

**MULTIPLICITY OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR FOUR-POINT
BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS OF IMPULSIVE
DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH p -LAPLACIAN**

LI SHEN, XIPING LIU, ZHENHUA LU

ABSTRACT. Using a fixed-point theorem in cones, we obtain sufficient conditions for the multiplicity of positive solutions for four-point boundary value problems of third-order impulsive differential equations with p -Laplacian.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, there has been much attention focused on the theory of impulsive differential equation as it is widely used in various areas such as mechanics, electromagnetism, chemistry. A lot of theories have been established to solve these problems, see [9], [3] and the references therein. Guo [4] obtained the existence of solutions, via cone theory, for second-order impulsive differential equation

$$\begin{aligned}x'' &= f(t, x, Tx), \quad t \geq 0, t \neq t_k \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \\ \Delta x|_{t=t_k} &= I_k(x(t_k)), \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \\ \Delta x'|_{t=t_k} &= \bar{I}_k(x(t_k)), \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \\ x(0) &= x_0, \quad x'(0) = x_0^*.\end{aligned}$$

In [1], using Leggett-Williams fixed point theorem, authors studied the multiplicity result for second order impulsive differential equations

$$\begin{aligned}y'' + \phi(t)f(y(t)) &= 0, \quad t \in (0, 1) \setminus \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}, \\ \Delta y(t_k) &= I_k(y(t_k^-)), \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m, \\ \Delta y'(t_k) &= J_k(y(t_k^-)), \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m, \\ y(0) &= y(1) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Kaufmann [8] studied a second-order nonlinear differential equation on an unbounded domain with solutions subject to impulsive conditions and the Sturm-Liouville type boundary conditions. In [5]-[7], the authors studied positive solutions of multiple points boundary value problems for second order impulsive differential equations.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34A37, 34B37.

Key words and phrases. p -Laplacian; impulsive; positive solutions; boundary value problem.

©2010 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted November 16, 2009. Published April 14, 2010.

Supported by grant 10ZZ93 from Innovation Program of Shanghai Municipal Education Commission.

All the works above concern boundary value problems with second-order impulsive equations, and there are just a few works that consider multiplicity of positive solutions for third-order impulsive equations with p -Laplacian.

Motivated by all the works above, we concentrate on getting multiple positive solutions for four-point boundary value problems of third-order impulsive differential equations with p -Laplacian

$$\begin{aligned}(\phi_p(u''(t)))' &= f(t, u(t), u'(t)), \quad t \in (0, 1) \setminus \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}, \\ \Delta u''(t)|_{t=t_k} &= 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ \Delta u'(t)|_{t=t_k} &= I_k(u(t_k)), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ \Delta u(t)|_{t=t_k} &= J_k(u(t_k)), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ u''(0) &= 0, \quad u'(0) = \alpha u'(\xi) + \beta u'(\eta), \quad u(1) = \delta u(0),\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

where ϕ_p is p -Laplacian operator

$$\phi_p(s) = |s|^{p-2}s, p > 1, \quad (\phi_p)^{-1} = \phi_q, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1,$$

$t_k, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m, m + 1$, are constants which satisfy

$$0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_k < \dots < t_m < t_{m+1} = 1,$$

$\Delta u|_{t=t_k} = u(t_k^+) - u(t_k^-)$, in which $u(t_k^+)$ ($u(t_k^-)$) respectively) denote the right limit (left limit respectively) of $u(t)$ at $t = t_k$, and $\alpha, \beta > 0$, $\alpha + \beta < 1$; $0 < \xi, \eta < 1$; $\xi, \eta \neq t_k$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, m$); $\delta > 1$; $f \in C([0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}, [0, +\infty))$, $I_k, J_k \in C([0, +\infty), [0, +\infty))$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $J = [0, 1] \setminus \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$, $PC[0, 1] = \{u : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, u \text{ is continuous at } t \neq t_k, u(t_k^+), u(t_k^-) \text{ exist, and } u(t_k^-) = u(t_k), k = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$, $PC^1[0, 1] = \{u \in PC[0, 1] \mid u' \text{ is continuous at } t \neq t_k, u'(t_k^+), u'(t_k^-) \text{ exist, } k = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$, with the norm

$$\|u\|_{PC} = \sup_{t \in J} |u(t)|, \quad \|u\|_{PC^1} = \max_{t \in J} \{\|u\|_{PC}, \|u'\|_{PC}\}.$$

