

## MULTIPLE SIGN-CHANGING SOLUTIONS FOR SOME M-POINT BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEMS

XIAN XU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we show existence results for multiple sign-changing solutions for m-point boundary-value problems. We use fixed point index and Leray-Schauder degree methods.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the second-order multi-point boundary-value problem

$$\begin{aligned}y''(t) + f(y) &= 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ y(0) = 0, \quad y(1) &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i y(\eta_i),\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

where  $0 < \alpha_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m-2, 0 < \eta_1 < \eta_2 < \dots < \eta_{m-2} < 1, f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ .

The multi-point boundary-value problems for ordinary differential equations arise in different areas of applied mathematics and physics. For examples, the vibrations of a guy wire of uniform cross-section and composed of  $N$  parts of different densities can be set up as a multi-point boundary-value problem (see [11]), many problems in the theory of elastic stability can be handled as multi-point problems (see [13]). Recently, there is much attention focused on the existence of nontrivial or positive solutions of the nonlinear multi-point boundary-value problems (see [3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17] and the references therein). For example, Ruyun Ma [9] considered the m-point boundary-value problem

$$\begin{aligned}u''(t) + a(t)f(u) &= 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ u'(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} b_i u'(\xi_i), \quad u(1) &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i u(\xi_i),\end{aligned}\tag{1.2}$$

where  $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ ,  $\xi_i \in (0, 1)$  with  $0 < \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \dots < \xi_{m-2} < 1, a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$  with  $0 < \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i < 1$ , and  $0 < \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} b_i < 1$ . Set

$$f_0 = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(u)}{u}, \quad f_\infty = \lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(u)}{u}.$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34B15, 34B25.

*Key words and phrases.* m-point boundary-value problem; sign-changing solution; fixed point index.

©2004 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted April 26, 2004. Published July 5, 2004.

Then  $f_0 = 0$  and  $f_\infty = \infty$  correspond to the super-linear case, and  $f_0 = \infty$  and  $f_\infty = 0$  correspond to the sub-linear case. By applying the fixed point theorem in cones, Ruyun Ma [9] showed that the  $m$ -point boundary value problem (1.2) has at least one positive solution if  $f$  is either super-linear or sub-linear.

In this paper, we shall study the cases  $f_0, f_\infty \notin \{0, +\infty\}$ . In these cases, the  $m$ -point boundary-value problem (1.1) may have sign-changing solutions. Quite recently, the existence and qualitative properties of sign-changing solutions for elliptic boundary-value problems have been extensively studied. To the author's knowledge, however, there were fewer papers considered the sign-changing solutions for multi-point boundary value problems. The purpose of this paper is to give some existence results for multiple sign-changing solution for  $m$ -point boundary value problem (1.1). We shall follow the idea employed in [8] by Liu. To show the main result in this paper we need to study the the spectrum properties of the linear operator related the  $m$ -point boundary-value problem (1.1). Gupta and Sergej Trofimchuk [4] studied the problem of existence of solutions for the three-point boundary-value problem

$$\begin{aligned} x''(t) &= f(t, x(t), x'(t)), \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ x(0) &= 0, \quad x(1) = \alpha x(\eta), \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha \leq 1$  and  $\eta \in (0, 1)$  are given. Using the spectrum radius of some related linear operators, the authors proved some existence results for nontrivial solutions of the three-point boundary-value problem (1.3).

We shall organize this paper as follows. In §2 some preliminary results are given including the study of the eigenvalues of the linear operator  $A'(\theta)$  and  $A'(\infty)$ . In §3 by using the fixed point index and Leray-Schauder degree method, we will prove the main result.

## 2. PRELIMINARY LEMMAS

From [1, Theorem 2.3.1], we have the following definition. Let  $X$  be a retract of real Banach space  $E$ ,  $U$  be a relatively bounded open subset of  $X$ ,  $A : D \mapsto X$  be completely continuous operator. The integer  $i(A, U, X)$  be defined by

$$i(A, U, X) = \deg(I - A \cdot r, B(\theta, R) \cap r^{-1}(U), \theta),$$

where  $r : E \mapsto X$  is an arbitrary retraction and  $R > 0$  such that  $B(\theta, R) \supset U$ . Then the integer  $i(A, U, X)$  be called the fixed point index of  $A$  on  $U$  with respect to  $X$ .

Set

$$\beta_0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x)}{x}, \quad \beta_1 = \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{x}.$$

Let us list some conditions to be used in this paper.

(H0) Assume that the sequence of positive solutions of the equation

$$\sin \sqrt{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \sin \eta_i \sqrt{x}$$

is  $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_n < \lambda_{n+1} < \dots$ .

(H1)  $0 < \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i < 1$ ,  $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $xf(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ .

(H2) There exist positive integers  $n_0$  and  $n_1$  such that

$$\lambda_{2n_0} < \beta_0 < \lambda_{2n_0+1}, \quad \lambda_{2n_1} < \beta_1 < \lambda_{2n_1+1}.$$

(H3) There exists  $C_0 > 0$  such that

$$|f(x)| < \frac{2(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i)}{5 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i} C_0,$$

for all  $x$  with  $|x| \leq C_0$ .

