

**NONLINEAR FIRST-ORDER PERIODIC BOUNDARY-VALUE  
PROBLEMS OF IMPULSIVE DYNAMIC EQUATIONS  
ON TIME SCALES**

WEN GUAN, DUN-GANG LI, SHUANG-HONG MA

ABSTRACT. By using the fixed point theorem in cones, in this paper, existence criteria for single and multiple positive solutions to a class of nonlinear first-order periodic boundary value problems of impulsive dynamic equations on time scales are obtained. An example is given to illustrate the main results in this article.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be a time scale; i.e., is a nonempty closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $0, T$  be points in  $\mathbb{T}$ , an interval  $(0, T)_{\mathbb{T}}$  denoting time scales interval, that is,  $(0, T)_{\mathbb{T}} := (0, T) \cap \mathbb{T}$ . Other types of intervals are defined similarly.

The theory of impulsive differential equations is emerging as an important area of investigation, since it is a lot richer than the corresponding theory of differential equations without impulse effects. Moreover, such equations may exhibit several real world phenomena in physics, biology, engineering, etc. (see [3, 17]). At the same time, the boundary value problems for impulsive differential equations and impulsive difference equations have received much attention [6, 11, 12, 18, 20, 24]. On the other hand, recently, the theory of dynamic equations on time scales has become a new important branch (See, for example, [4, 5, 10]). Naturally, some authors have focused their attention on the boundary value problems of impulsive dynamic equations on time scales [1, 2, 7, 9, 13, 14, 15, 22, 23]. However, to the best of our knowledge, few papers concerning PBVPs of impulsive dynamic equations on time scales with semi-position condition [22, 23].

In this paper, we are concerned with the existence of positive solutions for the following PBVPs of impulsive dynamic equations on time scales with semi-position condition

$$\begin{aligned}x^{\Delta}(t) + f(t, x(\sigma(t))) &= 0, \quad t \in J := [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad t \neq t_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\x(t_k^+) - x(t_k^-) &= I_k(x(t_k^-)), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\x(0) &= x(\sigma(T)),\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 39A10, 34B15.

*Key words and phrases.* Periodic boundary value problem; positive solution; fixed point; time scale; impulsive dynamic equation.

©2012 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted August 20, 2012. Published November 10, 2012.

where  $\mathbb{T}$  is a time scale,  $T > 0$  is fixed,  $0, T \in \mathbb{T}$ ,  $f \in C(J \times [0, \infty), (-\infty, \infty))$ ,  $I_k \in C([0, \infty), (-\infty, \infty))$ ,  $t_k \in (0, T)_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,  $0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_m < T$ , and for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,  $x(t_k^+) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} x(t_k + h)$  and  $x(t_k^-) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^-} x(t_k + h)$  represent the right and left limits of  $x(t)$  at  $t = t_k$ .

Using fixed point theorems, Wang [22, 23] considered the existence of one or two positive solution to (1.1) when the following hypothesis holds (semi-position condition):

(A) There exists a positive number  $M$  such that

$$Mx - f(t, x) \geq 0 \text{ for } x \in [0, \infty), \quad t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

Motivated by the results mentioned above, in this paper, we shall obtain existence criteria for single and multiple positive solutions to (1.1) by means of a fixed point theorem in cones. It is worth noticing that: (i) Our hypotheses on nonlinearity  $f$  in this paper are weaker than condition (A) of [22, 23]; (ii) For the case  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$  and  $I_k(x) \equiv 0, k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , problem (1.1) reduces to the problem studied in [16] and for the case  $I_k(x) \equiv 0, k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , problem (1.1) reduces to the problem (in the one-dimension case) studied by [19]. The ideas in this article come from [21].