Obviously $PC[0, 1]$ and $PC^1[0, 1]$ are Banach spaces.

Lemma 2.1. $u \in PC^1[0, 1] \cap C^3[J]$ is a solution of (1.1) if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}u(t) &= u(0) + u'(0)t + \int_0^t (t-s)\phi_q\left(\int_0^s f(r, u(r), u'(r))dr\right)ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{t_k < t} (t-t_k)I_k(u(t_k)) + \sum_{t_k < t} J_k(u(t_k)),\end{aligned}\tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(0) &= \frac{\alpha \int_0^\xi \phi_q(\int_0^s f(r, u(r), u'(r))dr)ds + \beta \int_0^\eta \phi_q(\int_0^s f(r, u(r), u'(r))dr)ds}{(\delta - 1)(1 - \alpha - \beta)} \\
 &+ \frac{\alpha \sum_{t_k < \xi} I_k(u(t_k)) + \beta \sum_{t_k < \eta} I_k(u(t_k))}{(\delta - 1)(1 - \alpha - \beta)} \\
 &+ \frac{\int_0^1 \int_0^s \phi_q(\int_0^r f(w, u(w), u'(w))dw) dr ds}{\delta - 1} \\
 &+ \frac{1}{\delta - 1} \sum_{k=1}^m ((1 - t_k)I_k(u(t_k)) + J_k(u(t_k))),
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 u'(0) &= \frac{\alpha \int_0^\xi \phi_q(\int_0^s f(r, u(r), u'(r))dr)ds + \beta \int_0^\eta \phi_q(\int_0^s f(r, u(r), u'(r))dr)ds}{1 - \alpha - \beta} \\
 &+ \frac{\alpha \sum_{t_k < \xi} I_k(u(t_k)) + \beta \sum_{t_k < \eta} I_k(u(t_k))}{1 - \alpha - \beta}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. Suppose $u \in PC^1[0, 1] \cap C^3[J]$ is a solution of (1.1), for all $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$, from Lagrange's mean value theorem we have

$$u(t_k) - u(t_k - h) = u'(\xi_k)h, \quad 0 < h < t_k - t_{k-1}, \quad \xi_k \in (t_k - h, t_k),$$

because $u'(t_k^-)$ exists, we get

$$u'_-(t_k) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{u(t_k) - u(t_k - h)}{h} = \lim_{\xi_k \rightarrow t_k^-} u'(\xi_k) = u'(t_k^-).$$

Let $u'(t_k) = u'_-(t_k) = u'(t_k^-)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$. We use Lagrange's mean value theorem again and obtain

$$u'(t_k) - u'(t_k - h) = u''(\eta_k)h, \quad 0 < h < t_k - t_{k-1}, \quad \eta_k \in (t_k - h, t_k),$$

we can get $u''_-(t_k)$ exists from $\Delta u''(t)|_{t=t_k} = u''(t_k^+) - u''(t_k^-) = 0$, and

$$u''_-(t_k) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{u'(t_k) - u'(t_k - h)}{h} = \lim_{\xi_k \rightarrow t_k^-} u''(\xi_k) = u''(t_k^-).$$

Let $u''(t_k) = u''(t_k^-)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Integrating the differential equation (1.1) we have

$$\phi_p(u''(t)) - \phi_p(u''(0)) = \int_0^t f(s, u(s), u'(s))ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_1.$$

