\sqrt{2} \int_0^t \sqrt{\tilde{\Lambda}(s)} ds \right), \\ b + f_1(a)f_2(b)S_B(k_2)(t) &\leq z(t) \leq M_{a+b}^{-1} \left(\sqrt{2} \int_0^t \sqrt{\tilde{\Lambda}(s)} ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

In the case where $A(t) = B(t)$, we multiply the inequality

$$(A(t)(y'_m(t) + z'_m(t)))' \leq A(t)[g(t, y_m(t), z_m(t)) + f(t, y_m(t), z_m(t))]$$

by $2A(t)(y'_m(t) + z'_m(t))$, we integrate on $[0, t]$ and we proceed as below to obtain

$$(A(t)(y'_m(t) + z'_m(t)))^2 \leq (A(t))^2 2\tilde{\Lambda}(t) \int_0^{y_m(t)+z_m(t)} (\phi(s) + \psi(s)) ds.$$

Which implies

$$y'_m(t) + z'_m(t) \leq \sqrt{\tilde{\Lambda}(t)} \sqrt{2\Phi(y_m(t) + z_m(t))}.$$

So in this case (y, z) satisfies

$$a + \omega_1(b)\omega_2(a)S_A(h_2)(t) \leq y(t) \leq M_{a+b}^{-1} \left(\int_0^t \sqrt{\tilde{\Lambda}(s)} ds \right),$$

$$b + \xi_1(a)\xi_2(b)S_A(k_2)(t) \leq z(t) \leq M_{a+b}^{-1} \left(\int_0^t \sqrt{\tilde{\Lambda}(s)} ds \right), \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We will show that (1.1) has a solution by finding a subsolution $(\underline{u}, \underline{v})$ and a supersolution (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) , such that $\underline{u} \leq \bar{u}$ and $\underline{v} \leq \bar{v}$. To do this, we first prove the existence of a radial subsolution $(\underline{u}, \underline{v})$ to (1.1) by considering the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \underline{u} &= p_1(|x|)F(\underline{u} + \underline{v}), & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \\ \Delta \underline{v} &= q_1(|x|)G(\underline{u} + \underline{v}), & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \end{aligned}$$

with $n \geq 3$. That is, $(\underline{u}, \underline{v})$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{r^{n-1}}(r^{n-1}\underline{u}')' &= p_1(r)F(\underline{u} + \underline{v}), \quad r \in (0, \infty) \\ \frac{1}{r^{n-1}}(r^{n-1}\underline{v}')' &= q_1(r)G(\underline{u} + \underline{v}), \quad r \in (0, \infty). \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

By Theorem 1.3, we conclude that system (2.1) has a positive entire solution $(\underline{u}, \underline{v})$. Now, since

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \underline{u} &= p_1(|x|)F(\underline{u} + \underline{v}) \geq H(x, \underline{u}, \underline{v}), & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \\ \Delta \underline{v} &= q_1(|x|)G(\underline{u} + \underline{v}) \geq K(x, \underline{u}, \underline{v}), & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that $(\underline{u}, \underline{v})$ is a subsolution of system (1.1).

Next we prove that $(\underline{u}, \underline{v})$ is bounded. Since $(\underline{u}, \underline{v})$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} (r^{n-1}\underline{u}'(r))' &= r^{n-1}p_1(r)F(\underline{u}(r) + \underline{v}(r)), \\ (r^{n-1}\underline{v}'(r))' &= r^{n-1}q_1(r)G(\underline{u}(r) + \underline{v}(r)), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$(r^{n-1}(\underline{u}'(r) + \underline{v}'(r)))' = r^{n-1}[p_1(r)F(\underline{u}(r) + \underline{v}(r)) + q_1(r)G(\underline{u}(r) + \underline{v}(r))]. \quad (2.2)$$

Choose $R > 0$ so that $r^{2(n-1)}(p_1(r) + q_1(r))$ is nondecreasing on $[R, \infty)$. Then, after multiplying (2.2) by $r^{n-1}(\underline{u}'(r) + \underline{v}'(r))$ and integrating from R to r , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(r^{n-1}(\underline{u}'(r) + \underline{v}'(r)))^2 \\ &= C + 2 \left(\int_R^r t^{2(n-1)} [p_1(t)F(\underline{u}(t) + \underline{v}(t)) + q_1(t)G(\underline{u}(t) + \underline{v}(t))] dt \right) \\ &\leq C + 2r^{2(n-1)}(p_1(r) + q_1(r)) \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_R^r [\mathcal{F}'(\underline{u}(t) + \underline{v}(t)) + \mathcal{G}'(\underline{u}(t) + \underline{v}(t))](\underline{u}'(t) + \underline{v}'(t)) dt \right) \\ &\leq C + 2r^{2(n-1)}(p_1(r) + q_1(r))[\mathcal{F}(\underline{u}(r) + \underline{v}(r)) + \mathcal{G}(\underline{u}(r) + \underline{v}(r))], \end{aligned}$$