The main result of this paper is the following.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Suppose that (H0)–(H3) hold. Then the  $m$ -point boundary-value problem (1.1) has at least two sign-changing solutions. Moreover, the  $m$ -point boundary-value problem (1.1) also has at least two positive solutions and two negative solutions.*

Before giving the proof of Theorem 2.1, we list some preliminary lemmas. Let

$$E = \{x \in C^1[0, 1] : x(0) = 0, x(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i x(\eta_i)\}$$

$$P = \{x \in E : x(t) \geq 0 \text{ for } t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

For  $x \in E$ , let  $\|x\| = \|x\|_0 + \|x'\|_0$ , where  $\|x\|_0 = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |x(t)|$  and  $\|x'\|_0 = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |x'(t)|$ . It is easy to show that  $E$  is a Banach space with the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $P$  is a cone of  $E$ . Let the operators  $K$ ,  $F$  and  $A$  be defined by

$$(Kx)(t) = \frac{t}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i} \int_0^1 (1-s)x(s)ds - \int_0^t (t-s)x(s)ds$$

$$- \frac{t}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_i} (\eta_i - s)x(s)ds, \quad t \in [0, 1], x \in E, \quad (2.1)$$

$(Fx)(t) = f(x(t))$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $x \in E$  and  $A = KF$ .

From [1, Lemma 2.3.1], we get the following Lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\theta \in \Omega$  and  $A : P \cap \bar{\Omega} \mapsto P$  be condensing. Suppose that*

$$Ax \neq \mu x, \quad \forall x \in P \cap \partial\Omega, \mu \geq 1.$$

*Then  $i(A, P \cap \Omega, P) = 1$ .*

From [2, Corollary 2, p.p.146], we have the following Lemma.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a open set in  $E$  and  $\theta \in \Omega$ ,  $A : \bar{\Omega} \mapsto E$  be completely continuous. Suppose that*

$$\|Ax\| \leq \|x\|, \quad Ax \neq x, \quad \forall x \in \partial\Omega.$$

*Then  $\deg(I - A, \Omega, \theta) = 1$ .*

**Remark** Obviously, Lemma 2.3 can also be directly obtained by the normality and homotopic invariance property of Leray-Schauder degree.

The following Lemma can be easily obtained.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Suppose that  $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i < 1$ . If  $u \in C[0, 1]$ , then  $y \in C^2[0, 1]$  is a solution the  $m$ -point boundary-value problem*

$$y''(t) + u(t) = 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1,$$

$$y(0) = 0, \quad y(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i y(\eta_i)$$

if and only if  $y \in C[0, 1]$  is a solution of the integral equation  $y(t) = (Ku)(t)$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

**Remark** By Lemma 2.4 we can easily show that  $A : E \mapsto E$  is a completely continuous operator.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Suppose that (H1) and (H2) hold. Then the operator  $A$  is Fréchet differentiable at  $\theta$  and  $\infty$ . Moreover,  $A'(\theta) = \beta_0 K$ , and  $A'(\infty) = \beta_1 K$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by (H2) there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $0 < |x| < \delta$ ,

$$\left| \frac{f(x)}{x} - \beta_0 \right| < \varepsilon,$$

that is  $|f(x) - \beta_0 x| < \varepsilon|x|$ , for all  $0 \leq |x| < \delta$ . Then, for any  $x \in E$  with  $\|x\| < \delta$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(Ax - A\theta - \beta_0 Kx)(t)| \\ &= |(K(Fx - \beta_0 x))(t)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i} \int_0^1 (1-s) \max_{s \in [0,1]} |f(x(s)) - \beta_0 x(s)| ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 (1-s) \max_{s \in [0,1]} |f(x(s)) - \beta_0 x(s)| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_i} (\eta_i - s) \max_{s \in [0,1]} |f(x(s)) - \beta_0 x(s)| ds \\ &\leq \left[ \frac{1}{2(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i)} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i^2}{2(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i)} \right] \|x\|_0 \varepsilon \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i} \|x\| \varepsilon, t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\|Ax - A\theta - \beta_0 Kx\|_0 \leq \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i} \|x\| \varepsilon, \quad x \in E, \|x\| < \delta. \quad (2.2)$$

Similarly, we can show that for any  $x \in E$ ,  $\|x\| < \delta$ ,

$$|(Ax - A\theta - \beta_0 Kx)'(t)| \leq \frac{3 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i}{2(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i)} \|x\| \varepsilon, \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

and so

$$\|(Ax - A\theta - \beta_0 Kx)'\|_0 \leq \frac{3 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i}{2(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i)} \|x\| \varepsilon, \quad x \in E, \|x\| < \delta. \quad (2.3)$$

By (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax - A\theta - \beta_0 Kx\| &= \|Ax - A\theta - \beta_0 Kx\|_0 + \|(Ax - A\theta - \beta_0 Kx)'\|_0 \\ &\leq \frac{5 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i}{2(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i)} \|x\| \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|Ax - A\theta - \beta_0 Kx\|}{\|x\|} = 0.$$

This means that  $A$  is Fréchet differentiable at  $\theta$ , and  $A'(\theta) = \beta_0 K$ .

