

EXISTENCE OF NON-OSCILLATORY SOLUTIONS FOR A HIGHER-ORDER NONLINEAR NEUTRAL DIFFERENCE EQUATION

ZHENYU GUO, MIN LIU

ABSTRACT. This article concerns the solvability of the higher-order nonlinear neutral delay difference equation

$$\Delta\left(a_{kn} \dots \Delta(a_{2n}\Delta(a_{1n}\Delta(x_n + b_n x_{n-d})))\right) + \sum_{j=1}^s p_{jn} f_j(x_{n-r_{jn}}) = q_n,$$

where $n \geq n_0 \geq 0$, d, k, j, s are positive integers, $f_j : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $x f_j(x) \geq 0$ for $x \neq 0$. Sufficient conditions for the existence of non-oscillatory solutions are established by using Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem. Five theorems are stated according to the range of the sequence $\{b_n\}$.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Interest in the solvability of difference equations has increased lately, as inferred from the number of related publications; see for example the references in this article and their references. Authors have examined various types difference equations, as follows:

$$\Delta(a_n \Delta x_n) + p_n x_{g(n)} = 0, \quad n \geq 0, \quad \text{in [14]}, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\Delta(a_n \Delta x_n) = q_n x_{n+1}, \quad \Delta(a_n \Delta x_n) = q_n f(x_{n+1}), \quad n \geq 0, \quad \text{in [11]}, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\Delta^2(x_n + p x_{n-m}) + p_n x_{n-k} - q_n x_{n-l} = 0, \quad n \geq n_0, \quad \text{in [6]}, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\Delta^2(x_n + p x_{n-k}) + f(n, x_n) = 0, \quad n \geq 1, \quad \text{in [10]}, \quad (1.4)$$

$$\Delta^2(x_n - p x_{n-\tau}) = \sum_{i=1}^m q_i f_i(x_{n-\sigma_i}), \quad n \geq n_0, \quad \text{in [9]}, \quad (1.5)$$

$$\Delta(a_n \Delta(x_n + b x_{n-\tau})) + f(n, x_{n-d_{1n}}, x_{n-d_{2n}}, \dots, x_{n-d_{kn}}) = c_n, \\ n \geq n_0, \quad \text{in [8]}, \quad (1.6)$$

$$\Delta^m(x_n + c x_{n-k}) + p_n x_{n-r} = 0, \quad n \geq n_0, \quad \text{in [15]}, \quad (1.7)$$

$$\Delta^m(x_n + c_n x_{n-k}) + p_n f(x_{n-r}) = 0, \quad n \geq n_0, \quad \text{in [3, 4, 12, 13]}, \quad (1.8)$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34K15, 34C10.

Key words and phrases. Nonoscillatory solution; neutral difference equation; Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem.

©2010 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted July 30, 2010. Published October 14, 2010.

$$\Delta^m(x_n + cx_{n-k}) + \sum_{s=1}^u p_n^s f_s(x_{n-r_s}) = q_n, \quad n \geq n_0, \quad \text{in [16]}, \quad (1.9)$$

$$\Delta^m(x_n + cx_{n-k}) + p_n x_{n-r} - q_n x_{n-l} = 0, \quad n \geq n_0, \quad \text{in [17]}. \quad (1.10)$$

Motivated by the above publications, we investigate the higher-order nonlinear neutral difference equation

$$\Delta\left(a_{kn} \dots \Delta(a_{2n} \Delta(a_{1n} \Delta(x_n + b_n x_{n-d})))\right) + \sum_{j=1}^s p_{jn} f_j(x_{n-r_{jn}}) = q_n, \quad (1.11)$$

where $n \geq n_0 \geq 0$, d, k, j, s are positive integers, $\{a_{in}\}_{n \geq n_0}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$), $\{b_n\}_{n \geq n_0}$, $\{p_{jn}\}_{n \geq n_0}$ ($1 \leq j \leq s$) and $\{q_n\}_{n \geq n_0}$ are sequences of real numbers, $r_{jn} \in \mathbb{Z}$ ($1 \leq j \leq s, n_0 \leq n$), $f_j : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $x f_j(x) \geq 0$ for $x \neq 0$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, s$). Clearly, difference equations (1.1)–(1.10) are special cases of (1.11), for which we use Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem to obtain non-oscillatory solutions.

