

## BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEMS FOR NONLINEAR THIRD-ORDER $q$ -DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

BASHIR AHMAD

ABSTRACT. This article shows existence results for a boundary-value problem of nonlinear third-order  $q$ -difference equations. Our results are based on Leray-Schauder degree theory and some standard fixed point theorems.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The subject of  $q$ -difference equations, initiated in the beginning of the 19th century [1, 6, 19, 22], has evolved into a multidisciplinary subject; see for example [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 18, 20, 21] and references therein. For some recent work on  $q$ -difference equations, we refer the reader to [2, 3, 5, 7, 16, 17, 23]. However, the theory of boundary-value problems for nonlinear  $q$ -difference equations is still in the initial stages and many aspects of this theory need to be explored. To the best of our knowledge, the theory of boundary-value problems for third-order nonlinear  $q$ -difference equations is yet to be developed.

In this paper, we discuss the existence of solutions for the nonlinear boundary-value problem (BVP) of third-order  $q$ -difference equation

$$\begin{aligned} D_q^3 u(t) &= f(t, u(t)), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ u(0) &= 0, \quad D_q u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where  $f$  is a given continuous function.

### 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let us recall some basic concepts of  $q$ -calculus [15, 21].

For  $0 < q < 1$ , we define the  $q$ -derivative of a real valued function  $f$  as

$$D_q f(t) = \frac{f(t) - f(qt)}{(1-q)t}, \quad D_q f(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} D_q f(t).$$

Higher order  $q$ -derivatives are given by

$$D_q^0 f(t) = f(t), \quad D_q^n f(t) = D_q D_q^{n-1} f(t), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 39A05, 39A13.

*Key words and phrases.*  $q$ -difference equations; boundary value problems;

Leray-Schauder degree theory; fixed point theorems.

©2011 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted December 2, 2010. Published July 28, 2011.

The  $q$ -integral of a function  $f$  defined in the interval  $[a, b]$  is given by

$$\int_a^x f(t) d_q t := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x(1-q)q^n f(xq^n) - af(q^n a), \quad x \in [a, b],$$

and for  $a = 0$ , we denote

$$I_q f(x) = \int_0^x f(t) d_q t = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x(1-q)q^n f(xq^n),$$

provided the series converges. If  $a \in [0, b]$  and  $f$  is defined on the interval  $[0, b]$ , then

$$\int_a^b f(t) d_q t = \int_0^b f(t) d_q t - \int_0^a f(t) d_q t.$$

Similarly, we have

$$I_q^0 f(t) = f(t), \quad I_q^n f(t) = I_q I_q^{n-1} f(t), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Observe that

$$D_q I_q f(x) = f(x), \quad (2.1)$$

and if  $f$  is continuous at  $x = 0$ , then  $I_q D_q f(x) = f(x) - f(0)$ . In  $q$ -calculus, the product rule and integration by parts formula are

$$D_q(gh)(t) = D_q g(t)h(t) + g(qt)D_q h(t), \quad (2.2)$$

$$\int_0^x f(t) D_q g(t) d_q t = [f(t)g(t)]_0^x - \int_0^x D_q f(t)g(qt) d_q t. \quad (2.3)$$

In the limit  $q \rightarrow 1$  the above results correspond to their counterparts in standard calculus.

Motivated by the solution of a classical third-order ordinary differential equation (see Remark 2.2), we can write the solution of the third-order  $q$ -difference equation  $D_q^3 u(t) = v(t)$  in the form

$$u = \int_0^t (\alpha_1(q)t^2 + \alpha_2(q)ts + \alpha_3(q)s^2) v(s) d_q s + a_0 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $a_0, a_1, a_2$  are arbitrary constants and  $\alpha_1(q), \alpha_2(q), \alpha_3(q)$  can be fixed appropriately.

