

## ON BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEMS FOR HIGHER-ORDER DIFFERENTIAL INCLUSIONS

MYELKEBIR AITALIOUBRAHIM, SAID SAJID

ABSTRACT. We show the existence of solutions to boundary-value problems for higher-order differential inclusion  $x^{(n)}(t) \in F(t, x(t))$ , where  $F(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a closed multifunction, measurable in  $t$  and Lipschitz continuous in  $x$ . We use the fixed point theorem introduced by Covitz and Nadler for contraction multivalued maps.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to establish the existence of solutions of the following higher-order boundary-value problems:

- For  $n \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned}x^{(n)}(t) &\in F(t, x(t)) \quad \text{a.e. on } [0, 1]; \\x^{(i)}(0) &= 0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n-2; \\x(\eta) &= x(1).\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

- For  $n \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned}x^{(n)}(t) &\in F(t, x(t)) \quad \text{a.e. on } [0, 1]; \\x(0) &= x'(\eta); \quad x(1) = x(\tau).\end{aligned}\tag{1.2}$$

- For  $n \geq 4$

$$\begin{aligned}x^{(n)}(t) &\in F(t, x(t)) \quad \text{a.e. on } [0, 1]; \\x^{(i)}(0) &= x^{(i+1)}(\eta), \quad 2 \leq i \leq n-2; \\x(0) &= x'(\eta); \quad x(1) = x(\tau).\end{aligned}\tag{1.3}$$

- For  $n \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned}x^{(n)}(t) &\in F(t, x(t)) \quad \text{a.e. on } [0, 1]; \\x^{(i)}(0) &= x^{(i+1)}(\eta), \quad 0 \leq i \leq n-2.\end{aligned}\tag{1.4}$$

where  $F : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}}$  is a closed multivalued map, measurable with respect to the first argument and Lipschitz with respect to the second argument, and  $(\eta, \tau) \in ]0, 1]^2$ .

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34A60, 34B10, 34B15.

*Key words and phrases.* Boundary value problems; contraction; measurability; multifunction.

©2008 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted March 14, 2007. Published April 22, 2008.

Three and four-point boundary-value problems for second-order differential inclusions was initiated by Benchohra and Ntouyas, see [4, 5, 6]. The authors investigate the existence of solutions on compact intervals for the problems (1.1) and (1.2) in the particular case  $n = 2$ . In order to obtain solutions of (1.1) and (1.2) when  $F$  is not necessarily convex values, Benchohra and Ntouyas (see [6]) reduce the existence of solutions to the search for fixed points of a suitable multivalued map on the Banach space  $\mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ . Indeed, they used the fixed point theorem for contraction multivalued maps, due to Covitz and Nadler [3].

In this paper, we give an extension of the Benchohra and Ntouyas's result [6] to the  $n$ -order non-convex boundary-value problems and we prove the existence of solutions for (1.3) and (1.4). We shall adopt the technique used by Benchohra and Ntouyas in the previous paper.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES AND STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Let  $(E, d)$  be a complete metric space. We denote by  $\mathcal{C}([0, 1], E)$  the Banach space of continuous functions from  $[0, 1]$  to  $E$  with the norm  $\|x(\cdot)\|_\infty := \sup\{\|x(t)\|; t \in [0, 1]\}$ , where  $\|\cdot\|$  is the norm of  $E$ . For  $x \in E$  and for nonempty sets  $A, B$  of  $E$  we denote  $d(x, A) = \inf\{d(x, y); y \in A\}$ ,  $e(A, B) := \sup\{d(x, B); x \in A\}$  and  $H(A, B) := \max\{e(A, B), e(B, A)\}$ . A multifunction is said to be measurable if its graph is measurable. For more detail on measurability theory, we refer the reader to the book of Castaing and Valadier [2].

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $T : E \rightarrow 2^E$  be a multifunction with closed values.

(1)  $T$  is  $k$ -Lipschitz if and only if

$$H(T(x), T(y)) \leq kd(x, y), \quad \text{for each } x, y \in E.$$

(2)  $T$  is a contraction if and only if it is  $k$ -Lipschitz with  $k < 1$ .

(3)  $T$  has a fixed point if there exists  $x \in E$  such that  $x \in T(x)$ .

Let us recall the following results that will be used in the sequel.