**Theorem 1.1** ([8]). *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $K$  is a cone in  $X$ . Assume  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$  are open subsets of  $X$  with  $0 \in \Omega_1, \bar{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2$ . Let*

$$\Phi : K \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \rightarrow K$$

*be a continuous and completely continuous operator such that*

- (i)  $\|\Phi x\| \leq \|x\|$  for  $x \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$ ;
- (ii) *there exists  $e \in K \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $x \neq \Phi x + \lambda e$  for  $x \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$  and  $\lambda > 0$ .*

*Then  $\Phi$  has a fixed point in  $K \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$ .*

**Remark 1.2.** In Theorem 1.1, if (i) and (ii) are replaced by

- (i)  $\|\Phi x\| \leq \|x\|$  for  $x \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$ ;
- (ii) *there exists  $e \in K \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $x \neq \Phi x + \lambda e$  for  $x \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , then  $\Phi$  has also a fixed point in  $K \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$ .*

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout the rest of this paper, we assume that the points of impulse  $t_k$  are right-dense for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . We define

$$PC = \left\{ x \in [0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : x_k \in C(J_k, \mathbb{R}), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m \text{ and} \right. \\ \left. \text{there exist } x(t_k^+) \text{ and } x(t_k^-) \text{ with } x(t_k^-) = x(t_k), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m \right\},$$

where  $x_k$  is the restriction of  $x$  to  $J_k = (t_k, t_{k+1}]_{\mathbb{T}} \subset (0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $J_0 = [0, t_1]_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,  $t_{m+1} = \sigma(T)$ . Let

$$X = \{x : x \in PC, \quad x(0) = x(\sigma(T))\}$$

with the norm  $\|x\| = \sup_{t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}}} |x(t)|$ , then  $X$  is a Banach space.

**Lemma 2.1** ([22, 23]). *Suppose  $M > 0$  and  $h : [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is rd-continuous, then  $x$  is a solution of*

$$x(t) = \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G(t, s)h(s)\Delta s + \sum_{k=1}^m G(t, t_k)I_k(x(t_k)), \quad t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}},$$

where

$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{e_M(s,t)e_M(\sigma(T),0)}{e_M(\sigma(T),0)-1}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq \sigma(T), \\ \frac{e_M(s,t)}{e_M(\sigma(T),0)-1}, & 0 \leq t < s \leq \sigma(T), \end{cases}$$

if and only if  $x$  is a solution of the boundary-value problem

$$\begin{aligned} x^\Delta(t) + Mx(\sigma(t)) &= h(t), \quad t \in J := [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad t \neq t_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ x(t_k^+) - x(t_k^-) &= I_k(x(t_k^-)), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ x(0) &= x(\sigma(T)). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $G(t, s)$  be defined as in Lemma 2.1. Then

$$\frac{1}{e_M(\sigma(T), 0) - 1} \leq G(t, s) \leq \frac{e_M(\sigma(T), 0)}{e_M(\sigma(T), 0) - 1}$$

for all  $t, s \in [0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

**Remark 2.3.** Let  $G(t, s)$  be defined as in Lemma 2.1, then  $\int_0^{\sigma(T)} G(t, s) \Delta s = 1/M$ . Let

$$K = \{x \in X : x(t) \geq \delta \|x\|, t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}}\},$$

where  $\delta = \frac{1}{e_M(\sigma(T), 0) - 1} \in (0, 1)$ . It is not difficult to verify that  $K$  is a cone in  $X$ .

For  $u \in K$ , we consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned} x^\Delta(t) + Mx(\sigma(t)) &= Mu(\sigma(t)) - f(t, u(\sigma(t))), \\ t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, t \neq t_k, k &= 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ x(t_k^+) - x(t_k^-) &= I_k(x(t_k^-)), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ x(0) &= x(\sigma(T)). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that (2.1) has a unique solution,

$$x(t) = \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G(t, s) h_u(s) \Delta s + \sum_{k=1}^m G(t, t_k) I_k(x(t_k)), \quad t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}},$$

where  $h_u(s) = Mu(\sigma(s)) - f(s, u(\sigma(s)))$ ,  $s \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

We define an operator  $\Phi : K \rightarrow X$  by

$$\Phi_x(t) = \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G(t, s) h_x(s) \Delta s + \sum_{k=1}^m G(t, t_k) I_k(x(t_k)), \quad t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

It is obvious that fixed points of  $\Phi$  are solutions of (1.1).