Choosing  $\alpha_1(q) = 1/(1+q)$ ,  $\alpha_2(q) = -q$ ,  $\alpha_3(q) = q^3/(1+q)$  and using (2.1) and (2.2), we find that

$$D_q u(t) = \int_0^t tv(s) d_q s - \int_0^t qsv(s) d_q s, \quad D_q^2 u(t) = \int_0^t v(s) d_q s, \quad D_q^3 u(t) = v(t).$$

Thus, the solution (2.4) of  $D_q^3 u(t) = v(t)$  takes the form

$$u = \int_0^t \left( \frac{t^2 + q^3 s^2}{1+q} - qts \right) v(s) d_q s + a_0 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2. \quad (2.5)$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *The BVP (1.1) is equivalent to the integral equation*

$$u = \Gamma u, \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$\Gamma u = \int_0^1 G(t, s; q) f(s, u(s)) d_q s,$$

and  $G(t, s; q)$  is the Green's function given by

$$G(t, s; q) = \frac{1}{(1+q)} \begin{cases} qs(1-t)[q^2s(1+t) - (1+q)t], & 0 \leq s < t \leq 1, \\ t^2(1-qs)(q^2s-1), & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

*Proof.* In view of (2.5), the solution of  $D_q^3 u = f(t, u)$  can be written as

$$u = \int_0^t \left( \frac{t^2 + q^3 s^2}{1+q} - qts \right) f(s, u(s)) d_q s + a_0 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2, \quad (2.8)$$

where  $a_1, a_2, a_2$  are arbitrary constants. Using the boundary conditions of (1.1) in (2.8), we find that  $a_0 = 0, a_1 = 0$  and

$$a_2 = - \int_0^1 \left( \frac{1 + q^3 s^2}{1+q} - qs \right) f(s, u(s)) d_q s.$$

Substituting the values of  $a_0, a_1$  and  $a_2$  in (2.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \int_0^t \left( \frac{t^2 + q^3 s^2}{1+q} - qts \right) f(s, u(s)) d_q s - t^2 \int_0^1 \left( \frac{1 + q^3 s^2}{1+q} - qs \right) f(s, u(s)) d_q s \\ &= \int_0^1 G(t, s; q) f(s, u(s)) d_q s, \end{aligned}$$

where  $G(t, s; q)$  is given by (2.7).  $\square$

We define

$$G_1 = \max_{t \in [0,1]} \left| \int_0^1 G(t, s; q) d_q s \right| = \frac{(1+q)q^2}{(1+q+q^2)^4}. \quad (2.9)$$

**Remark 2.2.** For  $q \rightarrow 1$ , equation (2.8) takes the form

$$u = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (t-s)^2 f(s, u(s)) ds + a_0 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2,$$

which is the solution of a classical third-order ordinary differential equation  $u'''(t) = f(t, u(t))$  and the associated form of Green's function for the classical case is

$$G(t, s) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{cases} s(1-t)[s(1+t) - 2t], & \text{if } 0 \leq s < t \leq 1, \\ -t^2(1-s)^2, & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

### 3. SOME EXISTENCE RESULTS

**Theorem 3.1.** Assume that there exist constants  $M_1 \geq 0$  and  $M_2 > 0$  such that  $M_1 G_1 < 1$  and  $|f(t, u)| \leq M_1 |u| + M_2$  for all  $t \in [0, 1], u \in C([0, 1])$ , where  $G_1$  is given by (2.9). Then the BVP (1.1) has at least one solution.

*Proof.* In view of Lemma 2.1, we just need to prove the existence of at least one solution  $u \in C([0, 1])$  such that  $u = \Gamma u$ . Thus, it is sufficient to show that  $\Gamma : \overline{B}_R \rightarrow C([0, 1])$  satisfies

$$u \neq \lambda \Gamma u, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_R \quad \forall \lambda \in [0, 1], \quad (3.1)$$

where  $B_R \subset C([0, 1])$  is a suitable ball with radius  $R > 0$ . Let us define

$$H(\lambda, u) = \lambda \Gamma u, \quad u \in C([0, 1]), \quad \lambda \in [0, 1].$$