By $u''(0) = 0$, we have

$$u''(t) = \phi_q\left(\int_0^t f(s, u(s), u'(s))ds\right);$$

that is,

$$u''(t) = \phi_q\left(\int_0^t f(s, u(s), u'(s))ds\right),$$

and

$$u''(t_1) = \phi_q\left(\int_0^{t_1} f(s, u(s), u'(s))ds\right).$$

Since $\Delta u''(t)|_{t=t_1} = u''(t_1^+) - u''(t_1^-) = 0$, for $t_1 < t \leq t_2$, we obtain

$$u''(t) = \phi_q \left(\int_0^t f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds \right).$$

Similarly, by $\Delta u''(t)|_{t=t_k} = u''(t_k^+) - u''(t_k^-) = 0$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$, we can show for all $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$u''(t) = \phi_q \left(\int_0^t f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds \right). \quad (2.4)$$

For each $t \in (0, 1)$, there exist $0 \leq t_k < t_{k+1} \leq 1$, such that $t_k < t \leq t_{k+1}$, by integrating both sides of (2.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u'(t_1^-) - u'(0) &= \int_0^{t_1} \phi_q \left(\int_0^s f(r, u(r), u'(r)) dr \right) ds, \\ u'(t_2^-) - u'(t_1^+) &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \phi_q \left(\int_0^s f(r, u(r), u'(r)) dr \right) ds, \\ &\dots \\ u'(t_k^-) - u'(t_{k-1}^+) &= \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \phi_q \left(\int_0^s f(r, u(r), u'(r)) dr \right) ds, \\ u'(t) - u'(t_k^+) &= \int_{t_k}^t \phi_q \left(\int_0^s f(r, u(r), u'(r)) dr \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$u'(t) = u'(0) + \int_0^t \phi_q \left(\int_0^s f(r, u(r), u'(r)) dr \right) ds + \sum_{t_k < t} I_k(u(t_k)).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha u'(\xi) &= \alpha u'(0) + \alpha \int_0^\xi \phi_q \left(\int_0^s f(r, u(r), u'(r)) dr \right) ds + \alpha \sum_{t_k < \xi} I_k(u(t_k)), \\ \beta u'(\eta) &= \beta u'(0) + \beta \int_0^\eta \phi_q \left(\int_0^s f(r, u(r), u'(r)) dr \right) ds + \beta \sum_{t_k < \eta} I_k(u(t_k)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} u'(0) &= \frac{\alpha \int_0^\xi \phi_q \left(\int_0^s f(r, u(r), u'(r)) dr \right) ds + \beta \int_0^\eta \phi_q \left(\int_0^s f(r, u(r), u'(r)) dr \right) ds}{1 - \alpha - \beta} \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha \sum_{t_k < \xi} I_k(u(t_k)) + \beta \sum_{t_k < \eta} I_k(u(t_k))}{1 - \alpha - \beta} \end{aligned}$$

from $u'(0) = \alpha u'(\xi) + \beta u'(\eta)$.

Similarly, we get the results as follows with the method above

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= u(0) + u'(0)t + \int_0^t (t-s) \phi_q \left(\int_0^s f(r, u(r), u'(r)) dr \right) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{t_k < t} (t-t_k) I_k(u(t_k)) + \sum_{t_k < t} J_k(u(t_k)). \end{aligned}$$

Note that by the boundary condition $u(1) = \delta u(0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} u(0) &= \frac{\alpha \int_0^\xi \phi_q(\int_0^s f(r, u(r), u'(r)) dr) ds + \beta \int_0^\eta \phi_q(\int_0^s f(r, u(r), u'(r)) dr) ds}{(\delta - 1)(1 - \alpha - \beta)} \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha \sum_{t_k < \xi} I_k(u(t_k)) + \beta \sum_{t_k < \eta} I_k(u(t_k))}{(\delta - 1)(1 - \alpha - \beta)} \\ &\quad + \frac{\int_0^1 \int_0^s \phi_q(\int_0^r f(w, u(w), u'(w)) dw) dr ds}{\delta - 1} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\delta - 1} \sum_{k=1}^m [(1 - t_k)I_k(u(t_k)) + J_k(u(t_k))]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, let $u \in PC^1[0, 1] \cap C^3[J]$ be a solution of (2.1), differentiate (2.1) when $t \neq t_k$, we have

$$u''(t) = \phi_q\left(\int_0^t f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds\right);$$

that is,

$$\phi_p(u''(t)) = \int_0^t f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds.$$