Lemma 1.1 (Krasnoselskii Fixed Point Theorem). *Let Ω be a bounded closed convex subset of a Banach space X and $T_1, T_2 : S \rightarrow X$ satisfy $T_1 x + T_2 y \in \Omega$ for each $x, y \in \Omega$. If T_1 is a contraction mapping and T_2 is a completely continuous mapping, then the equation $T_1 x + T_2 x = x$ has at least one solution in Ω .*

As usual, the forward difference Δ is defined as $\Delta x_n = x_{n+1} - x_n$, and for a positive integer m the higher-order difference is defined as

$$\Delta^m x_n = \Delta(\Delta^{m-1} x_n), \quad \Delta^0 x_n = x_n.$$

In this article, $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, +\infty)$, \mathbb{N} is the set of positive integers, \mathbb{Z} is the sets of all integers, $\alpha = \inf\{n - r_{jn} : 1 \leq j \leq s, n_0 \leq n\}$, $\beta = \min\{n_0 - d, \alpha\}$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n - r_{jn}) = +\infty$, $1 \leq j \leq s$, l_β^∞ denotes the set of real-valued bounded sequences $x = \{x_n\}_{n \geq \beta}$. It is well known that l_β^∞ is a Banach space under the supremum norm $\|x\| = \sup_{n \geq \beta} |x_n|$.

For $N > M > 0$, let

$$A(M, N) = \{x = \{x_n\}_{n \geq \beta} \in l_\beta^\infty : M \leq x_n \leq N, n \geq \beta\}.$$

Obviously, $A(M, N)$ is a bounded closed and convex subset of l_β^∞ . Put

$$\bar{b} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{b} = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n.$$

Definition 1.2 ([5]). A set Ω of sequences in l_β^∞ is uniformly Cauchy (or equi-Cauchy) if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an integer N_0 such that

$$|x_i - x_j| < \varepsilon,$$

whenever $i, j > N_0$ for any $x = x_k$ in Ω .

Lemma 1.3 (Discrete Arzela-Ascoli's theorem [5]). *A bounded, uniformly Cauchy subset Ω of l_β^∞ is relatively compact.*

By a solution of (1.11), we mean a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \geq \beta}$ with a positive integer $N_0 \geq n_0 + d + |\alpha|$ such that (1.11) is satisfied for all $n \geq N_0$. As is customary, a solution of (1.11) is said to be oscillatory about zero, or simply oscillatory, if the terms x_n of the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \geq \beta}$ are neither eventually all positive nor eventually all negative. Otherwise, the solution is called non-oscillatory.

2. EXISTENCE OF NON-OSCILLATORY SOLUTIONS

In this section, we will give five sufficient conditions of the existence of non-oscillatory solutions of (1.11).

Theorem 2.1. *If there exist constants M and N with $N > M > 0$ and such that*

$$|b_n| \leq b < \frac{N - M}{2N}, \quad \text{eventually,} \quad (2.1)$$

$$\sum_{t=n_0}^{\infty} \max \left\{ \frac{1}{|a_{it}|}, |p_{jt}|, |q_t| : 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq s \right\} < +\infty, \quad (2.2)$$

then (1.11) has a non-oscillatory solution in $A(M, N)$.

Proof. Choose $L \in (M + bN, N - bN)$. By (2.1) and (2.2), an integer $N_0 > n_0 + d + |\alpha|$ can be chosen such that

$$|b_n| \leq b < \frac{N - M}{2N}, \quad \forall n \geq N_0, \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$\sum_{t_1=N_0}^{\infty} \sum_{t_2=t_1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{t_k=t_{k-1}}^{\infty} \sum_{t=t_k}^{\infty} \frac{F |\sum_{j=1}^s p_{jt}| + |q_t|}{|\prod_{i=1}^k a_{it_i}|} \leq \min\{L - bN - M, N - bN - L\}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $F = \max_{M \leq x \leq N} \{f_j(x) : 1 \leq j \leq s\}$. Define two mappings $T_1, T_2 : A(M, N) \rightarrow X$ by

$$(T_1x)_n = \begin{cases} L - b_n x_{n-d}, & n \geq N_0, \\ (T_1x)_{N_0}, & \beta \leq n < N_0, \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

$$(T_2x)_n = \begin{cases} (-1)^k \sum_{t_1=n}^{\infty} \sum_{t_2=t_1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{t_k=t_{k-1}}^{\infty} \sum_{t=t_k}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^s p_{jt} f_j(x_{t-r_{jt}}) - q_t}{\prod_{i=1}^k a_{it_i}}, & n \geq N_0, \\ (T_2x)_{N_0}, & \beta \leq n < N_0, \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

for all $x \in A(M, N)$.