Then, by Arzela-Ascoli theorem,  $h_\lambda(u) = u - H(\lambda, u) = u - \lambda\Gamma u$  is completely continuous. If (3.1) is true, then the following Leray-Schauder degrees are well defined and by the homotopy invariance of topological degree, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(h_\lambda, B_R, 0) &= \deg(I - \lambda\Gamma, B_R, 0) = \deg(h_1, B_R, 0) \\ &= \deg(h_0, B_R, 0) = \deg(I, B_R, 0) = 1 \neq 0, \quad 0 \in B_R, \end{aligned}$$

where  $I$  denotes the unit operator. By the nonzero property of Leray-Schauder degree,  $h_1(t) = u - \lambda\Gamma u = 0$  for at least one  $u \in B_R$ . Let us set

$$B_R = \{u \in C([0, 1]) : \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |u(t)| < R\},$$

where  $R$  will be fixed later. In order to prove (3.1), we assume that  $u = \lambda\Gamma u$  for some  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} |u(t)| &= |\lambda\Gamma u(t)| \leq \left| \int_0^1 |G(t, s; q) f(s, u(s)) d_q s| \\ &\leq \left| \int_0^1 G(t, s; q) (M_1 |u(s)| + M_2) d_q s \right| \\ &\leq (M_1 \|u\| + M_2) \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \left| \int_0^1 G(t, s; q) d_q s \right| \\ &\leq (M_1 \|u\| + M_2) G_1, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\|u\| \leq \frac{M_2 G_1}{1 - M_1 G_1}.$$

Letting  $R = \frac{M_2 G_1}{1 - M_1 G_1} + 1$ , (3.1) holds. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Example 3.2.** Consider the following problem

$$\begin{aligned} D_{1/2}^3 u(t) &= \frac{M_1}{(2\pi)} \sin(2\pi u) + \frac{|u|}{1 + |u|}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ u(0) &= 0, \quad D_{1/2} u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Here  $q = 1/2$  and  $M_1$  will be fixed later. Observe that

$$|f(t, u)| = \left| \frac{M_1}{(2\pi)} \sin(2\pi u) + \frac{|u|}{1 + |u|} \right| \leq M_1 |u| + 1,$$

and

$$G_1 = \frac{q^2(1+q)}{(1+q+q^2)^4} \Big|_{q=1/2} = \frac{96}{2401}.$$

Clearly  $M_2 = 1$  and we can choose  $M_1 < \frac{1}{G_1} = \frac{2401}{96}$ ; that is,  $M_1 \leq 25$ . Thus, Theorem 3.1 applies to the problem (3.2).

To prove the next existence result, we need the following known fixed point theorem [4].

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\Omega$  be an open bounded subset of a Banach space  $E$  with  $0 \in \Omega$  and  $B : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow E$  be a compact operator. Then  $B$  has a fixed point in  $\overline{\Omega}$  provided  $\|Bu - u\|^2 \geq \|Bu\|^2 - \|u\|^2$ ,  $u \in \partial\Omega$ .*

**Theorem 3.4.** *If there exists a constant  $M_3$  such that*

$$|f(t, u)| \leq \frac{M_3}{G_1}, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], u \in [-M_3, M_3],$$

where  $G_1$  is given by (2.9). Then (1.1) has at least one solution.

*Proof.* Let us define  $B_{M_3} = \{u \in C([0, 1]) : \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |u(t)| < M_3\}$ . In view of Theorem 3.3, we just need to show that

$$\|\Gamma u\| \leq \|u\|, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_{M_3}. \quad (3.3)$$

For all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $u \in \partial B_{M_3}$ , we have

$$|\Gamma u(t)| = \left| \int_0^1 G(t, s; q) f(s, u(s)) d_q s \right| \leq \frac{M_3}{G_1} \left| \int_0^1 G(t, s; q) d_q s \right| \leq M_3.$$

Thus (3.3) holds, which completes the proof.  $\square$

In view of the assumption  $|f(t, u)| \leq M_1|u| + M_2$  of Theorem 3.1, we find that  $M_3 = M_2 G_1 (1 - M_1 G_1)^{-1}$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** *Suppose that  $f$  is of class  $C^1$  in the second variable and there exists a constant  $0 \leq M_4 < \frac{1}{G_1}$  ( $G_1$  is given by (2.9)) such that  $|f_u(t, u)| \leq M_4$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $u \in C([0, 1])$ , then (1.1) has at least one solution.*