where $C = (R^{n-1}(\underline{u}'(R) + \underline{v}'(R)))^2$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\underline{u}'(r) + \underline{v}'(r)}{\sqrt{2(\mathcal{F}(\underline{u}(r) + \underline{v}(r)) + \mathcal{G}(\underline{u}(r) + \underline{v}(r)))}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{C}r^{1-n}}{\sqrt{(\mathcal{F}(\underline{u}(r) + \underline{v}(r)) + \mathcal{G}(\underline{u}(r) + \underline{v}(r)))}} + \sqrt{2} \sqrt{p_1(r) + q_1(r)}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the above inequality and using the fact that

$$\mathcal{F}(\underline{u}(r) + \underline{v}(r)) + \mathcal{G}(\underline{u}(r) + \underline{v}(r)) \geq \mathcal{F}(\underline{u}(R) + \underline{v}(R)) + \mathcal{G}(\underline{u}(R) + \underline{v}(R)) = C_1,$$

for all $r \geq R$, and

$$\sqrt{p_1(r) + q_1(r)} \leq 2\sqrt{r^{1+\varepsilon}(p_1(r) + q_1(r))r^{-1-\varepsilon}} \leq r^{1+\varepsilon}(p_1(r) + q_1(r)) + r^{-1-\varepsilon},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_\alpha(\underline{u}(r) + \underline{v}(r)) \\ \leq \sqrt{2} \int_R^r s^{1+\varepsilon}(p_1(s) + q_1(s))ds + \sqrt{2}(\varepsilon R^\varepsilon)^{-1} + \sqrt{\frac{C}{C_1}}(2-n)(R^{2-n})^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = \underline{u}(R) + \underline{v}(R)$. Letting $r \rightarrow \infty$, we deduce from hypothesis (H5) that $(\underline{u}, \underline{v})$ is bounded. Thus, since $(\underline{u}, \underline{v})$ is nondecreasing, we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \underline{u}(r) = M_1 > 0, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \underline{v}(r) = M_2 > 0.$$

Now, it is clear that $(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) = (M_1, M_2)$ is a supersolution for (1.1) and we have for $r \geq 0$,

$$\bar{u}(r) \geq M_1 \geq \underline{u}(r), \quad \bar{v}(r) \geq M_2 \geq \underline{v}(r).$$

Hence the standard sub-supersolution method (see [7, 17]) implies that (1.1) has a bounded solution (u, v) such that $\underline{u} \leq u \leq \bar{u}$ and $\underline{v} \leq v \leq \bar{v}$. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.10. Let (u, v) be a positive entire large radial solution of (1.1). Then (u, v) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} (r^{n-1}u'(r))' &\leq r^{n-1}p_1(r)F(u(r) + v(r)), \\ (r^{n-1}v'(r))' &\leq r^{n-1}q_1(r)G(u(r) + v(r)). \end{aligned}$$

Adding these inequalities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (r^{n-1}(u'(r) + v'(r)))' &\leq r^{n-1}p_1(r)F(u(r) + v(r)) + r^{n-1}q_1(r)G(u(r) + v(r)) \\ &\leq r^{n-1}(p_1(r) + q_1(r))(F(u(r) + v(r)) + G(u(r) + v(r))). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the above inequality by $2r^{n-1}(u'(r) + v'(r))$ and integrating from 0 to r , and using (H4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (r^{n-1}(u'(r) + v'(r)))^2 &\leq 2 \left(\int_0^r s^{2(n-1)}(p_1(s) + q_1(s)) \left(F(u(s) + v(s)) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + G(u(s) + v(s)) \right) (u'(s) + v'(s)) ds \right) \\ &\leq 2r^{2(n-1)}(p_1(r) + q_1(r)) \int_0^r \left(F(u(s) + v(s)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + G(u(s) + v(s)) \right) (u'(s) + v'(s)) ds \\ &\leq 2r^{2(n-1)}(p_1(r) + q_1(r)) (\mathcal{F}(u(r) + v(r)) + \mathcal{G}(u(r) + v(r))) \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\frac{u'(r) + v'(r)}{\sqrt{2(\mathcal{F}(u(r) + v(r)) + \mathcal{G}(u(r) + v(r)))}} \leq \sqrt{p_1(r) + q_1(r)}.$$