(i) Note that $T_1x + T_2y \in A(M, N)$ for all $x, y \in A(M, N)$. In fact, for every $x, y \in A(M, N)$ and $n \geq N_0$, by (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (T_1x + T_2y)_n &\geq L - bN - \sum_{t_1=n}^{\infty} \sum_{t_2=t_1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{t_k=t_{k-1}}^{\infty} \sum_{t=t_k}^{\infty} \frac{|\sum_{j=1}^s p_{jt} f_j(y_{t-r_{jt}}) - q_t|}{|\prod_{i=1}^k a_{it_i}|} \\ &\geq L - bN - \sum_{t_1=N_0}^{\infty} \sum_{t_2=t_1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{t_k=t_{k-1}}^{\infty} \sum_{t=t_k}^{\infty} \frac{F |\sum_{j=1}^s p_{jt}| + |q_t|}{|\prod_{i=1}^k a_{it_i}|} \geq M \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (T_1x + T_2y)_n &\leq L + bN + \sum_{t_1=N_0}^{\infty} \sum_{t_2=t_1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{t_k=t_{k-1}}^{\infty} \sum_{t=t_k}^{\infty} \frac{F |\sum_{j=1}^s p_{jt}| + |q_t|}{|\prod_{i=1}^k a_{it_i}|} \\ &\leq N. \end{aligned}$$

That is, $(T_1x + T_2y)(A(M, N)) \subseteq A(M, N)$.

(ii) We show that T_1 is a contraction mapping on $A(M, N)$. For any $x, y \in A(M, N)$ and $n \geq N_0$, it is easy to derive that

$$|(T_1x)_n - (T_1y)_n| \leq |b_n||x_{n-d} - y_{n-d}| \leq b\|x - y\|,$$

which implies

$$\|T_1x - T_1y\| \leq b\|x - y\|.$$

Then $b < \frac{N-M}{2N} < 1$ ensures that T_1 is a contraction mapping on $A(M, N)$.

(iii) We show that T_2 is completely continuous. First, we show T_2 that is continuous. Let $x^{(u)} = \{x_n^{(u)}\} \in A(M, N)$ be a sequence such that $x_n^{(u)} \rightarrow x_n$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$. Since $A(M, N)$ is closed, $x = \{x_n\} \in A(M, N)$. Then, for $n \geq N_0$,

$$|T_2x_n^{(u)} - T_2x_n| \leq \sum_{t_1=N_0}^{\infty} \sum_{t_2=t_1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{t_k=t_{k-1}}^{\infty} \sum_{t=t_k}^{\infty} \frac{|\sum_{j=1}^s p_{jt}||f_j(x_{t-r_{jt}}^{(u)}) - f_j(x_{t-r_{jt}})|}{|\prod_{i=1}^k a_{it_i}|}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\sum_{j=1}^s p_{jt}||f_j(x_{t-r_{jt}}^{(u)}) - f_j(x_{t-r_{jt}})|}{|\prod_{i=1}^k a_{it_i}|} &\leq \frac{|\sum_{j=1}^s p_{jt}|(|f_j(x_{t-r_{jt}}^{(u)})| + |f_j(x_{t-r_{jt}})|)}{|\prod_{i=1}^k a_{it_i}|} \\ &\leq \frac{2F|\sum_{j=1}^s p_{jt}|}{|\prod_{i=1}^k a_{it_i}|} \end{aligned}$$

and $|f_j(x_{t-r_{jt}}^{(u)}) - f_j(x_{t-r_{jt}})| \rightarrow 0$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$, it follows from (2.2) and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem that $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \|T_2x^{(u)} - T_2x\| = 0$, which means that T_2 is continuous.