Differentiating again,

$$(\phi_p(u''(t)))' = f(t, u(t), u'(t)).$$

By (2.1), we can easily get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta u''(t)|_{t=t_k} &= 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ \Delta u'(t)|_{t=t_k} &= I_k(u(t_k)), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ \Delta u(t)|_{t=t_k} &= J_k(u(t_k)), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ u''(0) &= 0, \quad u'(0) = \alpha u'(\xi) + \beta u'(\eta), \quad u(1) = \delta u(0). \end{aligned}$$

□

Next, we give the Bai-Ge fixed point theorem which is used in the proof of our main result. Let E be a Banach space, $P \subset E$ be a cone, $\theta, \psi : P \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be nonnegative convex functions which satisfy

$$\|x\| \leq k \max\{\theta(x), \psi(x)\}, \quad \text{for all } x \in P, \quad (2.5)$$

where k is a positive constant.

$$\Omega = \{x \in P : \theta(x) < r, \psi(x) < L\} \neq \emptyset, \quad \text{where } r > 0, L > 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Let $r > a > 0, L > 0$ be constants, $\theta, \psi : P \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be two nonnegative continuous convex functions which satisfy (2.5) and (2.6), and γ be a nonnegative concave function on P . We define convex sets as follows

$$\begin{aligned} P(\theta, r; \psi, L) &= \{x \in P : \theta(x) < r, \psi(x) < L\}, \\ \bar{P}(\theta, r; \psi, L) &= \{x \in P : \theta(x) \leq r, \psi(x) \leq L\}, \\ P(\theta, r; \psi, L; \gamma, a) &= \{x \in P : \theta(x) < r, \psi(x) < L, \gamma(x) > a\}, \\ \bar{P}(\theta, r; \psi, L; \gamma, a) &= \{x \in P : \theta(x) \leq r, \psi(x) \leq L, \gamma(x) \geq a\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2 ([2]). *Let E be Banach space, $P \subset E$ be a cone and $r_2 \geq d > b > r_1 > 0, L_2 \geq L_1 > 0$ be constants. Assume $\theta, \psi : P \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ are nonnegative continuous convex functions which satisfy (2.5) and (2.6). γ is a nonnegative concave function on P such that for all x in $\overline{P}(\theta, r_2; \psi, L_2)$ satisfies $\gamma(x) \leq \theta(x)$. $T : \overline{P}(\theta, r_2; \psi, L_2) \rightarrow \overline{P}(\theta, r_2; \psi, L_2)$ is a completely continuous operator. Suppose*

- (C1) $\{x \in \overline{P}(\theta, d; \psi, L_2; \gamma, b) : \gamma(x) > b\} \neq \emptyset$, and $\gamma(Tx) > b$, for $x \in \overline{P}(\theta, d; \psi, L_2; \gamma, b)$;
 (C2) $\theta(Tx) < r_1, \psi(Tx) < L_1$, for $x \in \overline{P}(\theta, r_1; \psi, L_1)$;
 (C3) $\gamma(Tx) > b$, for $x \in \overline{P}(\theta, r_2; \psi, L_2; \gamma, b)$ with $\theta(Tx) > d$.

