

MULTIPLE SOLUTIONS FOR A SINGULAR SEMILINEAR ELLIPTIC PROBLEMS WITH CRITICAL EXPONENT AND SYMMETRIES

ALFREDO CANO, SERGIO HERNÁNDEZ-LINARES, ERIC HERNÁNDEZ-MARTÍNEZ

ABSTRACT. We consider the singular semilinear elliptic equation $-\Delta u - \frac{\mu}{|x|^2} u - \lambda u = f(x)|u|^{2^*-1}$ in Ω , $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, where Ω is a smooth bounded domain, in \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 4$, $2^* := \frac{2N}{N-2}$ is the critical Sobolev exponent, $f : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$, where λ_1 is the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of $-\Delta - \frac{\mu}{|x|^2}$ in Ω and $0 < \mu < \bar{\mu} := (\frac{N-2}{2})^2$. We show that if Ω and f are invariant under a subgroup of $O(N)$, the effect of the equivariant topology of Ω will give many symmetric nodal solutions, which extends previous results of Guo and Niu [8].

1. INTRODUCTION

Much attention has been paid to the singular semilinear elliptic problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u - \mu \frac{u}{|x|^2} - \lambda u &= f(x)|u|^{2^*-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ($N \geq 4$) is a smooth bounded domain, $0 \in \Omega$, $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu} := ((N-2)/2)^2$, $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$, where λ_1 is the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of $-\Delta - \frac{\mu}{|x|^2}$ on Ω and $2^* := 2N/(N-2)$ is the critical Sobolev exponent, and f is a continuous function. We state some related work here about this problem.

Brezis and Nirenberg [2] proved the existence of one positive solution for (1.1) with $\mu = 0$ and $f = 1$, with $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$, where λ_1 is the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ on Ω and $N \geq 4$. Rey [13] and Lazzo [11] established a close relationship between the number of positive solutions for (1.1) with $\mu = 0$ and $f = 1$ and the domain topology if λ is positive and sufficiently small. Cerami, Solimini, and Struwe [6] proved that (1.1) with $\mu = 0$ and $f = 1$ has one solution changing sign exactly once for $N \geq 6$ and $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$. In [5] Castro and Clapp proved that there is an effect of the domain topology on the number of minimal nodal solutions changing

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J20, 35J25, 49J52, 58E35, 74G35.

Key words and phrases. Critical points; critical Sobolev exponent; multiplicity of solutions; invariant under the action of a orthogonal group; Palais-Smale condition; singular semilinear elliptic problem; relative category.

©2010 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted November 15, 2009. Published August 16, 2010.

This work was presented in the Poster Sessions at the III CLAM Congreso Latinoamericano de Matemáticos, 2009, Santiago, Chile.

sign just once of (1.1) with $\mu = 0$ and $f = 1$, with λ positive sufficiently small. Recently Cano and Clapp [3] proved the multiplicity of sign changing solutions for (1.1) with $\lambda = a$ and $\mu = 0$, where a and f are continuous functions. The existence of non trivial positive solution for (1.1) with $f = 1$ and $\mu \in [0, \bar{\mu} - 1]$ and $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$ where λ_1 is the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of $-\Delta - \frac{\mu}{|x|^2}$ on Ω , was proved by Janelli [10]. Cao and Peng [4] proved the existence of a pair of sign changing solutions for (1.1) with $f = 1$, $N \geq 7$, $\mu \in [0, \bar{\mu} - 4]$, $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$. Han and Liu [9] proved the existence of one non trivial solution for (1.1) with $\lambda > 0$, $f(x) > 0$ and some additional assumptions. Chen [7] proved the existence of one positive solution for (1.1) with $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$ and f not necessarily positive but satisfying additional hypothesis. Guo and Niu [8] proved the existence of a symmetric nodal solution and a positive solution for $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$, where λ_1 is the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of $-\Delta - \frac{\mu}{|x|^2}$ on Ω , with Ω and f invariant under a subgroup of $O(N)$.

2. STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Let Γ be a closed subgroup of the orthogonal transformations $O(N)$. We consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u - \mu \frac{u}{|x|^2} - \lambda u &= f(x)|u|^{2^*-2}u \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ u(\gamma x) &= u(x) \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \gamma \in \Gamma, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where Ω is a smooth bounded domain, Γ -invariant in \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 4$, $2^* := (2N)/(N-2)$ is the critical Sobolev exponent, $f : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Γ -invariant continuous function, $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$, where λ_1 is the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of $-\Delta - \frac{\mu}{|x|^2}$ on Ω and $0 < \mu < \bar{\mu} := ((N-2)/2)^2$.

Note that a subset X of \mathbb{R}^N is Γ -invariant if $\gamma x \in X$ for all $x \in X$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. A function $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Γ -invariant if $h(\gamma x) = h(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Let $\Gamma x := \{\gamma x : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ be the Γ -orbit of a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, and $\#\Gamma x$ its cardinality. Let $X/\Gamma := \{\Gamma x : x \in X\}$ denote the Γ -orbit space of $X \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with the quotient topology.

Let us recall that the least energy solutions of

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= |u|^{2^*-2}u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ u &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

are the instantons

$$U_0^{\varepsilon, y}(x) := C(N) \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2 + |x-y|^2} \right)^{(N-2)/2}, \tag{2.3}$$

where $C(N) = (N(N-2))^{(N-2)/2}$ (see [1], [15]). If the domain is not \mathbb{R}^N , there is no minimal energy solutions. These solutions minimize

$$S_0 := \min_{u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*} dx \right)^{2/2^*}},$$

where $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the completion of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|^2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx.$$

Also, for $0 < \mu < \bar{\mu}$ it is well known that the positive solutions to

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u - \mu \frac{u}{|x|^2} &= |u|^{2^*-2}u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ u &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

are

$$U_\mu(x) := C_\mu(N) \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2|x|(\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}-\sqrt{\bar{\mu}-\mu})/\sqrt{\bar{\mu}} + |x|(\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}+\sqrt{\bar{\mu}-\mu})/\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}} \right)^{(N-2)/2},$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ and $C_\mu(N) = \left(\frac{4N(\bar{\mu}-\mu)}{N-2}\right)^{(N-2)/4}$ (see [16]). These solutions minimize

$$S_\mu := \min_{u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 - \mu \frac{u^2}{|x|^2}) dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*} dx\right)^{2/2^*}}.$$

We denote

$$M := \left\{ y \in \bar{\Omega} : \frac{\#\Gamma y}{f(y)^{(N-2)/2}} = \min_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} \frac{\#\Gamma x}{f(x)^{(N-2)/2}} \right\}.$$

We shall assume that f satisfies:

(F1) $f(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$.