**Lemma 2.4.** The operator  $\Phi : K \rightarrow X$  is completely continuous.

The proof similar to that in [22, 23], so we omit it here.

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, by defining an appropriate cones, we impose the conditions on  $f$  which allow us to apply the fixed point theorem in cones to establish the existence criteria for single and multiple positive solutions of the problem (1.1).

**Theorem 3.1.** *Suppose that there exist a positive number  $M > 0$  and  $0 < \alpha < \beta$  such that*

$$Mx - f(t, x) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, x \in [\delta\alpha, \beta].$$

*Then (1.1) has at least one positive solution if one of the following two conditions holds: (i)*

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, x) &\leq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, x \in [\delta\alpha, \alpha]; \forall k, I_k(x) \geq 0, x \in [\delta\alpha, \alpha], \\ f(t, x) &\geq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, x \in [\delta\beta, \beta]; \forall k, I_k(x) \leq 0, x \in [\delta\beta, \beta], \end{aligned}$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, x) &\geq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, x \in [\delta\alpha, \alpha]; \forall k, I_k(x) \leq 0, x \in [\delta\alpha, \alpha], \\ f(t, x) &\leq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, x \in [\delta\beta, \beta]; \forall k, I_k(x) \geq 0, x \in [\delta\beta, \beta]. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Define the open sets

$$\Omega_1 = \{x \in X : \|x\| < \alpha\}, \quad \Omega_2 = \{x \in X : \|x\| < \beta\}.$$

Firstly, we claim that  $\Phi : K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \rightarrow K$ . In fact, for any  $x \in K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$ , we have  $\delta\alpha \leq x \leq \beta$ , by Lemma 2.2

$$\|\Phi x\| \leq \frac{e_M(\sigma(T), 0)}{e_M(\sigma(T), 0) - 1} \left[ \int_0^{\sigma(T)} (Mx(\sigma(s)) - f(s, x(\sigma(s)))) \Delta s + \sum_{k=1}^m I_k(x(t_k)) \right]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi x)(t) &= \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G(t, s) h_x(s) \Delta s + \sum_{k=1}^m G(t, t_k) I_k(x(t_k)) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{e_M(\sigma(T), 0) - 1} \left[ \int_0^{\sigma(T)} (Mx(\sigma(s)) - f(s, x(\sigma(s)))) \Delta s + \sum_{k=1}^m I_k(x(t_k)) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$(\Phi x)(t) \geq \frac{1}{e_M(\sigma(T), 0)} \|\Phi x\| = \delta \|\Phi x\|; \quad \text{i.e., } \Phi x \in K.$$

Therefore,  $\Phi : K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \rightarrow K$ .

Secondly, we prove the result provided conditions (i) holds. By the first inequality of (i), we have

$$Mx - f(t, x) \geq Mx, \quad t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, x \in [\delta\alpha, \alpha].$$

Let  $e \equiv 1$ , then  $e \in K$ . We assert that

$$x \neq \Phi x + \lambda e \quad \text{for } x \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1 \text{ and } \lambda > 0. \quad (3.1)$$

If not, there would exist  $x_0 \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$  and  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that  $x_0 = \Phi x_0 + \lambda_0 e$ .

Since  $x_0 \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$ , it follows that  $\delta\alpha = \delta \|x_0\| \leq x_0(t) \leq \alpha$ . Let  $\mu = \min_{t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}}} x_0(t)$ , then for any  $t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_0(t) &= (\Phi x_0)(t) + \lambda_0 \\ &= \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G(t, s) [Mx_0(\sigma(s)) - f(s, x_0(\sigma(s)))] \Delta s + \sum_{k=1}^m G(t, t_k) I_k(x_0(t_k)) + \lambda_0 \\ &\geq \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G(t, s) Mx_0(\sigma(s)) \Delta s + \lambda_0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq \mu \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G(t, s)M\Delta s + \lambda_0 = \mu + \lambda_0.$$

This implies that  $\mu \geq \mu + \lambda_0$ , and this is a contradiction. Therefore (3.1) holds.