Then T has at least three fixed points x_1, x_2, x_3 in $\overline{P}(\theta, r_2; \psi, L_2)$. Further,

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &\in \overline{P}(\theta, r_1; \psi, L_1), \quad x_2 \in \{\overline{P}(\theta, r_2; \psi, L_2; \gamma, b) : \gamma(x) > b\}, \\ x_3 &\in \overline{P}(\theta, r_2; \psi, L_2) \setminus (\overline{P}(\theta, r_1; \psi, L_1) \cup \overline{P}(\theta, r_2; \psi, L_2; \gamma, b)). \end{aligned}$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

Let closed cone P be defined by

$$P = \{u \in PC^1[0, 1] : u(t) \geq 0\}.$$

Define operator $T : P \rightarrow PC^1[0, 1]$ by

$$\begin{aligned} Tu(t) &= u(0) + u'(0)t + \int_0^t (t-s)\phi_q\left(\int_0^s f(r, u(r), u'(r))dr\right)ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{t_k < t} (t-t_k)I_k(u(t_k)) + \sum_{t_k < t} J_k(u(t_k)), \quad t \in [0, 1], \end{aligned}$$

which $u(0), u'(0)$ are defined in (2.2), (2.3).

The nonnegative continuous convex functions θ, ψ , and nonnegative continuous concave function γ are defined by

$$\theta(u) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} u(t), \quad \psi(u) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |u'(t)|, \quad \gamma(u) = \min_{t \in [a_m, b_m]} u(t),$$

for all $u \in P$, where $a_m = \frac{3t_m + t_{m+1}}{4}, b_m = \frac{t_m + 3t_{m+1}}{4}$. Let

$$l = \frac{\delta - 1}{\int_{a_m}^{b_m} (b_m - r)\phi_q(r - a_m)ds} = \frac{2^{q+1}q(q+1)(\delta - 1)}{(1 - t_m)^{q+1}},$$

$$I_u^R = \max\{I_1(u), I_2(u), \dots, I_m(u)\}, \quad u \in [0, R],$$

$$M_1 = \frac{1 - \alpha - \beta}{\int_0^1 \phi_q(s)ds + m(1 - \alpha - \beta) + x\alpha + y\beta} = \frac{1 - \alpha - \beta}{1/q + m(1 - \alpha - \beta) + x\alpha + y\beta},$$

where x and y satisfy $t_x < \xi < t_{x+1}, t_y < \eta < t_{y+1}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose there exist constants $r_2 \geq d \geq \delta b > b > r_1 > 0, L_2 \geq L_1 > 0$ such that*

$$r_2 \geq \frac{bl\delta(m+1/q)}{(\delta-1)(1-\alpha-\beta)}, \quad L_2 \geq \frac{bl\delta(m+1/q)}{1-\alpha-\beta},$$

and the following conditions hold

- (H1) $f(t, u, v) < \phi_p(\min\{\frac{\delta-1}{\delta}M_1r_1, M_1L_1\})$, $(t, u, v) \in [0, 1] \times [0, r_1] \times [-L_1, L_1]$;
 (H2) $\phi_p(bl) < f(t, u, v)$, $(t, u, v) \in [a_m, b_m] \times [b, d] \times [-L_2, L_2]$;
 (H3) $f(t, u, v) < \phi_p(\min\{\frac{\delta-1}{\delta}M_1r_2, M_1L_2\})$, $(t, u, v) \in [0, 1] \times [0, r_2] \times [-L_2, L_2]$;

$$(H4) \quad t_k I_k(u) > J_k(u) \text{ for } u \in [0, r_2], \quad I_u^{r_1} < \min\left\{\frac{\delta-1}{\delta} M_1 r_1, M_1 L_1\right\}, \\ I_u^{r_2} < \min\left\{\frac{\delta-1}{\delta} M_1 r_2, M_1 L_2\right\}.$$