*Proof.* For all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma u(t)| &= \left| \int_0^1 G(t, s; q) f(s, u(s)) d_q s \right| \leq \left| \int_0^1 G(t, s; q) (f_u(s, u(s)) u(s) + \nu) d_q s \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_0^1 G(t, s; q) d_q s \right| (M_4 \|u\| + \nu) \leq M_4 G_1 \|u\| + \nu_1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\nu_1 = G_1 \nu$  ( $\nu$  is a positive constant). For  $R > 0$ , we define

$$B_R = \{u \in C([0, 1]) : \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |u(t)| < R\},$$

so that

$$\|\Gamma u\| \leq M_4 G_1 R + \nu_1 = R \left( M_4 G_1 + \frac{\nu_1}{R} \right) \leq R,$$

for sufficiently large  $R$ . Therefore, by Schauder fixed point theorem,  $\Gamma$  has a fixed point. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Example 3.6.** Consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\frac{1}{4}}^3 u(t) &= \frac{1}{12} \left( \frac{1-u^2}{1+u^2} \right) \sin(2\pi t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ u(0) &= 0, \quad D_{\frac{1}{4}} u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Clearly  $f(t, u) = \frac{1}{12} \left( \frac{1-u^2}{1+u^2} \right) \sin(2\pi t)$  and

$$G_1 = \frac{q^2(1+q)}{(1+q+q^2)^4} \Big|_{q=1/4} = \frac{5120}{194481}.$$

Furthermore,

$$|f_u(t, u)| \leq \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{|u|}{(1+u^2)^2} \right) < \frac{1}{G_1} = \frac{194481}{5120}.$$

Thus, by Theorem 3.5, there exists one solution for problem (3.4).

Our final result deals with the uniqueness of solutions to (1.1).

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $f : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a jointly continuous function satisfying the condition

$$|f(t, u) - f(t, v)| \leq L|u - v|, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \quad u, v \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $L$  is a Lipschitz constant. Then (1.1) has a unique solution provided that  $L < 1/G_1$ , where  $G_1$  is given by (2.9).

*Proof.* For  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we define  $\Gamma : C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  by

$$\Gamma u = \int_0^1 G(t, s; q) f(s, u(s)) d_q s,$$

where  $G(t, s; q)$  is the Green's function given by (2.7).

Let us set  $M = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |f(t, 0)|$  and choose

$$r \geq \frac{MG_1}{1 - LG_1}. \quad (3.5)$$

Now we show that  $\Gamma B_r \subset B_r$ , where  $B_r = \{u \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) : \|u\| \leq r\}$ . For  $u \in B_r$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Gamma u\| &= \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \left| \int_0^1 G(t, s; q) f(s, u(s)) d_q s \right| \\ &= \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \left| \int_0^1 G(t, s; q) [(f(s, u(s)) - f(s, 0)) + f(s, 0)] d_q s \right| \\ &\leq \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \left| \int_0^1 G(t, s; q) d_q s \right| (L\|u\| + M) \\ &\leq G_1(Lr + M) \leq r. \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.5). Now, for  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$  and for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\Gamma u) - (\Gamma v)\| &= \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |(\Gamma u)(t) - (\Gamma v)(t)| \\ &\leq \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \left| \int_0^1 G(t, s; q) [f(s, u(s)) - f(s, v(s))] d_q s \right| \\ &\leq L \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \left| \int_0^1 G(t, s; q) d_q s \right| \|u - v\| \\ &\leq LG_1 \|u - v\|. \end{aligned}$$

As  $L < 1/G_1$ , therefore  $\Gamma$  is a contraction. Thus, the conclusion of the theorem follows by the contraction mapping principle. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Example 3.8.** Consider

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\frac{3}{4}}^3 u(t) &= L(\cos t + \tan^{-1} u), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ u(0) &= 0, \quad D_{\frac{3}{4}} u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

With  $f(t, u) = L(\cos t + \tan^{-1} u)$ , we find that

$$|f(t, u) - f(t, v)| \leq L|\tan^{-1} u - \tan^{-1} v| \leq L|u - v|$$

and

$$G_1 = \frac{q^2(1+q)}{(1+q+q^2)^4} \Big|_{q=3/4} = \frac{64512}{1874161}.$$

Fixing  $L < \frac{1}{G_1} = \frac{1874161}{64512}$ , it follows by Theorem 3.7 that (3.6) has a unique solution.