For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by (H2), there exists  $R > 0$  such that

$$|f(x) - \beta_1 x| < \varepsilon|x|$$

for  $|x| > R$ . Let  $b = \max_{|x| \leq R} |f(x) - \beta_1 x|$ . Then we have for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$|f(x) - \beta_1 x| \leq \varepsilon|x| + b.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & |(Ax - \beta_1 Kx)(t)| \\ &= |(K(Fx - \beta_1 x))(t)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i} \int_0^1 (1-s) \max_{s \in [0,1]} |f(x(s)) - \beta_1 x(s)| ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 (1-s) \max_{s \in [0,1]} |f(x(s)) - \beta_1 x(s)| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_i} (\eta_i - s) \max_{s \in [0,1]} |f(x(s)) - \beta_1 x(s)| ds \\ &\leq \left[ \frac{1}{2(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i)} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i^2}{2(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i)} \right] (\varepsilon \|x\|_0 + b) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i} (\varepsilon \|x\| + b), \quad t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\|Ax - \beta_1 Kx\|_0 \leq \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i} (\varepsilon \|x\| + b), \quad x \in E. \quad (2.4)$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$\|(Ax - \beta_1 Kx)'\|_0 \leq \frac{3 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i}{2(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i)} (\varepsilon \|x\| + b), \quad x \in E. \quad (2.5)$$

By (2.4) and (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax - \beta_1 Kx\| &= \|Ax - \beta_1 Kx\|_0 + \|(Ax - \beta_1 Kx)'\|_0 \\ &\leq \frac{5 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i}{2(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i)} (\varepsilon \|x\| + b). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|Ax - \beta_1 Kx\|}{\|x\|} = 0.$$

This means that  $A$  is Fréchet differentiable at  $\infty$ , and  $A'(\infty) = \beta_1 K$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** *Suppose that (H0) and (H1) hold. Let  $\beta$  be a positive number. Then the sequence of positive eigenvalues of the operator  $\beta K$  is*

$$\frac{\beta}{\lambda_1} > \frac{\beta}{\lambda_2} > \dots > \frac{\beta}{\lambda_n} \dots$$

Moreover, the positive eigenvalues  $\frac{\beta}{\lambda_n}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) have algebraic multiplicity one.

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{\lambda}$  be a positive eigenvalue of the linear operator  $\beta K$ , and  $y \in E \setminus \{\theta\}$  be an eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\bar{\lambda}$ . By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y''(t) + \frac{\beta}{\bar{\lambda}}y(t) &= 0, 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ y(0) = 0, \quad y(1) &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i y(\eta_i). \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

The auxiliary equation of the differential equation (2.6) has roots  $\pm\sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\bar{\lambda}}}i$ . Thus the general solution of (2.6) is of the form

$$y(t) = C_1 \cos t\sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\bar{\lambda}}} + C_2 \sin t\sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\bar{\lambda}}}, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Applying the condition  $y(0) = 0$ , we obtain that  $C_1 = 0$ , and so the general solution can be reduce to

$$y(t) = C_2 \sin t\sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\bar{\lambda}}}, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Applying the second condition  $y(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i y(\eta_i)$ , we obtain that

$$\sin \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\bar{\lambda}}} = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \sin \eta_i \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\bar{\lambda}}}.$$

Since the positive solutions of the equation  $\sin \sqrt{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \sin \eta_i \sqrt{x}$  are  $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots$ , then  $\bar{\lambda}$  is one of the values

$$\frac{\beta}{\lambda_1} > \frac{\beta}{\lambda_2} > \dots > \frac{\beta}{\lambda_n} \dots$$

and the eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\frac{\beta}{\lambda_n}$  is

$$y_n(t) = C \sin t\sqrt{\lambda_n}, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

where  $C$  is a nonzero constant. By ordinary method, we can show that any two eigenfunctions corresponding to the same eigenvalue  $\frac{\beta}{\lambda_n}$  are merely nonzero constant multiples of each other. Consequently,

$$\dim \ker\left(\frac{\beta}{\lambda_n}I - \beta K\right) = \dim \ker(I - \lambda_n K) = 1. \tag{2.7}$$

Now we show that

$$\ker(I - \lambda_n K) = \ker(I - \lambda_n K)^2. \tag{2.8}$$

Obviously, we need to show only that

$$\ker(I - \lambda_n K)^2 \subset \ker(I - \lambda_n K).$$

For any  $y \in \ker(I - \lambda_n K)^2$ ,  $(I - \lambda_n K)y$  is an eigenfunction of linear operator  $\beta K$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\frac{\beta}{\lambda_n}$  if  $(I - \lambda_n K)y \neq \theta$ . Then there exists nonzero constant  $\gamma$  such that

$$(I - \lambda_n K)y = \gamma \sin t\sqrt{\lambda_n}, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

By direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y''(t) + \lambda_n y &= -\lambda_n \gamma \sin t \sqrt{\lambda_n}, \quad t \in [0, 1], \\ y(0) &= 0, \quad y(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i y(\eta_i). \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

It is easy to see that the general solutions of (2.9) is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) &= C_1 \cos t \sqrt{\lambda_n} + C_2 \sin t \sqrt{\lambda_n} + \left( \frac{\gamma t \sqrt{\lambda_n}}{2} - \frac{\gamma}{4} \sin 2t \sqrt{\lambda_n} \right) \cos t \sqrt{\lambda_n} \\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma}{4} \cos 2t \sqrt{\lambda_n} \cdot \sin t \sqrt{\lambda_n}, \quad t \in [0, 1], \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_1, C_2$  are two nonzero constants. Applying the condition  $y(0) = 0$ , we obtain that  $C_1 = 0$ . Since  $\sin \sqrt{\lambda_n} = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \sin \eta_i \sqrt{\lambda_n}$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} y(1) &= C_2 \sin \sqrt{\lambda_n} + \left( \frac{\gamma \sqrt{\lambda_n}}{2} - \frac{\gamma}{4} \sin 2\sqrt{\lambda_n} \right) \cos \sqrt{\lambda_n} + \frac{\gamma}{4} \cos 2\sqrt{\lambda_n} \cdot \sin \sqrt{\lambda_n} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i C_2 \sin \eta_i \sqrt{\lambda_n} + \frac{\gamma \sqrt{\lambda_n}}{2} \cos \sqrt{\lambda_n} - \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \sin \eta_i \sqrt{\lambda_n} \cos^2 \sqrt{\lambda_n} \\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \cos 2\sqrt{\lambda_n} \sin \eta_i \sqrt{\lambda_n}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i y(\eta_i) &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i C_2 \sin \eta_i \sqrt{\lambda_n} + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \left( \frac{\gamma \alpha_i \eta_i \sqrt{\lambda_n}}{2} - \frac{\gamma \alpha_i}{4} \sin 2\eta_i \sqrt{\lambda_n} \right) \cos \eta_i \sqrt{\lambda_n} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \frac{\gamma \alpha_i}{4} \cos 2\eta_i \sqrt{\lambda_n} \cdot \sin \eta_i \sqrt{\lambda_n}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Since  $y(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i y(\eta_i)$ , by (2.10) and (2.11), we have

$$\cos \sqrt{\lambda_n} = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i \cos \eta_i \sqrt{\lambda_n}.$$

By the Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \sin^2 \sqrt{\lambda_n} &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i \cos \eta_i \sqrt{\lambda_n} \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \eta_i^2 \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i^2 \cos^2 \eta_i \sqrt{\lambda_n} \right) \\ &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \eta_i^2 \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i^2 \right) - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \eta_i^2 \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i^2 \sin^2 \eta_i \sqrt{\lambda_n} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the condition  $\sin \sqrt{\lambda_n} = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \sin \eta_i \sqrt{\lambda_n}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \eta_i^2\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i^2\right) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \sin \eta_i \sqrt{\lambda_n}\right)^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \eta_i^2\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i^2 \sin^2 \eta_i \sqrt{\lambda_n}\right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \eta_i^2\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i^2\right) + \left(1 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \eta_i^2\right)\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i^2 \sin^2 \eta_i \sqrt{\lambda_n}\right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i \neq j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \sin \eta_i \sqrt{\lambda_n} \sin \eta_j \sqrt{\lambda_n} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \eta_i^2\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i^2\right) + \left(1 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \eta_i^2\right)\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i^2\right) + \sum_{i \neq j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i\right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction of  $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i < 1$ . Thus, (2.8) holds. It follows from (2.7) and (2.8) that the algebraic multiplicity of the eigenvalue  $\frac{\beta}{\lambda_n}$  is 1. The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7.** *Suppose that (H0) and (H1) hold and  $y \in P \setminus \{\theta\}$  is a solution of the boundary-value problem (1.1). Then  $y \in \mathring{P}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $y''(t) = -f(y(t)) \leq 0$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , then  $y$  is a concave function on  $[0, 1]$ . For all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-2\}$ , we have from the concavity of  $y$  that

$$y(t) \leq \frac{y(1) - y(\eta_i)}{1 - \eta_i} (t - 1) + y(1), \quad t \in [0, \eta_1]$$

that is  $y(t)(1 - \eta_i) \leq (y(1) - y(\eta_i))(t - 1) + y(1)(1 - \eta_i)$ ,  $t \in [0, \eta_1]$ . This together with the boundary condition  $y(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i y(\eta_i)$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) &\leq y(1) \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i (1 - \eta_i) + (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i)(1 - t)}{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i (1 - \eta_i)} \\ &\leq y(1) \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i (1 - \eta_i) + (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i (1 - \eta_i)} \\ &= y(1) \frac{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i (1 - \eta_i)}, \quad t \in [0, \eta_1]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