(F2) f is *locally flat* at M , that is, there exist $r > 0$, $\nu > N$ and $A > 0$ such that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq A|x - y|^\nu \quad \text{if } y \in M \text{ and } |x - y| < r.$$

For all $0 < \mu < \bar{\mu}$ and $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$ we define the bilinear operator $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\lambda, \mu} : H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{\lambda, \mu} := \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u \cdot \nabla v - \mu \frac{uv}{|x|^2} - \lambda uv) dx$$

which is an inner product in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. Its induced norm

$$\|u\|_{\lambda, \mu} := \sqrt{\langle u, u \rangle_{\lambda, \mu}} = \left(\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 - \mu \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} - \lambda |u|^2) dx \right)^{1/2}$$

is equivalent to the usual norm $\|u\| := \|u\|_{0,0}$ in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. This fact is a direct consequence of the Hardy inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx \leq \frac{1}{\bar{\mu}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx, \quad \forall u \in H_0^1(\Omega). \tag{2.5}$$

Since λ_1 is the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of $-\Delta - \frac{\mu}{|x|^2}$ on Ω ,

$$\int_{\Omega} \lambda |u|^2 dx \leq \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1} \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^2 - \mu \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} \right) dx. \tag{2.6}$$

Therefore, by (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{\lambda, \mu}^2 &:= \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^2 - \mu \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} - \lambda |u|^2 \right) dx \\ &\geq \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1}\right) \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^2 - \mu \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} \right) dx, \\ &\geq \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{\bar{\mu}}\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{\bar{\mu}}\right) \|u\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

The other inequality follows from the Sobolev imbedding theorem.

It is easy to see that, if $f \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfies (F1) then the norms

$$|u|_{2^*} := \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*} dx \right)^{1/2^*}, \quad \text{and} \quad |u|_{f,2^*} := \left(\int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{2^*} dx \right)^{1/2^*}$$

are equivalent. We denote

$$\ell_f^\Gamma := \left(\min_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} \frac{\#\Gamma x}{f(x)^{(N-2)/2}} \right) S_0^{N/2}.$$

Our multiplicity results will require the following non existence assumption.

(A1)) The problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= f(x)|u|^{2^*-2}u \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

$$u(\gamma x) = u(x) \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \gamma \in \Gamma$$

does not have a positive solution u which satisfies $\|u\|^2 \leq \ell_f^\Gamma$.

2.1. Multiplicity of positive solutions. Our next result generalizes the work of Guo and Niu [8] for the problem (2.1) and establishes a relationship between the topology of the domain and the multiplicity of positive solutions. For $\delta > 0$ let

$$M_\delta^- := \{y \in M : \text{dist}(y, \partial\Omega) \geq \delta\}, \quad B_\delta(M) := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^N : \text{dist}(z, M) \leq \delta\}. \tag{2.9}$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let $N \geq 4$, Ω and f be Γ -invariant, and (F1), (F2), (A1) and $\ell_f^\Gamma \leq S_\mu^{N/2}$ hold. For each $\delta, \delta' > 0$ there exist $\lambda^* \in (0, \lambda_1)$, $\mu^* \in (0, \bar{\mu})$ such that for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$, $\mu \in (0, \mu^*)$ the problem (2.1) has at least*

$$\text{cat}_{B_\delta(M)/\Gamma}(M_\delta^-/\Gamma)$$

positive solutions which satisfy

$$\ell_f^\Gamma - \delta' \leq \|u\|_{\lambda, \mu}^2 < \ell_f^\Gamma.$$

2.2. Multiplicity of nodal solutions. We assume that Γ is the kernel of an epimorphism $\tau : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 := \{-1, 1\}$, where G is a closed subgroup of $O(N)$ for which, Ω is G -invariant and $f : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a G -invariant function.

A real valued function u defined in Ω will be called τ -equivariant if

$$u(gx) = \tau(g)u(x) \quad \forall x \in \Omega, g \in G.$$

In this section we study the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u - \mu \frac{u}{|x|^2} - \lambda u &= f(x)|u|^{2^*-2}u \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

$$u(gx) = \tau(g)u(x) \quad \forall x \in \Omega, g \in G$$

Note that all τ -equivariant functions u are Γ -invariant; i.e., $u(gx) = u(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega, g \in \Gamma$. If u is a τ -equivariant function then $u(gx) = -u(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$ and $g \in \tau^{-1}(-1)$. Thus all non trivial τ -equivariant solution of (2.1) change sign.

Definition 2.2. We call a Γ -invariant subset X of \mathbb{R}^N Γ -connected if cannot be written as the union of two disjoint open Γ -invariant subsets. A real valued function $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $(\Gamma, 2)$ -nodal if the sets

$$\{x \in \Omega : u(x) > 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{x \in \Omega : u(x) < 0\}$$

are nonempty and Γ -connected.

For each G -invariant subset X of \mathbb{R}^N , we define

$$X^\tau := \{x \in X : Gx = \Gamma x\}.$$

Let $\delta > 0$, define

$$M_{\tau,\delta}^- := \{y \in M : \text{dist}(y, \partial\Omega \cap \Omega^\tau) \geq \delta\},$$

and $B_\delta(M)$ as in (2.9).

The next theorem is a multiplicity result for τ -equivariant $(\Gamma, 2)$ -nodal solutions for the problem (2.1).