Next, we show that $T_2A(M, N)$ is relatively compact. By (2.2), for any $\varepsilon > 0$, take $N_1 \geq N_0$ large enough,

$$\sum_{t_1=N_1}^{\infty} \sum_{t_2=t_1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{t_k=t_{k-1}}^{\infty} \sum_{t=t_k}^{\infty} \frac{F|\sum_{j=1}^s p_{jt}| + |qt|}{|\prod_{i=1}^k a_{it_i}|} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \quad (2.7)$$

Then, for any $x = \{x_n\} \in A(M, N)$ and $n_1, n_2 \geq N_1$, (2.7) ensures that

$$\begin{aligned} |T_2x_{n_1} - T_2x_{n_2}| &\leq \sum_{t_1=n_1}^{\infty} \sum_{t_2=t_1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{t_k=t_{k-1}}^{\infty} \sum_{t=t_k}^{\infty} \frac{|\sum_{j=1}^s p_{jt}f_j(y_{t-r_{jt}}) - qt|}{|\prod_{i=1}^k a_{it_i}|} \\ &\quad + \sum_{t_1=n_2}^{\infty} \sum_{t_2=t_1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{t_k=t_{k-1}}^{\infty} \sum_{t=t_k}^{\infty} \frac{|\sum_{j=1}^s p_{jt}f_j(y_{t-r_{jt}}) - qt|}{|\prod_{i=1}^k a_{it_i}|} \\ &\leq \sum_{t_1=N_1}^{\infty} \sum_{t_2=t_1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{t_k=t_{k-1}}^{\infty} \sum_{t=t_k}^{\infty} \frac{F|\sum_{j=1}^s p_{jt}| + |qt|}{|\prod_{i=1}^k a_{it_i}|} \\ &\quad + \sum_{t_1=N_1}^{\infty} \sum_{t_2=t_1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{t_k=t_{k-1}}^{\infty} \sum_{t=t_k}^{\infty} \frac{F|\sum_{j=1}^s p_{jt}| + |qt|}{|\prod_{i=1}^k a_{it_i}|} \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $T_2A(M, N)$ begin uniformly Cauchy. Therefore, by Lemma 1.3, the set $T_2A(M, N)$ is relatively compact. By Lemma 1.1, there exists $x = \{x_n\} \in A(M, N)$ such that $T_1x + T_2x = x$, which is a bounded non-oscillatory solution to (1.11). This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.2. *If (2.2) holds,*

$$b_n \geq 0 \text{ eventually, } 0 \leq \underline{b} \leq \bar{b} < 1, \tag{2.8}$$

and there exist constants M and N with $N > \frac{2-\underline{b}}{1-\underline{b}}M > 0$ then (1.11) has a non-oscillatory solution in $A(M, N)$.

Proof. Choose $L \in (M + \frac{1+\bar{b}}{2}N, N + \frac{b}{2}M)$. By (2.2) and (2.8), an integer $N_0 > n_0 + d + |\alpha|$ can be chosen such that

$$\frac{b}{2} \leq b_n \leq \frac{1+\bar{b}}{2}, \forall n \geq N_0 \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t_1=N_0}^{\infty} \sum_{t_2=t_1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{t_k=t_{k-1}}^{\infty} \sum_{t=t_k}^{\infty} \frac{F|\sum_{j=1}^s p_{jt}| + |qt|}{|\prod_{i=1}^k a_{it_i}|} \\ & \leq \min \left\{ L - M - \frac{1+\bar{b}}{2}N, N - L + \frac{b}{2}M \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where $F = \max_{M \leq x \leq N} \{f_j(x) : 1 \leq j \leq s\}$. Then define $T_1, T_2 : A(M, N) \rightarrow X$ as (2.5) and (2.6). The rest proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.1, and it is omitted. \square

Theorem 2.3. *If (2.2) holds,*

$$b_n \leq 0 \text{ eventually, } -1 < \underline{b} \leq \bar{b} \leq 0, \tag{2.11}$$

and there exist constants M and N with $N > \frac{2+\bar{b}}{1+\bar{b}}M > 0$, then (1.11) has a non-oscillatory solution in $A(M, N)$.

Proof. Choose $L \in (\frac{2+\bar{b}}{2}M, \frac{1+\underline{b}}{2}N)$. By (2.2) and (2.11), an integer $N_0 > n_0 + d + |\alpha|$ can be chosen such that

$$\frac{\underline{b}-1}{2} \leq b_n \leq \frac{\bar{b}}{2}, \forall n \geq N_0, \tag{2.12}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t_1=N_0}^{\infty} \sum_{t_2=t_1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{t_k=t_{k-1}}^{\infty} \sum_{t=t_k}^{\infty} \frac{F|\sum_{j=1}^s p_{jt}| + |qt|}{|\prod_{i=1}^k a_{it_i}|} \\ & \leq \min \left\{ L - \frac{2+\bar{b}}{2}M, \frac{1+\underline{b}}{2}N - L \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

where $F = \max_{M \leq x \leq N} \{f_j(x) : 1 \leq j \leq s\}$. Then define $T_1, T_2 : A(M, N) \rightarrow X$ by (2.5) and (2.6). The rest proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.1, and is omitted. \square

Theorem 2.4. *If (2.2) holds,*

$$b_n > 1 \text{ eventually, } 1 < \underline{b}, \text{ and } \bar{b} < \underline{b}^2 < +\infty, \tag{2.14}$$

and there exist constants M and N with $N > \frac{\underline{b}(\bar{b}^2-\underline{b})}{\underline{b}(\bar{b}^2-\underline{b})}M > 0$, then (1.11) has a non-oscillatory solution in $A(M, N)$.