**Lemma 2.2.** [3] *If  $T : E \rightarrow 2^E$  is a contraction with nonempty closed values, then it has a fixed point.*

**Lemma 2.3.** [7] *Assume that  $F : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}}$  is a multifunction with nonempty closed values satisfying:*

- For every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $F(\cdot, x)$  is measurable on  $[a, b]$ ;
- For every  $t \in [a, b]$ ,  $F(t, \cdot)$  is (Hausdorff) continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Then for any measurable function  $x(\cdot) : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the multifunction  $F(\cdot, x(\cdot))$  is measurable on  $[a, b]$ .*

**Definition 2.4.** A function  $x(\cdot) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be a solution of (1.1) (resp. (1.2), (1.3), (1.4)) if  $x(\cdot)$  is  $(n-1)$ -times differentiable,  $x^{(n-1)}(\cdot)$  is absolutely continuous and  $x(\cdot)$  satisfies the conditions of (1.1) (resp. (1.2), (1.3), (1.4)).

Let  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ . Define a sequence of functions  $(\varphi_p(\cdot))_{2 \leq p \leq n}$  by: For all  $t \in [0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_2(t) &= 1; \\ \varphi_3(t) &= t + \varphi_2(\eta); \end{aligned}$$

$$\varphi_p(t) = \frac{t^{p-2}}{(p-2)!} + \sum_{k=3}^{p-1} \varphi_{k-1}(\eta) \frac{t^{p-k}}{(p-k)!} + \varphi_{p-1}(\eta).$$

We remark that

- (a) For  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $k \in \{0, \dots, n-2\}$ ,  $\varphi_n^{(k)}(t) = \varphi_{n-k}(t)$ ;
- (b) For  $k \in \{0, \dots, n-3\}$ ,  $\varphi_{n-k}(0) = \varphi_{n-k-1}(\eta)$ ;
- (c) For  $k \in \{0, \dots, n-2\}$  the function  $\varphi_n^{(k)}(\cdot)$  is increasing.

**Assumptions.** We will use the following hypotheses:

(H1)  $F : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}}$  is a multivalued map with nonempty closed values satisfying

- (i) For each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t \mapsto F(t, x)$  is measurable;
- (ii) There exists a function  $m(\cdot) \in L^1([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^+)$  such that for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  and for all  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$H(F(t, x_1), F(t, x_2)) \leq m(t)|x_1 - x_2|.$$

(H2) For  $\eta \in ]0, 1[$ ,

$$\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \left( L(1) + \frac{L(\eta) + L(1)}{1 - \eta^{n-1}} \right) < 1$$

where  $L(t) = \int_0^t m(s) ds$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ;

(H3) For  $(\eta, \tau) \in ]0, 1]^2$ ,

$$\frac{(3-\tau)L(1) + 2L(\tau)}{(1-\tau)(n-1)!} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{L(\eta)}{(1-\tau)k!} [(3-\tau)\varphi_n^{(k)}(1) + 2\varphi_n^{(k)}(\tau)] < 1;$$

(H4) For  $\eta \in ]0, 1[$ ,

$$\frac{L(1)}{(n-1)!} + L(\eta) \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{\varphi_n^{(k)}(1)}{k!} < 1.$$

**Main results.** We shall prove the following results.

**Theorem 2.5.** *If assumptions (H1) and (H2) are satisfied, then problem (1.1) has at least one solution on  $[0, 1]$ .*

**Theorem 2.6.** *If assumptions (H1) and (H3) are satisfied, then problems (1.2) and (1.3) have at least one solution on  $[0, 1]$ .*

**Theorem 2.7.** *If assumptions (H1) and (H4) are satisfied, then problem (1.4) has at least one solution on  $[0, 1]$ .*

### 3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

**Proof of Theorem 2.5.** For  $y(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ , set

$$S_{F, y(\cdot)} := \{g \in L^1([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) : g(t) \in F(t, y(t)) \text{ for a.e. } t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

By Lemma 2.3, for  $y(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ ,  $F(\cdot, y(\cdot))$  is closed and measurable, then it has a selection. Thus  $S_{F, y(\cdot)}$  is nonempty. Let us transform the problem into a fixed point problem. Consider the multivalued map  $T : \mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})}$  defined

as follows: for  $y(\cdot) \in L^1([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ ,  $T(y(\cdot))$  is the set of all  $z(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ , such that

$$z(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} g(s) ds + \frac{t^{n-1}}{1-\eta^{n-1}} \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} g(s) ds \\ - \frac{t^{n-1}}{1-\eta^{n-1}} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} g(s) ds,$$

where  $g \in S_{F, y(\cdot)}$ .