Theorem 2.3. *Let $N \geq 4$, and (F1), (F2), (A1) and $\ell_f^\Gamma \leq S_\mu^{N/2}$ hold. If Γ is the kernel of an epimorphism $\tau : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$ defined on a closed subgroup G of $O(N)$ for which Ω and f are G -invariant. Given $\delta, \delta' > 0$ there exists $\lambda^* \in (0, \lambda_1)$, $\mu^* \in (0, \bar{\mu})$ such that for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$, $\mu \in (0, \mu^*)$ the problem (2.1) has at least*

$$\text{cat}_{(B_\delta(M) \setminus B_\delta(M)^\tau)/G}(M_{\tau,\delta}^-/G)$$

pairs $\pm u$ of τ -equivariants $(\Gamma, 2)$ -nodal solutions which satisfy

$$2\ell_f^\Gamma - \delta' \leq \|u\|_{\lambda,\mu}^2 < 2\ell_f^\Gamma.$$

2.3. Non symmetric properties for solutions. Let $\Gamma \subset \tilde{\Gamma} \subset O(N)$. Next we give sufficient conditions for the existence of many solutions which are Γ -invariant but are not $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -invariant.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $N \geq 4$ and assume that f satisfies (F1), (F2), (A1) and $\ell_f^\Gamma \leq S_\mu^{N/2}$. Let $\tilde{\Gamma}$ be a closed subgroup of $O(N)$ containing Γ , for which Ω and f are $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -invariant and*

$$\min_{x \in \Omega} \frac{\#\Gamma x}{f(x)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} < \min_{x \in \Omega} \frac{\#\tilde{\Gamma} x}{f(x)^{(N-2)/2}}.$$

Given $\delta, \delta' > 0$ there exist $\lambda^* \in (0, \lambda_1)$, $\mu^* \in (0, \bar{\mu})$ such that for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$, $\mu \in (0, \mu^*)$ the problem (2.1) has at least

$$\text{cat}_{B_\delta(M)/\Gamma}(M_\delta^-/\Gamma)$$

positive solutions which are not $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -invariant and satisfy

$$2\ell_f^\Gamma - \delta' \leq \|u\|_{\lambda,\mu}^2 < 2\ell_f^\Gamma.$$

3. THE VARIATIONAL PROBLEM

Let $\tau : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$ be a homomorphism defined on a closed subgroup G of $O(N)$, and $\Gamma := \ker \tau$. Consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u - \mu \frac{u}{|x|^2} - \lambda u &= f(x)|u|^{2^*-2}u \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ u(gx) &= \tau(g)u(x) \quad \forall x \in \Omega, g \in G, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where Ω is a G -invariant bounded smooth subset of \mathbb{R}^N , and $f : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a G -invariant continuous function which satisfies (F1).

If $\tau \equiv 1$ then the problems (2.10) and (2.1) coincide. If τ is an epimorphism then a solution of (2.10) is a solution of (2.1) with the additional property $u(gx) = -u(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$ and $g \in \tau^{-1}(-1)$. So every non trivial solution of (2.10) is a sign changing solution for (2.1).

The homomorphism τ induces the action of G on $H_0^1(\Omega)$ given by

$$(gu)(x) := \tau(g)u(g^{-1}x).$$

The fixed point space of the action is given by

$$\begin{aligned} H_0^1(\Omega)^\tau &:= \{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) : gu = u \quad \forall g \in G\} \\ &= \{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) : u(gx) = \tau(g)u(x) \quad \forall g \in G, \quad \forall x \in \Omega\}, \end{aligned}$$

is the space of τ -equivariant functions. The fixed point space of the restriction of this action to Γ

$$H_0^1(\Omega)^\Gamma = \{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) : u(gx) = \tau(g)u(x), \forall g \in \Gamma, \forall x \in \Omega\}$$

are the Γ -invariant functions of $H_0^1(\Omega)$. The norms $\|\cdot\|_{\lambda,\mu}$, $\|\cdot\|$ on $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $|\cdot|_{2^*}$, $|\cdot|_{f,2^*}$ on $L^{2^*}(\Omega)$ are G -invariant with respect to the action induced by τ ; therefore, the functional

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\lambda,\mu,f}(u) &:= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 - \mu \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} - \lambda|u|^2) dx - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{2^*} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{\lambda,\mu}^2 - \frac{1}{2^*} |u|_{f,2^*}^{2^*} \end{aligned}$$

is G -invariant, with derivative

$$DE_{\lambda,\mu,f}(u)v = \int_{\Omega} \left(\nabla u \cdot \nabla v - \mu \frac{uv}{|x|^2} - \lambda uv \right) dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{2^*-2} uv dx.$$

By the principle of symmetric criticality [12], the critical points of its restriction to $H_0^1(\Omega)^\tau$ are the solutions of (2.10), and all non trivial solutions lie on the Nehari manifold

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu,f}^\tau &:= \{u \in H_0^1(\Omega)^\tau : u \neq 0, DE_{\lambda,\mu,f}(u)u = 0\} \\ &= \{u \in H_0^1(\Omega)^\tau : u \neq 0, \|u\|_{\lambda,\mu}^2 = |u|_{f,2^*}^{2^*}\}. \end{aligned}$$

which is of class C^2 and radially diffeomorphic to the unit sphere in $H_0^1(\Omega)^\tau$ by the radial projection

$$\pi_{\lambda,\mu,f} : H_0^1(\Omega)^\tau \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu,f}^\tau \quad \pi_{\lambda,\mu,f}(u) := \left(\frac{\|u\|_{\lambda,\mu}^2}{|u|_{f,2^*}^{2^*}} \right)^{(N-2)/4} u.$$

Therefore, the nontrivial solutions of (2.10) are precisely the critical points of the restriction of $E_{\lambda,\mu,f}$ to $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu,f}^\tau$. If $\tau \equiv 1$ we write $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu,f}^\Gamma$ and if G is a trivial group $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu,f}$. Note that

$$E_{\lambda,\mu,f}(u) = \frac{1}{N} \|u\|_{\lambda,\mu}^2 = \frac{1}{N} |u|_{f,2^*}^{2^*} \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu,f}^\tau. \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$E_{\lambda,\mu,f}(\pi_{\lambda,\mu,f}(u)) = \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{\|u\|_{\lambda,\mu}^2}{|u|_{f,2^*}^{2^*}} \right)^{N/2} \quad \forall u \in H_0^1(\Omega)^\tau \setminus \{0\}.$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned} m(\lambda, \mu, f) &:= \inf_{\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu,f}} E_{\lambda,\mu,f}(u) = \inf_{\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu,f}} \frac{1}{N} \|u\|_{\lambda,\mu}^2 \\ &= \inf_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{\|u\|_{\lambda,\mu}^2}{|u|_{f,2^*}^{2^*}} \right)^{N/2}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $E_{\lambda,\mu,f}$ are bounded below on $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu,f}$. We denote by

$$m^\Gamma(\lambda, \mu, f) := \inf_{\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu,f}^\Gamma} E_{\lambda,\mu,f}, \quad m^\tau(\lambda, \mu, f) := \inf_{\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu,f}^\tau} E_{\lambda,\mu,f}.$$

3.1. Estimates for the infimum.

Proposition 3.1. $m^\Gamma(\lambda, \mu, f) > 0$.