From the concavity of  $y$  and this inequality, we have

$$y(t) \leq \frac{y(\eta_1)}{\eta_1} t \leq \frac{y(\eta_1)}{\eta_1} \leq y(1) \frac{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i (1 - \eta_i) \eta_1}, \quad t \in [\eta_1, 1]. \tag{2.13}$$

From this inequality and (2.12) it follows that

$$y(1) \geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i (1 - \eta_i) \eta_1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i} \|y\|_0.$$

Since  $y$  is a concave function on  $[0, 1]$ , we have

$$y(t) \geq (y(1) - y(0))t = y(1)t \geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i (1 - \eta_i) \eta_1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i} \|y\|_0 t, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \tag{2.14}$$



Consequently,

$$y'(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{y(t)}{t} \geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i(1 - \eta_i)\eta_1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i\eta_i} \|y\|_0 > 0.$$

Then there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\tau_1 > 0$  such that

$$y'(t) > \tau_1, \forall t \in [0, \varepsilon]. \tag{2.15}$$

By (2.14), there exists  $\tau_2 > 0$  such that

$$y(t) > \tau_2, \quad \forall t \in [\varepsilon, 1] \tag{2.16}$$

Let  $\tau = \min\{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$ . Then by (2.15) and (2.16), we obtain  $u(t) \geq 0, t \in [0, 1]$  for any  $u \in E$  with  $\|u - y\| < \tau$ . Therefore,  $B(y, \tau) \subset P$  and  $y \in \overset{\circ}{P}$ , where  $B(y, \tau) = \{x \in E : \|x - y\| < \tau\}$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

By [1, Lemmas 2.3.7, 2.3.8], we have the following Lemma.

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $A : P \mapsto P$  be completely continuous, Suppose that  $A$  is differentiable at  $\theta$  and  $\infty$  along  $P$  and 1 is not an eigenvalue of  $A'_+(\theta)$  and  $A'_+(\infty)$  corresponding to a positive eigenfunction.*

- (1) *If  $A'_+(\theta)$  has a positive eigenfunction corresponding to an eigenvalue greater than 1, and  $A\theta = \theta$ . Then there exists  $\tau > 0$  such that  $i(A, P \cap B(\theta, r), P) = 0$  for any  $0 < r < \tau$ .*
- (2) *If  $A'_+(\infty)$  has a positive eigenfunction which corresponds to an eigenvalue greater than 1. Then there exists  $\varsigma > 0$  such that  $i(A, P \cap B(\theta, R), P) = 0$  for any  $R > \varsigma$ .*

**Lemma 2.9.** *Suppose that (H0)–(H3) hold. Then*

- (1) *There exists  $C_0 > r_0 > 0$  such that for any  $0 < r \leq r_0$ ,*

$$i(A, P \cap B(\theta, r), P) = 0, \quad i(A, -P \cap B(\theta, r), -P) = 0$$

- (2) *There exists  $R_0 > C_0$  such that for any  $R \geq R_0$ ,*

$$i(A, P \cap B(\theta, R), P) = 0, \quad i(A, -P \cap B(\theta, R), -P) = 0.$$

*Proof.* We prove only conclusion (1). The same way, conclusion (2) can be proved. First we claim that  $K(P) \subset P$  and  $K(-P) \subset -P$ . Let  $x \in P$  be fixed and  $y = Kx$ . Obviously,  $y \in C^1[0, 1]$ . By direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y(1) &= \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i\eta_i} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \eta_i \int_0^1 (1-s)x(s)ds - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_i} (\eta_i - s)x(s)ds \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i\eta_i} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_i} (1 - \eta_i)sx(s) ds \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.4 that

$$y''(t) = -x(t) \leq 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]. \tag{2.18}$$

$$y(0) = 0, \quad y(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i y(\eta_i) \tag{2.19}$$

By (2.18), we see that  $y$  is a concave function on  $[0, 1]$ . Then the boundary condition (2.17) and (2.19) mean that  $y(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Therefore,  $y \in P$ , and so

$K(P) \subset P$ ,  $K(-P) \subset (-P)$ . Since  $xf(x) > 0$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , then we see that  $A(P) \subset P$  and  $A(-P) \subset (-P)$ .