We shall show that  $T$  satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 2.2. The proof will be given in two steps:

**Step 1:**  $T$  has non-empty closed values. Indeed, let  $(y_p(\cdot))_{p \geq 0} \in T(y(\cdot))$  converges to  $\bar{y}(\cdot)$  in  $\mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ . Then  $\bar{y}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  and for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$y_p(t) \in \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} F(s, y(s)) ds + \frac{t^{n-1}}{1-\eta^{n-1}} \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} F(s, y(s)) ds \\ - \frac{t^{n-1}}{1-\eta^{n-1}} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} F(s, y(s)) ds.$$

Since the sets

$$\int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} F(s, y(s)) ds, \quad \frac{t^{n-1}}{1-\eta^{n-1}} \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} F(s, y(s)) ds, \\ \frac{t^{n-1}}{1-\eta^{n-1}} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} F(s, y(s)) ds$$

are closed for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\bar{y}(t) \in \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} F(s, y(s)) ds + \frac{t^{n-1}}{1-\eta^{n-1}} \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} F(s, y(s)) ds \\ - \frac{t^{n-1}}{1-\eta^{n-1}} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} F(s, y(s)) ds.$$

Then  $\bar{y}(\cdot) \in T(y(\cdot))$ . So  $T(y(\cdot))$  is closed for each  $y(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ .

**Step 2:**  $T$  is a contraction. Indeed, let  $y_1(\cdot), y_2(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  and  $z_1(\cdot) \in T(y_1(\cdot))$ . Then

$$z_1(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} g_1(s) ds + \frac{t^{n-1}}{1-\eta^{n-1}} \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} g_1(s) ds \\ - \frac{t^{n-1}}{1-\eta^{n-1}} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} g_1(s) ds,$$

where  $g_1 \in S_{F, y_1(\cdot)}$ . Consider the multivalued map  $U : [0, 1] \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}}$ , defined by

$$U(t) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |g_1(t) - x| \leq m(t)|y_1(t) - y_2(t)|\}.$$

For each  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $U(t)$  is nonempty. Indeed, let  $t \in [0, 1]$ , from (H1) we have

$$H(F(t, y_1(t)), F(t, y_2(t))) \leq m(t)|y_1(t) - y_2(t)|.$$

Hence, there exists  $x \in F(t, y_2(t))$ , such that

$$|g_1(t) - x| \leq m(t)|y_1(t) - y_2(t)|.$$

By [2, Proposition III.4], the multifunction

$$V : t \rightarrow U(t) \cap F(t, y_2(t)) \tag{3.1}$$

is measurable. Then there exists a measurable selection of  $V$  denoted  $g_2$  such that  $g_2(t) \in F(t, y_2(t))$  and  $|g_1(t) - g_2(t)| \leq m(t)|y_1(t) - y_2(t)|$ , for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

Now, for  $t \in [0, 1]$  set

$$z_2(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} g_2(s) ds + \frac{t^{n-1}}{1-\eta^{n-1}} \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} g_2(s) ds - \frac{t^{n-1}}{1-\eta^{n-1}} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} g_2(s) ds.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |z_1(t) - z_2(t)| &\leq \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} |g_1(s) - g_2(s)| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{t^{n-1}}{1-\eta^{n-1}} \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} |g_1(s) - g_2(s)| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{t^{n-1}}{1-\eta^{n-1}} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} |g_1(s) - g_2(s)| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} m(s) |y_1(s) - y_2(s)| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{t^{n-1}}{1-\eta^{n-1}} \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} m(s) |y_1(s) - y_2(s)| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{t^{n-1}}{1-\eta^{n-1}} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} m(s) |y_1(s) - y_2(s)| ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \|y_1(\cdot) - y_2(\cdot)\|_\infty \int_0^1 m(s) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{(1-\eta^{n-1})(n-1)!} \|y_1(\cdot) - y_2(\cdot)\|_\infty \int_0^\eta m(s) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{(1-\eta^{n-1})(n-1)!} \|y_1(\cdot) - y_2(\cdot)\|_\infty \int_0^1 m(s) ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \left( L(1) + \frac{L(\eta) + L(1)}{1-\eta^{n-1}} \right) \|y_1(\cdot) - y_2(\cdot)\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