Proof. Take $\varepsilon \in (0, \underline{b} - 1)$ sufficiently small satisfying

$$1 < \underline{b} - \varepsilon < \bar{b} + \varepsilon < (\underline{b} - \varepsilon)^2 \tag{2.15}$$

and

$$((\bar{b} + \varepsilon)(\underline{b} - \varepsilon)^2 - (\bar{b} + \varepsilon)^2)N > ((\bar{b} + \varepsilon)^2(\underline{b} - \varepsilon) - (\underline{b} - \varepsilon)^2)M. \tag{2.16}$$

Choose $L \in ((\bar{b} + \varepsilon)M + \frac{\bar{b} + \varepsilon}{\underline{b} - \varepsilon}N, (\underline{b} - \varepsilon)N + \frac{\underline{b} - \varepsilon}{\bar{b} + \varepsilon}M)$. By (2.2) and (2.15), an integer $N_0 > n_0 + d + |\alpha|$ can be chosen such that

$$\underline{b} - \varepsilon < b_n < \bar{b} + \varepsilon, \quad \forall b \geq N_0 \quad (2.17)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t_1=N_0}^{\infty} \sum_{t_2=t_1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{t_k=t_{k-1}}^{\infty} \sum_{t=t_k}^{\infty} \frac{F |\sum_{j=1}^s p_{jt}| + |q_t|}{|\prod_{i=1}^k a_{it_i}|} \\ & \leq \min \left\{ \frac{\underline{b} - \varepsilon}{\bar{b} + \varepsilon} L - (\underline{b} - \varepsilon)M - N, \frac{\underline{b} - \varepsilon}{\bar{b} + \varepsilon} M + (\underline{b} - \varepsilon)N - L \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

where $F = \max_{M \leq x \leq N} \{f_j(x) : 1 \leq j \leq s\}$. Define two mappings $T_1, T_2 : A(M, N) \rightarrow X$ by

$$(T_1 x)_n = \begin{cases} \frac{L}{b_{n+d}} - \frac{x_{n+d}}{b_{n+d}}, & n \geq N_0, \\ (T_1 x)_{N_0}, & \beta \leq n < N_0, \end{cases} \quad (2.19)$$

$$T_2 x)_n = \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^k}{b_{n+d}} \sum_{t_1=n}^{\infty} \sum_{t_2=t_1}^{\infty} \cdots \\ \sum_{t_k=t_{k-1}}^{\infty} \sum_{t=t_k}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^s p_{jt} f_j(x_{t-r_{jt}})^{-q_t}}{\prod_{i=1}^k a_{it_i}}, & n \geq N_0, \\ (T_2 x)_{N_0}, & \beta \leq n < N_0, \end{cases} \quad (2.20)$$

for all $x \in A(M, N)$. The rest proof is similar to that in Theorem 2.1, and is omitted. \square

Theorem 2.5. *If (2.2) holds,*

$$b_n < -1 \text{ eventually, } \quad -\infty < \underline{b}, \bar{b} < -1 \quad (2.21)$$

and there exist constants M and N with $N > \frac{1+\underline{b}}{1+\bar{b}}M > 0$, then (1.11) has a non-oscillatory solution in $A(M, N)$.