It follows from Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6 that  $A'_+(\theta) = \beta_0 K$ ,  $\beta_0/\lambda_1 (> 1)$  is an eigenvalue of the linear operator  $\beta_0 K$  and the eigenfunction corresponding to  $\frac{\beta_0}{\lambda_1}$  is

$$y(t) = C \sin t \sqrt{\lambda_1}, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

where  $C$  is an arbitrary positive constant and  $\lambda_1$  is the smallest positive solution of the equation  $\sin \sqrt{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \sin \eta_i \sqrt{x}$ . Since

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sin \sqrt{x} - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \sin \eta_i \sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{x}} = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i > 0,$$

there exists  $\delta_0 \in (0, 1)$  small enough such that

$$\frac{\sin \sqrt{\delta_0} - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \sin \eta_i \sqrt{\delta_0}}{\sqrt{\delta_0}} \geq \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i\right) > 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\sin \sqrt{\pi^2} - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \sin \eta_i \sqrt{\pi^2} = - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \sin \eta_i \pi < 0.$$

Then, by the intermediate-value principle,  $\lambda_1 \in (\delta_0, \pi^2)$ . Consequently,

$$y(t) = C \sin t \sqrt{\lambda_1} \geq 0, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

It follows from Lemma 2.8 that there exists  $\tau_0 > 0$  such that  $i(A, P \cap B(\theta, r), P) = 0$  for any  $0 < r \leq \tau_0$ .

Similarly, we can show that there exists  $\tau_1 > 0$  such that  $i(A, -P \cap B(\theta, r), -P) = 0$  for any  $0 < r \leq \tau_1$ . Let  $r_0 = \min\{\tau_0, \tau_1\}$ . Then the conclusion (1) holds and the the proof is complete.  $\square$

From [6, Theorems 21.6, 21.2], we have the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 2.10.** *Let  $A$  be a completely continuous operator, let  $x_0 \in E$  be a fixed point of  $A$  and assume that  $A$  is defined in a neighborhood of  $x_0$  and Fréchet differentiable at  $x_0$ . If 1 is not an eigenvalue of the linear operator  $A'(x_0)$ , then  $x_0$  is an isolated singular point of the completely continuous vector field  $I - A$  and for small enough  $r > 0$*

$$\deg(I - A, B(x_0, r), \theta) = (-1)^k,$$

where  $k$  is the sum of the algebraic multiplicities of the real eigenvalues of  $A'(x_0)$  in  $(1, +\infty)$ .

**Lemma 2.11.** *Let  $A$  be a completely continuous operator which is defined on all  $E$ . Assume that 1 is not an eigenvalue of the asymptotic derivative. The completely continuous vector field  $I - A$  is then nonsingular on spheres  $S_\rho = \{x \mid \|x\| = \rho\}$  of sufficiently large radius  $\rho$  and*

$$\deg(I - A, B(\theta, \rho), \theta) = (-1)^k,$$

where  $k$  is the sum of the algebraic multiplicities of the real eigenvalues of  $A'(\infty)$  in  $(1, +\infty)$ .

## 3. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* From Lemma 2.4, a function  $y$  is a solution of the boundary-value problem (1.1) if and only if  $y$  is a fixed point of the operator  $A$ . By (H3), we have for any  $x \in E$ ,  $\|x\| = C_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & |(Ax)(t)| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i} \int_0^1 (1-s) \max_{s \in [0,1]} |f(x(s))| ds + \int_0^1 (1-s) \max_{s \in [0,1]} |f(x(s))| ds \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_i} (\eta_i - s) \max_{s \in [0,1]} |f(x(s))| ds \\ & < \frac{2(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i)}{5 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i} \left( \frac{1}{2(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i)} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i}{2(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i)} \right) C_0 \\ & \leq \frac{2C_0}{5 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i}, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|Ax\|_0 < \frac{2C_0}{5 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i}. \quad (3.1)$$

Similarly, we can show that for any  $x \in E$ , with  $\|x\| = C_0$ ,

$$\|(Ax)'\|_0 < \frac{3 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i}{5 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i} C_0. \quad (3.2)$$

It follows from (3.1) and (3.2) that  $\|Ax\| < C_0$ , for all  $\|x\| = C_0$ . Then, by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 we have

$$i(A, P \cap B(\theta, C_0), P) = 1, \quad (3.3)$$

$$i(A, -P \cap B(\theta, C_0), -P) = 1, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\deg(I - A, B(\theta, C_0), \theta) = 1. \quad (3.5)$$

By (H2) and Lemma 2.6, the eigenvalues of the operator  $A'(\theta) = \beta_0 K$  which are large than 1 are

$$\frac{\beta_0}{\lambda_1}, \quad \frac{\beta_0}{\lambda_2}, \quad \frac{\beta_0}{\lambda_3}, \dots, \frac{\beta_0}{\lambda_{2n_0}}.$$

Therefore, by Lemmas 2.6, 2.9, and 2.10, there exists  $0 < r_1 < r_0$  such that

$$\deg(I - A, B(\theta, r_1), \theta) = (-1)^{2n_0} = 1. \quad (3.6)$$

Similarly, by Lemmas 2.6, 2.9 and 2.11, we have for some  $R_1 \geq R_0$ ,

$$\deg(I - A, B(\theta, R_1), \theta) = 1. \quad (3.7)$$

By Lemma 2.9, we have

$$i(A, P \cap B(\theta, r_1), P) = 0, \quad (3.8)$$

$$i(A, -P \cap B(\theta, r_1), -P) = 0, \quad (3.9)$$

$$i(A, P \cap B(\theta, R_1), P) = 0, \quad (3.10)$$

$$i(A, -P \cap B(\theta, R_1), -P) = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Then, by (3.3), (3.8) and (3.10), we have

$$i(A, P \cap (B(\theta, R_1) \setminus \overline{B(\theta, C_0)}), P) = 0 - 1 = -1, \quad (3.12)$$

$$i(A, P \cap (B(\theta, C_0) \setminus \overline{B(\theta, r_1)}), P) = 1 - 0 = 1. \quad (3.13)$$