Proof. Assume that $m^\Gamma(\lambda, \mu, f) = 0$. Then there exist a sequence (u_n) on $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu,f}^\Gamma$ such that

$$E_{\lambda,\mu,f}(u_n) \rightarrow m^\Gamma(\lambda, \mu, f) = 0.$$

So $E_{\lambda,\mu,f}(u_n) = \frac{1}{N} \|u_n\|_{\lambda,\mu}^2$. Since $\|\cdot\|_{\lambda,\mu}$ and $\|\cdot\|$ are equivalent norms of $H_0^1(\Omega)$ we have that $u_n \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $H_0^1(\Omega)$; but $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu,f}^\Gamma$ is closed in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ then $0 \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu,f}^\Gamma$ which is a contradiction. \square

Proposition 3.2. Let $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda' < \lambda_1$, $0 < \mu \leq \mu' < \bar{\mu}$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuous function Σ -invariant, such that f satisfies (F1), and Σ is a closed subgroup of $O(N)$. Then $\|u\|_{\lambda',\mu'}^2 \leq \|u\|_{\lambda,\mu}^2$,

$$m(\lambda', \mu', f) \leq m(\lambda, \mu, f) \quad \text{and} \quad m^\Sigma(\lambda', \mu', f) \leq m^\Sigma(\lambda, \mu, f).$$

Proof. By definition of $\|\cdot\|_{\lambda,\mu}$ we obtain the first inequality. Let $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} m(\lambda', \mu', f) &\leq E_{\lambda',\mu',f}(\pi_{\lambda',\mu',f}(u)) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{\|u\|_{\lambda',\mu'}^2}{|u|_{f,2^*}^2} \right)^{N/2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{\|u\|_{\lambda,\mu}^2}{|u|_{f,2^*}^2} \right)^{N/2} \\ &= E_{\lambda,\mu,f}(\pi_{\lambda,\mu,f}(u)). \end{aligned}$$

From this inequality there proof follows. \square

We denote by λ_1 the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of $-\Delta - \frac{\mu}{|x|^2}$ in $H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Lemma 3.3. For all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$, $\mu \in (0, \bar{\mu})$, $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)^\tau$, it follows that

$$E_{0,0,f}(\pi_{0,0,f}(u)) \leq \left(\frac{\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\mu} - \mu}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}} E_{\lambda,\mu,f}(\pi_{\lambda,\mu,f}(u)).$$

Proof. Since

$$E_{\lambda,\mu,f}(\pi_{\lambda,\mu,f}(u)) = \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{\|u\|_{\lambda,\mu}^2}{|u|_{f,2^*}^2} \right)^{N/2} = \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{\|u\|_{\lambda,\mu}^N}{|u|_{f,2^*}^N} \right),$$

and by (2.7)

$$\left(1 - \frac{\mu}{\bar{\mu}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1}\right) \|u\|^2 \leq \|u\|_{\lambda,\mu}^2,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{\bar{\mu}}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \|u\|^N &\leq \|u\|_{\lambda,\mu}^N \\ \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{\bar{\mu}}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \frac{1}{N} \frac{\|u\|^N}{|u|_{f,2^*}^N} &\leq E_{\lambda,\mu,f}(\pi_{\lambda,\mu,f}(u)) \end{aligned}$$

so

$$E_{0,0,f}(\pi_{0,0,f}(u)) \leq \left(\frac{\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\mu}-\mu}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1-\lambda}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}} E_{\lambda,\mu,f}(\pi_{\lambda,\mu,f}(u)),$$

which concludes the proof. \square

As a immediately consequence we have the following result.

Corollary 3.4.

$$m^\tau(0,0,f) \leq \left(\frac{\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\mu}-\mu}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1-\lambda}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}} m^\tau(\lambda,\mu,f).$$

For the proof of the next lemma we refer the reader to [3].

Lemma 3.5. *If $\Omega \cap M \neq \emptyset$ then*

- (a) $m^\Gamma(0,0,f) \leq \frac{1}{N} \ell_f^\Gamma$.
- (b) *if there exists $y \in \Omega \cap M$ with $\Gamma x \neq Gy$, then $m^\tau(0,0,f) \leq \frac{2}{N} \ell_f^\Gamma$.*

3.2. A compactness result.

Definition 3.6. A sequence $\{u_n\} \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$E_{\lambda,\mu,f}(u_n) \rightarrow c \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla E_{\lambda,\mu,f}(u_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

is called a Palais-Smale sequence for $E_{\lambda,\mu,f}$ at c . We say that $E_{\lambda,\mu,f}$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition $(PS)_c$ if every Palais-Smale sequence for $E_{\lambda,\mu,f}$ at c has a convergent subsequence. If $\{u_n\} \subset H_0^1(\Omega)^\tau$ then $\{u_n\}$ is a τ -equivariant Palais-Smale sequence and $E_{\lambda,\mu,f}$ satisfies the τ -equivariant Palais-Smale condition, $(PS)_c^\tau$. If $\tau \equiv 1$ $\{u_n\}$ is a Γ -invariant Palais-Smale sequence and $E_{\lambda,\mu,f}$ satisfies the Γ -invariant Palais-Smale condition $(PS)_c^\Gamma$.

The next theorem, proved by Guo-Niu [8], describes the τ -equivariant Palais-Smale sequence for $E_{\lambda,\mu,f}$.