So, we conclude that

$$\|z_1(\cdot) - z_2(\cdot)\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \left( L(1) + \frac{L(\eta) + L(1)}{1-\eta^{n-1}} \right) \|y_1(\cdot) - y_2(\cdot)\|_\infty.$$

By the analogous relation, obtained by interchanging the roles of  $y_1(\cdot)$  and  $y_2(\cdot)$ , it follows that

$$H(T(y_1(\cdot)), T(y_2(\cdot))) \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \left( L(1) + \frac{L(\eta) + L(1)}{1-\eta^{n-1}} \right) \|y_1(\cdot) - y_2(\cdot)\|_\infty.$$

Consequently,  $T$  is a contraction. Hence, by Lemma 2.2,  $T$  has a fixed point  $y(\cdot)$ .

**Proposition 3.1.**  $y(\cdot)$  is a solution of (1.1).

*Proof.* We have

$$y(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} g(s) ds + \frac{t^{n-1}}{1-\eta^{n-1}} \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} g(s) ds$$

$$- \frac{t^{n-1}}{1-\eta^{n-1}} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} g(s) ds,$$

where  $g \in S_{F,y(\cdot)}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} y(\eta) &= \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} g(s) ds + \frac{\eta^{n-1}}{1-\eta^{n-1}} \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} g(s) ds \\ &\quad - \frac{\eta^{n-1}}{1-\eta^{n-1}} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} g(s) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\eta^{n-1}} \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} g(s) ds - \frac{\eta^{n-1}}{1-\eta^{n-1}} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} g(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} y(1) &= \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} g(s) ds + \frac{1}{1-\eta^{n-1}} \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} g(s) ds \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{1-\eta^{n-1}} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} g(s) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\eta^{n-1}} \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} g(s) ds - \frac{\eta^{n-1}}{1-\eta^{n-1}} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} g(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

hence  $y(1) = y(\eta)$ . On the other hand, for  $0 \leq i \leq n-2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} y^{(i)}(t) &= \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-i-1}}{(n-i-1)!} g(s) ds + \frac{(n-1)\dots(n-i)t^{n-i-1}}{1-\eta^{n-1}} \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} g(s) ds \\ &\quad - \frac{(n-1)\dots(n-i)t^{n-i-1}}{1-\eta^{n-1}} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} g(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

hence  $y^{(i)}(0) = 0$ . Finally, it is clear that  $y^{(n)}(t) = g(t)$ , so  $y^{(n)}(t) \in F(t, y(t))$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 2.6.** We transform the problem into a fixed point problem. For  $t \in [0, 1]$ , set

$$\psi_n^g(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} g(s) ds + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \varphi_n^{(k)}(t) \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^k}{k!} g(s) ds,$$

where  $g \in S_{F,y(\cdot)}$ . Consider the multivalued map,  $T : \mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})}$  defined as follows: for  $y(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ ,

$$T(y(\cdot)) := \{z(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) : z(t) = \psi_n^g(t) + \frac{1+t}{1-\tau} (\psi_n^g(\tau) - \psi_n^g(1))\}.$$

We shall show that  $T$  satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 2.2. The proof will be given in two steps:

**Step 1:**  $T$  has non-empty closed values. Indeed, let  $(y_p(\cdot))_{p \geq 0} \in T(y(\cdot))$  converges to  $\bar{y}(\cdot)$  in  $\mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ . Then  $\bar{y}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  and for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} y_p(t) &\in \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} F(s, y(s)) ds + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \varphi_n^{(k)}(t) \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^k}{k!} F(s, y(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1+t}{1-\tau} \left[ \int_0^\tau \frac{(\tau-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} F(s, y(s)) ds \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \varphi_n^{(k)}(\tau) \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^k}{k!} F(s, y(s)) ds \\
& - \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} F(s, y(s)) ds - \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \varphi_n^{(k)}(1) \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^k}{k!} F(s, y(s)) ds \Big].
\end{aligned}$$

Since the set

$$\int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^k}{k!} F(s, y(s)) ds$$

is closed for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{y}(t) \in & \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} F(s, y(s)) ds + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \varphi_n^{(k)}(t) \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^k}{k!} F(s, y(s)) ds \\
& + \frac{1+t}{1-\tau} \left[ \int_0^\tau \frac{(\tau-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} F(s, y(s)) ds \right. \\
& + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \varphi_n^{(k)}(\tau) \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^k}{k!} F(s, y(s)) ds \\
& \left. - \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} F(s, y(s)) ds - \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \varphi_n^{(k)}(1) \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^k}{k!} F(s, y(s)) ds \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Then  $\bar{y}(\cdot) \in T(y(\cdot))$ . So  $T(y(\cdot))$  is closed for each  $y(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ .

**Step 2:**  $T$  is a contraction. Indeed, let  $y_1(\cdot), y_2(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  and  $z_1(\cdot) \in T(y_1(\cdot))$ . Then

$$z_1(t) = \psi_n^{g_1}(t) + \frac{1+t}{1-\tau} (\psi_n^{g_1}(\tau) - \psi_n^{g_1}(1)),$$

where  $g_1 \in S_{F, y_1(\cdot)}$ . By (3.1), there exists  $g_2$  such that

$$g_2(t) \in F(t, y_2(t)) \quad \text{and} \quad |g_1(t) - g_2(t)| \leq m(t)|y_1(t) - y_2(t)|, \quad \text{for each } t \in [0, 1].$$

Now, set for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$z_2(t) = \psi_n^{g_2}(t) + \frac{1+t}{1-\tau} (\psi_n^{g_2}(\tau) - \psi_n^{g_2}(1)).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\psi_n^{g_2}(t) - \psi_n^{g_1}(t)| & \leq \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} |g_1(s) - g_2(s)| ds \\
& + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \varphi_n^{(k)}(t) \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^k}{k!} |g_1(s) - g_2(s)| ds \\
& \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^t m(s) |y_1(s) - y_2(s)| ds \\
& + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \varphi_n^{(k)}(t) \frac{1}{k!} \int_0^\eta m(s) |y_1(s) - y_2(s)| ds \\
& \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \|y_1(\cdot) - y_2(\cdot)\|_\infty \int_0^t m(s) ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \varphi_n^{(k)}(t) \frac{1}{k!} \|y_1(\cdot) - y_2(\cdot)\|_\infty \int_0^\eta m(s) ds \\ & \leq \left( \frac{L(1)}{(n-1)!} + L(\eta) \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{\varphi_n^{(k)}(1)}{k!} \right) \|y_1(\cdot) - y_2(\cdot)\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by (c)

$$\begin{aligned} |z_2(t) - z_1(t)| & \leq |\psi_n^{g_2}(t) - \psi_n^{g_1}(t)| + \frac{1+t}{1-\tau} \left[ |\psi_n^{g_2}(\tau) - \psi_n^{g_1}(\tau)| + |\psi_n^{g_2}(1) - \psi_n^{g_1}(1)| \right] \\ & \leq \left( \frac{L(1)}{(n-1)!} + L(\eta) \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{\varphi_n^{(k)}(1)}{k!} \right) \|y_1(\cdot) - y_2(\cdot)\|_\infty \\ & \quad + \frac{2}{1-\tau} \left[ \left( \frac{L(\tau)}{(n-1)!} + L(\eta) \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{\varphi_n^{(k)}(\tau)}{k!} \right) \|y_1(\cdot) - y_2(\cdot)\|_\infty \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left( \frac{L(1)}{(n-1)!} + L(\eta) \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{\varphi_n^{(k)}(1)}{k!} \right) \|y_1(\cdot) - y_2(\cdot)\|_\infty \right] \\ & \leq \left[ \frac{(3-\tau)L(1) + 2L(\tau)}{(1-\tau)(n-1)!} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{L(\eta)}{(1-\tau)k!} [(3-\tau)\varphi_n^{(k)}(1) + 2\varphi_n^{(k)}(\tau)] \right] \|y_1(\cdot) - y_2(\cdot)\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

By the analogous relation, obtained by interchanging the roles of  $y_1(\cdot)$  and  $y_2(\cdot)$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} H(T(y_1(\cdot)), T(y_2(\cdot))) & \leq \left[ \frac{(3-\tau)L(1) + 2L(\tau)}{(1-\tau)(n-1)!} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{L(\eta)}{(1-\tau)k!} [(3-\tau)\varphi_n^{(k)}(1) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 2\varphi_n^{(k)}(\tau)] \right] \|y_1(\cdot) - y_2(\cdot)\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $T$  is a contraction. Thus, by Lemma 2.2,  $T$  has a fixed point  $y(\cdot)$ .