Therefore, the operator  $A$  has at least two fixed points  $x_1 \in P \cap (B(\theta, R_1) \setminus \overline{B(\theta, C_0)})$  and  $x_2 \in P \cap (B(\theta, C_0) \setminus \overline{B(\theta, r_1)})$ , respectively. Obviously,  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are positive solutions of the boundary-value problem (1.1).

Similarly, by (3.4), (3.9) and (3.11), we have

$$i(A, -P \cap (B(\theta, R_1) \setminus \overline{B(\theta, C_0)}), -P) = -1, \quad (3.14)$$

$$i(A, -P \cap (B(\theta, C_0) \setminus \overline{B(\theta, r_1)}), -P) = 1. \quad (3.15)$$

Therefore, the operator  $A$  has at least two fixed points  $x_3 \in (-P) \cap (B(\theta, C_0) \setminus \overline{B(\theta, r_1)})$  and  $x_4 \in (-P) \cap (B(\theta, R_1) \setminus \overline{B(\theta, C_0)})$ , respectively. Obviously,  $x_3$  and  $x_4$  are negative solutions of the boundary-value problem (1.1).

Let

$$S = \{x | x = Ax, x \in P \cap (B(\theta, R_1) \setminus \overline{B(\theta, C_0)})\}.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.7 that  $S \subset \overset{\circ}{P}$ . Therefore, for any  $x \in S$ , there exists  $\delta_x > 0$  such that  $B(x, \delta_x) \subset P \cap (B(\theta, R_1) \setminus \overline{B(\theta, C_0)})$ . Let  $O_1 = \bigcup_{x \in S} B(x, \delta_x)$ . Then, we have  $O_1 \subset P \cap (B(\theta, R_1) \setminus \overline{B(\theta, C_0)})$ . By (3.12) and the excision property of the fixed point index, we have

$$i(A, O_1, P) = -1. \quad (3.16)$$

By the definition of the fixed point index, we have

$$i(A, O_1, P) = \deg(I - A \cdot r, B(\theta, \bar{R}) \cap r^{-1}(O_1), \theta), \quad (3.17)$$

where  $r : E \mapsto P$  is an arbitrary retraction and  $\bar{R}$  is a large enough positive number such that  $O_1 \subset B(\theta, \bar{R})$ . Now, we assume that  $y^* \in B(\theta, \bar{R}) \cap r^{-1}(O_1)$  such that  $y^* = A \cdot r(y^*)$ . Since  $r : E \mapsto P$  and  $A : P \mapsto P$ , then  $y^* \in P$ , and so  $y^* = ry^* \in O_1$ . Therefore,  $y^* \in O_1$  whenever  $y^* \in B(\theta, \bar{R}) \cap r^{-1}(O_1)$  is a fixed point of the operator  $A \cdot r$ . Then, by the excision property of the degree we have

$$\deg(I - A \cdot r, B(\theta, \bar{R}) \cap r^{-1}(O_1), \theta) = \deg(I - A, O_1, \theta). \quad (3.18)$$

By (3.16)-(3.18), we have

$$\deg(I - A, O_1, \theta) = -1. \quad (3.19)$$

Similarly, by (3.13)-(3.15), we can show that there exist open sets  $O_2$ ,  $O_3$  and  $O_4$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} O_2 &\subset P \cap (B(\theta, C_0) \setminus \overline{B(\theta, r_1)}), \\ O_3 &\subset -P \cap (B(\theta, C_0) \setminus \overline{B(\theta, r_1)}), \\ O_4 &\subset -P \cap (B(\theta, R_1) \setminus \overline{B(\theta, C_0)}), \\ \deg(I - A, O_2, \theta) &= 1, \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

$$\deg(I - A, O_3, \theta) = 1, \quad (3.21)$$

$$\deg(I - A, O_4, \theta) = -1. \quad (3.22)$$

It follows from (3.5), (3.6), (3.20) and (3.21) that

$$\deg(I - A, B(\theta, C_0) \setminus (\overline{O_2} \cup \overline{O_3} \cup \overline{B(\theta, r_1)}), \theta) = 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 = -2.$$

This implies that  $A$  has at least one fixed point  $x_5 \in B(\theta, C_0) \setminus (\overline{O_2} \cup \overline{O_3} \cup \overline{B(\theta, r_1)})$ . Similarly, by (3.5), (3.7), (3.19) and (3.22),

$$\deg(I - A, B(\theta, R_1) \setminus (\overline{O_1} \cup \overline{O_4} \cup \overline{B(\theta, C_0)}), \theta) = 1 - 1 + 1 + 1 = 2.$$

This implies that  $A$  has at least one fixed point  $x_6 \in B(\theta, R_1) \setminus (\overline{O_1} \cup \overline{O_4} \cup \overline{B(\theta, C_0)})$ . Obviously,  $x_5$  and  $x_6$  are two distinct sign-changing solutions of the boundary-value problem (1.1). The proof is complete.  $\square$

By the method used in the proof of Theorem 2.1, it is easy to show the following four corollaries.