Then boundary-value problem (1.1) has at least three positive solutions $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in \overline{P}(\theta, r_2; \psi, L_2)$ which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} u_1(t) &\leq r_1, & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |u_1'(t)| &\leq L_1; \\ b < \min_{t \in [a_m, \theta_m]} u_2(t) &\leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} u_2(t) \leq r_2, & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |u_2'(t)| &\leq L_2; \\ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} u_3(t) &\leq \delta d, & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |u_3'(t)| &\leq L_2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We need to prove $\frac{\delta-1}{\delta} M_1 r_1 \geq bl$ in order to make sure that the theorem makes sense, since we have $r_2 \geq bl\delta \frac{m+1/q}{(\delta-1)(1-\alpha-\beta)}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta-1}{\delta} M_1 r_1 &= \frac{(\delta-1)(1-\alpha-\beta)}{\delta(1/q+m(1-\alpha-\beta)+x\alpha+y\beta)} r_1 \\ &\geq \frac{\delta-1}{\delta} \times \frac{1-\alpha-\beta}{1/q+m} \times \frac{m+1/q}{(\delta-1)(1-\alpha-\beta)} bl\delta \\ &= bl. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have $M_1 L_2 \geq M_1 r_2(\delta-1) \geq bl\delta > bl$, so there has no contradiction among conditions. It is easy to see that (1.1) has a solution if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} Tu(t) &= u(0) + u'(0)t + \int_0^t (t-s)\phi_q \left(\int_0^s f(r, u(r), u'(r)) dr \right) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{t_k < t} (t-t_k) I_k(u(t_k)) + \sum_{t_k < t} J_k(u(t_k)), \quad t \in [0, 1] \end{aligned}$$

has a fixed point.

Next, we will check the conditions (C1), (C2) and (C3) of Lemma 2.2 are satisfied for the operator T .

Obviously, we can get $Tu(t) \geq 0, (Tu)'(t) \geq 0$, for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $u \in P$, that also means Tu is a monotone increasing function.

Firstly, we have $\theta(u) \leq r_2, \psi(u) \leq L_2$ for all $u \in \overline{P}(\theta, r_2; \psi, L_2)$. By the condition (H4) $t_k I_k(u) > J_k(u)$ and $I_u^{r_2} < \min\left\{\frac{\delta-1}{\delta} M_1 r_2, M_1 L_2\right\}$, we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \left((1-t_k) I_k(u(t_k)) + J_k(u(t_k)) \right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^m I_k(u(t_k)) \leq m \frac{\delta-1}{\delta} M_1 r_2.$$

By condition (H3), $f(t, u, v) < \phi_p\left(\frac{\delta-1}{\delta} M_1 r_2\right)$, we obtain

$$\phi_q \left(\int_0^s f(t, u(r), u'(r)) dr \right) \leq \frac{\delta-1}{\delta} M_1 r_2 \phi_q(s).$$

Hence,

$$u(0) \leq \frac{\alpha/q + \beta/q + x\alpha + y\beta}{\delta(1-\alpha-\beta)} M_1 r_2 + \frac{1}{q\delta} M_1 r_2 + \frac{m}{\delta} M_1 r_2.$$

Similarly,

$$u'(0) \leq \frac{(\delta-1)(\alpha + \beta + q(x\alpha + y\beta))}{q\delta(1-\alpha-\beta)} M_1 r_2.$$