**Proposition 3.2.**  $y(\cdot)$  is a solution of (1.2) and (1.3).

*Proof.* We have

$$y(t) = \psi_n^g(t) + \frac{1+t}{1-\tau} (\psi_n^g(\tau) - \psi_n^g(1)),$$

where  $g \in S_{F,y(\cdot)}$ . Then

$$y(1) = \psi_n^g(1) + \frac{2}{1-\tau} (\psi_n^g(\tau) - \psi_n^g(1)) = \frac{-1-\tau}{1-\tau} \psi_n^g(1) + \frac{2}{1-\tau} \psi_n^g(\tau)$$

and

$$y(\tau) = \psi_n^g(\tau) + \frac{1+\tau}{1-\tau} (\psi_n^g(\tau) - \psi_n^g(1)) = \frac{-1-\tau}{1-\tau} \psi_n^g(1) + \frac{2}{1-\tau} \psi_n^g(\tau),$$

hence  $y(1) = y(\tau)$ . On the other hand, for  $0 \leq i \leq n-2$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$[\psi_n^g]^{(i)}(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-i-1}}{(n-i-1)!} g(s) ds + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \varphi_n^{(k+i)}(t) \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^k}{k!} g(s) ds$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-i-1}}{(n-i-1)!} g(s) ds + \sum_{l=i}^{n+i-2} \varphi_n^{(l)}(t) \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{l-i}}{(l-i)!} g(s) ds \\
&= \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-i-1}}{(n-i-1)!} g(s) ds + \sum_{l=i}^{n-2} \varphi_n^{(l)}(t) \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{l-i}}{(l-i)!} g(s) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, by (a) and (b)

$$\begin{aligned}
[\psi_n^g]^{(i)}(0) &= \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{n-i-2}}{(n-i-2)!} g(s) ds + \sum_{l=i}^{n-3} \varphi_n^{(l)}(0) \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{l-i}}{(l-i)!} g(s) ds \\
&= \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{n-i-2}}{(n-i-2)!} g(s) ds + \sum_{l=i}^{n-3} \varphi_{n-l-1}(\eta) \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{l-i}}{(l-i)!} g(s) ds
\end{aligned}$$

and by (a)

$$\begin{aligned}
[\psi_n^g]^{(i+1)}(\eta) &= \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{n-i-2}}{(n-i-2)!} g(s) ds + \sum_{l=i}^{n-3} \varphi_n^{(l+1)}(\eta) \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{l-i}}{(l-i)!} g(s) ds \\
&= \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{n-i-2}}{(n-i-2)!} g(s) ds + \sum_{l=i}^{n-3} \varphi_{n-l-1}(\eta) \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{l-i}}{(l-i)!} g(s) ds,
\end{aligned}$$

consequently

$$[\psi_n^g]^{(i+1)}(\eta) = [\psi_n^g]^{(i)}(0), \quad (3.2)$$

which implies that  $y(0) = y'(\eta)$  and  $y^{(i)}(0) = y^{(i+1)}(\eta)$  for  $2 \leq i \leq n-2$  whenever if  $n \geq 4$ . Finally, it is clear that  $y^{(n)}(t) = g(t)$ , hence  $y^{(n)}(t) \in F(t, y(t))$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.7.* Consider the multivalued map  $T : \mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})}$  defined as follows: for  $y(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ ,

$$T(y(\cdot)) := \{z(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) : z(t) = \psi_n^g(t)\}.$$

We shall show that  $T$  satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 2.2. The proof will be given in two steps:

**Step 1:**  $T$  has non-empty closed values. Indeed, let  $(y_p(\cdot))_{p \geq 0} \in T(y(\cdot))$  converges to  $\bar{y}(\cdot)$  in  $\mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ . Then  $\bar{y}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  and for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$y_p(t) \in \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} F(s, y(s)) ds + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \varphi_n^{(k)}(t) \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^k}{k!} F(s, y(s)) ds.$$

Since the set

$$\int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^k}{k!} F(s, y(s)) ds$$

is closed for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ , we have

$$\bar{y}(t) \in \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} F(s, y(s)) ds + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \varphi_n^{(k)}(t) \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^k}{k!} F(s, y(s)) ds.$$

Then  $\bar{y}(\cdot) \in T(y(\cdot))$ . So  $T(y(\cdot))$  is closed for each  $y(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ .

**Step 2:**  $T$  is a contraction. Indeed, let  $y_1(\cdot), y_2(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  and  $z_1(\cdot) \in T(y_1(\cdot))$ . Then

$$z_1(t) = \psi_n^{g_1}(t),$$

where  $g_1 \in S_{F, y_1(\cdot)}$ . By (3.1), there exists  $g_2$  such that

$$g_2(t) \in F(t, y_2(t)) \quad \text{and} \quad |g_1(t) - g_2(t)| \leq m(t)|y_1(t) - y_2(t)|, \quad \text{for each } t \in [0, 1].$$

Now, for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we set  $z_2(t) = \psi_n^{g_2}(t)$ .

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_n^{g_2}(t) - \psi_n^{g_1}(t)| &\leq \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} |g_1(s) - g_2(s)| ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \varphi_n^{(k)}(t) \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^k}{k!} |g_1(s) - g_2(s)| ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^t m(s) |y_1(s) - y_2(s)| ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \varphi_n^{(k)}(t) \frac{1}{k!} \int_0^\eta m(s) |y_1(s) - y_2(s)| ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \|y_1(\cdot) - y_2(\cdot)\|_\infty \int_0^t m(s) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \varphi_n^{(k)}(t) \frac{1}{k!} \|y_1(\cdot) - y_2(\cdot)\|_\infty \int_0^\eta m(s) ds \\ &\leq \left( \frac{L(t)}{(n-1)!} + L(\eta) \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{\varphi_n^{(k)}(t)}{k!} \right) \|y_1(\cdot) - y_2(\cdot)\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by (c)

$$|z_2(t) - z_1(t)| \leq \left( \frac{L(1)}{(n-1)!} + L(\eta) \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{\varphi_n^{(k)}(1)}{k!} \right) \|y_1(\cdot) - y_2(\cdot)\|_\infty.$$

By the analogous relation, obtained by interchanging the roles of  $y_1(\cdot)$  and  $y_2(\cdot)$ , it follows that

$$H(T(y_1(\cdot)), T(y_2(\cdot))) \leq \left( \frac{L(1)}{(n-1)!} + L(\eta) \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{\varphi_n^{(k)}(1)}{k!} \right) \|y_1(\cdot) - y_2(\cdot)\|_\infty.$$

Consequently,  $T$  is a contraction. Hence, by Lemma 2.2,  $T$  has a fixed point  $y(\cdot)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.**  $y(\cdot)$  is a solution of (1.4).

*Proof.* By (3.2), we have  $y^{(i)}(0) = y^{(i+1)}(\eta)$ , for  $0 \leq i \leq n-2$ . Since  $y^{(n)}(t) = g(t)$ , we have  $y^{(n)}(t) \in F(t, y(t))$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Boucherif and S. M. Bouguima; *Nonlinear second order ordinary differential equations with nonlocal boundary conditions*, Commu. Appl. Nonl. Anal., 5(2), (1998), 73-85.
- [2] C. Castaing and M. Valadier; *Convex Analysis and Measurable Multifunctions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 580, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, (1977).
- [3] H. Covitz and S. B. Jr. Nadler; *Multivalued contraction mappings in generalized metric spaces*, Israel J. Math., 8, (1970), 5-11.
- [4] M. Benchohra and S. K. Ntouyas; *A note on a three-point boundary-value problem for second order differential inclusions*, Mathematical Notes, Miskolc. 2, (2001), 39-47.
- [5] M. Benchohra and S. K. Ntouyas; *Multi-point boundary-value problems for second order differential inclusions*, Math. Vesnik, to appear.

- [6] M. Benchohra and S. K. Ntouyas; *On three and for point boundary-value problems for second order differential inclusions*, Mathematical Notes, Miskolc. 2, (2001), 93-101.
- [7] Q. Zhu; *On the solution set differential inclusions in Banach spaces*, J. Diff. eqs., No. 41, (2001), 1-8.
- [8] S. A. Marano; *A remark on a second order three-point boundary-value problem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 183, (1994), 518-522.

MYELKEBIR AITALIOUBRAHIM  
UNIVERSITY HASSAN II-MOHAMMEDIA, U.F.R MATHEMATICS AND APPLICATIONS, F.S.T, BP 146,  
MOHAMMEDIA, MOROCCO  
*E-mail address:* [aitalifr@yahoo.fr](mailto:aitalifr@yahoo.fr)

SAID SAJID  
UNIVERSITY HASSAN II-MOHAMMEDIA, U.F.R MATHEMATICS AND APPLICATIONS, F.S.T, BP 146,  
MOHAMMEDIA, MOROCCO  
*E-mail address:* [saidajid@hotmail.com](mailto:saidajid@hotmail.com)