Theorem 3.7. *Let (u_n) be a Palais-Smale in $H_0^1(\Omega)^\tau$, for $E_{\lambda,\mu,f}$ at $c \geq 0$. Then there exist a solution u of (2.10), $m, l \in \mathbb{N}$; a closed subgroup G^i of finite index in G , sequences $\{y_n^i\} \subset \Omega$, $\{r_n^i\} \subset (0, +\infty)$; a solution \widehat{u}_0^i of (2.2), for $i = 1, \dots, m$; and $\{R_n^j\} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$, a solution \widehat{u}_μ^j of (2.4) for $j = 1, \dots, l$. Such that*

- (i) $G_{y_n^i} = G^i$
- (ii) $(r_n^i)^{-1} \text{dist}(y_n^i, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow \infty$, $y_n^i \rightarrow y^i$, if $n \rightarrow \infty$, for $i = 1, \dots, m$.
- (iii) $(r_n^i)^{-1} |gy_n^i - g'y_n^i| \rightarrow \infty$, if $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $[g] \neq [g'] \in G/G^i$, for $i = 1, \dots, m$,
- (iv) $\widehat{u}_0^i(gx) = \tau(g)\widehat{u}_0^i(x) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $g \in G^i$,
- (v) $\widehat{u}_\mu^j(gx) = \tau(g)\widehat{u}_\mu^j(x) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $g \in G$, $R_n^j \rightarrow 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, l$
- (vi)

$$\begin{aligned} u_n(x) = & u(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{[g] \in G/G^i} (r_n^i)^{\frac{2-N}{2}} f(y^i)^{\frac{2-N}{4}} \tau(g)\widehat{u}_0^i(g^{-1}(\frac{x-gy_n^i}{r_n^i})) \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^l (R_n^j)^{\frac{2-N}{2}} \widehat{u}_\mu^j(\frac{x}{R_n^j}) + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

- (vii) $E_{\lambda,\mu,f}(u_n) \rightarrow E_{\lambda,\mu,f}(u) + \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\#(G/G^i)}{f(y^i)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}\right) E_{0,0,1}^\infty(\widehat{u}_0^i) + \sum_{j=1}^l E_{0,\mu,1}^\infty(\widehat{u}_\mu^j)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Corollary 3.8. $E_{\lambda,\mu,f}$ satisfies $(PS)_c^\Gamma$ at every

$$c < \min \left\{ \#(G/\Gamma) \frac{\ell_f^\Gamma}{N}, \frac{\#(G/\Gamma)}{N} S_\mu^{N/2} \right\}.$$

4. THE BARIORBIT MAP

We will assume the nonexistence condition

(NE) The infimum of $E_{0,0,f}$ is not achieved in $\mathcal{N}_{0,0,f}^\Gamma$.

Corollary 3.8 and Lemma 3.5 imply

$$m^\Gamma(0, 0, f) := \inf_{\mathcal{N}_{0,0,f}^\Gamma} E_{0,0,f} = \left(\min_{x \in \Omega} \frac{\#\Gamma x}{f(x)^{(N-2)/2}} \right) \frac{1}{N} S^{N/2}. \tag{4.1}$$

if (NE) is assumed. It is well known that (NE) holds, if $\Gamma = \{1\}$ and f is constant (see [14, Cap. III, Teorema 1.2]). Set

$$M := \left\{ y \in \bar{\Omega} : \frac{\#\Gamma y}{f(y)^{(N-2)/2}} = \min_{x \in \Omega} \frac{\#\Gamma x}{f(x)^{(N-2)/2}} \right\}.$$

For every $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the isotropy subgroups satisfy $\Gamma_{\gamma y} = \gamma \Gamma_y \gamma^{-1}$. Therefore the set of isotropy subgroups of Γ -invariant subsets consists of complete conjugacy classes. We choose $\Gamma_i \subset \Gamma$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, one in each conjugacy class of an isotropy subgroup of M . Set

$$V^i := \{ z \in V : \gamma z = z \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma_i \}$$

the fixed point space of $V \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ under the action of Γ_i . Set

$$M^i := \{ y \in M : \Gamma_y = \Gamma_i \},$$

$$\Gamma M^i := \{ \gamma y : \gamma \in \Gamma, y \in M^i \} = \{ y \in M : (\Gamma_y) = (\Gamma_i) \}.$$

By definition of M it follows that f is constant on each ΓM^i . Set

$$f_i := f(\Gamma M^i) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Fix $\delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$|y - \gamma y| \geq 3\delta_0 \quad \forall y \in M, \gamma \in \Gamma \text{ if } \gamma y \neq y,$$

$$\text{dist}(\Gamma M^i, \Gamma M^j) \geq 3\delta_0 \quad \forall i, j = 1, \dots, m \text{ if } i \neq j, \tag{4.2}$$

and such that the isotropy subgroup of each point in $M_{\delta_0}^i := \{ z \in V^i : \text{dist}(z, M^i) \leq \delta_0 \}$ is precisely Γ_i . Define

$$W_{\varepsilon,z} := \sum_{[g] \in \Gamma/\Gamma_i} f_i^{\frac{2-N}{4}} U_{\varepsilon,gz} \quad \text{if } z \in M_{\delta_0}^i,$$

where $U_{\varepsilon,y} := U_0^{\varepsilon,y}$ as in (2.3). For each $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ define

$$M_\delta := M_\delta^1 \cup \dots \cup M_\delta^m,$$

$$B_\delta := \{ (\varepsilon, z) : \varepsilon \in (0, \delta), z \in M_\delta \},$$

$$\Theta_\delta := \{ \pm W_{\varepsilon,z} : (\varepsilon, z) \in B_\delta \}, \quad \Theta_0 := \Theta_{\delta_0}.$$

For the proof of next proposition see [3].

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$, and assume that (NE) holds. There exists $\eta > m^\Gamma(0, 0, f)$ with following properties: For each $u \in \mathcal{N}_{0,0,f}^\Gamma$ such that $E_{0,0,f}(u) \leq \eta$ we have*

$$\inf_{W \in \Theta_0} \|u - W\| < \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}Nm^\Gamma(0, 0, f)},$$

and there exist precisely one $\nu \in \{-1, 1\}$, one $\varepsilon \in (0, \delta_0)$ and one Γ -orbit $\Gamma z \in M_{\delta_0}$ such that

$$\|u - \nu W_{\varepsilon,z}\| = \inf_{W \in \Theta_0} \|u - W\|.$$

Moreover $(\varepsilon, z) \in B_\delta$.

4.1. Definition of the bariorbit map. Fix $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ and choose $\eta > m^\Gamma(0, 0, f)$ as in Proposition 4.1. Define

$$E_{0,0,f}^\eta := \{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) : E_{0,0,f}(u) \leq \eta\},$$

$$B_\delta(M) := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^N : \text{dist}(z, M) \leq \delta\},$$

and the space of Γ -orbits of $B_\delta(M)$ by $B_\delta(M)/\Gamma$.