**Corollary 3.1.** *Suppose that (H0), (H1) and (H3) hold, and that there exists positive integer  $n_0$  such that  $\lambda_{2n_0} < \beta_0 < \lambda_{2n_0+1}$ . Then the boundary-value problem (1.1) has at least one sign-changing solution. Moreover, the boundary-value problem (1.1) has at least one positive solution and one negative solution.*

**Corollary 3.2.** *Suppose that (H0), (H1) and (H3) hold, and that there exists positive integer  $n_1$  such that  $\lambda_{2n_1} < \beta_1 < \lambda_{2n_1+1}$ . Then the conclusion of Corollary 3.1 holds.*

**Corollary 3.3.** *Suppose that (H0) and (H1) hold,  $\beta_0 > \lambda_1$ ,  $\beta_1 < \lambda_1$  (or  $\beta_0 < \lambda_1$ ,  $\beta_1 > \lambda_1$ ). Then the boundary-value problem (1.1) has at least one positive solution and one negative solution.*

**Corollary 3.4.** *Suppose that (H0), (H1) and (H3) hold,  $\beta_0 > \lambda_1$ ,  $\beta_1 > \lambda_1$ . Then the boundary-value problem (1.1) has at least two positive solutions and two negative solutions.*

**Remark.** In Theorem 2.1, we show not only the existence of multiple sign-changing solutions, but also the existence of multiple positive solutions and negative solutions. Obviously, we can employ this method to show the existence of sign-changing solutions for other nonlinear boundary-value problems.

**Acknowledgment.** The author wishes to express his sincere gratitude to the anonymous referees for their helpful suggestions in improving the paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Guo Dajun, V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*, Academic press, Inc, New York, 1988.
- [2] Guo Dajun, *Nonlinear Anylysis*, Shandong Sci. & Tec. Press, Jinan, 2001.
- [3] W. Feng and J. R. L. Webb, *Solvability of a  $m$ -point nonlinear boundary-value problem with nonlinear growth*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 212(1997),467-480.
- [4] C. P. Gupta, S. I. Trofimchuk, *Existence of a solution of a three-point boundary-value problem and spectral radius of a related linear operator*, Nonlinear Anal., 34(1998):489-507.
- [5] C. P. Gupta, S. I. Trofimchuk, *A sharper condition for the solvability of a three-point second order boundary-value problem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 205(1997),586-597.
- [6] M. A. Krasnosel'skiĭ, P. P. Zabreĭko, *Geometrical Methods of Nonlinear Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, New York, Tokyo, 1984.
- [7] X. Liu, *Nontrivial solutions of singular nonlinear  $m$ -point boundary-value problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 284(2003),576-590.
- [8] Liu Z. L., *On Dancer's conjecture and multiple solutions of elliptic partial differential equations*, Northeast Mathematics J., 9(1993)388-394.

- [9] R. Ma, *Existence of solutions of nonlinear  $m$ -point boundary-value problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 256, 556-567(2001).
- [10] R. Ma, *Positive solutions of a nonlinear three-point boundary-value problem*, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, Vol. 1998(1998) No. 34, 1-8.
- [11] M. Moshinsky, *Sobre los problemas de condiciones a la frontera en una dimension de caracteristicas discontinuas*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana 7 (1950) 1C25.
- [12] Youssef N. Raffoul, *Positive solutions of three-point nonlinear second order boundary-value problem*, EJQTDE, 2002, Vol. 15, 1-11.
- [13] S. Timoshenko, *Theory of Elastic Stability*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1961.
- [14] J. R. L. Webb, *Positive solutions of some three point boundary-value problems via fixed point index*, Nonlinear Analysis, 47(2001), 4319-4332.
- [15] X. Xu, *Positive Solutions for Singular  $m$ -point Boundary Value Problems with Positive Parameter*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 291(2004)352-367.
- [16] X. Xu, *Multiplicity Results for Positive Solutions of Some Semi-positone Three-point Boundary Value Problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 291(2004)673-689.
- [17] Qing Liu Yao, *Existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for a class of second order three-point nonlinear boundary-value problems*, Acta Mathematica Sinica, Vol. 45, No 6, 2002.

XIAN XU

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, XUZHOU NORMAL UNIVERSITY, XUZHOU, JIANGSU, 221116, CHINA  
E-mail address: [xuxian68@163.com](mailto:xuxian68@163.com)