On the other hand, by using the second inequality of (i), we have

$$Mx - f(t, x) \leq Mx, \quad t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad x \in [\delta\beta, \beta].$$

We assert that

$$\|\Phi x\| \leq \|x\| \text{ for } x \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2. \tag{3.2}$$

In fact, if  $x \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$ , then  $\delta\beta = \delta\|x\| \leq x(t) \leq \beta$ ; we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi x)(t) &= \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G(t, s)[Mx(\sigma(s)) - f(s, x(\sigma(s)))]\Delta s + \sum_{k=1}^m G(t, t_k)I_k(x(t_k)) \\ &\leq \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G(t, s)Mx(\sigma(s))\Delta s \\ &\leq \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G(t, s)M\Delta s\|x\| = \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\|\Phi x\| \leq \|x\|$ .

It follows from Remark 1.2, (3.1) and (3.2) that  $\Phi$  has a fixed point  $x \in K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$ . In a similar way, we can prove the result by Theorem 1.1 if condition (ii) holds.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Suppose that there exist a positive number  $M > 0$  and  $0 < \alpha < \rho < \beta$  such that*

$$Mx - f(t, x) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad x \in [\delta\alpha, \beta].$$

*Then (1.1) has at least two positive solutions if one of the following two conditions holds (i)*

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, x) &\leq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad x \in [\delta\alpha, \alpha]; \quad \forall k, \quad I_k(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in [\delta\alpha, \alpha], \\ f(t, x) &> 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad x \in [\delta\rho, \rho]; \quad \forall k, \quad I_k(x) < 0, \quad x \in [\delta\rho, \rho], \\ f(t, x) &\leq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad x \in [\delta\beta, \beta]; \quad \forall k, \quad I_k(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in [\delta\beta, \beta], \end{aligned}$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, x) &\geq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad x \in [\delta\alpha, \alpha]; \quad \forall k, \quad I_k(x) \leq 0, \quad x \in [\delta\alpha, \alpha], \\ f(t, x) &< 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad x \in [\delta\rho, \rho]; \quad \forall k, \quad I_k(x) > 0, \quad x \in [\delta\rho, \rho], \\ f(t, x) &\geq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad x \in [\delta\beta, \beta]; \quad \forall k, \quad I_k(x) \leq 0, \quad x \in [\delta\beta, \beta], \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We prove only the result when condition (i) holds. In a similar way we can obtain the result if condition (ii) holds. Define  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$  as in Theorem 3.1 and define

$$\Omega_3 = \{x \in X : \|x\| < \rho\}.$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can prove that

$$x \neq \Phi x + \lambda e \text{ for } x \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1 \text{ and } \lambda > 0, \tag{3.3}$$

$$x \neq \Phi x + \lambda e \text{ for } x \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2 \text{ and } \lambda > 0, \tag{3.4}$$

where  $e \equiv 1 \in K$ , and

$$\|\Phi x\| < \|x\| \quad \text{for } x \in K \cap \partial\Omega_3. \tag{3.5}$$

Thus we can obtain the existence of two positive solutions  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  by using Theorem 1.1 and Remark 1.2, respectively. It is easy to see that  $\alpha \leq \|x_1\| < \rho < \|x_2\| \leq \beta$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *Suppose that there exist a positive number  $M > 0$  and  $0 < \alpha_1 < \beta_1 < \alpha_2 < \beta_2 < \cdots < \alpha_n < \beta_n$  such that*

$$Mx - f(t, x) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, x \in [\delta\alpha_1, \beta_n].$$

*Then (1.1) has at least  $n$  multiple positive solutions  $x_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) satisfying  $\alpha_i \leq \|x_i\| \leq \beta_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , if one of the following two conditions holds (i)*