From Proposition 4.1 we can define

Definition 4.2. The bariorbit map

$$\beta^\Gamma : \mathcal{N}_{0,0,f}^\Gamma \cap E_{0,0,f}^\eta \rightarrow B_\delta(M)/\Gamma,$$

is defined by

$$\beta^\Gamma(u) = \Gamma y \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \|u \pm W_{\varepsilon,y}\| = \min_{W \in \Theta_0} \|u - W\|.$$

This map is continuous and $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -invariant by the compactness of M_δ .

If Γ is the kernel of an epimorphism $\tau : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$, choose $g_\tau \in \tau^{-1}(-1)$. Let $u \in \mathcal{N}_{0,0,f}^\tau$ then u changes sign and $u^-(x) = -u^+(g_\tau^{-1}x)$. Therefore, $\|u^+\|^2 = \|u^-\|^2$ and $|u^+|_{f,2^*}^2 = |u^-|_{f,2^*}^2$. So

$$u \in \mathcal{N}_{0,0,f}^\tau \implies u^\pm \in \mathcal{N}_{0,0,f}^\Gamma \quad \text{and} \quad E_{0,0,f}(u) = 2E_{0,0,f}(u^\pm). \tag{4.3}$$

Lemma 4.3. *If $E_{0,0,f}$ does not achieve its infimum at $\mathcal{N}_{0,0,f}^\tau$, then*

$$m^\tau(0, 0, f) := \inf_{\mathcal{N}_{0,0,f}^\tau} E_{0,0,f} = \left(\min_{x \in \Omega} \frac{\#\Gamma x}{f(x)^{(N-2)/2}} \right) \frac{2}{N} S^{N/2} = 2m^\Gamma(0, 0, f).$$

Proof. By contradiction. Suppose that there exists $u \in \mathcal{N}_{0,0,f}^\tau$ such that $E_{0,0,f}(u) = m^\tau(0, 0, f)$. Then $u^+ \in \mathcal{N}_{0,0,f}^\Gamma$ and

$$m^\tau(0, 0, f) \leq \left(\min_{x \in \Omega} \frac{\#\Gamma x}{f(x)^{(N-2)/2}} \right) \frac{2}{N} S^{N/2}.$$

Hence

$$m^\Gamma(0, 0, f) \leq E_{0,0,f}(u^+) = \frac{1}{2}m^\tau(0, 0, f) \leq \left(\min_{x \in \Omega} \frac{\#\Gamma x}{f(x)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} \right) \frac{1}{N} S^{N/2} = m^\Gamma(0, 0, f).$$

Thus u^+ is a minimum of $E_{0,0,f}$ on $\mathcal{N}_{0,0,f}^\Gamma$, which contradicts (NE). The corollary 3.8 implies

$$m^\tau(0, 0, f) = \left(\min_{x \in \Omega} \frac{\#\Gamma x}{f(x)^{(N-2)/2}} \right) \frac{2}{N} S^{N/2}.$$

□

Then property (4.3) implies

$$u^\pm \in \mathcal{N}_{0,0,f}^\Gamma \cap E_{0,0,f}^\eta \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{N}_{0,0,f}^\tau \cap E_{0,0,f}^{2\eta},$$

so

$$\|u^+ - \nu W_{\varepsilon,y}\| = \min_{W \in \Theta_0} \|u^+ - W\| \Leftrightarrow \|u^- + \nu W_{\varepsilon,g_\tau y}\| = \min_{W \in \Theta_0} \|u^- - W\|. \quad (4.4)$$

Therefore,

$$\beta^\Gamma(u^+) = \Gamma y \iff \beta^\Gamma(u^-) = \Gamma(g_\tau y), \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$\beta^\Gamma(u^+) \neq \beta^\Gamma(u^-) \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{N}_{0,0,f}^\tau \cap E_{0,0,f}^{2\eta}. \quad (4.6)$$

Set

$$B_\delta(M)^\tau := \{z \in B_\delta(M) : Gz = \Gamma z\}.$$

Proposition 4.4. *The map*

$$\beta^\tau : \mathcal{N}_{0,0,f}^\tau \cap E_{0,0,f}^{2\eta} \rightarrow (B_\delta(M) \setminus B_\delta(M)^\tau)/\Gamma, \quad \beta^\tau(u) := \beta^\Gamma(u^+),$$

is well defined, continuous and $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -equivariant; i.e.,

$$\beta^\tau(-u) = \Gamma(g_\tau y) \iff \beta^\tau(u) = \Gamma y.$$

Proof. If $u \in \mathcal{N}_{0,0,f}^\tau \cap E_{0,0,f}^{2\eta}$ and $\beta^\tau(u) = \Gamma y \in B_\delta(M)^\tau/\Gamma$ then $\beta^\Gamma(u^+) = \Gamma y = \Gamma(g_\tau y) = \beta^\Gamma(u^-)$, this is a contradiction to (4.6). We conclude that $\beta^\tau(u) \notin B_\delta(M)^\tau/\Gamma$. The continuity and $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -equivariant properties follows by β^Γ ones. \square

5. MULTIPLICITY OF SOLUTIONS

5.1. Lusternik-Schnirelmann theory. An involution on a topological space X is a map $\varrho_X : X \rightarrow X$, such that $\varrho_X \circ \varrho_X = id_X$. Given an involution we can define an action of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ on X and viceversa. The trivial action is given by the identity $\varrho_X = id_X$, the action of $G/\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2$ on the orbit space \mathbb{R}^N/Γ where $G \subset O(N)$ and Γ is the kernel of an epimorphism $\tau : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$, and the antipodal action $\varrho(u) = -u$ on $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu,f}^\tau$. A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -equivariant (or a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -map) if $\varrho_Y \circ f = f \circ \varrho_X$, and two $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -maps, $f_0, f_1 : X \rightarrow Y$, are said to be $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -homotopic if there exists a homotopy $\Theta : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$ such that $\Theta(x, 0) = f_0(x)$, $\Theta(x, 1) = f_1(x)$ and $\Theta(\varrho_X x, t) = \varrho_Y \Theta(x, t)$ for every $x \in X, t \in [0, 1]$. A subset A of X is $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -equivariant if $\varrho_X a \in A$ for every $a \in A$.