**Remark 3.9.** In the limit as  $q \rightarrow 1$ , our results reduce to the ones for the classical third-order boundary-value problem

$$\begin{aligned} u'''(t) &= f(t, u(t)) \quad t \in [0, 1] \\ u(0) &= 0, \quad u'(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

**Acknowledgments.** The author wants to thank anonymous referees for their useful comments.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. R. Adams; On the linear ordinary  $q$ -difference equation, *Annals Math.* **30** (1928), 195-205.
- [2] M. H. Annaby, Z. S. Mansour;  $q$ -Taylor and interpolation series for Jackson  $q$ -difference operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **344** (2008), 472-483.
- [3] G. Bangerezako; Variational  $q$ -calculus, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **289** (2004), 650-665.
- [4] M. Altman, A fixed point theorem in Banach space. *Bull. Polish acad. Sci.* 5 (1957), 19-22.
- [5] B. Ahmad, S. K. Ntouyas; Boundary value problems for  $q$ -difference inclusions, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* Vol. 2011, Article ID 292860, 15 pages.
- [6] R. D. Carmichael; The general theory of linear  $q$ -difference equations, *American J. Math.* **34** (1912), 147-168.
- [7] A. Dobrogowska, A. Odziejewicz; Second order  $q$ -difference equations solvable by factorization method, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **193** (2006), 319-346.
- [8] T. Ernst; A new notation for  $q$ -calculus and a new  $q$ -Taylor formula, U.U.D.M. Report 1999:25, ISSN 1101-3591, *Department of Mathematics, Uppsala University*, 1999.
- [9] R. Finkelstein, E. Marcus; Transformation theory of the  $q$ -oscillator, *J. Math. Phys.* **36** (1995), 2652-2672.
- [10] R. Finkelstein; The  $q$ -Coulomb problem, *J. Math. Phys.* **37** (1996), 2628-2636.
- [11] R. Floreanini, L. Vinet; Automorphisms of the  $q$ -oscillator algebra and basic orthogonal polynomials, *Phys. Lett. A* **180** (1993), 393-401.
- [12] R. Floreanini, L. Vinet; Symmetries of the  $q$ -difference heat equation, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **32** (1994), 37-44.
- [13] R. Floreanini, L. Vinet; Quantum symmetries of  $q$ -difference equations, *J. Math. Phys.* **36** (1995), 3134-3156.
- [14] P. G. O. Freund, A. V. Zabrodin; The spectral problem for the  $q$ -Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation and continuous  $q$ -Jacobi polynomials, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **173** (1995), 17-42.
- [15] G. Gasper, M. Rahman; *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [16] G. Gasper, M. Rahman; Some Some systems of multivariable orthogonal  $q$ -Racah polynomials. *Ramanujan J.* **13** (2007), 389-405.
- [17] M. E. H. Ismail, P. Simeonov;  $q$ -difference operators for orthogonal polynomials, *J. Computat. Appl. Math.* **233** (2009), 749-761.
- [18] G. N. Han, J. Zeng; On a  $q$ -sequence that generalizes the median Genocchi numbers, *Ann. Sci. Math. Quebec* **23** (1999), 63-72.
- [19] F. H. Jackson; On  $q$ -difference equations, *American J. Math.* **32**(1910), 305- 314.
- [20] M. Jaulent, I. Miodek; Nonlinear evolution equations associated with 'energy-dependent Schrodinger potentials', *Lett. Math. Phys.* **1** (1976), 243-250.
- [21] V. Kac, P. Cheung; *Quantum Calculus*, Springer, New York, 2002.
- [22] T. E. Mason; On properties of the solutions of linear  $q$ -difference equations with entire function coefficients, *American J. Math.* **37** (1915), 439-444.
- [23] M. El-Shahed, H. A. Hassan; Positive solutions of  $q$ -difference equation, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **138** (2010), 1733-1738.

BASHIR AHMAD

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KING ABDULAZIZ UNIVERSITY, P. O. BOX 80203, JEDDAH 21589, SAUDI ARABIA

*E-mail address:* bashir\_qau@yahoo.com