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, x) &\leq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, x \in [\delta\alpha_i, \alpha_i]; \forall k, I_k(x) \geq 0, x \in [\delta\alpha_i, \alpha_i], 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ f(t, x) &\geq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, x \in [\delta\beta_i, \beta_i]; \forall k, I_k(x) \leq 0, x \in [\delta\beta_i, \beta_i], 1 \leq i \leq n, \end{aligned}$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, x) &\geq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, x \in [\delta\alpha_i, \alpha_i]; \forall k, I_k(x) \leq 0, x \in [\delta\alpha_i, \alpha_i], 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ f(t, x) &\leq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, x \in [\delta\beta_i, \beta_i]; \forall k, I_k(x) \geq 0, x \in [\delta\beta_i, \beta_i], 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 3.4.** In theorem 3.3, if (i) and (ii) are replaced by (iii)

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, x) &< 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, x \in [\delta\alpha_i, \alpha_i]; \forall k, I_k(x) > 0, x \in [\delta\alpha_i, \alpha_i], 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ f(t, x) &> 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, x \in [\delta\beta_i, \beta_i]; \forall k, I_k(x) < 0, x \in [\delta\beta_i, \beta_i], 1 \leq i \leq n; \end{aligned}$$

(iv)

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, x) &> 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, x \in [\delta\alpha_i, \alpha_i]; \forall k, I_k(x) < 0, x \in [\delta\alpha_i, \alpha_i], 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ f(t, x) &< 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, x \in [\delta\beta_i, \beta_i]; \forall k, I_k(x) > 0, x \in [\delta\beta_i, \beta_i], 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

Then (1.1) has at least  $2n - 1$  multiple positive solutions.

#### 4. EXAMPLES

**Example 4.1.** Let  $\mathbb{T} = [0, 1] \cup [2, 3]$ . We consider the following problem on  $\mathbb{T}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} x^\Delta(t) + f(t, x(\sigma(t))) &= 0, \quad t \in [0, 3]_{\mathbb{T}}, t \neq \frac{1}{2}, \\ x\left(\frac{1}{2}^+\right) - x\left(\frac{1}{2}^-\right) &= I\left(x\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right), \\ x(0) &= x(3), \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where  $T = 3$ ,  $f(t, x) = x - x^{1/2} + \frac{7}{64}$ , and  $I(x) = x^{1/2} - x$ .

Let  $M = 1$ ,  $\alpha = e^2/32$ ,  $\beta = 4e^2$ . Then  $e_M(\sigma(T), 0) = 2e^2$ ,  $\delta = 1/(2e^2)$ , it is easy to see that

$$Mx - f(t, x) = x^{1/2} - \frac{7}{64} \geq \frac{1}{8} - \frac{7}{64} = \frac{1}{64} > 0, \quad \text{for } x \in \left[\frac{1}{64}, 4e^2\right] = [\delta\alpha, \beta],$$

and

$$f(t, x) = x - x^{1/2} + \frac{7}{64} \leq \frac{1}{64} - \frac{1}{8} + \frac{7}{64} = 0, \quad \text{for } x \in \left[\frac{1}{64}, \frac{e^2}{32}\right] = [\delta\alpha, \alpha];$$

$$f(t, x) = x - x^{1/2} + \frac{7}{64} > 0, \quad \text{for } x \in [2, 4e^2] = [\delta\beta, \beta];$$

$$I(x) = x^{1/2} - x \geq \frac{1}{8} - \frac{1}{64} > 0, \quad \text{for } x \in \left[\frac{1}{64}, \frac{e^2}{32}\right] = [\delta\alpha, \alpha];$$

$$I(x) = x^{1/2} - x \leq 2^{1/2} - 2 < 0, \quad \text{for } x \in [2, 4e^2] = [\delta\beta, \beta].$$