Definition 5.1. The $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -category of a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is the smallest integer $k := \mathbb{Z}/2\text{-cat}(f)$ with following properties

- (i) There exists a cover of $X = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_k$ by k open $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -invariant subsets,
- (ii) The restriction $f|_{X_i} : X_i \rightarrow Y$ is $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -homotopic to the composition $\kappa_i \circ \alpha_i$ of a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -map $\alpha_i : X_i \rightarrow \{y_i, \varrho_Y y_i\}, y_i \in Y$, and the inclusion $\kappa_i : \{y_i, \varrho_Y y_i\} \hookrightarrow Y$.

If not such covering exists, we define $\mathbb{Z}/2\text{-cat}(f) := \infty$.

If A is a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -invariant subset of X and $\iota : A \hookrightarrow X$ is the inclusion we write

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\text{-cat}_X(A) := \mathbb{Z}/2\text{-cat}(\iota), \quad \mathbb{Z}/2\text{-cat}_X(X) := \mathbb{Z}/2\text{-cat}(X).$$

Note that if $\varrho_x = id_X$ then

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\text{-cat}_X(A) := \text{cat}_X(A), \quad \mathbb{Z}/2\text{-cat}(X) := \text{cat}(X),$$

are the usual Lusternik-Schnirelmann category (see [17, definition 5.4]).

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an even functional of class C^1 , and M a submanifold of a Hilbert space of class C^2 , symmetric with respect to the origin. If ϕ is bounded below and satisfies $(PS)_c$ for each $c \leq d$, then ϕ has at least $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -cat(ϕ^d) pairs critical points such that $\phi(u) \leq d$.*

5.2. Proof of Theorems. We prove Theorem 2.3 only; the proof of Theorem 2.1 is analogous. Recall that if τ is the identity or an epimorphism then $\#(G/\Gamma)$ is 1 or 2.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. By Corollary 3.8, $E_{\lambda,\mu,f}$ satisfies $(PS)_\theta^\tau$ for

$$\theta < \min\left\{\#(G/\Gamma)\frac{\ell_f^\Gamma}{N}, \frac{\#(G/\Gamma)}{N}S_\mu^{N/2}\right\}.$$

By Lusternik-Schnirelmann theory $E_{\lambda,\mu,f}$ has at least $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -cat($\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu,f}^\tau \cap E_{\lambda,\mu,f}^\theta$) pairs $\pm u$ of critical points in $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu,f}^\tau \cap E_{\lambda,\mu,f}^\theta$. We are going to estimate this category for an appropriate value of θ .

Without lost of generality we can assume that $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$, with δ_0 as in (4.2). Let $\eta > \frac{\ell_f^\Gamma}{N}$, $\mu^* \in (0, \bar{\mu})$ and $\lambda^* \in (0, \lambda_1)$ such that

$$\left(\frac{\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\mu} - \mu^*}\right)^{N/2} \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda^*}\right)^{N/2} = \min\left\{2, \frac{N\eta}{\#(G/\Gamma)\ell_f^\Gamma}, \frac{\ell_f^\Gamma}{\ell_f^\Gamma - \delta'}\right\}.$$

By Lemma 3.3, if $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu,f}^\tau \cap E_{\lambda,\mu,f}^\theta$, $\mu \in (0, \mu^*)$, $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_{0,0,f}(\pi_{0,0,f}(u)) &\leq \left(\frac{\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\mu} - \mu}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}} E_{\lambda,\mu,f}(u) \\ &< \left(\frac{\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\mu} - \mu}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \#(G/\Gamma) \frac{\ell_f^\Gamma}{N} \\ &\leq \#(G/\Gamma)\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Let β^τ be the τ -bariorbit function, defined in Proposition 4.4. Hence the composition map

$$\beta^\tau \circ \pi_{0,0,f} : \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu,f}^\tau \cap E_{\lambda,\mu,f}^\theta \rightarrow (B_\delta(M) \setminus B_\delta(M)^\tau)/\Gamma,$$

is a well defined $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -invariant continuous function.

By the [3, Proposition 3] using (F2) we can choose $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough and $\theta := \theta_\varepsilon < \#(G/\Gamma)\frac{\ell_f^\Gamma}{N}$ such that

$$E_{\lambda,\mu,f}(\pi_{\lambda,\mu,f}(w_{\varepsilon,y}^\tau)) \leq \theta < \#(G/\Gamma)\frac{\ell_f^\Gamma}{N}, \quad \forall y \in M_\delta^-,$$

where $w_{\varepsilon,y}^\tau = w_{\varepsilon,y}^\Gamma - w_{\varepsilon,g_\tau y}^\Gamma$, $\tau(g_\tau) = -1$, and

$$w_{\varepsilon,y}^\Gamma(x) = \sum_{[\gamma] \in \Gamma/\Gamma_y} f(y)^{(2-N)/4} U_{\varepsilon,\gamma y}(x) \varphi_{\gamma y}(x).$$

Thus the map

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_\delta^\tau : M_{\tau,\delta}^-/\Gamma &\rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu,f}^\tau \cap E_{\lambda,\mu,f}^\theta, \\ \alpha_\delta^\tau(\Gamma y) &:= \pi_{\lambda,\mu,f}(w_{\varepsilon,y}^\tau), \end{aligned}$$

is a well defined $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -invariant continuous function. Moreover, $\beta^\tau(\pi_{0,0,f}(\alpha_\delta^\tau(\Gamma y))) = \Gamma y$ for all $y \in M_{\tau,\delta}^-$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\text{-cat}(\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu,f}^\tau \cap E_{\lambda,\mu,f}^\theta) \geq \text{cat}_{((B_\delta(M) \setminus B_\delta(M)^\tau)/\Gamma)}(M_{\tau,\delta}^-/\Gamma).$$

So (2.10) has at least

$$\text{cat}_{((B_\delta(M) \setminus B_\delta(M)^\tau)/G)}(M_{\tau,\delta}^-/G)$$

pairs $\pm u$ solution which satisfy

$$E_{\lambda,\mu,f}(u) < \#(G/\Gamma) \frac{\ell_f^\Gamma}{N}.$$

By the choice of λ^* and μ^* we have

$$\left(\frac{\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\mu} - \mu^*}\right)^{N/2} \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda^*}\right)^{N/2} \leq \frac{\ell_f^\Gamma}{\ell_f^\Gamma - \delta'}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \#(G/\Gamma) \frac{\ell_f^\Gamma - \delta'}{N} &\leq \left(\frac{\bar{\mu} - \mu}{\bar{\mu}}\right)^{N/2} \left(\frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda}{\lambda_1}\right)^{N/2} \#(G/\Gamma) \frac{\ell_f^\Gamma}{N} \\ &\leq m^\tau(\lambda, \mu, f) \leq E_{\lambda,\mu,f}(u) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \|u\|_{\lambda,\mu}^2 < \#(G/\Gamma) \frac{\ell_f^\Gamma}{N} \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\#(G/\Gamma) \ell_f^\Gamma - \delta'' \leq \|u\|_{\lambda,\mu}^2 < \#(G/\Gamma) \ell_f^\Gamma.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2.4. By Theorem 2.1 there exist λ and μ sufficiently close to zero such that the problem (2.1) has at least $\text{cat}_{B_\delta(M)/\Gamma}(M_\delta^-/\Gamma)$ positive solutions such that $E_{\lambda,\mu,f}(u) < \frac{\ell_f^\Gamma}{N}$.