By integrating over $[0, r]$, we obtain

$$L_{u(0)+v(0)}(u(r) + (v(r)) \leq \int_0^r \sqrt{p_1(s) + q_1(s)} ds.$$

Letting $r \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that F and G satisfies the Keller-Osserman condition. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Akhmediev, A. Ankiewicz; *Partially coherent solitons on a finite background*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 2661-2664.
- [2] G. A. Afrouzi, T. A. Roushan; *Existence of positive radial solutions for some nonlinear elliptic systems*, Bulletin of Mathematical analysis and Applications. 4 (2011) 146-154.
- [3] F. Cirstea, V. Rădulescu; *Entire solutions blowing up at infinity for semilinear elliptic systems*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 81 (2002), 827-846.
- [4] A. Ben Dekhil, N. Zeddini; *Bounded and large radially symmetric solutions for some (p, q) -Laplacian stationary systems*, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations. Vol 2012, (2012) no. 71 , 1-9.
- [5] A. Ghanmi, H. Mâagli, V. Rădulescu, N. Zeddini; *Large and bounded solutions for a class of nonlinear Schrödinger stationary systems*, Analysis and Applications. 4 (2009), 391-404.
- [6] M. Ghergu, V. Rădulescu; *Explosive solutions of semilinear elliptic systems with gradient term*, RACSAM Revista Real Academia de Ciencias (Serie A, Matemáticas) 97 (2003), 437-445.
- [7] J. Hernandez; *Qualitative methods for nonlinear diffusion equations*, Lecture Notes in Math; 1224, Springer, Berlin, 47-118, 1986.
- [8] J. B. Keller; *On solutions of $\Delta u = f(u)$* , Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics 10 (1957), 503-507.
- [9] A. V. Lair; *A necessary and sufficient condition for existence of large solutions to semilinear elliptic equations*, J.Math. Anal. Appl. 240 (1999), 205-218.
- [10] A. V. Lair, A. W. Wood; *Large solutions of sublinear elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Anal.39 (2000) 745-753.
- [11] A. V. Lair, A. W. Wood; *Existence of entire large positive solutions of semilinear elliptic systems*, J. Diff. Equations. 164 (2000) 380-394.
- [12] H. Li, P. Zhang, Z. Zhang; *A remark on the existence of entire positive solutions for a class of semilinear elliptic systems* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 365 (2010) 338-341.
- [13] C. R. Menyuk, *Pulse propagation in an elliptically birefringent Kerr medium*, IEEE J. Quantum Electron. 25 (1989) 2674-2682.
- [14] R. Osserman, *On the equality $\Delta u \geq f(u)$* , Pacific Journal of Mathematics 7 (1957), 1641-1647.
- [15] Y. Peng, Y. Song; *Existence of entire large positive solutions of a semilinear elliptic system*, Appl. Math. Comput. 155 (2004), 687-698.
- [16] Yuan-Wei Qi; *The existence and non-existence of positive solutions of elliptic systems in \mathbb{R}^n* , Methods and Applications of Analysis. 4 (2001) 557-568.
- [17] D. Sattinger; *Monotone methods in nonlinear elliptic and parabolic boundary value problems*, Indiana Univ. Math. 21 (1972), 979-1000.
- [18] X. Wang and A. W Wood; *Existence and nonexistence of entire positive solutions of semilinear elliptic systems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 267 (2002),
- [19] X. Zhang, L. Liu; *The existence and nonexistence of entire positive solutions of semilinear elliptic systems with gradient term*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications. 371 (2010) 300-308.
- [20] Zhijun Zhang; *Existence of entire positive solutions for a class of semilinear elliptic systems*, Electronic Journal of Differential Equation. vol 2010 (2010), no. 16, 1-5.
- [21] Zhijun Zhang, Yongxiu Shi, Yanxing Xue; *Existence of entire solutions for semilinear elliptic systems under the Keller-Osserman condition*, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations. vol. 2011 (2011) no. 39, 1-9.

ADEL BEN DEKHIL

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DE TUNIS, UNIVERSITÉ DE TUNIS EL MANAR, CAMPUS UNIVERSITAIRE, 2092 TUNIS, TUNISIA

E-mail address: Adel.Bendekhil@ipein.rnu.tn