Therefore, by Theorem 3.1, it follows that (4.1) has at least one positive solution.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Benchohra, J. Henderson, S. K. Ntouyas and A. Ouahab; On first order impulsive dynamic equations on time scales, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.*, 6(2004)541–548.
- [2] M. Benchohra, S. K. Ntouyas and A. Ouahab; Existence results for second-order boundary value problem of impulsive dynamic equations on time scales, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 296(2004)65–73.
- [3] M. Benchohra, J. Henderson and S. K. Ntouyas; *Impulsive Differential Equations and Inclusions*, Hindawi Publishing Corporation, Vol. 2, New York, 2006.
- [4] M. Bohner and A. Peterson; *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales: An Introduction with Applications*, Birkhauser, Boston, 2001.
- [5] M. Bohner and A. Peterson; *Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*, Birkhauser, Boston, 2003.
- [6] M. Feng, B. Du and W. Ge; Impulsive boundary value problems with integral boundary conditions and one-dimensional  $p$ -Laplacian, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 70(2009)3119–3126.
- [7] F. Geng, Y. Xu and D. Zhu; Periodic boundary value problems for first-order impulsive dynamic equations on time scales, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 69(2008)4074–4087.
- [8] D. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham; *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*, Academic Press, New York, 1988.
- [9] J. Henderson; Double solutions of impulsive dynamic boundary value problems on time scale, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.*, 8 (2002)345–356.
- [10] S. Hilger; Analysis on measure chains—a unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus, *Results Math.*, 18(1990)18–56.
- [11] J. L. Li, J. J. Nieto and J. Shen; Impulsive periodic boundary value problems of first-order differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 325(2007)226–236.
- [12] J. L. Li and J. H. Shen; Positive solutions for first-order difference equation with impulses, *Int. J. Differ. Equ.*, 2(2006)225–239.
- [13] J. L. Li and J. H. Shen; Existence results for second-order impulsive boundary value problems on time scales, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 70(2009)1648–1655.
- [14] Y. K. Li and J. Y. Shu; Multiple positive solutions for first-order impulsive integral boundary value problems on time scales, *Boundary Value Problems*, 2011:12, doi:10.1186/1687-2770-2011-12.
- [15] H. B. Liu and X. Xiang; A class of the first order impulsive dynamic equations on time scales, *Nonlinear Analysis*, 69(2008) 2803–2811.
- [16] S. Peng; Positive solutions for first order periodic boundary value problem, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 158(2004)345–351.
- [17] A. M. Samoilenko and N. A. Perestyuk; *Impulsive Differential Equations*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1995.
- [18] J. Sun, H. Chen, J.J. Nieto and M. Otero-Novoa; The multiplicity of solutions for perturbed second-order Hamiltonian systems with impulsive effects, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 72(2010)4575–4586.
- [19] J. P. Sun and W. T. Li; Positive solution for system of nonlinear first-order PBVPs on time scales, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 62(2005)131–139.
- [20] Y. Tian and W. Ge; Applications of variational methods to boundary-value problem for impulsive differential equations, *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society. Series II*, 51(2008)509–527.
- [21] P. J. Torres; Existence of one-signed periodic solutions of some second-order differential equations via a Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem, *J. Diff. Equats*, 190(2003)643–662.
- [22] D. B. Wang and W. Guan; Nonlinear first-order semipositone problems of impulsive dynamic equations on time scales, *Dynamic Systems and Applications*, 20(2011)307–316.
- [23] D. B. Wang; Periodic boundary value problems for nonlinear first-order impulsive dynamic equations on time scales, *Advances in Difference Equations*, 2012:12(2012)1–9.
- [24] J. Zhou and Y. Li; Existence and multiplicity of solutions for some Dirichlet problems with impulsive effects, *Nonlinear Analysis*, 71(2009)2856–2865.

WEN GUAN

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, LANZHOU UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, LANZHOU,  
GANSU, 730050, CHINA

*E-mail address:* mathgw@sohu.com

DUN-GANG LI

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, LANZHOU UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, LANZHOU,  
GANSU, 730050, CHINA

*E-mail address:* dungangli@gmail.com

SHUANG-HONG MA

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, LANZHOU UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, LANZHOU,  
GANSU, 730050, CHINA

*E-mail address:* mashuanghong@lut.cn