Therefore, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \theta(Tu) &= \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} (u(0) + u'(0)t + \int_0^t (t-s)\phi_q \left(\int_0^s f(r, u(r), u'(r)) dr \right) ds \\
 &\quad + \sum_{t_k < t} (t-t_k)I_k(u(t_k)) + \sum_{t_k < t} J_k(u(t_k)) \\
 &\leq \frac{\alpha/q + \beta/q + x\alpha + y\beta}{\delta(1-\alpha-\beta)} M_1 r_2 + \frac{1}{q\delta} M_1 r_2 + \frac{m}{\delta} M_1 r_2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{(\delta-1)(\alpha + \beta + q(x\alpha + y\beta))}{q\delta(1-\alpha-\beta)} M_1 r_2 + \frac{(\delta-1)(1+mq)}{q\delta} M_1 r_2 \\
 &= \frac{1/q + m(1-\alpha-\beta) + x\alpha + y\beta}{1-\alpha-\beta} M_1 r_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $M_1 = \frac{1-\alpha-\beta}{1/q+m(1-\alpha-\beta)+x\alpha+y\beta}$, we have $\theta(Tu) \leq r_2$.
 Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi(Tu) &= \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |(Tu)'(t)| \\
 &= \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |u'(0) + \int_0^t \phi_q \left(\int_0^s f(r, u(r), u'(r)) dr \right) ds + \sum_{t_k < t} I_k(u(t_k))| \\
 &\leq \frac{1/q + m(1-\alpha-\beta) + x\alpha + y\beta}{1-\alpha-\beta} M_1 L_2 = L_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $T : \overline{P}(\theta, r_2; \psi, L_2) \rightarrow \overline{P}(\theta, r_2; \psi, L_2)$, and it is easy to see that T is a completely continuous operator.

The proof of the condition (C2) in Lemma 2.2 is similar to the one above.

To check condition (C1) of Lemma 2.2, we choose $u_0 = d$. It is easy to see that $u_0 \in \overline{P}(\theta, d; \psi, L_2)$ and $\gamma(u) = d > b$, so $\{x \in \overline{P}(\theta, d; \psi, L_2; \gamma, b) : \gamma(x) > b\} \neq \emptyset$.

For $u \in \overline{P}(\theta, d; \psi, L_2; \gamma, b)$, we have $b \leq u(t) \leq d$, $|u'(t)| \leq L_2$ for all $t \in [a_m, b_m]$. Since Tu is a monotone increasing function, and $(Tu)(t) \geq 0$, $t \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma(Tu) &= \min_{t \in [a_m, b_m]} (u(0) + u'(0)t + \int_0^t (t-s)\phi_q \left(\int_0^s f(r, u(r), u'(r)) dr \right) ds \\
 &\quad + \sum_{t_k < t} [(t-t_k)I_k(u(t_k)) + \sum_{t_k < t} J_k(u(t_k))] \\
 &= Tu(a_m).
 \end{aligned}$$

By (H2) and $u(0), u'(0)$ defined before, we have

$$\phi_p(bl) < f(t, u, v), \quad (t, u, v) \in [a_m, b_m] \times [b, d] \times [-L_2, L_2],$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Tu(a_m) &= u(0) + u'(0)a_m + \int_0^{a_m} (a_m - s)\phi_q\left(\int_0^s f(r, u(r), u'(r))dr\right)ds \\
&\quad + \sum_{t_k < a_m} (a_m - t_k)I_k(u(t_k)) + \sum_{t_k < a_m} J_k(u(t_k)) \\
&\geq u(0) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{\delta - 1} \int_0^1 \int_0^s \phi_q\left(\int_0^r f(w, u(w), u'(w))dw\right) dr ds \\
&> \frac{1}{\delta - 1} \int_{a_m}^{b_m} ds \int_{a_m}^s \phi_q\left(\int_{a_m}^r \phi_p(bl)dw\right)dr \\
&= \frac{bl}{\delta - 1} \int_{a_m}^{b_m} ds \int_{a_m}^s \phi_q(r - a_m)dr \\
&= \frac{bl}{\delta - 1} \int_{a_m}^{b_m} (b_m - r)\phi_q(r - a_m)dr = b.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\gamma(Tu) > b$ and the condition (C1) of Lemma 2.2 also holds.

Finally to prove (C3) of Lemma 2.2, we check $\gamma(Tu) > b$ to be satisfied for all $u \in \overline{P}(\theta, r_2; \psi, L_2; \gamma, b)$ with $\theta(Tu) > d$. Since Tu is a nonnegative monotone increasing function, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta(Tu) &= \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} Tu(t) = Tu(1), \\
\gamma(Tu) &= \min_{t \in [a_m, b_m]} Tu(t) = Tu(a_m), \\
Tu(a_m) &\geq Tu(0) = \frac{1}{\delta}Tu(1) > \frac{d}{\delta} \geq b;
\end{aligned}$$

that is, $\gamma(Tu) > b$.