Proof. Take $\varepsilon \in (0, -(1 + \bar{b}))$ sufficiently small satisfying

$$\underline{b} - \varepsilon < \bar{b} + \varepsilon < -1 \quad (2.22)$$

and

$$(1 + \bar{b} + \varepsilon)N < (1 + \underline{b} - \varepsilon)M. \quad (2.23)$$

Choose $L \in ((1 + \bar{b} + \varepsilon)N, (1 + \underline{b} - \varepsilon)M)$. By (2.2) and (2.22), an integer $N_0 > n_0 + d + |\alpha|$ can be chosen such that

$$\underline{b} - \varepsilon < b_n < \bar{b} + \varepsilon, \quad \forall n \geq N_0, \quad (2.24)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t_1=N_0}^{\infty} \sum_{t_2=t_1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{t_k=t_{k-1}}^{\infty} \sum_{t=t_k}^{\infty} \frac{F |\sum_{j=1}^s p_{jt}| + |q_t|}{|\prod_{i=1}^k a_{it_i}|} \\ & \leq \min \left\{ \left(\bar{b} + \varepsilon + \frac{\bar{b} + \varepsilon}{\underline{b} - \varepsilon} \right) M - \frac{\bar{b} + \varepsilon}{\underline{b} - \varepsilon} L, L - (1 + \bar{b} + \varepsilon)N \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

where $F = \max_{M \leq x \leq N} \{f_j(x) : 1 \leq j \leq s\}$. Then define $T_1, T_2 : A(M, N) \rightarrow X$ as (2.19) and (2.20). The rest proof is similar to that in Theorem 2.1, and is omitted. \square

Remark 2.6. Theorems 2.1–2.5 extend the results in Cheng [6, Theorem 1], Liu, Xu and Kang [8, Theorems 2.3–2.7], Zhou and Huang [16, Theorems 1–5] and corresponding theorems in [3, 4, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15].

Acknowledgments. The authors are grateful to the anonymous referees for their careful reading, editing, and valuable comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. P. Agarwal; Difference equations and inequalities, 2nd ed., *Dekker, New York* (2000).
- [2] R. P. Agarwal, S. R. Grace, D. O'Regan; Oscillation theory for difference and functional differential equations, *Kulwer Academic* (2000).
- [3] R. P. Agarwal, E. Thandapani, P. J. Y. Wong; Oscillations of higher-order neutral difference equations, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **10** (1997), 71–78.
- [4] R. P. Agarwal, S. R. Grace; The oscillation of higher-order nonlinear difference equations of neutral type, *JAppl. Math. Lett.* **12** (1999), 77–83.
- [5] S. S. Cheng, W. T. Patula; An existence theorem for a nonlinear difference equation, *Nonlinear Anal.* **20** (1993), 193–203.
- [6] J. F. Cheng; Existence of a nonoscillatory solution of a second-order linear neutral difference equation, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **20** (2007), 892–899.
- [7] I. Gyori and G. Ladas; Oscillation theory for delay differential equations with applications, *Oxford Univ. Press, London* (1991).
- [8] Z. Liu, Y. Xu, S. M. Kang; Global solvability for a second order nonlinear neutral delay difference equation, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **57** (2009), 587–595.
- [9] Q. Meng, J. Yan, Bounded oscillation for second-order nonlinear difference equations in critical and non-critical states, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **211** (2008), 156–172
- [10] M. Migda, J. Migda; Asymptotic properties of solutions of second-order neutral difference equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* **63** (2005), 789–799.
- [11] E. Thandapani, M. M. S. Manuel, J. R. Graef, P. W. Spikes; Monotone properties of certain classes of solutions of second-order difference equations, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **36** (2001), 291–297.
- [12] F. Yang, J. Liu; Positive solution of even order nonlinear neutral difference equations with variable delay, *J. Systems Sci. Math. Sci.* **22** (2002), 85–89.
- [13] B. G. Zhang, B. Yang; Oscillation of higher order linear difference equation, *Chinese Ann. Math.* **20** (1999), 71–80.
- [14] Z. G. Zhang, Q. L. Li; Oscillation theorems for second-order advanced functional difference equations, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **36** (1998), 11–18.
- [15] Y. Zhou; Existence of nonoscillatory solutions of higher-order neutral difference equations with general coefficients, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **15** (2002), 785–791.
- [16] Y. Zhou, Y. Q. Huang; Existence for nonoscillatory solutions of higher-order nonlinear neutral difference equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **280** (2003), 63–76.
- [17] Y. Zhou, B. G. Zhang; Existence of nonoscillatory solutions of higher-order neutral delay difference equations with variable coefficients, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **45** (2003), 991–1000.

ZHENYU GUO

SCHOOL OF SCIENCES, LIAONING SHIHUA UNIVERSITY, FUSHUN, LIAONING 113001, CHINA

E-mail address: guozy@163.com

MIN LIU

SCHOOL OF SCIENCES, LIAONING SHIHUA UNIVERSITY, FUSHUN, LIAONING 113001, CHINA

E-mail address: min.liu@yeah.net