We will prove that $\frac{\ell_f^\Gamma}{N} < m^{\tilde{\Gamma}}(0, 0, f)$. First suppose that $m^{\tilde{\Gamma}}(0, 0, f)$ does not achieve then by the hypothesis $m^{\tilde{\Gamma}}(0, 0, f) = \frac{\ell_f^{\tilde{\Gamma}}}{N} > \frac{\ell_f^\Gamma}{N}$. If $m^{\tilde{\Gamma}}(0, 0, f)$ is achieved there exists $u \in \mathcal{N}_{0,0,f}^{\tilde{\Gamma}} \subset \mathcal{N}_{0,0,f}^\Gamma$ and

$$\frac{\ell_f^\Gamma}{N} = m^\Gamma(0, 0, f) < m^{\tilde{\Gamma}}(0, 0, f) = E_{0,0,f}(u).$$

By (3.4) there exist $\hat{\lambda} \in (0, \lambda_1)$ and $\hat{\mu} \in (0, \bar{\mu})$ such that for each $\lambda \in (0, \hat{\lambda})$ and $\mu \in (0, \hat{\mu})$ such that

$$\frac{\ell_f^\Gamma}{N} < m^{\tilde{\Gamma}}(0, 0, f) \leq \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda}\right)^{N/2} \left(\frac{\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\mu} - \mu}\right)^{N/2} m^{\tilde{\Gamma}}(\lambda, \mu, f).$$

Then

$$E_{\lambda,\mu,f}(u) < \frac{\ell_f^\Gamma}{N} < m^{\tilde{\Gamma}}(\lambda, \mu, f).$$

Therefore, u is not $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -invariant solution.

□

REFERENCES

- [1] Th. Aubin; *Problèmes isopérimétriques et espaces de Sobolev*, J. Diff. Geom. **11** (1976), 573-598.
- [2] H. Brezis, L. Nirenberg; *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **36** (1983), 437-477.
- [3] A. Cano, M. Clapp; *Multiple positive and 2-nodal symmetric solutions of elliptic problems with critical nonlinearity*, J. Differential Equations **237** (2007) 133-158.
- [4] D. M. Cao, S. J. Peng; *A note on the sign-changing solutions to elliptic problems with critical Sobolev and Hardy terms*, J. Differential Equations **193** (2003), 424-434.
- [5] A. Castro, M. Clapp; *The effect of the domain topology on the number of minimal nodal solutions of an elliptic equation at critical growth in a symmetric domain*, Nonlinearity **16** (2003), 579-590.
- [6] G. Cerami, S. Solimini, M. Struwe; *Some existence results for superlinear elliptic boundary value problems involving critical exponents*, J. Funct. Anal. **69** (1986), 289-306.
- [7] J. Q. Chen; *Multiplicity result for a singular elliptic equation with indefinite nonlinearity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **337** (2008), 493-504.
- [8] Q. Guo, P. Niu; *Nodal and positive solutions for singular semilinear elliptic equations with critical exponents in symmetric domains*, J. Differential Equations **245** (2008) 3974-3985.
- [9] P. G. Han, Z. X. Liu; *Solution for a singular critical growth problem with weight*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **327** (2007) 1075-1085.
- [10] E. Janelli; *The role played by space dimension in elliptic critical problems*, J. Differential Equations **156**, (1999) 407-426
- [11] M. Lazzo; *Solutions positives multiples pour une équation elliptique non linéaire avec l'exposant critique de Sobolev*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **314**, Série I (1992), 61-64.
- [12] R. Palais; *The principle of symmetric criticality*, Comm. Math. Phys. **69** (1979), 19-30.
- [13] O. Rey; *A multiplicity result for a variational problem with lack of compactness*, Nonl. Anal. T.M.A. **133** (1989), 1241-1249.
- [14] M. Struwe; *Variational methods*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg 1996.
- [15] G. Talenti; *Best constant in Sobolev inequality*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **110**, (1976), 353-372.
- [16] S. Terracini; *On positive entire solutions to a class of equations with a singular coefficient and critical exponent*, Adv. Differential Equations **2** (1996) 241-264.
- [17] M. Willem; *Minimax theorems*, PNLDE **24**, Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Berlin 1996.

ALFREDO CANO RODRÍGUEZ

UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DEL ESTADO DE MÉXICO, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, CAMPUS EL CERRILLO PIEDRAS BLANCAS, CARRETERA TOLUCA-IXTLAHUACA, KM 15.5, TOLUCA, ESTADO DE MÉXICO, MÉXICO

E-mail address: calfredo420@gmail.com

SERGIO HERNÁNDEZ-LINARES

UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA METROPOLITANA, CUAJIMALPA, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS APLICADAS Y SISTEMAS, ARTIFICIOS NO. 40, COL. HIDALGO, DEL. ÁLVARO OBREGÓN, C.P. 01120, MÉXICO D.F., MÉXICO

E-mail address: slinares@correo.cua.uam.mx

ERIC HERNÁNDEZ-MARTÍNEZ

UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DE LA CIUDAD DE MÉXICO, COLEGIO DE CIENCIA Y TECNOLOGÍA. ACADEMIA DE MATEMÁTICAS, CALLE PROLONGACIÓN SAN ISIDRO NO. 151, COL. SAN LORENZO TEZONCO, DEL. IZTAPALAPA, C.P. 09790, MÉXICO D.F., MÉXICO

E-mail address: ebric2001@hotmail.com