We have checked Lemma 2.2 to make sure all the conditions are satisfied with the work we have done in the section above. Then T has at least three fixed points u_1, u_2, u_3 in $\overline{P}(\theta, r_2; \psi, L_2)$. Further,

$$\begin{aligned}
u_1 &\in \overline{P}(\theta, r_1; \psi, L_1), \quad u_2 \in \{\overline{P}(\theta, r_2; \psi, L_2; \gamma, b) : \gamma(x) > b\}, \\
u_3 &\in \overline{P}(\theta, r_2; \psi, L_2) \setminus \{\overline{P}(\theta, r_1; \psi, L_1) \cup \overline{P}(\theta, r_2; \psi, L_2; \gamma, b)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore (1.1) has at least three positive solutions u_1, u_2, u_3 . From the boundary conditions we have $u_3(1) = \delta u_3(0)$ and u_3 is a monotone increasing function, so we have

$$b > \gamma(u_3) = \min_{a_m \leq t \leq b_m} u_3(t) = u_3(a_m) \geq u_3(0) = \frac{1}{\delta}u_3(1) = \frac{1}{\delta}\theta(u_3),$$

so $\theta(u_3) \leq \delta b$, that means $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} u_3(t) \leq \delta d$, and u_1, u_2, u_3 satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} u_1(t) &\leq r_1, \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |u_1'(t)| \leq L_1; \\
b < \min_{t \in [a_m, b_m]} u_2(t) &\leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} u_2(t) \leq r_2, \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |u_2'(t)| \leq L_2; \\
\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} u_3(t) &\leq \delta d, \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |u_3'(t)| \leq L_2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] Ravi P. Agarwal, Donal O'Regan; *A multiplicity result for second order impulsive differential equations via the Leggett-Williams fixed point theorem*, Appl. Math. Comput. 161 (2005): 433-439.
- [2] Z. Bai, W. Ge; *Existence of three positive solutions for some second-order boundary value problems*, Comput. Math. Appl. 48 (2004): 699-707.
- [3] X. Fu, B. Yan, Y. Liu; *Introduction of impulsive differential equations system*, Science Press, Beijing, 2005 (in Chinese).
- [4] D. Guo; *Second order impulsive integro-differential equation on unbounded domains in Banach spaces*, Nonlinear Analysis, 35(1999): 217-221.
- [5] T. Jankowski; *Positive solutions of three-point boundary value problems for second order impulsive differential equations with advanced arguments*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 2008, 197: 179-189.
- [6] T. Jankowski; *Positive solutions to second order four-point boundary value problems for impulsive differential equations*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 2008, 202: 550-561.
- [7] M. Jia, and X. Liu; *Three nonnegative solutions of three-point boundary value problem for second-order impulsive differential equations*, Journal of Mathematical Research and Exposition, 2008, 28 (3): 567-574.
- [8] Eric R. Kaufmann, N. Kosmatov, Y. N. Raffoul; *A second-order boundary value problem with impulsive effects on an unbounded domain*, Nonlinear Analysis 2008, 69: 2924-2929.
- [9] V. Lakshmikantham, D. D. Bainov, P. S. Simeonov; *Theory of Impulsive Differential Equations*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1989.

LI SHEN

COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF SHANGHAI FOR SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, SHANGHAI 200093, CHINA

E-mail address: eric0shen@gmail.com

XIPING LIU

COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF SHANGHAI FOR SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, SHANGHAI 200093, CHINA

E-mail address: xipingliu@163.com

ZHENHUA LU

COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF SHANGHAI FOR SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, SHANGHAI 200093, CHINA

E-mail address: ehuanlu@163.com